THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

BLING A DIGEST OF THE STATUTE LAW.

WITH HISTOPICAL INTRODUCTION

4543

EXPLANATORY MAPLER

1.5

SIR COURTENAY ILBERT, KCSI

SECOND IDITION

OXFORD

AT THE CLARENDON PRESS

LONDON AND NEW YORK HENRY FROWDE

A1.50 501 D B1

STEVEN & SONS, LIMITED, 119 & 120 CHANCERY LANE, LONDON

1907

LONDON

HENRY PROWDE, MA.

PUBLISHER TO THE UNIVERSITY OF CLIFORD



M

STEVENS & SONE, LINITED

٠.

PREFACE

This is a revised edition of a book which was published in 1898.

In the year 1873 the Secretary of State for India sent to the Government of India the rough draft of a Bill to consolidate the enactments relating to the Government of India This draft formed the subject of correspondence between the India Office and the Government of India, and an amended draft, embodying several proposals for alteration of the law, was submitted to the India Office by the Government of India in the month of February, 1876 After that date the matter was allowed to drop

The case for consolidating the English statutes relating to India is exceptionally strong The Government of India is a subordinate Government, having powers derived from and limited by Acts of Pailiament. At every turn it runs the risk of discovering that it has unwittingly transgressed one of the limits imposed on the exercise of its authority. The enactments on which its authority rests range over a period of more than Some of these are expressed in language suitable to the time of Warien Hastings, but inapplicable to the India of to-day, and unintelligible except by those who are conversant with the needs and circumstances of the times in which they were passed In some cases they have been duplicated or triplicated by subsequent enactments, which reproduce with slight modifications, but without express repeal, the provisions of earlier statutes, and the combined effect of the series of enactments is only to be ascertained by a careful study and comparison of the several parts A consolidating Act would repeal and supersede more than forty separate statutes relating to India

In England the difficulty of threading the maze of administrative statutes is mitigated by the continuity of administrative tradition. In India there is no similar continuity. The Law Member of Council, on whom the Governor-General is, mainly dependent for advice as to the nature and extent of his powers, brings with him from England either no knowledge or a scanty knowledge of Indian administration, and holds

office only for a term of five years. The members of the Civil Service who are posted at the head-quarters of the Central and Local Governments are engaged in climbing swiftly up the ladder of preferment, and rarely pause for many years on the same rung. Hence the risk of misconstruing administrative law or overlooking some important restriction on administrative powers is exceptionally great.

During various intervals of lessure after my return from India in 1886 I revised and brought up to date the consolidating draft of 1873 and endeavoured to make it an accurate reproduction of the existing statute law. The revised draft was submitted to the Secretary of State but the conclusion arrived at after communication with the Government of India was adverse to the introduction of a consolidating measure into Parliament at that time. It was however suggested to me by the authorities at the India Office that the draft might if published as a digest of the existing law be useful both to those who are practically concerned in Indian administration and to students of Indian administration and to students of Indian administrative law. It has accordingly been made the nucleus of the following pages.

The first chapter contains such amount of historical introduction as appeared necessary for the purpose of making the crusting law intelligible. The sources from which I have drawn are indicated in a note at the end of the chapter. There are many excellent summaries of British Indian history, and the history of particular periods has been treated with more or less fullness in the biographics of Indian statesmen, such as those which have appeared in Sir William Hunter's serges. But a history of the rise and growth of the British Empire in India on a scale commensurate with the importance of the subject, still remains to be written. Sir Alfred Lynlls admirable and suggestive Rise and Expansion of the British Dominion in India appears to me to indicate better than any book with which I am acquainted the lines on which it might be written.

The second chapter contains a short summar, of the exiting system of administrative law in India. This has been carefully revised in the present edition and brought by to date.

fully revised in the present edition and brought up to date. The third chapter is a digest of the existing Parliamentary enactments relating to the government of India, with ex

planatory notes This digest has been framed on the principles now usually adopted in the preparation of consolidation Bills to be submitted to Pailiament, that is to say, it arranges in convenient order, and states in language appropriate to the present day, what is conceived to be the net effect of enactments scattered through several Acts When this process is applied to a large number of enactments belonging to different dates, it is always found that there are lacunae to be filled, obscurities to be removed, inconsistencies to be harmonized, doubts to be resolved The Legislature can cut knots of this kind by declaring authoritatively how the law is to be con-The diaftsman or the text-writer has no such power He can merely state, to the best of his ability, the conclusions at which he has arrived, and supply materials for testing their accuracy

The fourth chapter, which deals with the application of English law to the natives of India, is based on a paper read at a meeting of the Society of Comparative Legislation. It points to a field in which useful work may be done by students of comparative jurisprudence

In the fifth chapter I have tried to explain and illustrate the legal relations between the Government of British India and the Governments of the Native States by comparison with the extra-territorial powers exercised by British authorities in other parts of the world, such as the countries where there is consular jurisdiction, and in particular the modern protectorates. The subject is interesting and important, but full of difficulty. The rules and usages which govern the relation between States and peoples of different degrees and kinds of civilization are in a state of constant flux and rapid growth, and on many topics dealt with in this chapter it would be unsafe to lay down general propositions without qualifying and guarding words. There are quicksands at every step.

Since the date of the first edition of this work important changes have been made in the Orders in Council which regulate the exercise of jurisdiction in African protectorates, and the jurisdiction exercised by the Governor-General in Council in the Native States of India has been brought into line with the extra-territorial jurisdiction exercised under authority of the

British Crown in other parts of the world by shifting its basis from an Act of the Indian legislature to an Order in Council under the Foreign Jurisdiction Act, 1890.

I am indebted for valuable assistance to friends both at the India Office and in India. Frequent reference has also been made to the minutes of Sir H S Maine printed for the Indian Legislative Department in 1890.

But although the book owes its origin to an official suggestion, and has benefited by the criticisms of official friends, it is in no sense an official publication. For any statements or expressions of opinion I am personally and exclusively responsible.

Î have omitted from this edition estain reprints of documents which are to be found elsewhere. The charters of the Indian High Courts are now to be found in Vol VI of the Statutory Rules and Orders revised. The first Charter to the East India Company with some omissions will be found in Prothero, Statutes and Constitutional Documents. The other illustrative documents printed in ch viii of the first edition would find an appropriate place in a selection of documents illustrating the constitutional history of British India. Such a selection would be of great use to students.

C P ILBERT

STRAKERS COURT

January 1907

CONTENTS

CHAPTER I

HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION

PAGE

Twofold origin of British authority in India	I
Three periods in history of constitutional development	ib
Landmarks of first period	2
Landmarks of second period	ib
Landmarks of third period	3
Charter of Elizabeth	ib
Points of constitutional interest in charter of Elizabeth Constitu-	
tion of Company	6
Privileges of Company	7
Legislative powers of Company	9
Resemblance to Massachusetts Company	10
Other English trading companies	11
Russia Company	ıb
Levant Company	12
The separate voyages	13
James I's charter of 1609	ib
Beginning of martial law exercisable by Company	14
Grant of 1615	10
Grant of 1623	1b
Contests with Dutch and English rivals	15
Massacre of Amboyna	$\imath b$
Courten's Association	$\imath b$
Cromwell's relations to the Company	$\imath b$
Cromwell's charter of 1657	ib
The Company after the Restoration	16
Charles II's charter of 1661	16
Arrangements for administration of justice at Madras in seventeenth	
century	17
Grant of Bombay to the Company	18
Charter of 1677 granting powers of comage	19
Administration of justice at Bombay in seventeenth century	$\imath b$
Charter of 1683 giving power to raise forces and evereise martial law,	
and establishing Courts of Admiralty	$\imath b$
Charter of 1686	20
Establishment of municipality at Wadras	21
Charter of 1687	22
Company's resolution of 1689	23
Controversies after Revolution of 1688	24
Charters of 1693 and 1694	25
The affair of the Redbridge and its results	26
Incorporation of English Company	27
Union of Old and New Companies	29

CONTENTS

Vili	CONTENTS	
		PAG
Period between 1708 and 1765		30
Extension of Company s charte	τ	31
Provisions against interlopers	_	ıb.
Judicial charters of 1726 and 1	751	32
Mutiny Act and Articles of Wa		33
Charters of 1757 and 1758 as to		34
The Company as territorial sov		37
Grant of the Diwani	c.c.an	ib
Legislation of 1767		38
Pecuniary emberrasaments in i	773	40
Legislation of 1773	,,-	ī.
The Regulating Act of 1773		•41
Provisions of Regulating Act		44
Charter of 1774 constituting su	meme court at Calcutta	50
Difficulties arising out of Regul		18.
Difficulties in the council		ib.
Difficulties between supreme co	uncil and supreme court	51
Amending Act of 1781		55
Further legislation of 1781		59
Parliamentary inquiries of 178:	•	60
Fox s East India B II		61
Pitt s Act of 178.4		62
Legislation of 1786		67
Legislation of 1788		68
Charter Act of 1793		60
Legislation between 1793 and 1	813 4	71
Charter Act of 1813		72
Legislation between 1813 and 1	833	79
Charter Act of 1833		81
Legislation between 1833 and 1	853	89
Charter Act of 1853		90
Establishment of chief commun	ioner-hips	92
The Government of Indla Act	1858	94
The Indian Army		97
Legislation of 1861		28
Indian Civil Service Act 1861		
Indian Council: A t 18/1		99
Indian High Courts Act, 1861		103
Legislation since 1861		104

CHAPTER II

SIMMARA OF EXISTING LAW

OUTSELLINE OF PROPERTY	
Home Government Secretary of State Council of India	109 B
Staff of India Office	11to 74
Indian revenues Audit	111
Contracts and legal proceedings	д.

CONTENTS	13
	PAGI
Government in India The governor-general	III
The governor-general's council	ib
The local governments	112
Indian legislation	11
Loçal legislatures	118
Indian Law	121
The Civil Service of India	123
The chartered high courts	126
Jurisdiction of English courts over offences in India	128
The army in India	129
Ecclesiastical establishment	131
Subsidiary provisions	1b
Administrative arrangements not dependent on Acts of Parliament	132
Financial system Administrative staff of local governments	133
Regulation and non-regulation provinces	134
The district	<i>ib</i>
The district magistrate and his staff	ib
Municipal and district councils	136
Judicial arrangements	ıb
Civil jurisdiction	137
Criminal jurisdiction	ib
The Native States	1 39
Division of sovereignty	ib
General control by British Government	яb
Control over foreign relations	140
Power to maintain peace	141
Special responsibility for British subjects in Native States	$\imath b$
Subordinate military co-operation	142
Exceptional position of Native Indian States	143
CHAPTER III	
DIGEST OF STATUTORY ENACTMENTS RELATING TO)
THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA	
PART I	
THE SECRETARY OF STATE IN COUNCIL	
The Crown	
FIGURE 1 Government of India by the Crown	144
· .	-47
The Secretary of State	
2 The Secretary of State	145
The Council of India	_
3 The Council of India	146
3 Seat in courcil disqualification for Parliament	148 1b
5 Claims to compensation 6 Duties of council	าบ ปีง
A COMPUSATION OF THE COMPUSATION	111

ib

7 Powers of council

5E (TIOX	ΓΔG
8	President and vice-president of council	14
9.	Meetings of the council	i
10.	Procedure at meetings	ű
	Committees of council	15
	Orders and Dispatches.	•
12.	Submission of orders, &c. to council, and record of opinions thereon	
12	Provision for cases of urgency	
	Provision as to secret orders and dispatches	15 18
	Signature and address of orders, &c.	15:
	Communication to Parliament as to orders for commencing hos-	- 5
	tillties	• 7
17	Correspondence by governor-general with Secretary of State	ib
	Establishment of Secretary of State.	
18.	Establishment of the Secretary of State	153
	Pensions	ib.
	Indian Appointments.	
200	Indian appointments	154
	Powers of Crown and Secretary of State as to removal of officers	155
	,	-55
	PART II	
	REVENUES OF INDIA.	
22	Application of revenues	159
23.	Control of Secretary of State over expenditure of revenues	160
34	Restriction on applicat on of revenues to military operations be-	
	youd the frontier	161
	Accounts of Secretary of State with Bank	ъ
26.	Powers of attorney for sale or purchase of took and receipt of	
	dlvidends	163
	Provision as to securities	163
	Exercise of borrowing powers	ıъ.
	Accounts to be annually laid before Parliament	164
30.	Audit of Indian accounts in United Kingdom	164
	PART IIL	
	PROPERTY CONTRACTS, AND LEARILITIES.	
21	Power of Secretary of State to sell, mortgage, and buy property	167
22	Contracts of Secretary of State	18.
11.	Power to execute assurances, &c., in India	168
11.	Power to dispose of exchested property &	169
35-	Right and liabilities of Secretary of State in Conneil	170
-	PART IV	
	THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL IN COUNCIL	
	General Powers of Governor-General v. Con cil	•
3 /2	General powers and d ties of Governor-General in Council	171
	The Covernor-General	
17	The governor-general	

	The Council of the Governor-General	PAGE
38	Constitution of governor-general's council	178
39	Ordinary members of council	ıb
40	Extraordinary members of council	179
41.	Ordinary and legislative meetings of governor-general's council	180
42	Ordinary meetings of council	ıb
43	Business of Governor-General in Council	181
44	Procedure in case of difference of opinion	182
45	Provision for appointment of president of council	185
46	Provision for absence of governor-general, or president, from mee- ings of council	t- 1b
47	Powers of governor-general in absence from council	186
	War and Treaties	
48	Restriction on power of Governor-General in Council to make wa	r
	or treaty	$\imath b$
	PART V	
	LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	
	General	
49	Relation of local Governments to Governor-General in Council	187
	Governments of Madras and Bombay	
50	Governments of Madras and Bombay	188
51	Ordinary members of councils	189
52	Ordinary and legislative meetings of Madras and Bombay Councils	190
53	Procedure in cases of difference of opinion	ib
54	Business of Governor in Council	ib
	Lieutenant-Governorships and other Provinces	
55	Lieutenant-governors	191
56	Power to place territory under authority of Governor-General in	-
	Council Demondo altan lanata of programos	193
57	Power to alter limits of provinces	194
58	Saving as to laws Power to extend boundaries of presidency towns	196 1b
59	Tower to extend boundaries of presidency towns	10
	PART VI	
	Indian Legislation	
	Legislation by Governor-General in Council	
бо	Additional members of council for legislative purposes	197
бı		105
	Constitution of legislative meetings of council	$\imath b$
	Legislative power of Governor-General in Council	199
	. Business at legislative meetings	20S
	Assent of governor-general to Acts	209
66		210
	Rules for conduct of business	ib
და დი	Power to make regulations Power to make regulations Power to make regulations	211
3.1	Power to make ordinances in cases of emergency	212

CONTENTS

BECTTON	Local Legislatures.	PAGE
	ing of local legislatures	213
71 Const	itution of legislative council in Madras and Bombay	íb.
72. Proce	dure at legislative meetings of councils of Madras and Bombay	~14
73 Coxust	itutions of legislative councils of heutenant-governors	215
	r to constitute new local legislatures	1216
75 Proce	dure at meetings of lieutenant-governor a council	218
76. Powe	rs of local legislature	ib
	eers at legislative meetings	321
78 Amen	t to Acts of local legislatures	222
	Validity of Indian Laws.	_
70. Remo	oval of doubts as to walldity of certain laws	223
,,,	The state of the s	3
	PART VIL	
	SALARIES, LEAVE OF ABSENCE, VACATION OF OFFICE,	
	Темговану Арроінтивить, &с	
80. Salari	les and allowances of governor-general and certain other	
off	cals in India	~24
Si Leave	of absence to members of council	226
52. Provid	sion as to absence from India or province	17
83. Condi	tional appointments	279
	r for governor-general to exercise powers before taking seat	ıb.
85 Provi-	sion for temporary vacancy in office of governor-general	230
	sion for temporary vacan y se office of Governor of Madra, or mbay	231
	sion for temporary vacancy in office of ordinary member of	- 5 -
	meil	232
88 Vacan	icies amongst additional members of council	233
		234
90. Power	r to make regulations as to Indian at pointment	49
	1 ART VIII.	
	THE CIVIL SERVICE OF INDIA.	
n Nodb		23.1
32. Regul	ations for admission to civil service	ü
93. Offices		235
	to appoint natives of India to reserved offices	ıò.
95 Power	to make provisional appointments in certain cases	37
	PART IX.	
	THE INDIAN HIGH COURTS.	
	Constitution.	
		3
go, Com		35
97 Tenu	elence of judges of high court	3.
95 1700	les &c., of judges of high courts	a.
too. Prov	l ion for vacancy in the office of chief ju tice or other judge	ik,

	CONTENTS	X111
	Jurisdiction	
SECT	ION	PAGE
	Jurisdiction of high courts	239
	Powers of high courts with respect to subordinate courts	245
-	Exercise of jurisdiction by single judges or division courts	246
104	Power for Governor-General in Council to alter local limits of	7
Ţ,	jurisdiction of high courts	ib
_	Exemption from jurisdiction of high court in public capacity	247
106	Written order by governor-general a justification for any act in any court in India	248
107		
,	council	ib
)	Law to be administered	
108	Law to be administered in cases of inheritance and succession	249
100	Advocate-General	243
109	Appointment and powers of advocate-general	251
	PART X	
	Ecclesiastical Establishment	
110	Jurisdiction of Indian bishops	252
III	Power to admit to holy orders	252 1b
111	Consecration of person resident in India appointed to bishopric	
112	Salaries and allowances of bishops and archdeacons	253 ib
_	Furlough rules	254
115	Establishment of chaplains of Church of Scotland	254 1b
116	Saving as to grants to Christians	ib
•••	Starting as to grants to omissions	••
	PART XI	
	Offences, Penalties, and Procedure	
117	Certain acts to be misdemeanours Oppression Wilful dis-	-
/	obedience Breach of duty Trading Receiving presents	255
118	Loans to native princes	256
	Prosecution of offences in England	257
120		260
	PART XII	
	Supplemental	
121	Saving as to certain rights and powers	262
122	Treaties, contracts, and liabilities of East India Company	1 b
123	^	263
124	Definitions	1 <i>b</i>
	SUPPLEMENTAL NOTES	266
	Schfdules —	
	I Official salaries	272
7	II Offices reserved to the Civil Service of India	1b
	TABLE OF COMPARISON BETWEEN STATUTORY ENACTMENTS AND	10
	DIGEST	274

TIV CONTENTS

CHAPTER IV

APPLICATION OF ENGLISH LAW TO NATIVES OF INDIA

	LTG.
Introduction of English Law into India	32
Charter of 1753	32
Warren Hastings Plan of 1772	រេ
Gradual modification of criminal law	329
Observance of native rules as to family law	ıō
The Lex Loci Act	327
Law applicable to persons neither Hindus nor Mahomedans	329
Rules as to local usage in Bombay and the Punjab	• 10
Native Christians and Armenians	330
Paraeces	10.
Justice, equity and good conscience	ib.
State of law at passing of Charter Act of 1833	ib
First Indian Law Commission	332
Penal Code Codes of Civil and Criminal Procedure, &c.	ib.
Indian Succession Act	ib.
Oudh Estates Act	333
Hindu Wills Act	it
Probate and Administration Act	334
Indian Contract Act	ib
Negotiable Instruments Act	ib
Transfer of Property Act	335
Trusts Act	ü
Essements Act	336
Guardian and Wards Act	ib
Law of torta	īЬ
Subjects to which English and native law respectively apply	ı.
Attempt to govern natives by native law Englishmen by English law	337
Causes of its failure	338
Reason for codification	339
Merits of Indian codes	1b
How far codification applicable to native law	340
Codes and text-books	341
CHAPTER V	
BRITISH JURISDICTION IN NATIVE STATES	
Territorial character of Parliamentary legislation	342

Territorial character of Parliamentary legislation	342
Principles limiting extra-territorial legislation	ů.
Cases in which Parliament legi lates for offences committed out of	1
British territory	344
Offences at sea	345
Treson	347
Murder and manulaughter	ű,
Slave trade offences	и,
Offences against Explosive Substances Act	ü

CONTENTS	xv
	PAGE
Forgery and perjury	347
Bigamy	ib
Foreign Enlistment Act	348
Classes of British subjects	$\imath b$
Conclusions as to Parliamentary legislation for extra-territorial offences	350
For eign Jurisdiction Acts	353
Original of consular jurisdiction The Capitulations	ib
The Levant Company	355
Dissolution of Levant Company	356
Difficulties arising from dissolution of Levant Company	357
Failure of Act of 1836, its causes	359
Foreign Jurisdiction Act of 1843	361
Law framed and administered under Foreign Jurisdiction Acts	362
Three stages in history of Acts	ib
First stage application to States under regular Governments	ib
Anomalous position in Egypt	363
Second stage application to barbarous countries	364
Third stage application to protectorates	365
Recognition of African protectorates by Berlin Conference	$\imath b$
Charter to German Colonization Society	ib
Questions as to effect of German charter	366
Questions as to English protectorates in Africa	367
Persons over whom consular jurisdiction is exercisable	370
Consequences of establishment of protectorate	371
Jurisdiction in African protectorates	372
Conclusions as to jurisdiction under Foreign Jurisdiction Acts	376
Application of principles to India	377
Powers of Indian Legislature	378
Liability of British subjects for offences committed out of British	
India	385
Political Agents to certify fitness of inquiry into charge	$\imath b$
Conclusions as to general powers of Indian Legislature	386
Extra-territorial powers of governor-general in executive capacity	387
Classes of persons to which jurisdiction extends	392

Conclusions as to extra-territorial powers of governor-general

INDEX

398

399

TABLE OF DATES

GENERAL HISTORY	India.
1453 (May 29). Constantinople taken by the Turks. 1468 Cape of Good Hope discovered by Bartholomew Diax. 1499 Pope Alexander the Sixth a Bull. 1497-8 Voyage of Vasco da Gama to Indua. 1535-6 (February). Grant of Capi vulations by Solyman the Magnificent to Francis I. 1558-1603 Elizabeth. 1579. Massacre of Hugusnots. 1577 80 Drake a voyage round the world. 1570 Capitulations granted to England. 1580. Spain annexes Portugal. 1581. Charter granted to Levant Company. 1588. Defeat of the Spaniah Armads. Levant Company incorporated.	1556-1605. Akbar 1565 Fall of Hindu kingdom of Vijayanagar 1579 Thomas Stevens vi its Gos
 1880 Henry IV of France 1898 Edict of Anntes. 1899 Death of Philip II of Spain. 1603. James I. 	1889 An English expedition reaches India by land. 1800 East India Company founded 1802 Dutch East India Company founded. 1804. French East India Company founded
1606. First Charter of Virginia Com- pany 1000 Second Charter of Virginia	1605 27 Jehangir 1609 Charter of East India Com- pany renewed.
Company Henry IV of France murdered by Ravaillac	1011. English at Masulipatam. 1012. English at Surat 1016. Sit Thomas Roosents. Enroy to Great Vogul. Authority to execute martial law granted to East India Company 1010. Tanqueber granted to the
1818 Beginning of Thirty Years Wor	Danes. 1630 English agents in Bengal. 1632 3 (February). Massacre of Amboyna.

GENERAL HISTORY

1624-42 Richelieu first minister of France

1625 Charles I

1628 Charter granted to Massachusetts Company

1632 Battle of Lutzen —Death of Gustavus Adolphus

1642-9 Civil War in England
1643-1715 Louis XIV
1648 Peace of Westphalia —End of Thirty Years' War
1649 Commonwealth
1651 Navigation Act
1651-4 First war between England and Holland
1652 Dutch East India Company establish a station at the Cape
1653 Oliver Cromwell, Protector
1655 Capture of Jamaica

1660 Charles II

Navigation Act renewed

1664 New York taken from the Dutch

1665-7 Second was between England and Holland1667 Treaty of Breda

1672-4 Third war between England and Holland

INDIA

1623-4 (February) East India Company authorized to grant their officers power of executing martial law

1628-58 Shah Jahan

 1634 English permitted to trade
 throughout the dominions of the Mogul

1639 Madras bought by East India Company — Fort St George built

1640 East India Company's factory at Hugh

1657 Cromwell's charter to East India Company

1658 Madras made independent of Bantam

1658-1707 Aurangzeb

1661 English get Bombay as part of dowry of Catherine of Braganza

(April 3) Charles II grants charter to East India Company

1664 Sivaji becomes Raja of Marathas

Defence of Surat against Sivaji French East India Company (Colbert's) established

1669 Charles II gives Bombay to East India Company

1677 Charter granting Company powers of coinage

1679 Aurangzeb at war with the Rajputs

1680 Death of Sivaji

1681 Bengal made a separate presidency

GENERAL HISTORY	India.
1683 Death of Colbert.	1683 Rising at Bombay quelled. Charter giving powers of martial law and establishing Admiralty
1695 James II. Revocation of Educt of Nantes.	Courta. 1886 Caloutta founded. Charter of James II to East India. Company 1887 East India Company stactory moved from Surat to Bomfay English driven from Húgil, but allowed to return.
1685 Revolution in England.	Charter establishing municipality at Madras. 1687-9 East India Company s war
1689 William III. Peter the Great becomes Caar of Russia.	against Aurangzel. 1891. New or English Company established.
1899-97 War between England and France. 1894. Bank of Englandine or porated.	1698 (Öctober 7). Grant of new charter to old East India Com- pany (Supplemental charters November 11 1693, and Sep- tember 28 1694.) 1696. East India Company bulki
1697 Treaty of Ryang L	Fort William. 1898 Charter modifying voling powers and qualifications of East holia Company Act (9 & 10 William III, e 44) authorising incorporation of General Society (September 3.) Charter incorpo- rating the General Society as a regulated company (September 5.) Charter incorporating the English Company
1700 War between Sweden and Russia.	East India Company buy site of Calcutta (Aitchison a Treaties i 2).
1702. Anne 1702-13 Warof Spanish Succession	1702. Indenture Tripartite smalga mating the Old Company and the English Company
1707 Union of England and Scot land.	1707 Death of Aurangach. 1708. Lord Godolphin's award as to terms of amalgamation.
1713. Treaty of Utrecht. 1714. George I. French occupy Mauritius 1715. Rebell on in Scotland	1700 Old Company a charters sur rendered —The two companies united a the Uited Last Indu Company

GENERAL HISTORY

1715-74 Louis XV 1716-20 Law's 'system' 1720 South Sea Bubble 1721-42 Walpole, Prime Minister

1725 Death of Peter the Great

1727. George II

1732 Colony of Georgia founded

1740-4 Anson's voyages1740-8 Wars of the Austrian Succession

1740-86 Frederick II of Prussia 1744 Pelham, Prime Minister 1745-6 Rebellion in Scotland, 1748 Treaty of An-la-Chapelle

1754 Duke of Newcastle, Prime Minister

1756-61 The elder Pitt directs foreign policy of England 1756-63 Seven Years' War

INDIA

1719 New French East India Company

1722 Charles VI grants charter to Ostend Company

1725 Charter of Ostend Company withdrawn

1726 Municipal charters granted to Calcutta, Madras, and Bombay Mayors' courts established in each place

1728 Danish Company extinguished

1731 Swedish India Company formed

1739 Invasion of India by Nadir Shah

1742 Aliverdi Khan, Nawab of Bengal

1746 Labourdonnais takes Madras
1748 English besiege Pondicherry
Madras restored to English by
treaty of An-la-Chapelle

1749-54 War of succession in the Carnatic

1750-4 War between French and English Companies

1751 Chive seizes Arcot

1752 French surrender Trichinopoly

1753 New charters granted to Presidency towns

1754 French recall Dupleix

Treaty of peace signed at Pondicherry

Mutiny Act (27 Geo II, c 9) passed for Indian forces

1756 Sur y-ud-doul v becomes Nawab of Bengal and (June) takes Calcutta (BlackHoleMassacre) Rupture between France and England

1757 (January) Chive recovers Cal-

(June 23) Battle of Plassey

1758 Lully's expedition reaches India—Lally besieges Madrus Marathamyasion of Punjab

GENERAL HISTORY	India.
1759 Wolfe takes Quebeo	1759 Lally raises slege of Madras. Defeat of Dutch in Bengal.
1760 George III.	1760 Coote defeats Lally at Wandewash. Clive returns to England. 1760-5 Period of misrule in Ben-
	gal. 1761. Coote takes Pondichorry — Fall of the French power in Decoan.
1762. Bute Prime Minister	Ahmed Shah defeats Marathas at
Cutherino, Empress of Russia.	Battle of Paniput.
1763 Peace of Puris End of Seven	1763 Pondicherry restored to
Years War	France (Peace of Paris).
George Grenville, Prime Minister	Massacre of English prisoners at Patna.
	1764 (October 23). Battle of Baxar
1765. Stamp Act passed. (July) Rockingham, Prime Minister —Stamp Act repealed.	1765 Clive returns to India, a cepts Diwani of Bengal for the Com pany makes treaties of alliance with Oudh and the Mogul em
1766 (July). Duke of Grafton, Prime Minister	peror 1766 Grant of Northern Sarkars to Company (November) Parliamentary in
	quiry into affairs of Company 1767-9 First war of English with Hyder Ali.
	1767 Clive finally leaves India. Acts of Parliament relating to
	Last India Company (7 Geo. III co. 48 49, 56, 57). Power to
	declare dividend restrained. Company to pay £400,000 annu
	ally into Exchequer
1768-71. Captain Cook circumnavi- gated the world.	1768 Restraint on dividend con tinued (8 Geo. III c 11).
• "	The Nizam cedes the Carnatic.
	1760 \ew arrangement for five
ļ	years between Government and Company Payment of annuity
	of £400,000 continued (9 Geo.
	III, e 24).
1770 Lord North Prime Minister	1770 Famine in Bengal.
—Disturbance at Boston.	1771 (August 23). Company resolve to stand forth as Ihwan of

GENERAL HISTORY

INDIA

1773 The people of Boston board the English ships and throw the tea overboard

0

1774 Congress meets at Philadelphia and denies right of Parliament to tax colonies —Accession of Louis XVI

1775 George Washington appointed Commander-in-Chief of American forces

1775-83 War of American Independence

1776 (July 4) Declaration of Independence by United States

1778 Death of Earl of Chatham
War with France in Europe
France recognizes independence
of United States

1781 England at war with Spain, France, Holland, and American colonies

Cornwallis surrenders at Yorktown

1782 Lord North resigns —Lord Rockingham and then Lord Shelburne, Prime Ministers Grattan's Declaration of Right

Grattan's Declaration of Right accepted by Irish Parliament

1783 (April 2) Coalition ministry under Duke of Portland as Prime Minister 1772 Warren Hastings, Governor of Bengal —Draws up plan of government?

Directors of East India Company declare a deficit, and appeal to Lord North for help

(November) Secret Parliamentary inquiry into affairs of Company

1773 Regulating Act passed (13 Geo III, c 63)

Motion condemning Clive rejected

1774 Warren Hastings becomes first Governor-General of India Rohilla War

Death of Clive

1775 Benares and Ghazipur ceded to Company

Government of Bombay occupy Salsette and Bassem

1776 Trial and execution of Nuncomar

Maratha War

1778 English seize French settlements in India

1779 Marathus repel English advance on Poona

League of Mysore Maráthás and Nizám against English

1780 Hyder Alı ravages Carnatic

of Hyder Alı at Porto Novo — Treaty of Peace with Marath is

Parliamentary inquiries into administration of justice in Bengal and into causes of Carnatic War—Act passed to amend the Regulating Act (21 Geo III, c 70)

1782 Death of Hyder Alı

Naval battles between French and English in Bay of Bengal

1783 Pondicherry and other French settlements restored to France by Treaty of Versailles

linden. Malta taken from French. 1801. Addington Prime Mini ter

GREERAL HISTORY

INDIA.

1801. Incorporation of Carnatic Oudh cedes territory by subsidiary treaty

(January) Treaty of Versailles.— Peace signed between England and United States 1783 (December 23)–1801. William Pitt, Prime Minister 1783 General peace in Europe	1783-4. Fox's India Bill introduce and rejected. 1784. Treaty of peace with Tippe Sultan of Mysore.—General peace in India. Pitts Act establishing Board of Control(24 Geo. III, sees 2, 2 3); 1785 Warren Hastings leaves India Mahdajee Sindia (Mardthá) occu- ptes Delhi.
1788 Burke moves impeachment of Warren Hastings.	1786 Ac passed to enlarge power of Governor-General (26 Geo III, c. 16). 1786-93 Lord Cornwallis, Governor-General
1788-95 Trial of Warren Hastings.	1787 Tippu sends embassies to Paris and Constantinople
1780 Beginning of French Revolu- tion.	1789-90 Tippu attacks Travancore 1790-9. War with Tippu 1791 Bangalore taken. 1792. Tippu algus treaty of posce ceding territory
1703 Execution of Louis XVI. Was between England and France declared Fobruary 11	1793 English take Pondleherry Permanent settlement of Bengal. Cornwallia leaves India. Act renowing Company's charter (33 Geo. III. e 52). 1793-8 Sir J Shore (Lord Telgn mouth), Governor-General.
1795 Cape of Good Hope captured from Dutch.	1795 The Mardthia defeat the Vizim. 1 90 Ceylon taken from Dutch.
1707 Battle of Cape St Vincent — Mutiny at the Nore 1708. Irish Rebellion French expedition to Egypt — (August 1) Battle of the Nile 1700 Buonaparte First Con 11.	178" Shah Zeman invades Punjab 1788-1805 Marquis Wellesler Governor-General. 1700 Capture of Seriogapatam.
1800 Union of Great Britain and Ireland. Battles of Marengo and Hohen-	Death of Tippu. Partition of Mysore 1800 Sub-idiary treaty with Nizim.

Granda History

1802 Treats of Americ Capital restored to Dutch
1803 (Max.) War declared between Pulland and France

1804 Pitt's sound manistry Napoleon, I imperor 1805 (October 21) Battle of Trafalgar — Capitulation of I im (December 2) Battle of Austerlitz

1808 (January 23) Death of Wilham Pitt — Ministry of 'All the Talents — Ford Grenville Prime Minister Berlin Decrees issued and Order in Council issued in reply 1807 — Duke of Portland, Prime Minister

1808-14 Peninsular War 1809 Walcheren expedition — Battle of Wagram Perceval, Prime Minister Linglish occupy the Cape 1810 Mauritius taken from French 1812 Napoleon invades Russia

War between England and United States (June) Lord Liverpool, Prime

(June) Lord Liverpool, Prime
Minister (till 1827)
(July) Battle of Salamanca
313 (June) Battle of Vittoria

1813 (June) Battle of Vittoria (October 16-19) Battle of Leipzig

1814 First Peace of Paris — Napoleon abdicates Cape ceded to England

1815 (February) Napoleon returns from Elba (June 18) Battle of Waterloo (November) Second Peace of

Paris

1820 George IV Congress at Troppau, afterwards at Laybach

INDIA

180° Treaty of Basem and restoration of Peshwa

1503 Isazue obsindin and Nagpur Roja (Marathus)

Marith: War (Britles of Assaye, Arkaum, Laswaree)

1901 Coknar of Baroda submitto subsidiary system

1805 (July to October) Hord Cornwallie again Governor-General —Succeeded by Sir George Barlow (till 1807)

1800 Mutiny of Sepays at Vellore

1607 War with Travancore 1807-13 Ford Minto, Governor-General

1809 Travancore subdued

Geo III, c 155)

East India Company loses monopoly of Indian trade

1813-23 Lord Hastings, Governor-General

1814-15 Gurkha War

1815 Kumaon ceded

1817 Pindaris conquered

1817-18 Third Mar itha War, ending in annexation of Poona and reduction of Holkar and Rajputana

1819 Wazir of Oudh assidnes title of King

China.

GENERAL HISTORY	INDIA
 1821 (May). Death of Napoleon Buonaparte. Congress of Verona. 1832 (March 27). Canning appointed Governor-General of India but made Foreign Secretary Instead (September). 1825 Commercial panio in England. 1827 (April 24). Canning, Prime Minister; dies August 8 (September <) Lord Goderich, Prime Minister (October 20.) Battle of Nava 	1823-8 Lord Amherst, Governor General 1834. War with Burma. Rangoor taken 1836 Storming of Bhurtpur An- nexation of Assam.
rino. 1838 (January 25). Duke of Wel lington, Prime Illnister 1830 (June 26). William IV (November 22.) Lord Grey Prime Minister	1828-35 Lord William Bentinck, Governor-General. 1830 Mysore becomes a protected State
1839 (June). Reform Bill passed.	1833. Charter Act (3 & 4 Will. IV o 85) terminates trading func- tions of East India Company and defines legislative powers of Governor-General in Council. Macaulay appointed legislative member of Governor-General'a Council.
1834 (July 17). Lord Melbourne, Prime Minister; dismissed Vovember 15 (December 26.) Sir Robert Peel, Prime Minister	1834. Annexation of Coorg
1835 (April 8). Sir Robert Peel resigns. (April 18) Lord Melbourne Prime Minister	1835 Lord Heytesbury appointed Governor-General by Sir R. Peel but appointment cancelled by Whigs. 1836-42. Lord Archland, Governor General. 1830. Lieutenant-Governorship of North-Western Provinces con- situted.
1837 Queen Victoria. 1839-42. War between England and	1838 First Afghan War 1839 Capture of Ghaznland Kan- dahar

Death of Ranjit Singh. 1840 Surrender of Dost Moham-

GENERAL HISTORY

1841 (September 6) Sir R Peel, Prime Minister

- 1846 Repeal of Corn Laws
 (June) Sir R Peel resigns
 (July 6) Lord John Russell,
 Prime Minister
 1848 Chartist riots—Revolution
- 1852 Louis Napoleon, Emperor (February 27) Lord Derby, Prime Minister (December 28) Lord Aberdeen, Prime Minister

1854-5 Crimean War

in France

1855 (February 10) Lord Palmerston, Prime Minister1856 Treaty of Paris

INDIA

- 1841 Insurrection at Cabul and disastrous retreat of British troops
- 1842-4 Lord Ellenborough, Governor-General
- 1842 Pollock recaptures and evacuates Cabul
- 1843 Annexation of Sind (Battle of Mecaneo) —Capture of Gwalior
- 1844-8 Lord Hardinge, Governor-General
- 1845 Danish possessions bought
- 1845-6 Sikh Wai Battles of Mudki and Ferozeshah (1845)
- 1846 Battles of Aliwal and Sobraon
 —Treaty of Lahore
- 1848-56 Lord Dalhousie, Governor-General
- 1849 Satura annexed —Second Sikh War Battles of Chillianwallah and Goojerat —Punjabannexed
- 1850 Bombay Railway commenced
- 1852 Second Burmese War Pegu anneved
- 1853 Last Charter Act (16 & 17
 Vict c 95) passed, remodels
 constitution of Legislative
 Council
 - Jhansi, the Berars, and Nagpur annexed — Telegraphs commenced
- 1854 Bengal constituted a Lieutenant-Governorship

1856 Oudh annexed

- 1856-62 Lord Canning, Governor-General
- 1857-8 Indian Mutiny Outbreaks at Meerut and Delhi (June) Delhi taken (September) First relief of Lucknow by Havelock and Outram (September) Final relief of Lucknow by Sir Colin Campbell (November)

GENERAL HISTORY	India.
1858 (February 25). Lord Derby Prime Minister	1858 Government of India Act 1858 (21 & 22 Viot. c. 105) places British India under dure government of Crown.—Lore Canning, Viceroy (November 1) Queen s Amnesty Proclamation published in India.
1859 Italian War — Battles of Magenta and Solferino. (June 13) Lord Palmerston Prime Minister	1889 Punjab constituted a Lieu tenant-Governorship under Su John Lawrence Indian Code of Civil Procedure passed. 1880 Indian Civil Service Act 1861 (24 & 25 Viet c 54), Indian Councils Act 1861 (24 & 2 viet. c 67), and Indian High Courts Act 1861 (24 & 25 Viet. c 104), passed by Parlament—Code of Criminal Procedure passed in India. 1892-3 Lord Egin Vicercy
1885 (November 6). Lard Russell becomes Prime Minister on	1884-9 Lord Lawrence Viceroy 1884 Bhután Dwirt annexed, 1885 Indian Succession Act passed,
death of Lord Palmeraton. 1866 War between Prussia and Austria —Battle of Königgrätz or Sadowa.	1866 Famine in Oriesa
(July 6.) Lord Derby Prime Minister 1808 (February 27). B. Dieraell, Prime Minister Abyssinian expedition. (December 9.) W. E. Gladstone Prime Minister	1887 (Soptember). Straits Settle- ments separated from India 1868 Sher All Amir of Afghani tan
1869 (November). Suez Canal opened. 1870 Franco-German War — Revolution in France. 1871 King William of Prussia becomes German Emperor 1874 (February 21). B. Dasraell Frime Minister	1860 72. Lord Mayo, Vicerov 1889 Legislativ Department of Government of India estab- li hed. 1872. Indian Contract Act and Fridence tet pa sed 1872-0 Lord Northbrook Vice- roy 1870-00 Lord Lytton Vicerny 1870-09 Tamine in India.

GENERAL HISTORY

1877 Russo-Turkish War

1878 Treaties of San Stefano (March) and Berlin (July)

1880 (April 25) W E Gladstone, Prime Minister

1882 Indian troops used in the Egyptian War

1885 (June 24) Lord Salisbury, Prime Minister

1886 (February 6) W E Gladstone, Prime Minister

(August 3) Lord Salisbury
Prime Minister

1887 Jubilee of Queen Victoria's reign

1892 (August 18) W E Gladstone, Prime Minister

1894 (March 3) Lord Rosebery, Prime Minister

1895 (July 2) Lord Salisbury, Prime Minister

INDIA

1877 (January 1) Queen proclaimed Empress of India at Delhi

1878 Invasion of Afghanistan

1879 (July) Treaty of Gandamak (September) Cavagnarı killed at Cabul —English invade Afghanistan

1880-4 Lord Ripon, Viceroy

1880 (July) Abdurrahman recognized as Amir of Afghanistan —Battle of Maiwand General Roberts' march from Cabul to Kandahai

1884 Boundary Commission appointed to settle North-West frontier

1884-8 Lord Dufferin, Viceroy 1885 Third Burmese War

1886 (January 1) Upper Burma annexed

(November 21) Legislative Council established for North-Western Provinces

1888-93 Lord Lansdowne, Viceroy1889 Military expeditions sent against hill tribes

1890 Chin and Lushai expeditions
—Rising in Manipur

1891 Massacre in Manipur

1892 Constitution and procedure of Indian Legislative Councils altered by Indian Councils Act, 1892 (55 & 56 Vict c 14)

1893 Separate armies of Madras and Bombay abolished by Madras and Bombay Armies Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict c 62)

(June 26) Indian Mint closed

1894 (January 27) Lord Elgin, Viceroy

(December 27) Import duty imposed on cotton

1895 Chitral Expedition

1896 Appearance of plague in Bombay

GENERAL HISTORY	India.
1897 (June). Jubileo celebrations in England.	1896-7 Famme in India. 1897 (April 9). Legislative Council established for Punjab. Burms constituted a Lieutenant-Gover norship, with a Legislative Council. (June 12). Earthquake in Bengal. War on North-Western frontier. 1898. Appearance of plague at Calcutta and in Madras. Families.
1899 (October II). Boer War com menoed ended May 31 1902.	Commission. 1890 (January 6). Lord Curzon Viceroy 1899-1900 Recurrence of famine in Indus.
1901 (January 22). Death of Queen Vlotoria. (January 24.) Proclamation of King Edward VII.	1901 (October). Death of Amir Abdur Rahman of Afghanistan Punitive operations against Mah sud Waziris. (November) Constitution of the North-West frontler Province under a Chief Commissioner
1902 (August 9.) Coronation. (January 50.) Anglo-Japanese Treaty signed. (July) Mr Belfour Prime Minis- ter	1003 North-Western Provinces and Oudh renamed United Provinces of Agra and Oudh. 1003-3 Indian Police Commission. 1903 (January). Delhi Durbar (Outober) Incorporation of Berst with the Central Provinces. 1903-4. Bission to Tibes.
1904 (February 8). Russo-Japanese War commenced: ended Sep- tember 5 1905; Peace Treaty signed at Portsmouth, U.S.A. Anglo-French Agreement signed.	1904. Indian Universities Act 1904-5 Mission to Cabul.
1805 (August 12) Anglo-Japanese Treaty signed. (November) Sir Henry Camp- bell-Bannerman, Prime Miniz- ter	1905 (March). Constitution of Railway Board in India. (April 4.) Earthquake in Punjab. Reorganization of Military Department of the Government of India: creation of Army and Military Supply Departments. Ea tern Bengal and Assam constituted a separate administration under a Lieutenant-Governor with a Legi latire Council. (November 18.) Lord Minto, Viceroy

GOVERNORS-GLNERAL OF FOR I WILLIAM IN BENGAL¹

1774 Warren Hastings (Governor) of Bengal from 1772)

1785 Sir J Macpherson (temporary, Tebruary 1 1785 to September 12 1756)

1786 Lord Cornwallis

1793 Sir John Shore (Lord Teignmouth)

1798 Sir Mured Clarke (temporary, March 6 to May 18 1798)

1798 Earl of Mornington (Marquis Wellesley)

1805 Lord Cornwallis (took office July 30, died October 5)

8n George Barlow (tempo-1805 rary, October 13, 1805, to July 31, 1807)

1807 Lord Minto

Lord Moira (Marquis of 1813 Hastings)

John Adam (temporary, 1823 January 9 to August 1, 1823)

1823 Lord Imherst

1828 W B Bayley (temporary, March 13 to July 4, 1828)

1828 Lord William Bentinck

GOVERNORS-GENERAL OF INDIA

1834 Lord William Bentinck 1835 Sir Charles Metcalfe (temporary, March 20, 1835, to March 4 1836)

1836 Lord Auckland

1842 Lord Ellenborough

1844 Sir Henry (Lord) Hardinge

1848 Lord Dalhousic

1856 Lord Canning

Viceroys and Governors-General

(rrow Nov 1, 1858)

1858 Lord Canning (continued as I Viceroy)

1862 Lord Elgin

1864 Sir John (Lord) Lawrence

1869 Lord Mayo

1872 Lord Northbrook

1876 Lord Lytton

1880 Lord Ripon

1884 Lord Dufferin

1888 Lord Lansdownc

1894 Lord Elgin

1899 Lord Curzon

1905 Lord Minto

PRESIDENTS OF THE BOARD OF CONTROL

1784 Lord Sydney

1790 W W Grenville (afterwards Lord Grenville

Henry Dundas (afterwards Viscount Melville)

1801 Lord Lewisham (afterwards Dartmouth)

1802 Lord Castlereagh

1806 (February 12) Lord Minto

1806 (July 26) Thomas Grenville 1806 (October 1) George Trerney

Robert Dundas (afterwards 1807 Viscount Melville)

1809 (July) Lord Harrowby

1809 (November) Robert Dundas (afterwards Viscount Melville)

1812 Earl of Buckinghamshire

1816 George Canning

¹ For more minute particulars as to dates see the India List

1821. Charles Bathurst.
1822. Charles Watkins Williams-Wynn.

1828 (February). Robert Dundas (afterwards Viscount Melville). 1828 (Sept.). Lord Ellenborough. 1830 Charles Grant (afterwards

Lord Glenelg). 1834. Lord Ellenborough. 1835 Sir John Cam Hobbouse 1841 (Sept.) Lord Ellenborough. 1841 (October). Lord Fitsgerald. and Vecci.

1843 Lord Ripon.

1846 Sir John Cam Hobhouse.

1852 (February 6). For Maule (afterwards Lord Panmure and Earl of Dalhousie).

1652 (February 28). John Charles Herrica.

1852 (December 30). Sir Charles Wood (afterwards Viscount Hallfax).

1855 Robert Vernon Smith (after wards Lord Lyveden).

1658 (March 6). Lord Ellenborough 1658 (June) Lord Stanley (after wards Earl of Derby).

SECRETARIES OF STATE FOR INDIA.

1858 Lord Stanley (afterwards Earl of Derby).
1859 Sir Charles Wood (afterwards)

Viscount Halifax)
1866 (February). Lord de Grey and

Ripon (afterwards Marquis of Ripon). 1866 (July). Lord Cranborne

(866 (July). Lord Cranborne (afterwards Marquis of Salisbury).
1867 Sir Stafford Vorthcote

1867 Sir Stafford Northcote (afterwards Earl of Iddesleigh).

1868 Duke of Argyll. 1874 Lord Salisbury 1878 Gathorno Hardy (afterwards Earl of Crambrook).

1880 Lord Hartington (afterwards Duko of Devonshire).

1882. Lord Kimberley 1885. Lord Randolph Churchill.

1886. Lord Kandolph Churchill. 1886 (February). Lord Kimberley

1886 (August). Sir Richard Cross (afterwards Lord Cross).

1892. Lord Kimberley 1894. H. H. Fowler (afterwards Sir

H. Fowler) 1895 Lord George Hamilton

1895 Lord George Hamilton 1903 St. John Brodrick.

1903 St. John Brounest. 1905 John Morley

TABLE OF CASES

Advocate-General of Bengal ? Ranee Surnomoye Dossee, 251 Alter Caufman v Government of Bombay, 88

Ameer Khan, In the matter of, 54, 59, 207, 248, 262

Attorney-General for the Colony of Hong Kong v Kwok-a-Sing, 346 Audur Chundra Shaw, Re, 245

Bedreechund v Elphinstone, 172
Bell v Municipality of Madras, 177,
221
Bichitramund, Re, 265
Biadley v Arthur, 268, 270

Collector of Masuhpatam v Cavaly Vencata Narramapah, 160 Collector of Sea Customs v Panmar Chithambaram, 245 Collector of Thana v Bhaskar Mahadev Rheth, 220 Cook v Sprigg, 174

Damodhai Gordhan v Dooram Kanji (the Bhaunagar case), 39, 177, 207 Doss v The Secretary of State for India in Council, 171, 174

Dunn v The Queen, 158
— v McDonald, 1b

East India Company v Sandys, 25

— v Syed Ally, 172

Empress v Keshub Mahajun 265

— v S Moorga Chetty, 352, 386

— v Surmook Singh, 386

Forester and others v Secretary of State for India in Council, 173 Freeman v Fairlie, 251 Frith v Regina, 171

Ganpat Pataya v Collector of Canara, 175, 177
Gibson v East India Company, 169, 172

Grant v The Secretary of State for India in Council, 157, 174 Gregory v Vudakası Kanjanı, 386

Haris v Davies, 204
Hayes, Re, 386, 394
Hemchand Devchand v Azam
Sakarlal Chhotamlal, 177, 265,
396
Hill v Bigge, 175

30 7 .3

Indian Chief, The, 251, 355

Jagat Mohini Dasi i Dwaikanath
Beisakh, 250
Jehangir v Secretary of State for
India, 158, 171, 248

Kinlock v Secretary of State in Council, 170, 174

Lachmi Narayan i Raja Pratab Singh, 36, 145, 177, 207 Laconia, The 355, 371

Madhub Chunder Polanamah v Rajcoomar Doss, 251 Mandalay v The East India Company, 172

Marais, D F, Ex pte, 172

Mayor of Lyons v East India Company, 51, 251, 324

Muhammed Yusuf-Ud-Din v The Queen-Empress, 394

Musgrove v Chun Tecong Toy, 88, 204

Musgrove v Pulido, 175

Nabob of the Carnatic v East India Company, 172

Nga Hoong v Reg, 242

Nireaha Tamaki v Baker, 175 Nobin Chunder Bannerjee v Romesh

Chunder Ghose, 250

Nobin Chunder Dey v The Secretary of State for India, 171

Papayanni i The Russian Steam Navigation Company, 355

Peninsular and Oriental Company : Secretary of State for India in Council, 171

Powell v. Apollo Candle Company 204

Premshankar Rachunathii z. Government of Bombay 220.

Priolegu v. United States, 172.

Queen The, r Abdul Latib, 386 --- r Burah, 201 186.

- The Commissioners of the Treasury 175 Queen Empress, The, r Barton, 242,

- v Days Bhims, 386

--- r Edwards, ib

- r Ganpatras Ram Chandra ib - r Kirrel Singh, ib

- v. Mangal Takohand, ib

- v Natwurai, 1b.

Raja of Coorg v East India Com DANY 172, 173. Raja Salig Ram v Socretary of State for India in Council, 173 Raleigh r Goschen, 171

Ram Coomar Coondoo z. Chunder Canta Mookerjee, 251

Rance Sonet Kowar v Mirza Humut Bahadoor 160. Rao Balwant Singh v Rani Ki

shori, 239. Reiner v Marquis of Salisbury 171 R. n. Anderson, 145

- r Bernard, 351

-- r Carr 345

--- r Debruiel, 352

..... r Edmondstone 265

-- r Jameson, 342 344-

R. e Kastva Rama, 265

- F Keyn, 244. - r Lords Commissioners of the Treasury 1/1

- r Lukhya Govind, 386.

-- r Meares, 207 - r Pirtal, 386.

- n Reay 106, 223.

- s. Thomas, 170.

Salaman z. Secretary of State for India in Council, 175

Sarkies r Prosonno Mayi Dasi, 250. Secretary of State for India r Bon: bay Landing and Shipping Com-PARY 170, 177 241

- v Matthurabhai 177

Secretary of State in Council of India s. Kamachee Boye Sahaba. 172.

Shenton e Smith, 157 Shivabhajan v Scoretary of State

for India 160, 171 Siddha r Biligiri, 186.

Sirdar Bhagwan Single r Secretary of State for India in Council, 173. Sprigg e Siggen, 204.

Taluka of Kotda Sangani r The State of Gondal, 177 265 396.

Vom r Scoretary of State for India, 158.

Walker r Baird, 174, 262

Wallace Re 49-

West Rand Central Gold Mining Company Limited r The King I 7.1.

Willia r Gip₁≡, 159.

A DIGEST OF THE LAW RELATING TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

CHAPTER I

HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION

BRITISH authority in India may be traced, historically, to Twofold a twofold source It is derived partly from the British British Crown and Parliament, partly from the Great Mogul and authority in India other native rulers of India

In England, the powers and privileges granted by royal charter to the East India Company were confirmed, supplemented, regulated, and curtailed by successive Acts of Parliament, and were finally transferred to the Crown

In India, concessions granted by, or wrested from, native rulers gradually established the Company and the Crown as territorial sovereigns, in rivalry with other country powers, and finally left the British Crown exercising undivided sovereignty throughout British India, and paramount authority over the subordinate native States

It is with the development of this power in England that we are at present concerned The history of that development may be roughly divided into three periods

During the first, or trading, period, which begins with the Three charter of Elizabeth in 1600, the East India Company are history of They enjoy important mercantile privi- constitupumarily traders leges, and for the purposes of their trade hold sundry factories, developmostly on or near the coast, but they have not yet assumed the responsibilities of territorial sovereignty The cession of Burdwan, Midnapore, and Chittagong in 1760 makes them masters of a large tract of territory, but the first period may,

perhaps be most fitly terminated by the grapt of the ducari in 1765 when the Company become practically sovereigns of Bengal Behar and Orises

During the eccond period from 1765 to 1858 the Company are territorial severeigns sharing their sovereignty in dimin ishing proportions with the Crown and gradually lesing their mercantile privileges and functions. This period may with reference to its greater portion, be described as the period of double government using the phrase in the sense in which it was commonly applied to the system abolished by the Act of 1858. The first direct interference of Parliament with the government of India is in 1773, and the Board of Control is established in 1784.

The third and last period the period of government by the Crown begins with 1858 when, as an immediate consequence of the Mutiny of 1857 the remaining powers of the East India Company are transferred to the Crown

In each of these periods a few dates may be selected as convenient landmarks

Landmarks of first period.

The first period is the period of charters. The charter of 1600 was continued and supplemented by other charters of which the most important were James I's charter of 1609, Charles II's charter of 1661 James II's charter of 1686 and William III's charters of 1603 and 1608

The rivalry between the Old or London Company and the New or English Company was terminated by the fusion of the two Companies under Godolphin's Award of 1708

The wars with the French in Southern India between 1745 and 1761 and the battles of Plassey (1757) and Baxar (1764) in Northern India indicate the transition to the second period

Land marks of second period. The main stages of the second period are marked by Acts of Parliament occurring with one exception at regular in tervals of twenty years.

North's Regulating Act of 1773 (13 Geo III c 63) was followed by the Charter Acts of 1793 1813 1833, and 1853 The exceptional Act is Pitt's Act of 1784

The Regulating Act organized the government of the Bengal Presidency and established the Supreme Court at Calcutta

The Act of 1784 (24 Geo III, sess 2, c 25) established the Board of Control

The Charter Act of 1793 (33 Geo III, c 52) made no material change in the constitution of the Indian Government, but happened to be contemporaneous with the permanent settlement of Bengal

The Charter Act of 1813 (53 Geo III, c 155) threw open the trade to India, whilst reserving to the Company the monopoly of the China trade

The Charter Act of 1833 (3 & 4 Will IV, c 85) terminated altogether the trading functions of the Company

The Charter Act of 1853 (16 & 17 Vict c 95) took away from the Court of Directors the patronage of posts in their service, and threw open the covenanted civil service to general competition

The third period was ushered in by the Government of Land-India Act, 1858 (21 & 22 Vict c 106), which declared that marks of third India was to be governed by and in the name of Her Majesty period The change was announced in India by the Queen's Proclamation of November 1, 1858 The legislative councils and the high courts were established on their present basis by two Acts of 1861 (24 & 25 Vict cc 67, 104) Since that date Parliamentary legislation for India has been confined to matters The East India Company was not formally disof detail solved until 1874

The first charter of the East India Company was granted Charter of The circumstances in which the on December 31, 1600 giant of this charter arose have been well described by Sir A The customary trade routes from Europe to the East had been closed by the Turkish Sultan Another route had been opened by the discovery of the Cape of Good Hope Thus the trade with the East had been transferred from the cities and states on the Mediterranean to the states on the

1 British Dominion in India

Atlantic sea board Among these latter Portugal took the lead in developing the Indian trade and when Pope Alexander VI (Roderic Borgia) issued his Bull of May 1403 dividing the whole undiscovered non Christian world between Spain and Portugal it was to Portugal that he awarded India But since 1480 Portugal had been subject to the Spanish Holland was at war with Spain, and was endeavouring to wrest from her the monopoly of Eastern trade which had come to her as sovereign of Portugal During the closure years of the axteenth century associations of Dutch merchants had fitted out two great expeditions to Java by the Cape (1595-96 and 1598-99) and were shortly (1602) to be com bined into the powerful Dutch East India Company Protestant England was the political ally of Holland but her commercial rival and English merchants were not prepared to see the Indian trade pass wholly into her hands. It was in these circumstances that on September 24, 1599 the mer chants of London held a meeting at Founder s Hall under the Lord Mayor and resolved to form an association for the purpose of establishing direct trade with India But negotiations for peace were then in progress at Boulogne and Queen Flizabeth was unwilling to take a step which would give um brage to Spain. Hence she delayed for fifteen months to grant the charter for which the London merchants had pet: tioned. The charter incorporated George Larl of Cumber land and 215 knights aldermen and burgesses, by the name of the Governor and Company of Merchants of London trading with the East Indies The Company were to elect annually one governor and twenty four committees who were to have the direction of the Company s voyages the provision of shipping and merchandises the sale of merchandises returned and the managing of all other things belonging to the Company Thomas Smith Alderman of London, and Governor of the Levant Company was to be the first governor

The Company might for fifteen years freely traffic and use

the trade of merchandise by sea in and by such ways and passages already found out or which hereafter shall be found out and discovered — into and from the East Indies, in the countries and parts of Asia and Africa, and into and from all the islands, ports, havens, cities, creeks, towns, and places of Asia and Africa, and America, or any of them, beyond the Cape of Bona Esperanza to the Streights of Magellan'

During these fifteen years the Company might assemble themselves in any convenient place, 'within our dominions or elsewhere,' and there 'hold court' for the Company and the affans thereof, and, being so assembled, might 'make, ordam, and constitute such and so many reasonable laws, constitutions, orders, and ordinances, as to them or the greater part of them being then and there present, shall seem necessary and convenient for the good government of the same Company, and of all factors, masters, mariners, and other officers, employed or to be employed in any of their voyages, and for the better advancement and continuance of the said trade and They might also impose such pains, punishments, and penalties by imprisonment of body, or by fines and amerciaments, as might seem necessary or convenient for observation of these laws and ordinances But then laws and punishments were to be reasonable, and not contrary or repugnant to the laws, statutes, or customs of the English realm

The charter was to last for fifteen years, subject to a power of determination on two years' warning, if the trade did not appear to be profitable to the realm. If otherwise, it might be renewed for a further term of fifteen years

The Company's right of trading, during the term and within the limits of the charter, was to be exclusive, but they might grant licences to trade. Unauthorized traders were to be hable to forfeiture of their goods, ships, and tackle, and to 'imprisonment and such other punishment as to us, our heirs and successors, for so high a contempt, shall seem meet and convenient'

The Company might admit into their body all such appren tices of any member of the Company and all such servants or factors of the Company and all such other as to the majority present at a court might be thought fit If any member having promised to contribute towards an adventure of the Company failed to pay his contribution he might be removed disenfranchised and displaced.

Points of constituterest in Elizabeth Constitu tion of Company

The points of constitutional interest in the charter of tional in Elizabeth are the constitution of the Company its privileges charter of and its legislative powers

The twenty four committees to whom with the governor is entrusted the direction of the Company's business, are individuals not bodies and are the predecessors of the later directors Their assembly is in subsequent charters called the court of committees, as distinguished from the court general or general court which answers to the general meeting of modern companies

The most noticeable difference between the charter and modern instruments of association of a similar character is the absence of any reference to the capital of the Company and the corresponding qualification and voting powers of members. It appears from the charter that the adventurers had undertaken to contribute towards the first voyage certain sums of money which were set down and written in a book for that purpose and failure to pay their contributions to the treasurer within a specified date was to involve removal and disonfranchisement of the defaulters. But the charter does not specify the amount of the several contributions 1 and for all that appears to the contrary each adventurer was to be equally eligible to the office of committee and to have equal voting power in the general court. The explanation is that the Company belonged at the outset to the simpler and looser form of association to which the City Companies then belonged and still belong and which used to be known by the name of

¹ The total amount subscribed in September 1599 was £30,133, and there were 101 subscribers.

ibers of such a company 'regulated companies' The menulations and were entitled were subject to certain common regards of them traded on his to certain common privileges, but was no joint stock own separate capital, and there a Company were reserved trading privileges of the East Indiaty-one, and their apprento the members, their sons at twernormal mode of admission tices, factors, and servants. was through the avenue to full membership of the Companithere was power to admit of apprenticeship or service But then offering suitable con-'others,' doubtless on the terms of company tributions to the adventure of the ad had obtained valuable

When an association of this kiral tendency was to become concessions and privileges, its natural to shut its doors to outan extremely close corporation, and and the efforts of those siders except on prohibitory terms hus created were directed who suffered from the monopoly t Thus by a statute of 1497 towards reduction of these terms is trading with Flanders the powerful Merchant Adventure narks (£6 13, 4d) the fine had been required to reduce to 10 n By similar enactments payable on admission to their body, sia Company and Levant in the seventeenth century the Ru privileges of membership Company were compelled to grant of merely nominal value, on such easy terms as to render then what, according to Adam and thus to entitle the companies to h can be justly bestowed Smith, is the highest eulogium whitening merely useless on a regulated company, that of big specific as to the terms charter of Elizabeth contains nothing of the Company might be on which admission to the privileges not yet been ascertained obtained by an outsider It had luable to members of the how far those privileges would be vas Company, and oppressive to its livaly was the exclusive light Privileges

of trading between geographical lim hand and the Straits of the Cape of Good Hope on the one fterwards became widely Magellan on the other, and which any's charter famous as the limits of the Compa

The chief privilege of the Companits which were practically of Company

The only

restriction imposed on the right of trading within this vast and indefinite area was that the Company were not to under take or address any trade into any country port, island haven city creek towns or places being already in the law ful and actual possession of any such Christian Prince or State as at this present or at any time hereafter shall be in league or amity with us our heirs and successors and which doth not or will not accept of such trade Subject to this restriction the trade of the older continent was allotted to the adventurers with the same lavish grandeur as that with which the Pope had granted rights of sovereignty over the new continent and with which in our own day the continent of Africa has been parcelled out among rival chartered companies The limits of the English charter of 1600 were identical with the limits of the Datch charter of 1602, and the two charters may be regarded as the Protestant counterclaims to the monopoly claimed under Pope Alexander's Bull. During the first few years of their existence the two Companies carried on their undertakings in co-operation with each other but they soon began to quarrel and in 1611 we find the London merchants praying for protection against their Dutch Projects for amalgamation of the English and competitors Dutch Companies fell through, and during the greater part of the seventeenth century Holland was the most formidable rival and opponent of English trade in the East

By virtue of our Prerogative Royal, which we will not in that behalf have argued or brought in question, the Queen straitly charges and commands her subjects not to infringe the privileges granted by her to the Company upon pain of forfeitures and other penalties. Nearly a century was to clapse before the Parliament of 1693 formally declared the exercise of this unquestionable prerogative to be illegal as transcending the powers of the Crown. But neither at the beginning nor at the end of the seventeenth century was any doubt entertained as to the expediency as apart from the constitutionality of granting a trade monopoly of this descrip-

Such monopolies were in strict accordance with the ideas, and were justified by the circumstances, of the time

In the seventeenth century the conditions under which private trade is now carried on with the East did not exist Beyond certain narrow territorial limits international law did not run, diplomatic relations had no existence 1 those limits force alone ruled, and trade competition meant At the present day territories are annexed for the sake of developing and securing trade. The annexations of the sixteenth century were annexations, not of territory, but of trading grounds. The pressure was the same, the objects were the same, the methods were different. For the successful prosecution of Endern trade it was necessary to have an association powerful enough to negotiate with native princes, to enforce discipline among its agents and servants, and to drive off European rivals with the strong hand No Western State could afford to support more than one such association without dissipating its strength. The independent trader, or interloper, was, through his weakness, at the mercy of the foreigner, and, through his irresponsibility, a source of danger to his countrymen. It was because the trade monopoly of the East India Company had outlived the conditions out of which it goes that its extinction in the nineteenth century was greeted with general and just approval

The powers of making laws and ordinances granted by the Legislacharter of Elizabeth did not differ in their general provisions tive powers of from, and were evidently modelled on, the powers of making Company by-laws commonly exercised by ordinary municipal and commercial corporations No copies of any laws made under the early charters are known to exist. They would doubtless have consisted mainly of regulations for the guidance of the Company's factors and appientices Unless supplemented by judicial and punitive powers, the early legislative powers of

¹ The state of things in European waters was not much better description of piracy in the Mediterranean in the seventeenth century in Masson, Histoire du Commerce Français dans le Leiant, chap in

the Company could hardly have been made effectual for any further purpose But they are of historical interest as the germ out of which the Anglo-Indian codes were ultimately developed. In this connexion they may be usefully compared with the provisions which twenty-eight years after the charter of Elizabeth were granted to the founders of Massachusetts.

Resem blance to Massa chusetts Company

In 1628 Charles I granted a charter to the Governor and Company of the Massachusetts Bay in New England It created a form of government consisting of a governor deputy governor and eighteen assistants and directed them to hold four times a year a general meeting of the Company to be called the great and general Court in which general court the Governor or deputie Governor and such of the assistants and freemen of the Company as shall be present shall have full power and authority to choose other persons to be free of the Company and to elect and constitute such officers as they shall think fitte for managing the affairs of the said Governor and Company and to make Lawes and Ordinances for the Good and Welfare of the saide Company and for the Government and Ordering of the said Landes and Plantasion and the People inhabiting and to inhabit the same see as such Lawes and Ordinances be not contrary or repugnant to the Lawes and Statutes of this our realme of The charter of 1628 was replaced in 1691 by another charter which followed the same general lines but gave the government of the colony a less commercial and more political character The main provisions of the charter of 1601 were transferred bodily to the Massachusetts constitu tion of 1780 which is now in force and which as Mr Bryco remarks 1 profoundly influenced the convention that prepared the federal constitution of the United States in 1787

Thus from the same germs were developed the independent republic of the West and the dependent empire of the Last

¹ American Commonwealth pt. 2 chap. xxxvii. See also I vall, Red & D_cm alon f. India, p. 54.

The Massachusetts Company may be taken as the type of Other the bodies of adventurers who during the early part of the English seventeenth century were trading and settling in the newly comdiscovered continent of the West - It may be worth while to glance at the associations of English merchants, who, at the date of the foundation of the East India Company, were trading towards the East Of these the most important were the Russia or Muscovy Company and the Levant or Turkey Company 1

Company

The foundations of the Russia Company - were laid by the Russia discoveries of Richard Chancellor. In 1553-54 they were incorporated by charter of Philip and Mary under the name of 'the Merchants and Adventurers for the discovery of lands not before known or frequented by any English? They were to be governed by a court consisting of one governor (the first to be Sebastian Cabot) and twenty-eight of the most sad, discreet, and learned of the fellowships, of whom four were to be called consuls, and the others assistants. They were to have liberty to resort, not only to all parts of the dominions of 'our cousin and brother, Lord John Bazilowitz, Emperor of all Russia, but to all other parts not known to our subjects' And none but such as were free of or heensed by the Company were to frequent the parts aforesaid, under forfeiture of slips and merchandise—a comprehensive monopoly

In 1566 the adventurers were again incorporated, not by charter, but by Act of Parliament, under the name of 'the fellowship of English Merchants for discovery of new trade ',' with a monopoly of trade in Russia, and in the countries

A good account of the great trading companies is given by Bonnassieux, Les Grandes Compagnies de Commerce (Paris, 1892) See also Causton and Keene, The Early Chartered Companies (1896), the article on 'Colonies, Government of, by Companies' in the Dictionary of Political Economy, the article on 'Chartered Companies' in the Encyclopacdia of the Laws of England, and Egerton, Origin and Growth of English Colonies (1903)

² As to the Russia Company, see the Introduction to Early Voyages to Russia in the publications of the Hakluyt Society

This is said to have been the first English statute which established an exclusive mercantile corporation

of Armenia Media Hyrcania Persia and the Caspian Sea

In the seventeenth century they were compelled by the Czar of the time to share with the Dutch their trading privileges from the Russian Government and by an Act of 1698 which reduced their admission fine to £5¹ their doors were thrown open. After this they sank into insignificance.

A faint legal trace of their ancient privileges survives in the extra-territorial character belonging for marriage purposes to the churches and chapels formerly attached to their factories in Russia. Some years ago they existed perhaps they still exist as a during club.³

Levant Company The Levant Company ³ was founded by Queen Elizabeth for the purpose of developing the trade with Turkey under the concessions then recently granted by the Ottoman Porte Under arrangements made with various Christian powers and known as the Capitulations foreigners trading or residing in Turkey were withdrawn from Turkish jurisdiction for most civil and criminal purposes. The first of the Capitulations granted to England bears date in the year 1579, and the first charter of the Levant Company was granted two years afterwards in 1581. This charter was extended in 1593 renewed by James I, confirmed by Charles II and like the East India Company's charters recognized and modified by various Acts of Parliament.

The Levant Company attempted to open an overland trade to the East Indies, and sent merchants from Aleppo to Bag dad and thence down the Persian Gulf These merchants obtained articles at Lahore and Agra in Bengal and at Malacca, and on their return to England brought information of the profits to be acquired by a trade to the East Indies In 1593 the Lovant Company obtained a new charter empowering them to trade to India overland through the terri

^{10 &}amp; 11 Will III, c. G.

MacCulloch, Dictionary of Commerce 1871 edition,

As to the Levant Company and the Capitulations, see bel w p. 353-

tories of the Grand Signor—Under these circumstances it is not surprising to find members of the Levant Company taking an active part in the promotion of the East India Company—Indeed the latter Company was in a sense the outgrowth of the former—Alderman Thomas Smith, the first Governor of the East India Company, was at the same time Governor of the Levant Company, and the adventures of the two Companies were at the outset intimately connected with each other—At the end of the first volume of court minutes of the East India Company are copies of several letters sent to Constantinople by the Levant Company

Had history taken a different course, the Levant Company might have founded on the shores of the Mediterranean an empire built up of fragments of the dominions of the Ottoman Porte, as the East India Company founded on the shores of the Bay of Bengal an empire built up of fragments of the dominions of the Great Mogul But England was not a Mediterranean power, trade with the East had been deflected from the Mediterranean to the Atlantic, and the causes which had destroyed the Italian merchant states were fatal to the Levant Company As the East India Company grew, the Levant Company dwindled, and in 1825 it was formally dissolved

To return to the East India Company

During the first twelve years of its existence, the Company The traded on the principle of each subscriber contributing separate separately to the expense of each voyage, and reaping the whole profits of his subscription. The voyages during these years are therefore known in the annals of the Company as the 'separate voyages' But, after 1612, the subscribers threw their contributions into a 'joint stock,' and thus converted themselves from a regulated company into a joint-stock company, which however differed widely in its constitution from the joint-stock companies of the present day

In the meantime James I had in 1609 renewed the charter James I's of Elizabeth, and made it perpetual, subject to determination the charter of charter of 1609.

was united with the East India Company and the different stocks of the Company were united into a new joint stock, No copy of this charter is known to exist Perhaps it was considered empolitic after the Restoration to preserve any evidence of favours obtained from the Protector

The Com. pany after

During the period after the Restoration the fortunes of the Company are centred in the remarkable personality of storation. Sir Josiah Child and are depicted in the vivid pages of Macau lay He has described how Child converted the Company from a Whig to a Tory Association how he induced James II to become a subscriber to its capital, how his policy was temporarily baffled by the Revolution how vigorously he fought and how lavishly he bribed to counteract the growing influence of the rival English Company

> Marks of royal favour are conspicuous in the charters of the Restoration period

Charles

The charter granted by Charles II on April 3 1661 con harter of fetred new and important privileges on the Company Their constitution remained practically unaltered except that the joint-stock principle was recognized by giving each member one vote for every £500 subscribed by him to the Company s stock. But their powers were materially increased

They were given power and command over their fortresses and were authorized to appoint governors and other officers for their government. The governor and council of each factory were empowered to judge all persons belonging to the said Governor and Company or that shall be under them in all causes whether civil or criminal according to the laws of this kingdom and to execute judgement accordingly And the chief factor and council of any place for which there was no governor were empowered to send offenders for punish ment either to a place where there was a governor and council or to England

The Company were also empowered to send ships of war men, or ammunition for the security and defence of their factories and places of trade and to choose commanders

and officers over them and to give them power and authority, by commission under their common seal or otherwise, to continue or make peace or war with any people that are not Christians, in any places of their trade, as shall be for the most advantage and benefit of the said Governor and Company, and of their trade' They were further empowered to erect fortifications, and supply them with provisions and ammunition, duty free, 'as also to transport and carry over such number of men, being willing thereunto, as they shall think fit,' to govern them in a legal and reasonable manner, to punish them for misdemeanour, and to fine them for breach of orders. They might seize unlicensed persons and send them to England, punish persons in their employment for offences, and in case of their appealing against the sentence seize them and send them as prisoners to England, there to receive such condign punishment as the merits of the offenders' cause should require, and the laws of the nation should allow

With regard to the administration of justice, nothing Arrangeappears to have been done towards carrying into effect the ments for adminis-At tration of provisions of the charter of 1661 till the year 1678 Madras, which was at that time the chief of the Company's Madras settlements in India 1, two or more officers of the Company in sevenused before 1678 to sit as justices in the 'choultry' to dispose century of petty cases, but there was no machinery for dealing with serious crimes 2

In 1678 the agent and council at Madras resolved that, under the charter of 1661, they had power to judge all persons living under them in all cases, whether criminal or civil, according to the English laws, and to execute judgement accordingly, and it was determined that the governor and council should sit in the chapel in the fort on every Wednesday and Saturday to hear and judge all causes But this high

ILBERI

,

¹ The settlement of Madras or Fort St George had been erected into a Presidency in 1651

² See Wheeler, Madras in Olden Times

court was not to supersede the justices of the choultry who were still to hear and decide petry cases

Grant of Bombay to the Company

In the meantime the port and island of Bombay which had, in 1661° been ceded to the British Crown as a part of the dower of Catherine of Braganza, were by a charter of 1669 granted to the East India Company to be held of the Crown as of the Manor of Greenwich in free and common soconge for the annual rent of £10

And by the same charter the Company were authorized to take into their service such of the king's officers and soldiers as should then be on the island and should be willing to serve them. The officers and men who volunteered their services under this power became the cadets of the Company's list European Regiment or Bombay Fusiliers afterwards the roard Foot.

The Company were authorized through their court of committees to make laws orders ordinances and constitutions for the good government and otherwise of the nort and island and of the inhabitants thereof and by their governors and other officers to exercise judicial authority and have power and authority of government or command in the island and to repel any force which should attempt to inhabit its precincts without licence or to annoy the inhabitants Moreover the principal governor of the island was empowered to use and exercise all those powers and authorities in cases of rebellion mutiny or sedition of refusing to serve in wars flying to the enemy forsaking colours or ensigns, or other offences against law custom and discipline military in as large and ample manner to all intents and purposes whatsoever, as any captain general of our army by virtue of his office has used and accustomed and may or might lawfully do.

The transition of the Company from a trading association to a territorial sovereign invested with powers of civil and military government is very apparent in these provisions

Further attributes of sovereignty were soon afterwards conferred

By a charter of 1677 the Company were empowered to Charter coin money at Bombay to be called by the name of 'rupees, of 1677 granting pices and budprooks,' or such other names as the Company powers of might think fit. These coms were to be current in the East Indies, but not in England. A mint for the comage of pagodas had been established at Madras some years before

The commissioners sent from Surat 1 to take possession of Adminis-Bombay on behalf of the Company made a report in which justice at they requested that a judge-advocate might be appointed, Bombay in reven as the people were accustomed to enal law. Apparently, as teenth a temporary measure, two courts of judicature were formed, the inferior court consisting of a Company's civil other assisted by two native officers, and having limited jurisdiction, and the supreme court consisting of the deputy governor and council, whose decisions were to be final and without appeal, except in cases of the greatest necessity

By a charter of 1683, the Company were given full power Charter to declare and make peace and war with any of the heathen of 1683 giving nations being natives of the parts of Asia and America Power to mentioned in the charter, and to 'raise, aim, train, and forces and muster such military forces as to them shall seem requisite exercise martial and necessary, and to execute and use, within the said law, and establishplantations, forts, and places, the law called the martial law, ing Court of Adfort the defence of the said forts, places, and plantations mirally against any foreign invasion or domestic insurrection or rebellion' But this power was subject to a proviso reserving to the Crown 'the sovereign right, powers, and dominion over all the forts and places of habitation,' and 'power of making peace and war, when we shall be pleased to interpose our loyal authority thereon'

By the same charter the king established a court of judicature, to be held at such place or places as the Company might direct, and to consist of 'one person learned in the

¹ Bombay was then subordinate to Surat, where a factory had been established as early as 1612, and where there was a president with a council of eight members

civil law and two assistants to be appointed by the Company The court was to have power to hear and determine all cases of forfeiture of slips or goods trading contrary to the charter and also all mercantile and maritime cases concerning persons coming to or being in the places aforesaid, and all cases of trespasses injuries and wrongs done or committed upon the high seas or in any of the regions, territories countries or places aforesaid, concerning any persons reading being or coming within the limits of the Company's charter. These cases were to be adjudged and determined by the court according to the rules of equity and good conscience and according to the rules of equity and good conscience and according to the laws and outstoms of merchants, by such procedure as they might direct, and, subject to any such directions as the judges of the court should, in their best judgement and discretion, think meet and just

The only person learned in the civil law who was sent out to India in pursuance of the charter of 1683 was Dr John St John. By a commission from the king, supplemented by a commission from the Company he was appointed judge of the court at Surat. But he soon became involved in disputes with the governor Sir John Child who limited his jurisdiction to mantime cases, and appointed a separate judge for civil actions

At Madras the president of the council was appointed to supply the place of judge-advocate till one should arrive But this arrangement caused much dissatisfaction, and it was resolved that, instead of the president's accepting this appointment, the old court of judicature should be continued and that until the arrival of a judge-advocate causes should be heard under it as formerly in accordance with the charter of 1667.

Charter of

In 1686 James II granted the Company a charter by which he renewed and confirmed their former privileges, and authorized them to appoint admirals vice-admirals riar admirals captains and other sea officers in any of the

Company's ships within the limits of their charter, with power for their naval officers to raise naval forces, and toexercise and use 'within their ships on the other side of the Cape of Good Hope, in the time of open hostility with some other nation, the law called the law martial for defence of their ships against the enemy' By the same charter the Company were empowered to com in their forts any species of money usually coined by native princes, and it was declared that these coms were to be current within the bounds of the charter

The provisions of the charter of 1683 with respect to the Company's admiralty court were repeated with some modifications, and under these provisions Sii John Biggs, who had been recorder of Portsmouth, was appointed judge-advocate at Madras

Among the prelogatives of the Clown one of the most Establishimportant is the power of constituting municipal corporations munici-Therefore it was a signal mark of royal pality at by royal charter favour when James II, in 1687, delegated to the East India Company the power of establishing by charter a municipality The question whether this charter should be passed under the great seal or under the Company's seal was discussed at a cabinet council The latter course was eventually adopted at the instance of the governor and deputy governor of the Company, and the reasons urged for its adoption are curious and characteristic The governor expressed his opinion that no persons in India should be employed under immediate commission from His Majesty, 'because the wind of extraordinary honour in their heads would probably render them so haughty and overbearing that the Company would be forced to remove them' was evidently thinking of the recent differences between Sir John Child and Dr St John, and was alive to the dangers arising from an independent judiciary which in the next century were to bring about the conflicts between Warren Hastings and the Calcutta supreme court

1687

Charter of Accordingly the charter of 1687 which established a municipality and mayor's court at Madras proceeds from the Company and not from the Crown. It recites the approbation of the king declared in His Majesty's Cabinet Council 1 the eleventh day of this instant December and then goes on to constitute a municipality according to the approved English type The municipal corporation is to consist of a mayor twelve aldermen and sixty or more burgeeses The mayor and aldermen are to have power to levy taxes for the building of a convenient town house or guild hall of a public gaol and of a school house for the teaching of the Gentues or native children to speak read and write the English tongue and to understand arethmetick and merchants accompts, and for such further ornaments and edifices as shall be thought convenient for the honour interest, ornament security and defence of the corporation and of the inhabitants of Madras and for the payment of the salaries of the necessary municipal officers including a schoolmaster The mayor and aldermen are to be a court of record with power to try civil and criminal causes and the mayor and three of the aldermen are to be justices of the There is to be an appeal in civil and criminal cases from the mayor's court to our supreme court of judicature commonly called our court of admiralty There is to be a recorder who must be a discreet person skilful in the laws and constitutions of the place and who is to assist the mayor in trying judging and sentencing causes of any considerable value or intricacy And there is to be a town clerk and clerk of the peace an able and discreet person, who must always be an Englishman born, but well skilled in the language of East India and who is to be esteemed a notary public

Nor are the ornamental parts of municipal life forgotten For the greater solemnity and to attract respect and rever

¹ This formal recognition of the existence of a exhibet council is of constitutional interest. But of course the cabinet council of 1687 was a very different thing from the cabinet council of the present day

ence from the common people,' the mayor is to 'always have carried before him when he goes to the guild hall or other place of assembly, two silver maces gilt, not exceeding three feet and a half in length,' and the mayor and aldermen may 'always upon such solemn occasions wear scarlet serge gowns, all made after one form or fashion, such as shall be thought most convenient for that hot country' The burgesses are, on these occasions, to wear white 'pelong,' or other silk gowns Moreover, the mayor and aldermen are 'to have and for ever enjoy the honour and privilege of having jundelloes and kattysols 1 born over them when they walk or 11de abroad on these necessary occasions within the limits of the said corporation, and, when they go to the guild hall or upon any other solemn occasion, they may ride on horseback in the same order as is used by the Lord Mayor and aldermen of London, having their horses decently furnished with saddles, bridles, and other tummings after one form and manner as shall be devised and directed by our President and Council of Fort St George'

The charter of 1687 was the last of the Stuart charters Comaffecting the East India Company The constitutional pany's resolution history of the Company after the Revolution of 1688 may of 1689 be appropriately ushered in by a reference to the resolution which was passed by them in that year

'The increase of our revenue is the subject of our care as much as our trade, 'tis that must maintain our force when twenty accidents may interrupt our trade, 'tis that must make us a nation in India, without that we are but a great number of interlopers, united by His Majesty's royal charter, fit only to trade where nobody of power thinks it their interest to prevent us, and upon this account it is that the wise Dutch, in all their general advices that we have seen, write ten paragraphs concerning their government, their civil and military policy, warfare, and the increase of their revenue, for one paragraph they write concerning trade.'

¹ Umbrellas and parasols

This famous resolution, which was doubtless inspired, if not penned, by Sir Josiah Child, announces in unmistakable terms the determination of the Company to guard their commercial supremacy on the basis of their territorial sovereignty and foreshadows the annexations of the next century

Con troversies after Revolution of 1688.

The Revolution of 1688 dealt a severe blow to the policy of Sir Josiah Child and gave proportionate encouragement to his rivals. They organized themselves in an association which was popularly known as the New Company and commenced an active war against the Old Company both in the City and in Parliament. The contending parties presented petitions to the Parliament of 1691 and the House of Commons passed two resolutions, first that the trade of the East Indies was beneficial to the nation, and secondly that the trade with the East Indies would be best carried on by a joint-stock company possessed of extensive privileges The practical question, therefore was not whether the trade to the East Indies should be abolished or should be thrown open, but whether the monopoly of the trade should be left in the hands of Sir Josiah Child and his handful of supporters On this question the majority of the Commons wished to effect a compromise-to retain the Old Company but to remodel it and to incorporate it with the New Company Resolutions were accordingly carried for increasing the capital of the Old Company and for limiting the amount of the stock which might be held by a single proprietor A Bill hased on these resolutions was introduced and read a second time, but was dropped in consequence of the refusal of Child to accept the terms offered to him Thereupon the House of Commons requested the king to give the Old Company the three years warning in pursuance of which their privileges might be determined.

Two years of controversy followed The situation of the Old Company was critical By inadvertently omitting to pay a tax which had been recently imposed on joint-stock companies they had forfeited their charter and might at

any time find themselves deprived of their privileges without any notice at all. At length, by means of profuse bribes, Child obtained an order requiring the Attorney-General to draw up a charter regranting to the Old Company its former privileges, but only on the condition that the Company should submit to further regulations substantially in accordance with those sanctioned by the House of Commons in 1691. However, even these terms were considered insufficient by the opponents of the Company, who now raised the constitutional question whether the Crown could grant a monopoly of trade without the authority of Parliament. This question, having been argued before the Privy Council, was finally decided in favour of the Company, and an order was passed that the charter should be sealed

Accordingly the charter of October 7, 1693, confirms the Charters former charter of the Company, but is expressed to be 1e-of 1693 and 1694. vocable in the event of the Company failing to submit to such further regulations as might be imposed on them within These regulations were embodied in two supplemental charters dated November II, 1693, and September 28, 1694 By the first of these charters the capital of the Company was increased by the addition of £744,000 No person was to subscribe more than £10,000 Each subscriber was to have one vote for each £1,000 stock held by him, up to £10,000 but no more The governor and deputy governor were to be qualified by holding £4,000 stock, and each committee by holding £1,000 stock The dividends were to be made in money alone Books were to be kept for recording transfeis of stock, and were to be open to public inspection. The joint stock was to continue for twenty-one years and no longer

The charter of 1694 provided that the governor and deputy governor were not to continue in office for more than two

¹ The question had been previously raised in the great case of *The East India Company* v Sandys (1683-85), in which the Company brought an action against Mr Sandys for trading to the East Indies without a licence, and the Lord Chief Justice (Jeffreys) gave judgement for the plaintiffs. See the report in 10 State Trials, 371

years that eight new committees were to be chosen each year and that a general court must be called within eight days on request by six members holding £1 000 stock each The three charters were to be revocable after three years warning if not found profitable to the realm

By a charter of 1698 the provisions as to voting powers and qualification were modified. The qualification for a single vote was reduced to £500 and no single member could give more than five votes The qualification for being a committee was raised to £2 000

In the meantime however the validity of the monopoly

The effety of the and its results.

Restridge renewed by the charter of 1603 had been successfully assailed Immediately after obtaining a renewal of their charter the directors used their powers to effect the detention of a ship called the Redbridge, which was lying in the Thames and was believed to be bound for countries beyond the Cape of Good Hope The legality of the detention was questioned, and the matter was brought up in Parliament And on January 11 160] the House of Commons passed a resolution that all subjects of England have equal rights to trade to the East Indies unless prohibited by Act of Parliament

> It has ever since been held says Macaulay to be the sound doctrine that no power but that of the whole legisla ture can give to any person or to any society an exclusive privilege of trading to any part of the world It is true that the trade to the East Indies, though theoretically thrown open by this resolution, remained practically closed. The Company's agents in the East Indies were instructed to nav no regard to the resolutions of the House of Commons and to show no mercy to interlopers. But the constitutional point was finally settled The question whether the trading privileges of the East India Company should be continued was removed from the council chamber to Parliament and the period of control by Act of Parliament over the affairs of the Company began.

The first Act of Parliament for regulating the trade to

the East Indies was passed in 1698. The New Company Incorporation of had continued their attacks on the monopoly of the Old English Company, a monopoly which had now been declared illegal, Company and they found a powerful champion in Montagu, the Chancellor of the Exchequer The Old Company offered, in return for a monopoly secured by law, a loan of £700,000 to the But Montagu wanted more money than the Old Company could advance He also wanted to set up a new company constituted in accordance with the views of his adherents Unfortunately these adherents were divided in then views. Most of them were in favour of a joint-stock company But some preferred a regulated company after the model of the Levant Company The plan which Montagu ultimately devised was extremely intricate, but its general features cannot be more clearly described than in the language of Macaulay 'He wanted two millions to extricate the State from its financial embarrassments That sum he proposed to raise by a loan at 8 per cent The lenders might be either individuals or corporations, but they were all, individuals and corporations, to be united in a new corporation, which was to be called the General Society Every member of the General Society, whether individual or corporation, might trade separately with India to an extent not exceeding the amount which that member had advanced to the Govern-But all the members or any of them might, if they so thought fit, give up the privilege of trading separately, and unite themselves under a royal Charter for the purpose of trading in common Thus the General Society was, by its original constitution, a regulated company, but it was provided that either the whole Society or any part of it might become a joint-stock company'

This arrangement was embodied in an Act and two charters. The Act (9 & 10 Will III, c 44) authorized the Crown to borrow two millions on the security of taxes on salt, and stamped vellum, parchment, and paper, and to incorporate the subscribers to the loan by the cumbious name of the

General Society entitled to the advantages given by an Act of Parliament for advancing a sum not exceeding two millions for the service of the Crown of England The Act follows closely the lines of that by which, four years before Montagu had established the Bank of England in consideration of a loan of £1 200 000 In each case the loan bears interest at the rate of 8 per cent and is secured on the proceeds of a special tax or set of taxes In each case the subscribers to the loan are incorporated and obtain special privileges. The system was an advance on that under which bodies of mer chants had obtained their privileges by means of presents to the king or bribes to his ministers, and was destined to receive much development in the next generation. The plan of raising special loans on the security of special taxes has since been superseded by the National Debt and the Consolidated Fund. But the debt to the Bank of England still remains separate and retains some of the features originally imprinted on it by the legislation of Montagu

Of the charters granted under the Act of 1698 the first 1 incorporated the General Society as a regulated company whilst the second 2 incorporated most of the subscribers to the General Society as a joint-stock company under the name of The English Company trading to the East Indica. The constitution of the English Company was formed on the same general lines as that of the Old or London Company but the members of their governing body were called directors instead of commuttees.

The New Company were given the exclusive privilege of trading to the East Indies, subject to a reservation of the concurrent rights of the Old Company until September 20 1701. The New Company like the Old Company were authorized to make by laws and ordinances to appoint governors, with power to raise and train military forces, and to establish courts of judicature. They were also directed to maintain ministers of religion at their factories in India and

Charter of September 3, 1693

^{*} Charter of September 5 100%.

to take a chaplam in every ship of 500 tons. The ministers were to learn the Portuguese language and to 'apply themselves to learn the native language of the country where they shall reside, the better to enable them to instruct the Gentoos that shall be the servants or slaves of the same Company or of their agents, in the Protestant religion' Schoolmasters were also to be provided

It soon appeared that the Old Company had, to use a Union of modern phrase, 'captured' the New Company They had New Comsubscribed £315,000 towards the capital of two millions panies authorized by the Act of 1698 They had thus acquired a material interest in their rivals' concern, and, at the same time, they were in possession of the field. They had the capital and plant indispensable for the East India trade, and they retained concurrent privileges of trading. They soon showed their strength by obtaining a private Act of Parliament (II & 12 Will III, c. 4) which continued them as a trading corporation until repayment of the whole loan of two millions.

The situation was impossible, the privileges nominally obtained by the New Company were of no real value to them, and a coalition between the two Companies was the only practicable solution of the difficulties which had been created by the Act and charters of 1698

The coalition was effected in 1702, through the intervention of Lord Godolphin, and by means of an Indenture Tripartite to which Queen Anne and the two Companies were parties, and which embodied a scheme for equalizing the capital of the two Companies and for combining their stocks. The Old Company were to maintain their separate existence for seven years, but the trade of the two Companies was to be carried on jointly, in the name of the English Company, but for the common benefit of both, under the direction of twenty-four managers, twelve to be selected by each Company. At the end of the seven years the Old, Company were to surrender their charters. The New or English

Company were to continue their trade in accordance with the provisions of the charter of 1698 but were to change their name for that of The United Company of Merchants of England trading to the East Indies

A deed of the same date by which the dead stock of the two Companies was conveyed to trustees contains an interesting catalogue of their Indian possessions at that time

Difficulties arose in carrying out the arrangement of 1702 and it became necessary to apply for the assistance of Par hament which was given on the usual terms By an Act of 1707 1 the English Company were required to advance to the Crown a further loan of £1 200 000 without interest a transaction which was equivalent to reducing the rate of interest on the total loan of £3 200 000 from 8 to 5 per cent In consideration of this advance the exclusive privileges of the Company were continued to 1726 and Lord Godolphin . was empowered to settle the differences still remaining between the London Company and the English Company Lord Godolphine Award was given in 1708 and in 1709 Queen Anne accepted a surrender of the London Company's charters and thus terminated their separate existence. The original charter of the New or English Company thus came to be in point of law the root of all the powers and privileges of the United Company subject to the changes made by statute Henceforth down to 1833 (see 3 & 4 Will IV c 85 s 111) the Company bear their new name of The United Company of Merchants of England trading to the Last Indies

Period between 1708 and 1765

For constitutional purposes the half century which followed the union of the two Companies may be passed over very lightly

An Act of 1711 2 provided that the privileges of the United Company were not to be determined by the repayment of the loan of two millions

The exclusive privileges of the United Company were

extended for further terms by Acts of 1730 1 and 1744 2 Extension The price paid for the first extension was an advance to the pany's State of £200,000 without interest, and the reduction of charter the rate of interest on the previous loan from 5 per cent to 4 per cent By another Act of 1730 3 the security for the loan by the Company was transferred from the special taxes on which it had been previously charged to the 'aggregate fund,' the predecessor of the modern Consolidated Fund The piece of the second extension, which was to 1780, was a further loan of more than a million at 3 per cent Act of 1750 the interest on the previous loan of £3,200,000 was reduced, first to 31 per cent, and then to 3 per cent

Successive Acts were passed for increasing the stringency Provisions of the provisions against interlopers 5 and for penalizing any against interattempt to support the rival Ostend Company 6

lopers

In 1726 a charter was granted establishing or reconstituting

^{- 17} Geo II, c 17 1 3 Geo II, c 14 ,4 23 Geo II, c 22 3 Geo II, c 20

⁵ 1718, 5 Geo I, c 21, 1720, 7 Geo I, Stat 1, c 21, 1722, 9 Geo I, c 26, 1732, 5 Geo II, c 29 See the article on 'Interlopers' in the Dictionary of Political Economy For the career of a typical interloper see the account of Thomas Pitt, afterwards Governor of Madras, and grandfather of the elder William Pitt, given in vol. iii of Yule's edition of the Diary of William The relations between interlopers and the East India Company in the preceding century are well illustrated by Skinner's case, which arose on a petition presented to Charles II soon after the Restoration ing to the statement signed by the counsel of Skinner there was a general liberty of trade to the East Indies in 1657 (under the Protectorate), and he in that year sent a trading ship there, but the Company's agents at Bantam, under pretence of a debt due to the Company, seized his ship and goods, assaulted him in his warehouse at Jamba in the island of Sumatra, and dispossessed him of the warehouse and of a little island called Barella After various ineffectual attempts by the Crown to induce the Company to pay compensation, the case was, in 1665, referred by the king in council to the twelve judges, with the question whether Skinner could have full relief in any court of law The answer was that the king's ordinary courts of justice could give relief in respect of the wrong to person and goods, but not in respect of the house and island The House of Lords then resolved to relieve Skinner, but these proceedings gave rise to a serious conflict between the House of Lords and the House of Commons See Hargrave's Preface to Hale's Jurisdiction of the House of Lords, p cv

⁶ Charter granted by the Emperor Charles VI in 1722, but withdrawn m 1725

Judicial charters of 1726 and 1753.

municipalities at Madras Bombay and Calcutta and setting up or remodelling mayor's and other courts at each of these places. At each place the mayor and aldermen were to constitute s'mayor s court with civil jurisdiction subject to an appeal to 'the governor or president in council and a further appeal in more important cases to the king in council. The mayor's court now also gave probates and exercised testamentary jurisdiction. The governor or president and the five seniors of the council were to be justices of the peace and were to hold quarter sessions four times in the year with jurisdiction over all offences except high treason. At these same time the Company were authorized, as in provious charters, to appoint generals and other military officers with power to exercise martial law in time of war

The capture of Madras by the French in 1746 having destroyed the continuity of the municipal corporation at that place the charter of 1726 was surrendered and a fresh charter was granted in 1753

The charter of 1753 expressly excepted from the jurasdiction of the mayor's court all suits and actions between the Indian natives only and directed that these suits and actions should be determined among themselves unless both parties submitted them to the determination of the mayor's courts. But according to Mr Morley it does not appear that the native inhabitants of Bombay were ever actually exempted from the jurisdiction of the mayor's court or that any peculiar laws were administered to them in that court ¹

The charters of 1726 and 1753 have an important bearing on the question as to the precise date at which the English criminal law was introduced at the presidency towns. This question is discussed by Sir James Stephen with reference to the legality of Nuncomar's conviction for forgery. The point being whether the English statute of 1728 (2 Geo 11 o 25) was or was not in force in Calcutta at the time of Morkey * Dept.* Introduction p. clair.

Sn James Stephen inclines to the opinion Nuncomar's trial that English criminal law was originally introduced to some extent by the charter of 1661, but that the later charters of 1726, 1753, and 1774 must be regarded as acts of legislative authority whereby it was reintroduced on three successive occasions, as it stood at the three dates mentioned If so, the statute of 1728 would have been in force in Calcutta in 1770 when Nuncomar's offence was alleged to have been committed, and at the time of his trial in 1775 But high judicial authorities in India have maintained a different view According to their view British statute law was first given to Calcutta by the charter establishing the mayor's court in 1726, and British statutes passed after the date of that charter did not apply to India, unless expressly or by necessary implication extended to it 1 Since the passing of the Indian Penal Code the question has ceased to be of practical importance

In 1744 war broke out between England and France, and Mutiny in 1746 their hostilities extended to India These events Articles of led to the establishment of the Company's Indian Army War for Indian The first establishment of that army may, according to Sir Forces George Chesney², be considered to date from the year 1748, 'when a small body of sepoys was raised at Madras, after the example set by the French, for the defence of that settlement during the course of the war which had broken out, four years previously, between France and England At the same time a small European force was raised, formed of such sailois as could be spared from the ships on the coast, and of men smuggled on board the Company's vessels in England by the An officer, Major Lawrence, was appointed by a commission from the Company to command these forces in India ' During the Company's earliest wars its army consisted mainly, for fighting purposes, of Europeans

¹ Morley's Digest, Introduction, pp x1, xx111

ILBERT

² Indian Polity (3rd ed), ch xii, which contains an interesting sketch of the rise and development of the Indian Army The nucleus of a European force had been formed at Bombay in 1668, supra, p 18

It has been seen that by successive charters the Company had been authorized to raise troops and appoint officers But the more extensive scale on which the military operations of the Company were now conducted made necessary further legislation for the maintenance of military discipline An Act of 1754 1 laid down for the Indian forces of the Company provisions corresponding to those embodied in the annual English Mutiny sets It imposed penalties for mutiny desertion, and similar offences when committed by officers or soldiers in the Company's service The Court of Directors might in pursuance of an authority from the king empower their president end council and their commanders in chief to hold courts-martial for the trial and punishment of military offences. The king was also empowered to make articles of war for the better government of the Company's forces The same Act contained a provision repeated in subsequent Acts which made oppression and other offences committed by the Company's presidents or councils cognizable and numshable in England. The Act of 1754 was amended by another Act passed in 1760 2

Charters of 1747 and 1758 as to booty and ression of territory

The warlike operations which were carried on by the East
India Company in Bengal at the beginning of the second
defined for the eighteenth century and which culminated in
Clives victory at Plassey led to the grant of two further
charters to the Company

A charter of 1757 recited that the Nabob of Bengal had taken from the Company without just or lawful pretence and contrary to good faith and amity the town and settlement of Calcutta and goods and valuable commodities belonging to the Company and to many persons trading or resulting within the limits of the settlement and that the officers and agents of the Company at Fort St George had concerted a plan of operations with Vice-Admiral Watson and others the commanders of our fleet employed in those parts for regaining the town and settlement and the goods and com

modities, and obtaining adequate satisfaction for their losses, and that it had been agreed between the officers of the Company, on the one part, and the vice-admiral and commanders of the fleet, on the other part, assembled in a council of war, that one moiety of all plunder and booty 'which shall be taken from the Moors' should be set apart for the use of the captors, and that the other moiety should be deposited till the pleasure of the Crown should be known. The charter went on to grant this reserved moiety to the Company, except any part thereof which might have been taken from any of the king's subjects. Any part so taken was to be returned to the owners on payment of salvage

A charter of 1758, after reciting that powers of making peace and war and maintaining military forces had been granted to the Company by previous charters, and that many troubles had of late years arisen in the East Indies, and the Company had been obliged at very great expense to carry out a war in those parts against the French and likewise against the Nabob of Bengal and other princes or Governments in India, and that some of their possessions had been taken from them and since retaken, and forces had been maintained, laised, and paid by the Company in conjunction with some of the royal ships of war and forces, and that other territories or districts, goods, merchandises, and effects had been acquired and taken from some of the princes or Governments in India at variance with the Company by the ships and forces of the Company alone, went on to grant to the Company all such booty or plunder, ships, vessels, goods, merchandises, treasure, and other things as had since the charter of 1757 been taken or seized, or should thereafter be taken, from any of the enemies of the Company or any of the king's enemies in the East Indies by any ships or forces of the Company employed by them or on their behalf within their limits of trade But this was only to apply to booty taken during hostilities begun and carried on in order to right and recompense the Company upon the goods, estate, or people of those parts from whom they should

sustam or have just and well grounded cause to fear any injury loss or damage or upon any people who should interrupt wrong or injure them in their trade within the limits of the charters or should in a hostile manner invade or attempt to weaken or destroy the settlements of the Com pany or to injure the king's subjects or others trading or residing within the Company's settlements or in any manner under the king s protection within the limits of the Company The booty must also have been taken in wars or hostilities or expeditions begun, carried on and completed by the forces raised and paid by the Company alone or by the ships om ployed at their sole expense. And there was a saving for the royal prerogative to distribute the booty in such manner as the Crown should think fit in all cases where any of the king a forces should be appointed and commanded to act in conjunction with the ships or forces of the Company There was also an exception for goods taken from the king s subjects, which were to be restored on payment of reasonable salvage These provisions though they gave rise to difficult questions at various subsequent times have now become obsolete But the charter contained a further power which is still of practical importance It expressly granted to the Company power by any treaty of peace made between the Company or any of their officers servants or agents and any of the Indian princes or Governments to cede restore or dispose of any fortresses districts or territories acquired by conquest from any of the Indian princes or Governments during the late troubles between the Company and the Nabob of Bengal or which should be acquired by conquest in time coming subject to a proviso that the Company should not have power to cede, restore or dispose of any territory acquired from the subjects of any European power without the special licence and approbation of the Crown This power has been relied on as the foundation or one of the foundations of the power of the Government of India to cede territory

Locker Varayan v Raje Pretal Singh, L. L. R. 2 All. 1

The year 1765 marks a turning-point in Anglo-Indian The Comhistory, and may be treated as commencing the period of pany as territorial sovereignty by the East India Company The sovesuccesses of Chve and Lawrence in the struggle between the English and French and their respective allies had extinguished French influence in the south of India The victories of Plassey¹ and Baxai¹, made the Company masters of the north-eastern provinces of the peninsula. In 1760 Clive neturned from Bengal to England In 1765, after five years of confusion, he went back to Calcutta as Governor and Commander-in-Chief of Bengal, aimed with extraordinary powers His administration of eighteen months was one of the most memorable in Indian history The beginning of our Indian rule dates from the second governorship of Clive, as our military supremacy had dated from his victory at Plassey Clive's main object was to obtain the substance, though not the name, of territorial power, under the fiction of a grant from the Mogul Emperor

This object was obtained by the grant from Shah Alam of Grant of the Diwani or fiscal administration of Bengal, Behar, and the Diwani Oussa.²

The criminal jurisdiction in the provinces was still left with the puppet Nawab, who was maintained at Moorshedabad, whilst the Company were to receive the revenues and to maintain the army—But the actual collection of the revenues still remained until 1772 in the hands of native officials

Thus a system of dual government was established, under which the Company, whilst assuming complete control over the revenues of the country, and full power of maintaining or disbanding its military forces, left in other hands the responsibility for maintaining law and order through the agency of courts of law

The great events of 1765 produced immediate results in

¹ Plassey (Clive), June 23, 1757, Baxar (Munro), October 23, 1764

² The grant is dated August 17, 1765, The 'Orissa' of the grant corresponds to what is now the district of Midnapur, and is not to be confused with the modern Orissa, which was not acquired until 1803

England The eyes of the proprietors of the Company were dazzled by golden visions. On the dispatch bearing the grant of the Diwani being read to the Court of Proprietors they began to clamour for an increase of dividend and in spite of the Company's debts and the opposition of the directors they insisted on raising the dividend in 1766 from 6 to 10 per cent. and in 1767 to 12½ per cent.

At the same time the public mind was startled by the enormous fortunes which Nabobs were bringing home and the public conscience was disturbed by rumours of the unscrupilous modes in which these fortunes had been sunseed Constitutional questions were also raised as to the right of a trading company to acquire on its own account powers of territorial sovereignty. The intervention of Parliament was imperatively demanded.

Legisla tion of 1767

On November 25 1766 the House of Commons resolved to appoint a committee of the whole house to inquire into the state and condition of the East India Company and the proceedings of this committee led to the passage in 1767 of five Acts with reference to Indian affairs The first disqualified a member of any company for voting at a general court unless he had held his qualification for six months and prohibited the making of dividends except at a half yearly or quarterly court 2 Although applying in terms to all companies the Act was immediately directed at the East India Company and its object was to check the trafficking in votes and other scandals which had recently disgraced their proceedings The second Act sprohibited the East India Comment from making any dividend except in pursuance of a resolution passed at a general court after due notice and directly over ruled the recent resolution of the Company by forbidding them to declare any dividend in excess of 10 per cent per annum until the next session of Parliament The third and fourth Acts 4 embodied the terms of a bargain to which the Company

I for the arguments on this question, see Lecky ch. xil.

⁷ Geo. III, c. 48. 7 Geo. III c 49. 7 Geo. III, cc. 56, 57

had been compelled to consent. The Company were required to pay into the Exchequer an annual sum of £400,000 for two years from February I, 1767, and in consideration of this payment were allowed to retain their territorial acquisitions and revenues for the same period. At the same time certain duties on tea were reduced on an undertaking by the Company to indemnify the Exchequer against any loss arising from the reduction. Thus the State claimed its share of the Indian spoil, and asserted its rights to control the sovereignty of Indian territories.

In 1768 the restraint on the dividend was continued for another year 2, and in 1769 a new agreement was made by Parliament with the East India Company for five years, during which time the Company were guaranteed the territorial revenues, but were bound to pay an annuity of £400,000, and to export a specified quantity of British goods. They were at liberty to increase their dividends during that time to 12½ per cent provided the increase did not exceed I per cent. If, however, the dividend should fall below IO per cent the sum to be paid to the Government was to be proportionately reduced. If the finances of the Company enabled them to pay off some specified debts, they were to lend some money to the public at 2 per cent.

These arrangements were obviously based on the assumption that the Company were making enormous profits, out of which they could afford to pay, not only liberal dividends to their proprietors, but a heavy tribute to the State. The assumption was entirely false. Whilst the servants of the Company were amassing colossal fortunes, the Company itself was advancing by rapid strides to bankruptcy. 'Its debts were already estimated at more than six millions sterling. It supported an army of about 30,000 men. It paid about

¹ This was apparently the first direct recognition by Parliament of the territorial acquisitions of the Company See Damodhar Gordhan v Deoram Kanji (the Bhaunagar case), L R I App Cas 332, 342

² 8 Geo III, c I ³ 9 Geo III, c 24

one million sterling a year in the form of tributes pensions, and compensations to the emperor the Nabob of Bengal and other great native personages. Its incessant wars though they had hitherto been always successful, were always expensive and a large portion of the wealth which should have passed into the general exchequer was still diverted to the private accounts of its servants 1 Two great calamities hastened the crisis In the south of India, Hyder Ali harried the Carnatic, defeated the English forces and dictated peace on his own terms in 1760. In the north the great famine of 1770 swept away more than a third of the inhabitants of Bengal.

Pecuniary embar in 1772.

Yet the directors went on declaring dividends at the rates empar resements of 12 and 12 per cent At last the creah came In the spring session of 1772 the Company had endeavoured to initiate legislation for the regulation of their affairs. But their Bill was thrown out on the second reading and in its place a select committee of inquiry was appointed by the House of Commons. In June 1772 Parliament was prorogued, and in July the directors were obliged to confess that the sum required for the necessary payments of the next three months was deficient to the extent of £1 203 000 In August the chairman and deputy chairman waited on Lord North to inform him that nothing short of a loan of a million from the public could save the Company from ruln.

> In November 1772 Parliament met again, and its first step was to appoint a new committee with instructions to hold a secret inquiry into the Company's affairs mittee presented its first report with unexpected rapidity and on its recommendation Parliament in December 177passed an Act prohibiting the directors from sending out to India a commission of supervision on the ground that the Company would be unable to bear the expense 2

> In 1773 the Company came to Parliament for pecuniary assistance, and Lord North's Government took advantage 13 Gea. III, c 9. 1 Lecky iv 273.

Legisla tion of 1773

of the situation to introduce extensive alterations into the system of governing the Company's Indian possessions 1.

In spite of vehement opposition, two Acts were passed through Parliament by enormous majorities. By one of these Acts 2 the ministers met the financial embarrassments of the Company by a loan of £1,400,000 at 4 per cent, and agreed to forgo the Company's debt of £400,000 till this loan had been discharged The Company were restricted from declaring any dividend above 6 per cent till the new loan had been discharged, and above 7 per cent until the bond debt was reduced to £1,500,000 They were obliged to submit their accounts every half-year to the Treasury, they were restricted from accepting bills drawn by their servants in India for above £300,000 a year, and they were required to export to the British settlements within their limits British goods of a specified value

The other Act was that commonly known as the Regu-The Regu To understand, the object and effect of its of 1773 lating Act 3 provisions brief reference must be made to the constitution of the Company at the time when it was passed

At home the Company were still governed in accordance with the charter of 1698, subject to a few modifications of detail made by the legislation of 1767 There was a Court of Directors and a General Court of Proprietors Every holder

¹ The history of the East India Company tends to show that whenever a chartered company undertakes territorial sovereignty on an extensive scale the Government is soon compelled to accept financial responsibility for its proceedings, and to exercise direct control over its actions career of the East India Company as a territorial power may be treated as having begun in 1765, when it acquired the financial administration of the provinces of Bengal, Behar, and Orissa Within seven years it was applying to Parliament for financial assistance In 1773 its Indian operations were placed directly under the control of a governor-general appointed by the Crown, and in 1784 the Court of Directors in England were made directly subordinate to the Board of Control, that is, to a minister of the Crown.

² 13 Geo III, c 64.

^{3 13} Geo III, c 63 This Act is described in its 'short title' as an Act of 1772 because Acts then dated from the beginning of the session in which they were passed

of £500 stock had a vote in the Court of Proprietors, but the possession of £2 000 stock was the qualification for a director The directors were twenty four in number and the whole of them were re-elected every year

In India each of the three presidencies was under a president or governor and council appointed by commission of the Company and consisting of its superior servants. The numbers of the council varied 1 and some of its members were often absent from the presidency town being chiefs of subordinate factories in the interior of the country. All power was lodged in the president and council jointly and nothing could be transacted except by a majority of votes. So unworkable had the council become as an instrument of government that in Bengal Clive had been compelled to delegate its functions to a select committee.

The presidencies were independent of each other. The Government of each was absolute within its own limits, and responsible only to the Company in England.

The civil and military servants of the Company were classified beginning from the lowest rank as writers factors senior factors, and merchants. Promotion was usually by seniority. Their salaries were extremely small? but they made enormous profits by trading on their own account and by money drawn from extortions and bribes. The solect committee of 1773 published an account of such sums as had been proved and acknowledged to have been distributed by the princes and other natives of Bengal from the verification of the grant made to Clive after the battle of Plassey calculated during his second governorship made great efforts to mit down the abuses of private trade bribery and extortion.

They were usually from twelve to slateen.

In the early part of the eighteenth century a writer after five years residence in India, received £10 a year and the aslaries of the higher rank were on the same scale. Thus a member of council had £30 a year. When Thomas 21st was appointed Governor of Madras in 1693 he received £300 a year for salary and allowances, and £100 for outfit.

and endeavoured to provide more legitimate remunerations for the higher classes of the Company's civil and military servants by assigning to them specific shares in the profits derived from the salt monopoly. According to his estimates the profits from this source of a commissioner or colonel would be at least £7,000 a year, those of a factor or major, £2,000 1

At the presidency towns, civil justice was administered in the mayor's courts and courts of request, criminal justice by the justices in petty and quarter sessions. In 1772 Warren Hastings became Governor of Bengal, and took steps for organizing the administration of justice in the interior of that province In the previous year the Court of Directors had resolved to assert in a more active form the powers given them by the grant of the Diwani in 1765, and in a letter of instructions to the president and council at Fort William had announced their resolution to 'stand forth as diwan,' and by the agency of the Company's servants to take upon themselves the entire care and management of the revenues 2 In pursuance of these instructions the Court of Directors appointed a committee, consisting of the Governoi of Bengal and four members of council, and these diew up a report, comprising a plan for the more effective collection of the revenue and the administration of justice. This plan was adopted by the Government on August 21, 1772, and many of its rules were long preserved in the Bengal Code of Regulations 3

In pursuance of this plan, a board of revenue was created, consisting of the president and members of the council, and the treasury was removed from Moorshedabad to Calcutta The supervisors of revenue became collectors, and with them

¹ See Lecky, 1v 266, 270
² Letter of August 28, 1771

The office of 'diwan' implied, not merely the collection of the revenue, but the administration of civil justice. The 'nizamut' comprised the right of arming and commanding the troops, and the management of the whole of the police of the country, as well as the administration of criminal justice. Morley, Digest, p. xxxi. See a fuller account of Warren Hastings' Plan, ibid p. xxxiv.

were associated native officers styled diwans. Courts were cetablished in each collectorship one styled the Diwani a civil court and the other the Faujdan, a criminal court Over the former the collector presided in his quality of king s diwan In the criminal court the kan and mufti of the district sat to expound the Mahomedan law Superior courts were established at the chief seat of government called the Sadr Diwani Adalat and the Sadr Nizamat Adalat These courts theoretically derived their jurisdiction and authority not from the British Crown but from the native Government in whose name the Company acted as administrators of revenue They were Company's courts, not king s courte

Provisions

By the Regulating Act of 1773 the qualification to vote of Regu-lating Act. in the Court of Proprietors was raised from £500 to £1 000 and restricted to those who had held their stock for twelve months. The directors instead of being annually elected were to ait for four years a quarter of the number being annually renewed.

> For the government of the Presidency of Fort William in Bengal a governor general and four counsellors were appointed, and the Act declared that the whole evil and military government of this presidency and also the ordinary manage ment and government of all the territorial acquisitions and revenues in the Lingdoms of Bengal Behar and Orissa should during such time as the territorial acquisitions and revenues remained in the possession of the Company be vested in the governor general and council of the Presidency of Fort William in like manner as they were or at any time theretofore might have been excreised by the president and council or select committee in the said kingdoms. The avoidance of any attempt to define otherwise than by refer ence to existing facts the nature or extent of the authority claimed or exercised by the Crown over the Company in the new territorial acquisitions is very noticeable and is characteristic of English legislation.

The first governor-general and counsellors were named in They were to hold office for five years 1, and were not to be removable in the meantime, except by the king on the representation of the Court of Directors A casual vacancy in the office of governor-general during these five years was to be supplied by the senior member of council A casual vacancy in the office of member of council was during the same time to be filled by the Court of Directors with the consent of the Crown At the end of the five years the patronage was to be vested in the Company The governorgeneral and council were to be bound by the votes of a majority of those present at their meetings, and in the case of an equal division the governor-general was to have a casting vote

Warren Hastings, who had been appointed Governor of Bengal in 1772, was to be the first governor-general. The first members of his council were to be General Clavering, Colonel Monson, Mr Barwell, and Mr Francis

The supremacy of the Bengal Piesidency over the other presidencies was definitely declared. The governor-general and council were to have power of superintending and controlling the government and management of the presidencies of Madras, Bombay, and Bencoolen 2, so far and in so much as that it should not be lawful for any Government of the minor presidencies to make any orders for commencing hostilities, or declaring or making war, against any Indian princes or powers, or for negotiating or concluding any treaty with any such prince or power without the previous consent

¹ It has been suggested that this enactment is the origin of the custom under which the tenure of the more important offices in India, such as those of governor-general, governor, lieutenant-governor, and member of council, is now limited to five years The limitation is not imposed by statute or by the instrument of appointment

² Bencoolen, otherwise Fort Marlborough, is in Sumatra It was founded by the English in 1686, and was given to the Dutch by the London Treaty, March 11, 1824, in exchange for establishments on the continent of India and for the town and fort of Malacca and its dependencies, which were handed over to the East India Company by 5 Geo IV, c 108

of the governor general and council except in such cases of imminent necessity as would render it dangerous to postpone such hostilities or treaties until the arrival of their orders and except also in cases where special orders had been received from the Company. A president and a council offending against these provisions might be suspended by order of the governor-general and council. The governors of the minor presidencies were to obey the order of the governor general and constantly and dutifully to transmit to them advice and intelligence of all transactions and matters relating to the government revenues or interest of the Company

Provisions followed for regulating the relations of the governor general and his council to the Court of Directors, and of the directors to the Crown. The governor general and council were to obey the orders of the Court of Directors and keep them constantly informed of all matters relating to the interest of the Company. The directors were within fourteen days after receiving letters or advices from the governor general and council to transmit to the Treasury copies of all parts relating to the management of the Company's revenue and to transmit to a sceretary of state copies of all parts relating to the oviil or military affairs and government of the Company.

Important changes were made in the arrangements for the administration of justice in Bengal. The Crown was empowered to establish by charter a supreme court of judica ture at Fort William consisting of a chief justice and three other judges who were to be barristers of five years standing and were to be appointed by the Crown. The supreme court was empowered to exercise civil criminal admiralty and eccle startical jurisdiction and to appoint such clerks and other ministerial officers with such reasonable salaries as should be approved by the governor-general and council and to

This gras the first assertion of Parliamentary control over the treaty relations of the Company

establish such rules of procedure and do such other things as might be found necessary for the administration of justice and the execution of the powers given by the charter court was declared to be at all times a court of record and a court of over and terminer and jail delivery in and for the town of Calcutta and factory of Fort William and the factories subordinate thereto Its jurisdiction was declared to extend to all British subjects who should reside in the kingdoms or provinces of Bengal, Behai, and Orissa, or any of them, under the protection of the United Company And it was to have 'full power and authority to hear and determine all complaints against any of His Majesty's subjects for crimes, misdemeanours, or oppressions, and also to entertain, hear, and determine any suits or actions whatsoever against any of His Majesty's subjects in Bengal, Behar, and Orissa, and any suit, action, or complaint against any person employed by or in the service of the Company or of any of His Majesty's subjects'

But on this jurisdiction two important limitations were imposed

First, the court was not to be competent to hear or determine any indictment or information against the governor-general or any of his council for any offence, not being treason or felony ¹, alleged to have been committed in Bengal, Behar, or Orissa. And the governor-general and members of his council were not to be hable to be arrested or imprisoned in any action, suit, or proceeding in the supreme court ²

Then, with respect to proceedings in which natives of the country were concerned, it was provided that the court should hear and determine 'any suits or actions whatsoever of any of His Majesty's subjects against any inhabitant of India residing in any of the said kingdoms or provinces of Bengal, Behar, or Orissa,' on any contract in writing where

¹ Could it then try the governor-general for treason or felony?

² The saving appears to be limited to civil proceedings—It would exempt against arrest on mesne process

the cause of action exceeded 500 rupees and where the said inhabitant had agreed in the contract that in case of dispute the matter should be heard and determined in the supreme court. Such courts or actions might be brought in the first instance before the supreme court or by appeal from any of the courts established in the provinces.

This authority though conferred in positive not negative terms appears to exclude by implication civil jurisdiction in suits by British subjects against inhabitants of the country except by consent of the defendant and is silent as to jurisdiction in civil suits by inhabitants against British subjects, or against other inhabitants.

An appeal against the supreme court was to lie to the king in council, subject to conditions to be fixed by the charter

All offences of which the supreme court had cognizance were to be tried by a jury of British subjects resident in Calcutta.

The governor general and council and the chief justice and other judges of the supremb court were to act as justices of the peace and for that purpose to hold quarter sessions

Liberal salaries were provided out of the Company's revenues for the governor general and his council and the judges of the supreme court. The governor general was thave annually £25,000 each member of his council £10,000 the chief justice £8,000 and each puisne judge £6,000.

The governor general and council were to have powers to make and issue such rules ordinances and regulations for the good order and civil government of the Company's settlement at Fort William and the subordinate factories and places, as should be deemed just and reasonable and should not be repugnant to the laws of the realm and to set impose, inflict, and levy reasonable fines and forfeitures for their breach.

But these rules and regulations were not to be valid until duly registered and published in the supreme court with the assent and approbation of the court and they might in effect be set aside by the king in council. A copy of them was to be kept-affixed conspicuously in the India House, and copies were also to be sent to a secretary of state.

The remaining provisions of the Act were aimed at the most flagrant of the abuses to which public attention had been recently directed. The governor-general and members of his council, and the chief justice and judges of the supreme court, were prohibited from receiving presents or being concerned in any transactions by way of traffic except the trade and commerce of the Company

No person holding or exercising any civil or military office under the Crown or the Company in the East Indies was to receive directly or indirectly any present or reward from any of the Indian princes or powers, or their ministers or agents, or any of the nations of Asia. Any offender against this provision was to forfeit double the amount received, and might be removed to England. There was an exception for the professional remuneration of counsellors at law physicians, surgeons, and chaplains

No collector supervisor, or any other of His Majesty's subjects employed or concerned in the collection of revenues or administration of justice in the provinces of Bengal Behar, and Orissa was, directly or indirectly, to be concerned in the buying or selling of goods by way of trade, or to intermeddle with or be concerned in the inland trade in salt, betelnut, tobacco or rice, except on the Company's account subject of His Majesty in the East Indies was to lend money at a higher rate of interest than 12 per cent per annum Servants of the Company prosecuted for breach of public trust, or for embezzlement of public money or stores, or for defiauding the Company, might, on conviction before the supreme court at Calcutta or any other court of judicature in India, be fined and imprisoned, and sent to England a servant of the Company was dismissed for misbehaviour, he was not to be restored without the assent of three-fourths both of the directors and of the proprietors.

If any governor general governor member of council judge of the supreme court, or any other person for the time being employed in the service of the Company committed any offence against the Act, or was guilty of any crime mis demeanour or offence against any of His Majesty's subjects or any of the inhabitants of India he might be tried and punished by the Court of King a Bench in England.

Charter of 1774 constitut ing supreme court at Caloutta.

The charter of justice authorized by the Regulating Act was dated March 26 1774, and remained the foundation of the jurisdiction exercised by the supreme court at Calcutta until the establishment of the present high court under the Act of 1861 The first chief justice was Bir Elijah Impey His three colleagues were Chambers Lemaistre, and Hyde

Diffi. culties of Regulating Act

Warren Hastings retained the office of governor general suising out until 1785 when he was succeeded temporarily by Sir John Macpherson and eventually by Lord Cornwalls His appoint ment which was originally for a term of five years was continued by successive Acts of Parliament His adminis tration was distracted by conflicts between himself and his colleagues on the supreme council, and between the supreme council and the supreme court conflicts traceable to the defective provisions of the Regulating Act

Difflculties in the council.

Of Hastings four colleagues one Barwell was an experienced servant of the Company and was in India at the time of his appointment The other three Clavering Monson and Francis were sent out from England and arrived in Calcutta with the judges of the new supreme court

Barwell usually supported Hastings Francis Clavering and Monson usually opposed him Whilst they acted together Hastings was in a minority and found his policy thwurted and his decisions overruled. In 1776 he was reduced to such depression that he gave his agents in England a conditional authority to tender his resignation. The Court of Directors accepted his resignation on this authority and took steps to supply his place. But in the meantime Clavering died

1 Copy printed in Morley a Degeat il. \$49.

١

(November 1776) and Hastings was able by means of his easting vote to maintain his supremacy in the council. He withdrew his authority to his English agent, and obtained from the judges of the supreme court an opinion that his resignation was invalid. These proceedings possibly occasioned the provision which was contained in the Charter Act of 1793, was repeated in the Act of 1833, and is still law, that the resignation of a governor-general is not valid unless signified by a formal deed.

The provisions of the Act of 1773 are obscure and defect Diffitive as to the nature and extent of the authority exerciseable between by the governor-general and his council, as to the jurisdic-supreme tion of the supreme court, and as to the relation between and the Bengal Government and the court. The ambiguities court of the Act arose partly from the necessities of the ease, partly from a deliberate avoidance of new and difficult questions The situation created in Bengal by on constitutional law the grant of the Diwam in 1765, and recognized by the legislation of 1773, resembled what in the language of modern international law is called a protectorate. The country had not been definitely annexed 2, the authority of the Delhi emperor and of his native vicegerent was still formally recognized, and the attributes of sovereignty had been divided between them and the Company in such proportions that whilst the substance had passed to the latter, a shadow only remained with the former But it was a shadow with which potent conjuring tricks could be performed. Whenever the Company found it convenient, they could play off the authority derived from the Mogul against the authority derived from the British law, and justify under the one proceedings which

¹ See 3 & 4 Will. IV, c 85, s 79 Digest, s 82

⁻ On May 10, 1773, the House of Commons, on the motion of General Burgoyne, passed two resolutions, (1) that all acquisitions made by military force or by treaty with foreign powers do of right belong to the State, (2) that to appropriate such acquisitions to private use is illegal. But the nature and extent of the sovereignty exercised by the Company was for a long time doubtful. See Mayor of Lyons v. East India Company, 3 State Trials, new series, 647, 707, 1 Moore P. C. 176

it would have been difficult to justify under the other. In the one capacity the Company were the all powerful agents of an irresponsible despot—in the other they were tied and bound by the provisions of charters and Acts of Parliament. It was natural that the Company's servants should prefer to act in the former capacity. It was also natural that their Oriental principles of government should be regarded with dishke and suspicion by English statesmen—and should be found unintelligible and unworkable by English lawyers steeped in the traditions of Westminster Hall.

In the letter half of the nineteenth century we became familiar with aituations of this kind, and we have devised appropriate formulae for dealing with them. The modern practice has been to usue an Order in Council under the Foreign Juris diction Act establishing consular and other courts of civil and criminal jurisdiction and providing them with codes of procedure and of substantive law which are sometimes derived from Anglo-Indian sources The jurisdiction is to be exercised and the law is to be applied in cases affecting British subjects and so far as is consistent with international law and comit; in cases affecting European or American foreigners. But the natives of the country are, so far as is compatible with regard to principles of humanity left in enjoyment of their own laws and customs. If a company has been established for carrying on trade or business its charter is so framed as to reserve the supremacy and prerogatives of the Crown. In this way a rough and ready system of government is provided which would often fail to stand the application of severe legal tests but which supplies an effectual mode of maintaining some degree of order in uncivilized or semi-civilized countries?

But in 1773 both the theory and the experience were lacking which are requisite for adapting English institutions

¹ See the Orders in Council under the successive Foreign Jurisdiction Acts, printed in the Statutory Rules and Orders Revised and the charters granted to the Impensi British East Mrica Company (Hertslet Map of Ifron by Treaty I. 118), to the Royal British Routh Mrica Company (ibid. 74), and to the Royal Nigre Company (ibid. 1.44').

to new and foreign circumstances For want of such experience England was destined to lose her colonies in the Western hemisphere For want of it mistakes were committed which imperilled the empire she was building up in the The Regulating Act provided insufficient guidance as to points on which both the Company and the supreme court were likely to go astray, and the charter by which it was supplemented did not go far to supply its deficiencies language of both instruments was vague and inaccurate They left unsettled questions of the gravest importance The Company was vested with supreme administrative and military authority The Court was vested with supreme judicial authority Which of the two authorities was to be The court was avowedly established for the paramount? purpose of controlling the actions of the Company's servants, and preventing the exercise of oppression against the natives How far could it extend its controlling of the country power without sapping the foundations of civil authority? The members of the supreme council were personally exempt from the coercive jurisdiction of the court But how far could the court question and determine the legality of their orders?

Both the omissions from the Act and its express provisions were such as to afford room for unfortunate arguments and differences of opinion

What law was the supreme court to administer? The Act was silent. Apparently it was the unregenerate English law, insular, technical, formless, tempered in its application to English circumstances by the quibbles of judges and the obstinacy of juries, capable of being an instrument of the most monstrous injustice when administered in an atmosphere different from that in which it had grown up

To whom was this law to be administered? To British subjects and to persons in the employment of the Company But whom did the first class include? Probably only the class now known as European British subjects, and probably not the native 'inhabitants of India' residing in the three

١

provinces except such of them as were resident in the town of Calcutta. But the point was by no means clear 1

What constituted employment by the Company? Was a native landowner farming revenues so employed? And in doubtful cases on whom lay the burden of proving exemption from or subjection to the jurisdiction?

These were a few of the questions raised by the Act and charter and they inevitably led to serious conflicts between the council and the court

In the controversies which followed there were as Sir James Stephen observes three main heads of difference between the supreme council and the supreme court

These were, first the claims of the court to exercise juris diction over the whole native population, to the extent of making them plead to the jurisdiction if a writ was served on them. The quarrel on this point culminated in what was known as the Cossijurah case in which the sheriff and his officers when attempting to execute a writ against a zemindar were driven off by a company of sepoys acting under the orders of the council. The action of the council was not disapproved by the authorities in England and thus this contest ended practically in the victory of the council and the defeat of the court.

The second question was as to the jurisdiction of the court over the English and native officers of the Company employed in the collection of revenues for corrupt or oppressive acts done by them in their official capacity. This jurisdiction the Company were compelled by the express provisions of the Regulating Act to admit though its exercise caused them much dissatisfaction.

The third question was as to the right of the supreme court to try actions against the judicial officers of the Company for acts done in the execution of what they believed or said they believed to be their legal duty. This question arose in the

¹ See In the matter of Ameer Khan, 6 Bengal Law Reports, 302 443. Auxeomar and Impry IL 23

famous Patna case, in which the supreme court gave judgement with heavy damages to a native plaintiff in an action against officers of the Patna provincial council, acting in its judicial Impey's judgement in this case was made one of the grounds of impeachment against him, but is foilibly defended by Sir James Stephen against the criticisms of Mill and others, as being not only technically sound, but substantially Hastings endeavoured to remove the friction between the supreme court and the country courts by appointing Impey judge of the court of Sadı Diwanı Adalat, and thus vesting in him the appellate and revisional control over the country courts which had been nominally vested in, but never exercised by, the supreme court Had he succeeded, he would have anticipated the arrangements under which, some eighty years later, the court of Sadr Diwani Adalat and the supreme court were fused into the high court But Impey compromised himself by drawing a large salary from his new office in addition to that which he drew as chief justice, and his acceptance of a post tenable at the pleasure of the Company was held to be incompatible with the independent position which he was intended to occupy as chief justice of the supreme court

In the year 1781 a Parliamentary inquiry was held into Amending the administration of justice in Bengal, and an amending Act of Act of that year 1 settled some of the questions arising out of the Act of 1773

The governor-general and council of Bengal were not to be subject, jointly or severally, to the jurisdiction of the supreme court for anything counselled, ordered, or done by them in their public capacity. But this exemption did not apply to orders affecting British subjects ²

The supreme court was not to have or exercise any jurisdiction in matters concerning the revenue, or concerning any act done in the collection thereof, according to the usage and practice of the country, or the regulations of the governorgeneral and council ³

^{1 21} Geo III, c 70

² See Digest, s 106

No person was to be subject to the jurisdiction of the supreme court by reason only of his being a landowner landholder or farmer of land or of land rent or for receiving a payment or pension in lieu of any title to or ancient possession of land or land rent or for receiving any compensation or share of profits for collecting of rents payable to the public out of such lands or districts as are actually farmed by himself or those who are his under tenants in virtue of his farm or for exercising within the said lands and farms any ordinary or local authority commonly annexed to the possession or farm thereof or by reason of his becoming security for the payment of rent.

No person was by reason of his being employed by the Company or by the governor general and council or by a native or descendant of a native of Great Britain to become subject to the jurisdiction of the supreme court in any matter of inheritance or succession to lands or goods, or in any matter of dealing or contract between parties except in actions for wrongsor trespenses or in civil suits by agreement of the parties

Registers were to be kept showing the names, &c of natives employed by the Company

The supreme court was, however to have jurisdiction in all manner of actions and suits against all and singular the inhabitants of Calcutta provided that their inheritance and succession to lands, rents, and goods and all matters of contract and dealing between party and party shall be determined in the case of Mahomedans by the laws and usages of Mahomedans and in the case of Gentus by the laws and usages of Gentus and where only one of the parties shall be a Mahomedan or Gentu by the laws and usages of the defendant ¹

¹ This proviso was taken from Warren Hastings plan for the administration of justice prepared and sdopted in 1772 when the Company first stood forth a dirsan. It I interesting a a recognition of the personal law which played so important a part during the break-up of the Homan empiry but has, in the West been gra lually uper-reded by territorial law \u2215 to the effect of this an I similar enactments see Direct a, 108 and note thereout

In order that regard should be had to the civil and religious usages of the said natives, the rights and authorities of fathers of families and masters of families, according as the same might have been exercised by the Gentu or Mahomedan law, were to be preserved to them within their families, nor was any act done in consequence of the rule and law of caste, respecting the members of the said families only, to be held and adjudged a crime, although it might not be held justifiable by the laws of England

Rules and forms for the execution of process in the supreme court were to be accommodated to the religion and manners of the natives, and sent to the Secretary of State for approval by the king

The appellate jurisdiction of the governor-general and council in country cases was recognized and confirmed in cautiously general terms 'Whereas the governor-general and council, or some committee thereof or appointed thereby, do determine on appeals and references from the country or provincial courts in civil cases,' 'the said court shall and lawfully may hold all such pleas and appeals, in the manner and with such powers as it hitherto hath held the same, and shall be deemed in law a court of record, and the judgements therein given shall be final and conclusive, except upon appeal to His Majesty, in civil suits only, the value of which shall be five thousand pounds and upwards' The same court was further declared to be a court to hear and determine on all offences, abuses, and extortions committed in the collection of revenue, and on severities used beyond what shall appear to the said court customary or necessary to the case, and to punish the same according to sound discretion, provided the said punishment does not extend to death, or maining, or perpetual imprisonment 1

No action for wrong or injury was to he in the supreme

¹ See Harington's Analysis, 1 22 But it seems very doubtful whether the council or any of the council had in fact ever exercised jurisdiction as a court of Sadr Diwani Adalat See Nuncomar and Impey, 11 189

court against any person whatsoever exercising any judicial office in the country courts for any judgement decree or order of the court nor against any person for any act done by or in virtue of the order of the court

The defendants in the Patna case were to be released from prison on the governor general and council giving security (which they were required to do) for the damages recovered in the action against them and were to be at liberty to appeal to the king in council against the judgement although the time for appealing under the charter had expired

The decision of Parliament as expressed in the Act of 1781 was substantially in favour of the council and against the court on all points Sir James Stephen argues that the enactment of this Act shows clearly that the supreme court correctly interpreted the law as it stood 1 But this con tention seems to go too far A legislative reversal of a judicial decision shows that, in the opinion of the legislature the decision is not substantially just but must not necessarily be construed as an admission that the decision is technically correct It is often more convenient to cut a knot by legisla tion than to attempt its solution by the dilatory and expensive way of appeal

The Act of 1781 contained a further provision which was of great importance in the history of Indian legislation It empowered the governor-general and council from time to time to frame regulations for the provincial courts and Copies of these regulations were to be sent to the Court of Directors and to the Secretary of State They might be disallowed or amended by the king in council but w re to remain in force unless disallowed within two years

On assuming the active duties of revenue authority in Bengal in 1772 the president and council had made general regulations for the administration of justice in the country by the establishment of civil and criminal courts. And by the Regulating Act of 1773 the governor general and council \ uncomer and Impry ii. 19...

١

were expressly empowered to make rules, ordinances But regulations made under this power had to regulations be registered in the supreme court 1, with the consent and approbation of that court In 1780 the governor-general and council made regulations, in addition to those of 1772, for the more effectual and regular administration of justice in the provincial civil courts, and in 1781 they issued a revised were made under the power given by the Act of 1773 they ought to have been registered But it does not appear that they were so registered, and after the passing of the Act of 1781 the governor-general and council preferred to act under the powers which enabled them to legislate without any reference to the supreme court However, notwithstanding the limited purpose for which the powers of 1781 were given, it was under those powers that most of the regulation laws for Bengal purported to be framed Regulations so made did not require registration or approval by the supreme court But it was for some time doubtful whether they were binding on that court 2

The Act of 1781 for defining the powers of the supreme Further court was not the only legislation of that year affecting the of 1781 East India Company The Company had by 1778 duly repaid their loan of £1,400,000 from the Exchequer, and they subsequently reduced the bond debt to the limits prescribed by an Act of that year ³ By an Act passed in 1781 ⁴, the Company were required to pay a single sum of £400,000 to the public in discharge of all claims to a share in their

¹ As French laws had to be registered by the *Parlement*, and as Acts of Parlament affecting the Channel Islands still have to be registered by the Royal Courts

See Cowell's Tagore Law Lectures, 1872, and In the matter of Ameer Khan 6 Bengal Law Reports, 392, 408 The power of legislation was recognized and extended in 1797 by 37 Geo III, c 142, s 8 See below, p 71

^{3 19} Geo Ⅲ, c 61

⁴ 21 Geo III, c 65 The Company were unable to meet the payments required by this Act, and successive Acts had to be passed for extending the terms fixed for payment (22 Geo III, c 51, 23 Geo III, cc 36, 83, 24 Geo III, sess 1, c 3)

territorial revenues up to March I in that year and their former privileges were extended until three years notice after March I 1791. By the same Act they were authorized to pay a dividend of 8 per cent out of their clear profits but three-fourths of the remainder were to go as a tribute to the public

By way of repayment of the military expenses incurred by the State on their behalf the Company were required to pay two lace of rupees annually for each regiment of 1 000 men sent to India at the Company's desire. The Act further authorized the Company to enlist soldiers ¹ and punish deserters, and prohibited British subjects from residing more than ten miles from any of the Company's principal settlements without a special licence.

Parlia mentary nquiries of 1781

Two Parliamentary committees on Indian affairs were appointed in the year 1781 The object of the first of which Burke was the most prominent member was to consider the administration of justice in India. Its first fruits were the passing of the Act to which reference has been made above for further defining the powers of the supreme court But it continued to sit for many years and presented several reports some written by Burke himself The other committee which sat in secret and of which Dundas was chairman was instructed to inquire into the cause of the recent war in the Carnatic and the state of the British government on the This committee did not publish its report until 1782 by which time Lord North's Government had been driven out of office by the disastrous results of the American war and had been succeeded by the second Rockingham ministry The reports of both committees were highly adverse to the system of administration in India and to the persons responsible for that administration and led to the passing of resolutions by the House of Commons requiring the recall of Hastings and Impey and declaring that the powers given

1 This was the first Act giving Parliamentary sanction to the raising of European troops by the Company Clode Military Forces of the Crossa L 2/9

by the Act of 1773 to the governor-general and council ought to be more distinctly ascertained But the Court of Propuetors of the Company persisted in retaining Hastings in office in defiance both of their directors and of the House of Commons, and no steps were taken for further legislation until after the famous coalition ministry of Fox and North had come into office Soon after this event, Dundas, who was now in opposition, introduced a Bill which empowered the king to recall the principal servants of the Company, and invested the Governor-General of Bengal with power which was little short of absolute But a measure introduced by a member of the opposition had no chance of passing, and the Government were compelled to take up the question themselves

It was under these cucumstances that Fox introduced his Fox's East famous East India Bill of 1783 His measure would have completely altered the constitution of the East India Company. It was clear that the existing distribution of powers between the State, the Court of Directors, and the Court of Proprietors at home, and the Company's servants abroad, was wholly unsatisfactory, and led to anarchy and confusion Dundas had proposed to alter it by making the governor-general practically independent, and vesting him with absolute power Fox adopted the opposite course of increasing the control of the State over the Company at home and its officers abroad His Bill proposed to substitute for the existing Courts of Directors and Propiletors a new body, consisting of seven commissioners, who were to be named in the Act, were during four years to be memovable, except upon an address from either House of Parliament, and were to have an absolute power of placing or displacing all persons in the service of the Coihpany, and of ordering and administering the territories, 1evenues, and commerce of India Any vacancy in the body was to be filled by the king A second or subordinate body, consisting of nine assistant directors chosen by the legislature from among the largest proprietors, was to be formed for the

purpose of managing the details of commerce. For the first five years they were given the same security of tenure as the seven commissioners but vacancies in their body were to be filled by the Court of Proprietors

The events which followed the introduction of Fox s East India Bill belong rather to English than to Indian constitutional history. Everybody is supposed to know how the Bill was denounced by Pitt and Thurlow as a monstrous device for vesting the whole government and patronage of India in Fox and his Whig satellites how after having been carried through the House of Commons by trumphant majorities, it was defeated in the House of Lords through the direct intervention of the king how George III contumeliously drove Fox and North out of office after the defeat of their measure how Pitt at the age of twenty five ventured to assume office with a small minority at his back and how his opponents, converted that minority into a majority at the general election of 1784.

Pitt a Act of 1784.

Like other ministers. Pitt found himself compelled to introduce and defend when in office measures which he had denounced when in opposition. The chief ground of attack on Fox s Bill was its wholesale transfer of patronage from the Company to nominees of the Crown. Pitt steered clear of this rock of offence. He also avoided the appearance of radically altering the constitution of the Company. But his measure was based on the same substantial principle as that of his predecessor and rival the principle of placing the Company in direct and permanent subordination to a body representing the British Government.

The Act of 17841 begins by establishing a board of six commissioners who were formally styled the Commissioners for the Affairs of India but were popularly known as the

²⁴ Geo. III, 8084. 2, c. 25. Unnot the whole of this let has been repealed, but many of its provisions were re-enacted in the subsequent Act of 1793, 1813, and 1833.

Board of Control They were to consist of the Chancellor of the Exchequer and one of the secretaries of state for the time being, and of four other Pivy Councillois, appointed by the king, and holding office during pleasure. There was to be a quorum of three, and the president was to have a casting vote They were unpaid, and had no patronage, but were empowered 'to superintend, direct, and control, all acts, operations, and concerns which in anywise relate to the civil or military government or revenues of the British territorial possessions in the East Indies' They were to have access to all papers and instruments of the Company, and to be furnished with such extracts or copies as they might require The directors were required to deliver to the Board of Control copies of all minutes, orders, and other proceedings of the Company, and of all dispatches sent or received by the directors or any of their committees, and to pay due obedience to, and be bound by, all orders and directions of the Board, touching the civil or military government and revenues of India The Board might approve, disapprove, or modify the dispatches proposed to be sent by the directors, might require the directors to send out the dispatches as modified, and in case of neglect or delay, might require their own orders to be sent out without waiting for the concurrence of the directors

A committee of secrecy, consisting of not more than three members, was to be formed out of the directors, and, when the Board of Control issued orders requiring secrecy, the committee of secrecy was to transmit these orders to India, without informing the other directors ¹

The Court of Propiletors lost its chief governing faculty, for it was deprived of the power of revoking or modifying any proceeding of the Court of Directors which had received the approval of the Board of Control ²

¹ See Digest, g 14

² s 29 The Court of Proprietors had recently overruled the resolution of the Court of Directors for the recall of Warren Hastings

These provisions related to the Government of India at home. Modifications were also made in the governing bodies of the different presidencies in India.

The number of members of the governor general s council was reduced to three of whom the commander in-chief of the Company's forces in India was to be one and to have precedence next to the governor general.

The Government of each of the Presidencies of Madras and Bombay was to consist of a governor and three counsellors of whom the commander in chief in the presidency was to be one unless the commander in-chief of the Company's forces in India happened to be in the presidency in which case he was to take the place of the local commander in-chief The governor-general or governor was to have a casting vote

The governor general governors commander in-chief and members of council were to be appointed by the Court of Directors. They and any other person holding office under the Company in India might be removed from office either by the Crown or by the directors. Only covenanted servants of the Company were to be qualified to be members of council. Power was given to make provisional and temporary appointments. Resignation of the office of governor general governor commander in-chief or member of council was not to be valid unless signified in writing.

The control of the governor-general and council over the government of the minor presidencies was enlarged and was declared to extend to all such points as relate to any transactions with the country powers or to war or peace or to the application of the revenues or forces of such presidencies in time of war

A similar control over the military and political operations of the governor general and council was reserved to the Court of Directors Whereas to pursue schemes of conquest and extension of dominion in India are measures repugnant to

t a, 24. See Digest, s. 82. This was probably enacted in consequence of the circumstances attending Hastings resignation of office

the wish, the honour and policy of this nation,' the governorgeneral and his council were not, without the express authority
of the Court of Directors, or of the secret committee, to declare
war, or commence hostilities, or enter into any treaty for
making war, against any of the country princes or States in
India, or any treaty for guaranteeing the possession of any
country prince or State, except where hostilities had actually
been commenced, or preparations actually made for the
commencement of hostilities, against the British nation in
India, or against some of the princes of States who were
dependent thereon, or whose territories were guaranteed by
any existing treaty ¹

The provisions of the Act of 1773 for the punishment of offences committed by British subjects in India were repeated and strengthened. Thus the receipt of presents by persons in the employment of the Company or the Crown was to be deemed extortion, and punishable as such, and there was an extraordinary provision requiring the servants of the Company, under heavy penalties, to declare truly on oath the amount of property they had brought from India

All British subjects were declared to be amenable to all courts of competent jurisdiction in India or in England for acts done in Native States, as if the act had been done in British territory² The Company were not to release of compound any sentence or judgement of a competent court against any of their servants, or to restore any such servant to office after he had been dismissed in pursuance of a judicial sentence. The governor-general was empowered to issue his warrant for taking into custody any person suspected of carrying on illicit correspondence with any native prince or other person having authority in India³

 \mathbf{F}

ILBERT

¹ s 34 This enactment with its recital was substantially reproduced by a section of the Act of 1793 (33 Geo III, c 52, s 42) which still remains unrepealed See Digest, s 48

² s 44. Re-enacted by 33 Geo III, c 52, s 67 See Digest, s 119

³ s 53 This section was re-enacted in substance by 33 Geo III, c 52, 88 45, 46 See Digest, s 120

A special court consisting of three judges four peers and six members of the House of Commons was constituted for the trial in England of offences committed in India ¹

The Company were required to take into consideration their civil and military establishments in India and to give orders for every practicable retrenehment and reduction, and numerous internal regulations, several of which had been proposed by Fox were made for Indian administration. Thus, promotion was to be as a rule by seniority writers and cadets were to be between the ages of fifteen and twenty two when sent out and servants of the Company who had been five years in England were not to be capable of appointment to an Indian post unless they could show that their residence in England was due to ill health.

The double government established by Pitt's Act of 1784 with its cumbrous and dilatory procedure and its elaborate system of checks and counter-checks though modified in details remained substantially in force until 1858. In practice the power vested in the Board of Control was exercised by the senior commissioner other than the Chancellor of the Exchequer or Secretary of State. He became known as the President of the Board of Control and occupied a position in the Government of the day corresponding to some extent to that of the modern Secretary of State for India. But the Board of Directors though placed in complete subordination to the Board of Control retained their rights of patronage and their powers of revision and were thus left no unsubstantial share in the home direction of Indian affairs.

As to the practical working of the aratem at the close of the eighteenth century see hape. Administration of the Last India Compa. 9. p. 179.

¹ st. 66-80. The elaborate enactments constituting the court and regulating its procedure were amended by an Act of 1786 (26 Geo. III c. 57), and at II remain on the Statute Book, but appear never to have been put in force. In 149 a.c., on the proposal of Lucius Calpernius Pace, a standing Senatorial Commission (pracetto ord sorne) was instituted to try in judicial form the complaints of the provincial regarding the extortion of their Roman magistrates. Monumen 3, 73.

The first important amendments of Pitt's Act were made Legislam 1786. In that year Lord Cornwallis was appointed too of governor-general, and he made it a condition of his accepting office that his powers should be enlarged. Accordingly an Act was passed which empowered the governor-general in special cases to override the majority of his council and act on his own responsibility 2, and enabled the offices of governor-general and commander-in-chief to be united in the same person.

By another Act of the same session the provision requiring the approbation of the king for the choice of governor-general was repealed. But as the Crown still retained the power of recall this repeal was not of much practical importance.

A third Act ⁵ repealed the provisions requiring servants of the Company to disclose the amount of property brought home by them, and amended the constitution and procedure of the special court under the Act of 1784. It also declared (s. 29) that the criminal jurisdiction of the supreme court at Calcutta was to extend to all criminal offences committed in any part of Asia, Africa, or America, beyond the Cape of Good Hope to the Straits of Magellan, within the limits of the Company's trade, and (s. 30) that the governor or president and council of Fort St. George, in their courts of oyer and terminer and gaol delivery, and the mayor's court at Madras should have civil and criminal jurisdiction over all British subjects residing in the territories of the Company on the coast of Coromandel, or in any other part of the Carnatic,

¹ 'The first of the new dynasty of Parliamentary Governors-General' Lyall, British Dominion in India, p 218

² See Digest, s 44

of governor-general and commander-in-chief, still found his powers insufficient, and was obliged to obtain in 1791 a special Act (31 Geo III, c 40) confirming his orders and enlarging his powers. The exceptional powers given to the governor-general by the Act of 1786 were reproduced in the Act of 1793 (33 Geo III, c 52, ss 47-51), by sections which are still nominally in force but have been practically superseded by a later enactment of 1870 (33 Vict c 3, s 5). See Digest, s 44

¹ 26 Geo III, c 25 ⁵ 26 Geo III, c 57

or in the Northern Circars or within the territories of the Soubah of the Deccan the Nabob of Arcot or the Rajah of Tantore

Legisla tion of 1788 In 1788 a serious difference arose between the Board of Control and the Board of Directors as to the limits of their respective powers. The Board of Control notwithstanding the objections of the directors ordered out four royal regiments to India and charged their expenses to Indian revenues. They maintained that they had this power under the Act of 1784. The directors on the other hand argued that under provisions of the Act of 1781 which were still unrepealed the Company could not be compelled to bear the expenses of any troops except those sent out on their own requisition. Put proposed to settle the difference in favour of the Board of Control by means of an explanatory or declaratory Act. The discussions which took place on this measure raised constitutional questions which have been revived in later times.

It was objected that troops raised by the Company in India would suffice and could be much more cheaply maintained. It was also argued on constitutional grounds that no troops ought to belong to the king for which Parliament did not annually vote the money.

In answer to the first objection Pitt confessed that in his opinion the army in India ought to be all on one establishment and should all belong to the king and declared that it was mainly in preparation for this reform that the troops were to be conveyed.

With respect to the second objection he argued that the Bill of Rights and the Mutiny Act which were the only positive enactments on the subject were so vague and indefinite

See the discussion in 1878 as to the employment of Indian troops in Malta, Hansard, eexl. 14, and Anson, Low and Custom of the Constitution pt. ii. p. 361 (2nd ed.).

²⁻Lord Cornwallis was at thi time considering a scheme for the combination of the kings and Company's forces. See Cornwallis Currespondence 1. 251 341; fl. 316, 57

as to be almost nugatory, and professed his willingness to receive any suggestions made for checking an abuse of the powers proposed to be conferred by the Bill

The questions were eventually settled by a compromise The Board of Control obtained the powers for which they asked, but a limit was imposed on the number of troops which might be charged to Indian revenues. At the same time the Board of Control were prevented from increasing any salary or awarding any gratuity without the concurrence of the directors and of Parliament, and the directors were required to lay annually before Parliament an account of the Company's receipts and disbursements ¹

In 1793, towards the close of Loid Coinwallis' governor-Charter generalship, it became necessary to take steps for renewal of 1793 the Company's charter. Pitt was then at the height of his power, his most trusted friend, Dundas 2, was President of the Board of Control, the war with France, which had just been declared, monopolized English attention, and Indian finances were, or might plausibly be represented as being, in a tolerably satisfactory condition. Accordingly the Act of 17933, which was introduced by Dundas, passed without serious opposition, and introduced no important alterations. It was a measure of consolidation, repealing several previous enactments, and runs to an enormous length, but the amendments made by it relate to matters of minor importance.

The two junior members of the Board of Control were no longer required to be Privy Councillors Provision was made for payment of the members and staff of the Board out of Indian revenues

The commander-in-chief was not to be a member of the council at Fort William unless specially appointed by the

¹ 28 Geo III, c 8, Clode, Military Forces of the Crown, 1 270

² Henry Dundas, who afterwards became the first Viscount Melville He did not become president till June 22, 1793, but had long been the most powerful member of the Board

^{3 33} Geo III, c 52

Court of Directors Departure from India with intent to return to Europe was declared to vacate the office of governor general commander in-chief and certain other high offices The procedure in the councils of the three presidencies was regulated, the powers of control exercisable by the governor general were emphasized and explained and the power of the governor general to overrule the majority of his council was repeated and extended to the Governors of Madras and Bombay The governor general, whilst visiting another presi dency was to supersede the governor and might appoint a vice-president to act for him in his absence. A series of elaborate provisions continued the exclusive privileges of trade for a further term of twenty years subject to modifications of detail. Another equally elaborate set of sections regulated the application of the Company's finances Power was given to raise the dividend to 10 per cent and provision was made for payment to the Exchequer of an annual sum of £500 000 out of the surplus revenue which might remain after meeting the necessary expenses paying the interest on and providing for reduction of capital of the Company's debt and payment of dividend. It is needless to say that this surplus was never realized. The mutual claims of the Company and the Crown in respect of military expenses were adjusted by wiping out all debts on either side up to the end of 1792 and providing that thenceforward the Company should defray the actual expenses incurred for the support and maintenance of the king s troops serving in India. Some supplementary provisions regulated matters of civil administration in India, The admiralty jurusdiction of the supreme court of Calcutta was expressly declared to extend to the high seas Power was given to appoint covenanted servants of the Company or other British inhabitants to be justices of the peace in Bengal Power was also given to appoint scavengers for the presi dency towns, and to levy what would now be called a sanitary And the sale of spirituous liquors was made subject to the grant of a licence

A few Parliamentary enactments of constitutional impor-Legislatance were passed during the interval between the Charter between Acts of 1703 and 1813

1793 and

The lending of money by European adventurers to native princes on exorbitant terms had long produced grave scandals, such as those which were associated with the name of Paul Benham, and were exposed by Burke in his speech on the Nabob of Arcot's debts An Act of 1797 laid down an important provision (s 28) which is still in force, and which prohibits, under heavy penalties, unauthorized loans by British subjects to native princes

The same Act reduced the number of judges of the supreme court at Calcutta to three, a chief justice and two puisnes, and authorized the grant of charters for the constitution of a recorder's court instead of the mayor's court at Madras and Bombay It reserved native laws and customs in terms similar to those contained in the Act of 1781 also embodied an important provision giving an additional and express sanction to the exercise of a local power of legislation in the Presidency of Bengal One of Lord Cornwallis' regulations of 1793 (Reg 41) had provided for forming into a regular code all regulations that might be enacted for the internal government of the British territories of Bengal The Act of 1797 (s 8) recognized and confirmed this 'wise and salutary provision,' and directed that all regulations which should be issued and framed by the Governor-General in Council at Fort William in Bengal, affecting the rights, persons, or property of the natives, or of any other individuals who might be amenable to the provincial courts of justice, should be registered in the judicial department, and formed into a regular code and printed, with translations in the country languages, and that all the grounds of each regulation should be prefixed to it The provincial courts of judicature were directed to be bound by these regulations, and copies of the regulations of each year were

to be sent to the Court of Directors and to the Board of Control $^{\scriptscriptstyle \rm I}$

An Act of 1799 ² gave the Company further powers for raising European troops and maintaining discipline among them. Under this Act the Crown took the enlistment of men for serving in India into its own hands and, on petition from the Company transferred recruits to them at an agreed sum per head for the cost of recruiting. Authority was given to the Company to train and exercise recruits, not exceeding 2 000 and to appoint officers for that purpose (bearing also His Majesty's commission) at pay not exceeding the sums stated in the Act. The number which the Crown could hold for transfer to the Company was limited to 3 000 men, or such a number as the Mutiny Act for the time being should until embarked for India.

An Act of 1800 ³ provided for the constitution of a supreme court at Madras and extended the jurisdiction of the supreme court at Calcutta over the district of Benares (which had been ceded in 1775) and all other districts which had been or night thereafter be annexed to the Presidency of Bengal

An Act of 1807 4 gave the governors and councils at Madras and Bombay the same powers of making regulations, subject to approval and regustration by the supreme court and recorder a court as had been previously vested in the Government of Bengal and the same power of appointing justices of the peace

The legislation of 1813 was of a very different character from that of 1793 — It was preceded by the most searching investigation which had yet taken place into Indian affairs. The vigorous policy of annexation carried on by Lord

ŧ

Charter Act of 1813

¹ See Hanngton a Analysis 1-9 39 ± 40 Geo. III c. 100. See Clode Hillary Forces of the Croscs, 1. 250, 39 ± 40 Geo. III, c. 70. The charter under this Act was granted in December 1801 Dombay did not acquire a suprem court until 1823 [Geo. IV c. 71].

^{47 (}leo. III, sess. 2 c. 63

Wellesley during his seven years' tenure of office (1708-1805) had again involved the Company in financial difficulties, and in 1808 a committee of the House of Commons was appointed to inquire, amongst other things, into the conditions on which relief should be granted It continued its stttings over the four following years, and the famous Fifth Report, which was published in July, 1812, is still a standard authority on Indian land tenures, and the best authority on the judicial and police arrangements of the time When the time arrived for taking steps to renew the Company's charter, a Dundas' was still at the Board of Control, but it was no longer found possible to avoid the questions which had been successfully shirked in Napoleon had closed the European ports, and British traders imperatively demanded admission to the ports of Asia At the end of 1811 Lord Melville told the Court of Directors that His Majesty's ministers could not recommend to Parliament the continuance of the existing system unless they were prepared to agree that the ships, as well as goods, of private merchants should be admitted into the trade with India under such restrictions as might be deemed reasonable

The Company struggled hard for their privileges. They began by arguing that their political authority and commercial privileges were inseparable, that their trade profits were dependent upon their monopoly, and that if their trade profits were taken away their revenues would not enable them to carry on the government of the country. But their accounts had been kept in such a fashion as to leave it very doubtful whether their trade profits, as distinguished from their territorial revenues, amounted to anything at all. And this ground of argument was finally cut from under their feet by the concession of a continued monopoly of the tea trade, from which it was admitted that the commercial profits of the Company were principally, if not wholly, derived

Driven from this position the Company dwelt on the

¹ Robert Dundas, who, on his father's death in 1811, became the second Viscount Melville

political dangers which would arise from an unlimited resort of Europeans to India The venerable Warren Hastings was called from his retreat to support on this point the views of the Company before the House of Commons and it was on this occasion that the members testified their respect for him by rising as a body on his entrance into the House and standing until he had assumed his seat within the bar evidence confirmed the assertions of the Company as to the danger of unrestricted European immigration into India, and was supplemented by evidence to a similar effect from Lord Teignmouth (Sir J Shore) Colonel (Sir John) Malcolm and Colonel (Sir Thomas) Munro Experience had proved they affirmed, that it was difficult to impress even upon the servants of the Company whilst in their noviciate a due regard for the feelings and habits of the people and English men of classes less under the observation of the supreme authorities were notorious for the contempt with which in their natural arrogance and ignorance, they contemplated the usages and institutions of the natives and for their frequent disregard of the dictates of humanity and justice in their dealings with the people of India. The natives although timid and feeble in some places were not without strength and resolution in others and instances had occurred where their resentment had proved formidable to their oppressors It was difficult if not impossible to afford them protection for the Englishman was amenable only to the courts of British law established at the presidencies and although the local magistrate had the power of sending him further for trial yet to impose upon the native complainant and witness the obligation of repairing many hundred miles to obtain redress was to subject them to delay fatigue and expense which would be more intolerable than the injury they had suffered

That their apprehensions were unfounded no one who is acquainted with the history or present conditions of British India would venture to deny. But they were expressed by the advocates of the Company in language of unjustifiable intemperance and exaggeration. Thus Mi Charles Grant, in the course of the debate in the House of Commons, dwelt on the danger of letting loose among the people of India a host of desperate needy adventurers, whose atrocious conduct in America and in Africa afforded sufficient indication of the evil they would inflict upon India

The controversy was eventually compromised by allowing Europeans to resort to India, but only under a strict system of licences

Closely connected with the question of the admission of independent Europeans into India was that of missionary The Government were willing to take steps for enterprise the recognition and encouragement of Christianity by the appointment of a bishop and archdeacons But a large number of excellent men, belonging mainly to the Evangelical party, and led in the House of Commons by Wilberforce, were anxious to go much further in the direction of committing the Indian Government to the active propagation of Christianity among the natives of India On the other hand, the past and present servants of the Company, including even those who, like Loid Teignmouth, were personally in sympathy with the Evangelical school, were fully sensitive to the danger of interfering with the religious convictions of alarming the religious prejudices of the natives

The proposals ultimately submitted by the Government to Parliament in 1813 were embodied in thirteen resolutions ¹

The first affirmed the expediency of extending the Company's privileges, subject to modifications, for a further term of twenty years

The second preserved to the Company the monopoly of the China trade and of the trade in tea

The third threw open to all British subjects the export and import trade with India, subject to the exception of tea, and to certain safeguards as to warehousing and the like

Printed in an appendix to vol vii of Mill and Wilson's British India

The fourth and fifth regulated the application of the Company's territorial revenues and commercial profits

The sixth provided for the reduction of the Company's debt for the payment of a dividend at the rate of 10½ per cent per annum and for the division of any surplus between the Company and the public in the proportion of one-sixth to the former and five-sixths to the latter

The seventh required the Company to keep their accounts in such manner as to distinguish clearly those relating to the territorial and political departments from those relating to the commercial branch of their affairs

The eighth affirmed the expediency in the interests of economy of limiting the grants of salaries and pensions

The ninth reserved to the Court of Directors the right of appointment to the offices of governor general governor and commander in-chief subject to the approbation of the Crown.

Under the tenth, the number of the king s troops in India was to be limited and any number exceeding the limit was unless employed at the express requisition of the Company to be at the public charge. This modified in a sense favour able to the Company Pitt's declaratory Act of 1788

Then followed a resolution that it was expedient that the church establishment in the British territories in the East Indies should be placed under the superintendence of a bishop and three archideacons and that adequate provision should be made from the territorial revenues of India for their maintenance

The twelfth resolution declared that the regulations to be framed by the Court of Directors for the colleges at Hailey bury and Addiscombe ought to be subject to the regulation of the Board of Control and that the Board ought to have power to send instructions to India about the colleges at Calcutta 1 and Madras

The college at Calcutta had been founded by Lord Wellesley for the training of the Company's civil servants

ound the thirteenth resolution that the main raged, and its vague and guarded language shows the difficulty that was experienced in settling its terms The resolution declared 'that it is the duty of this country to promote the interest and happiness of the native inhabitants of the But such measures ought to be adopted as may tend to the introduction amongst them of udeful knowledge, and of religious and moral im-That in the furtherance of the above objects, provement sufficient figurities shall be afforded by law to persons desirous of going to and remaining in India for the purpose of accomplishing these benevolent designs, provided always, that the authority of the local Governments, respecting the intercourse of puropeans with the interior of the country, be preserved and that the principles of the British Government on which the natives of India have hitherto relied for the free excicise of their religion, be inviolably maintained. One discerns the planter following in the wake of the missionary cach watched with a jealous eye by the Company's servants

The plindiples embodied in the Resolutions of 1813 were developed in the Act of the same year 1 The language of the preamble to the Act is significant It recites the expediency of continuing to the Company for a further term the possession of the territorial acquisitions in India, and the levenues thereof, 'without prejudice to the undoubted Sovereignty of the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Butain and Ireland in and over the same 2 ' The constitutional controversy of the preceding century was not to be reopened

then grants the Indian possessions and revenues The Act to the Company for a further term of twenty years, reserves to them for the same time the China trade and the tea trade, but throws open the general India trade, subject to various restrictive chnditions

¹ 55 Geo III c 155 ² The sovereignty of the Crown had been clearly reserved in the charter But at that time the territorial possessions were insignificant

The thirty third section recites the thirteenth resolution and the expediency of making provision for granting per mission torpersons desirous of going to and remaining in India for the purposes mentioned in the resolution (missionaries) and for other lawful purposes (traders) and then enables the Court of Directors or on their refusal the Board of Control to grant hiences and certificates entitling the applicants to proceed to any of the principal settlements of the Company and to remain in India as long as they conduct themselves properly but subject to such restrictions as may for the time being be judged necessary. Unlicensed persons are to be hable to the penalties imposed by earlier Acts on interlopers and to the punishment on summary conviction in India. British subjects allowed to reside more than ten miles from a presidency town are to procure and register certificates from a direct court

A group of sections relates to the provision for religion learning and education and the training of the Company's civil and military servants. There is to be a Bishop of Calcutta, with three archdeacons under him. The colleges at Calcutta and elsewhere are placed under the regulations of the Board of Control. One lac of rupees in each year is to be set apart and applied to the revival and improvement of literature and the encouragement of the learned native of India and for the introduction and promotion of a knowledge of the sciences among the inhabitants of the British territories in India. The college at Haileybury and the military seminary at Addiscombe 1 are to be maintained and no person is to be appointed writer unless he has resided four terms at Hailey bury and produces a certificate that he has conformed to the regulations of the college.

Then come provisions for the application of the revenues a for keeping the commercial and territorial accounts distinct

¹ The names of these places are not mentioned.

An Interesting discussion of these provisions is to be found in the correspondence of 1833 between Vir Charles Grant and the Court of Directors According to Mr. Grant the principle established by the Acts of 1703 and 1813 was that the profit according from the Company's commerce about

and for increasing and further defining the powers of superintendence and direction exercised by the Board of Control

The pationage of the Company is preserved, subject to the approval of the Crown in the case of the higher offices, and of the Board of Control in certain other cases

The number of king's troops to be paid for out of the Company's revenues is not to exceed 20 000, except in case of special requisition. In order to remove doubts it is expressly declared that the Government in India may make laws regulations, and articles of war for their native troops, and provide for the holding of courts-martial

The local Governments are also empowered to impose taxes on persons subject to the jurisdiction of the supreme court, and to punish for non-payment

Justices of the peace are to have jurisdiction in cases of assault or trespass committed by British subjects on natives of India, and also in cases of small debts due to natives from Special provision is made for the exercise British subjects of jurisdiction in criminal cases over British subjects residing more than ten miles from a presidency town, and British subjects residing or trading, or occupying immovable property, more than ten miles from a presidency town are to be subject to the jurisdiction of the local civil courts

And, finally, special penalties are enacted for theft, forgery, perjury, and coinage offences, the existing provisions of the common or statute law being apparently considered insufficient for dealing adequately with these offences

The imperial legislation for India during the interval between Legisla-1813 and 1833 does not present many features of importance between

An Act of 1814 1 removed doubts as to the powers of the 1813 and Indian Government to levy duties of customs and other taxes

in the first instance, be employed in securing the regular payment of dividends to the proprietors of stock, and should then be applied for the benefit of the territory The last-mentioned applications to be suspended only so long as the burden of debt on the territory continued below a certain specified amount

^{1 54} Geo III, c 105

An Act of 1815 1 gave power to extend the limits of the presidency towns, and amended some of the minor provisions of the Act-of 1813

An Act of 1818 removed doubts as to the validity of certain Indian marriages a subject which has always presented much difficulty but which has now been dealt with by Indian legislation ⁸

An Act of 1820 4 enabled the East India Company to raise and maintain a corps of volunteer infantry

An Act of 1823 s charged the revenues of India with the payment of additional sums for the pay and penaions of troops serving in India, and regulated the penaions of Indian bishops and archdeacons and the salaries and pensions of the judges of the supreme courts

The same Act authorized the grant of a charter for a su preme court of Bombay in substitution for the recorder s court

The prohibition on settling in India without a heence was still retained. But restrictions on Indian trade were gradually removed and a consolidating Act of 1823 c ex pressly declared that trade might be carried on in British vessels with all places within the limits of the Company s charter except China

Another Act of 1823⁷ consolidated and amended the laws for punishing mutiny and desertion of officers and soldiers in the Company's service

An Act of 1824* transferred the island of Singapore to the East India Company

Acts of 1825° and 1826° further regulated the salaries of Indian judges and bishops and regulated the appointment of juries in the presidency towns

```
1 55 Geo. III, c. &4.
2 800 Acts III & V. of 1872.
3 Geo. IV c. 71
4 Geo. IV c. 71
4 Geo. IV c. 81
5 Geo. IV c. 80
5 Geo. IV c. 10
5 Singapore was placed under the Colonial Office IV
the Straits Settlements Act, 1867 (19 & 30 Vict c. 115, & 1),
6 Geo. IV c. 85
7 Geo. IV c. 85
```

An Act of 1828 ¹ declared the real estates of British subjects dying within the jurisdiction of the supreme courts at the presidency towns to be liable for payment of their debts. Other Acts of the same year applied the East India Mutiny. Act to the force known as the Bombay Marine ², and extended to the East Indies sundry amendments of the English criminal law ³.

And an Act of 1832 4 authorized the appointment of persons other than covenanted civilians to be justices of the peace in India, and repealed the provisions requiring jurous to be Christians

When the time came round again for renewing the Com-Charter pany's charter, Lord William Bentinck's peaceful régime had Act of 1833 lasted for five years in India, the Reform Act had just been carried in England, and Whig principles were in the ascendant. Bentham's views on legislation and codification were exercising much influence on the minds of law reformers. Macaulay was in Parliament, and was secretary to the Board of Control, and James Mill, Bentham's disciple, was the examiner of India correspondence at the India House. The Charter Act of 1833 5, like that of 1813, was preceded by careful inquiries into the administration of India. It introduced important changes into the constitution of the East India Company and the system of Indian administration.

The territorial possessions of the Company were allowed to remain under their government for another term of twenty years, but were to be held by the Company 'in trust for His Majesty, his heirs and successors, for the service of the Government of India'

The Company's monopoly of the China trade, and of the tea trade, was finally taken away

ILBERT

^{1 9} Geo IV, c 33

² 9 Geo IV, c 72

³ 9 Geo IV, c 74

^{4 2 &}amp; 3 Will. IV, c 117

⁵ 3 & 4 Will. IV, c 85 The Act received the Royal Assent on August 28, 1833, but did not come into operation, except as to appointments and the like, until April 22, 1834 (s 117)

The Company were required to close their commercial business and to wind up their affairs with all convenient speed. Their territorial and other debts were charged on the revenues of India and they were to receive out of those revenues an annual dividend at the rate of £10 tos per cent on the whole amount of their capital stock (i. e. £530 coo a year) but this dividend was to be subject to redemption by Parliament on payment of £200 sterling for every £100 stock and for the purpose of this redemption a sum of two million pounds was to be paid by the Company to the National Debt Commissioners and accumulated with compound interest until it reached the sum of twelve millions.

The Company while deprived of their commercial functions retained their administrative and political powers under the system of double government instituted by previous Acts and in particular continued to excress their rights of patronage over Indian appointments. The constitution of the Board of Control was modified but as the powers of the Board were executed by its president the modifications had no practical effect. The Act re-enacted provisions of former Acts as to the secret committee of the Court of Directors and the dispatches to be sent through that committee, and it simplified the formal title of the Company by authorizing it to be called the Last India Company

No very material alteration was made in the system on which the executive government was to be carried on in India

The superintendence direction and control of the whole civil and military government were expressly vested in a governor general and councillors who were to be styled the Governor General of India in Council. This council was increased by the addition of a fourth ordinary member

As to the financial arrangements made under these provisions see the cridence of Mr. Melvill before the Lords Committee of 1852

[%] It will be remembered that the Governor-General had been previously the Governor-General of Bengal in Council.

who was not to be one of the Company's servants, and was not to be entitled to act as member of council except for legislative purposes. It need hardly be stated that the fourth member was Macaulay

The overgrown Presidency of Bengal 2 was to be divided into two distinct presidencies, to be called the Presidency of Fort William and the Presidency of Agra. But this provision never came into operation. It was suspended by an enactment of 1835 (5 & 6 Will 1V, c. 52), and the suspension was continued indefinitely by the Charter Act of 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 95, s. 15).

The intention was that each of the four presidencies, Fort William, Fort St. George, Bombay, and Agra, should have, for executive purposes, a governor and council of its own. But the governor-general and his council were to be, for the present, the governor and council of Fort William, and power was given to reduce the members of the council, or even suspend them altogether and vest the executive control in a governor alone.

Important alterations were made by the Act of 1833 in the legislative powers of the Indian Government 'At that date there were five different bodies of statute law in force in the (Indian) empire. First, there was the whole body of statute law existing so far as it was applicable, which was introduced by the Charter of George I and which applied,

^{&#}x27;Was confined entirely to the subject of legislation, he had no power to sit or vote except at meetings for the purpose of making laws and regulations, and it was only by courtesy, and not by right, that he was allowed to set the papers or correspondence, or to be made acquainted with the deliberations of Government upon any subject not immediately connected with legislation' Minute by Sir Barnes Peacock of November 3, 1859

⁻ It had been increased by the addition of Benares in 1775, of the modern Orissa in 1803, of large territories in the North-West in 1801-1803, and of Assam, Arakan, and Tenasserim in 1824.

³ The power of reduction was exercised in 1833 by reducing the number of oldinary members of the Madras and Bombay councils from three to two (Political Dispatch of December 27, 1833) The original intention was to abolish the councils of the minor presidencies, but, at the instance of the Court of Directors, their retention was left optional

at least, in the presidency towns Secondly all English Acts subsequent to that date, which were expressly extended to any part of India. Thirdly the regulations of the governor. general's council, which commence with the Revised Code of 1793 containing forty eight regulations all passed on the same day (which embraced the results of twelve years antecedent legislation) and were continued down to the year 1834. They only had force in the territories of Bengal Fourthly the regulations of the Madras council, which spread over the period of thirty two years from 1802 to 1834, and are [were] m force in the Presidency of Fort St George Fifthly the regulations of the Bombay Code, which becan with the revised code of Mr Mountstuart Elphinstone in 1827 comprising the results of twenty-eight years previous legislation, and were also continued into 1834, having force and validity in the 1 residency of Fort St David 1

In 1833 says Mr Cowell in centinuation, the attention of Parliament was directed to three leading vices in the process of Indian government. The first was in the nature of the laws and regulations the second was in the ill defined authority and power from which these various laws and regulations emanated and the third was the anomalous and sometimes conflicting judicatures by which the laws were administered.

The Act of 1833 vested the legislative power of the Indian Government exclusively in the Governor General in Council who had been, as has been seen, reinforced by the addition of a fourth legislative member. The four Presidential Governments were merely authorized to submit to the Governor General in Council drafts or projects of any laws or regulations which they might think expedient and the Governor General in Council was required to take these drafts and projects into consideration and to communicate his resolutions thereon to the Government proposing them

¹ towell, Topore Lectures of 1872. For Fort St. David read Hombay bee also Harington a Analysis of the Bengal Legislations.

The Governor-General in Council was expressly empowered to make laws and regulations—

- (a) for repealing, amending, or altering any lay or regulations whatever, for the time being in force in the Indian territories
- (b) for all persons, whether British or native foreigners or others and for all courts of justice whether established by charter or otherwise and the jurisdiction thereof
- (c) for all places and things whatsoever within and throughout the whole and every part of the said territories
- (d) for all servants of the Company within the dominions of princes and States in alliance with the Company, and
- (c) as articles of war for the government of the native officers and soldiers in the military service of the Company, and for the administration of justice by courts-martial to be holden on such officers and soldiers

But this power was not to extend to the making of any laws and regulations—

- (i) which should repeal, vary, or suspend any of the provisions of the Act of 1833, or of the Acts for punishing mutiny and desertion of officers and soldiers in the service of the Crown or of the Company, or
- (ii) which should affect any prerogative of the Crown, or the authority of Parliament, or the constitution or rights of the Company, or any part of the unwritten laws or constitutions of the United Kingdom, whereon may depend the allegiance of any person to the Crown, or the sovereignty or dominion of the Crown over the Indian territories, or
- (iii) without the previous sanction of the Court of Directors, which should empower any court other than a chartered court to sentence to death any of His Majesty's natural-born subjects born in Europe, or their children, or abolish any of the chartered courts ¹

There was also an express saving of the right of Parliament to legislate for India and to repeal Indian Acts and the better to enable Parliament to exercise this power all Indian laws were to be laid before Parliament

Laws made under the powers given by the Act were to be subject to disallowance by the Court of Directors noting under the Board of Control but when made, were to have effect as Acts of Parliament and were not to require registration or publication in any court of justice

The laws made under the Act of 1833 were known as Acts and took the place of the regulations made under previous Acts of Parliament

A comprehensive consolidation and codification of Indian laws was contemplated. Section 53 of the Act recited that it was expedient that subject to such special arrangements as local circumstances may require a general system of judicial establishments and police to which all persons whatsoever as well Europeans as natives may be subject should be established in the said territories at an early period, and that such laws as may be applicable in common to all classes of the inhabitants of the said territories due regard being liad to the rights, feelings and peculiar usages of the people should be enacted, and that all laws and customs having the force of law within the same territories should be ascer

The Act then went on to direct the Governor General in Council to issue a commission to be known as the Indian Law Commission,' which was to inquire into the jurisdiction powers, and rules of the existing courts of justice and police establishments in the Indian territories and all existing forms of judicial procedure and into the nature and operation of all laws whether civil or criminal written or customary prevailing and in force in any part of the Indian territories to which any inhabitants of those territories were then sub-

ject. The commissioners were to report to the Governor-General in Council, setting forth the results of their inquiries, and suggesting alterations, and these reports were to the laid before Parliament.

This was the first Indian Law Commission of which Macaulay was the most prominent member 1. Its labours resulted directly in the preparation of the Indian Penal Code, which however did not become law until 1860, and, indirectly and after a long interval of time in the preparation of the Codes of Civil and Criminal Procedure and other codes of substantive and adjective law which now form part of the Indian Statute Book

Important provisions were made by the Act of 1833 for enlarging the rights of European settlers, and for protecting the natives of the country and ameliorating then condition

It was declared to be lawful for any natural-born subject of His Majesty to proceed by sea to any port or place having a custom-house establishment within the Indian territories. and to reside thereat, or to proceed to and reside in or pass through any part of the territories which were under the Company's government on January 1, 1800, or any part of the countries ceded by the Nabob of the Carnatic, of the province of Cuttack, or of the settlements of Singapore and These rights might be exercised without the requirement of any licence But every subject of His Majesty not being a native was, on his arrival in India from abroad, to signify on entry, to an officer of customs, his name, place of destination, and objects of pursuit in India A licence was still required for residence in any part of India other than those above mentioned, but power was reserved to the Governor-General in Council, with the previous approbation

¹ His colleagues were another English barrister, Mr Cameron, afterwards law member of council, and two civil servants of the Company, Mr Macleod of the Madras Service, and Mr (afterwards Sir William) Anderson of the Bombay Service Sir William Macnaghten of the Bengal Service was also appointed, but did not accept the appointment

of the Court of Directors to declare any such part open and remove the obligation of a hoence

Another section expressly enabled any natural born subject of the Crown to acquire and hold lands in India

The regulations as to licences have long since been abolished or fallen into desuctude. But by s. 84 of the Act of 1833 the Governor General in Council was required, as soon as con veniently might be to make laws or regulations providing for the prevention or punishment of the illicit entrance into or residence in British India of persons not authorized to enter or reside therein. Effect has been given to this requirement by Act III of 1864, under which the Government of India and local Governments can order foreigners to remove themselves from British India, and apprehend and detain them if they refuse to obey the order. Under the same Act the Governor General in Council can apply to British India or any part thereof special provisions as to the reporting and licenang of foreigners.

An echo of the fears expressed in 1813 as to the dangers likely to arise from the free settlement of interlopers is to be found in the section which after reciting that the removal of restrictions on the intercourse of Europeans with the said territories will render it necessary to provide for any mischner or dangers that may arise therefrom requires the Governor General in Council by laws and regulations, to provide with all convenient speed for the protection of the natives of the said territories from insult and outrage in their persons religions and opinions ²

Section 87 of the Act declared that no native of the said territories nor any natural born subject of His Majorta resident therein shall by reason only of his religion place

¹ See Alta Caujman v Government of Bonday [1894] I. R. 18 B mbar 756. As to the general powers of excluding allens from British territory see Hangore v Ch a Tecnay Toy [1891] L. R. A. C. 2 (excludent Chinese from Australia), and an article in the Law Quantum Review for Royton Allen Logi lation and the Precognitive of the Cown.

See M. "95 "98 of the Indian Penal Code

of bith descent colour or any of them be disabled from holding any place office, or employment under the Company ' The policy of freely admitting natives of India to a share in the administration of the country has never been more broadly or emphatically enunciated

And finally the Governor-General in Council was required forthwith to take into consideration the means of mitigating the state of slavery, and of ameliorating the condition of slaves, and of extinguishing slavery throughout the Indian territories so soon as such extinction should be practicable and safe and to prepare and submit to the Court of Directors diafts of laws on the subject 1 In preparing these drafts due regard was to be had to the laws of marriage and the rights and authorities of fathers and heads of families

The sections of the Act which follow these broad declarations of policy are concerned mainly with regulations relating to the ecclesiastical establishments in India and increasing the number of bishoprics to three, and with regulations for the college of Haileybury

The Act of 1833, as sent out to India, was accompanied by an explanatory dispatch from the Court of Directors, which, according to a tradition in the India Office, was drafted by James Mill 2

During the twenty years' interval between the Charter Act Legislaof 1833 and that of 1853 there was very little Pailiamentary between legislation on India

1833 and 1853

An Act of 1835 (5 & 6 Will IV, c 52) suspended the provisions of the Act of 1833 as to the division of the Presidency of Bengal into two presidencies 3, and authorized the appoint-

¹ See Act V of 1843 and ss 370, 371 of the Indian Penal Code Mr Cameron's evidence before the select committee of the House of Lords in 1852, and Minutes by Sir H S Maine, No 92

² Kaye, Administration of the East India Company, p 137

³ By s 15 of the Charter Act of 1853 (16 & 17 Vict c 95) this suspension was continued until the Court of Directors and Board of Control should otherwise direct

Charter Act of

1853

ment of a lieutenant governor for the North Western Provinces 1. The project of establishing an executive council for the Bengal and North Western Provinces was abandoned

An Act of 1840 (3 & 4 Vict c 37) consolidated and amended the Indian Mutny Acts and empowered the Governor General in Council to make regulations for the Indian Navy

An Act of 1848 (11 & 12 Vict o 21) enacted for India a law of insolvency which is still in force in the presidency towns

In 1853 during the governor generalship of Lord Dalhousie it became necessary to take steps for renewing the term of twenty years which had been created by the Act of 1833 and accordingly the last of the Charter Acts (16 & 17 Vict o 95) was passed in that year

It differed from the previous Charter Acts by not fixing any definite term for the continuance of the powers but simply providing that the Indian territories should remain under the government of the Company in trust for the Crown, until Parliament should otherwise direct

The Act reduced the number of the directors of the Company from twenty four to eighteen and provided that six of these should be appointed by the Crown.

It continued indefinitely until the Court of Directors and Board of Control should otherwise direct the suspension of the division of the Bengal Presidency contemplated by the Act of 1835, but authorized the appointment of a separate governor for that presidency distinct from the governor general. However the Act went on to provide that unless and until this separate governor was appointed the Court of Directors and Board of Control night authorize the appointment of a lieutenant-governor of Bengal. The power of appointing a separate governor was never brought into

[!] The first appointment was made in 1816.

[•] Under the Act of 1833 the Governor-General of India was also Governor of Rengal, but during his frequent absences from Calcutta need to delegate his functions in the latter capacity to the senior member of his council. See the evidence of Sir Herbert Maddock and Mr. F. Millett before the select committee of the House of Lords in 184...

operation, but the power of appointing a heutenant-governor was exercised in 1854, and has been continued ever since

By the following section, power was given to the directors either to constitute one new presidency, with the same system of a governor and council as in the Presidencies of Madras and Bombay, or, as an alternative, to authorize the appointment of a lieutenant-governor. In this case also the former power was never exercised, but a new lieutenant-governorship was created for the Punjab in 1859.

Further alterations were made by the Act of 1853 in the machinery for Indian legislation. The 'fourth' or legislative member of the governor-general's council was placed on the same footing with the older or 'ordinary' members of the council by being given a right to sit and vote at executive meetings. At the same time the council was enlarged for legislative purposes by the addition of legislative members, of whom two were the Chief Justice of Bengal and one other supreme court judge, and the others were Company's servants of ten years' standing appointed by the several local Governments. The result was that the council as constituted for legislative purposes under the Act of 1853 consisted of twelve 'members, namely—

The governor-general

The commander-in-chief

The four ordinary members of the governor-general's council

The Chief Justice of Bengal

A puisne judge

Four representative members (paid) ² from Bengal, Madias, Bombay, and the North-Western Provinces

The sittings of the legislative council were made public and their proceedings were officially published

¹ Power was given by the Act of 1853 to the governor-general to appoint, with the sanction of the Home Government, two other members from the civil service, but this power was never exercised

² They received salaries of £5,000 a year each

The Indian Law Commission appointed under the Act of 1833 had ceased to exist before 1853. It seems to have lost much of its vitality after Macaulay's departure from India. It lingered on for many years published periodically ponderous volumes of reports on which in many instances, Indian Acts have been based but did not succeed in effecting any codification of the laws or customs of the country and was finally allowed to expire. Efforts were however made by the Act of 1853 to utilize its labours and for this purpose power was given to appoint a body of English commissioners with instructions to examine and consider the recommendations of the Indian Commission.

And finally the right of patronage to Indian appointments was by the Act of 1853 taken away from the Court of Directors and directed to be exercised in accordance with regulations framed by the Board of Control These regulations threw the covenanted civil service open to general competition.

In 1855 an Act was passed (18 & 19 Vict c 53) which prohibited the admission of further students to Hailey-bury College after January 25 1856 and directed the college to be closed on January 31 1858

Establishment of chief commissioner ships. In 1854 was passed an Act which has had importent administrative results in India Under the old system the

As to the proceedings of the commission see the oridence given in Bob before the select committee of the House of Lords on the East India Company's sharter by Mr F Millett and Mr Hay Caperon. Mr Millett was the first secretary and was afterwards member of the commission. Mr Cameron was one of the first members of the commission and was afterwards legislative member of the governor-general's council.

The commissioners appointed under this power were Sir John (after wards Lord) Romilly Sir John Jertis (Chief Justice of Common Pleas), Sir Edward Ryan C. H. Cameron, J. Y. Macleed, J. A. F. Hawkins, Thoms Flower Ellis, and Robert Lowe (Lord Sherbrooke). They were instructed by the Board of Control to consider specially the preparation of a simple and uniform code of procedure for Indian courts and the amalgumation of the apprense and sadr courts. [Letter of Vorember 30, 1813, from the Board of Cootrol to the Inlian Law Commission.]

They were prepared in 1854 by a committee under the presidency of Locif licensian

^{1 17 &}amp; 18 Viet. e 77

only mode of providing for the government of newly acquired territory was by annexing it to one of the three presidencies. Under this system of annexations the Presidency of Bengal had grown to unwieldy dimensions. Some provision had been made for the relief of its government by the constitution of a separate heutenant-governoiship for the North-Western Provinces in 1836. The Act of 1853 had provided for the constitution of a second heutenant-governoiship, and, if necessary, of a fourth presidency. These powers were, however, not found sufficient, and it was necessary to provide for the administration of territories which it might not be advisable to include in any presidency of heutenant-governoiship.

This provision was made by the Act of 1854, which empowered the Governor-General of India in Council, with the sanction of the Court of Directors and the Board of Control, to take by proclamation under his immediate authority and management any part of the territories for the time being in the possession or under the government of the East India Company, and thereupon to give all necessary orders and directions respecting the administration of that part, or otherwise provide for its administration ² The mode in which this power has been practically exercised has been by the appointment of chief commissioners, to whom the Governor-General in Council delegates such powers as need not be reserved to In this way chief commissionerthe Central Government ships were established for Assam³, the Central Provinces, Burma³, and other parts of India But the title of chief commissioner was not directly recognized by Act of Parliament 4, and the territories under the administration of chief commissioners are technically 'under the immediate authority

See preamble to Act of 1854

¹ See Digest, s 56

³ Assam has now been amalgamated with Eastern Bengal under a Lieutenant-Governor, and Burma has been constituted a lieutenant-governor-ship

⁴ It has since been recognized by the Act of 1870 (33 Vict c 3), ss 1, 3

and management of the Governor General in Council within the meaning of the Act of 1854.

The same Act empowered the Government of India with the sanction of the Home authorities, to define the limits of the several provinces in India expressly vested in the Governor General in Council all the residuary authority not transferred to the local Governments of the provinces into which the old Presidency of Bengal had been divided and directed that the governor general was no longer to bear the title of governor of that presidency

Government of 1848

The Mutiny of 1857 gave the death blow to the system of double government, with its division of powers and India Act, responsibilities. In February 1858 Lord Palmerston introduced a Bill for transferring the government of India to the Crown. Under his scheme the home administration was to be conducted by a president with the assistance of a council of eight persons. The members of the council were to be nominated by the Crown, were to be qualified either by having been directors of the Company or by service or residence in India, and were to hold office for eight years two retaring by rotation in each year. In other respects the scheme did not differ materially from that eventually adopted The cause of the East India Company was pleaded by John Stuart Mill in a weighty State paper, but the second reading of the Bill was carried by a large majority

> Shortly afterwards however Lord Palmerston was turned out of office on the Conspiracy to Murder Bill and was suc ceeded by Lord Derby with Mr Disraeli as Chancellos of the Exchequer and Lord Ellenborough as President of the Board of Control The Chancellor of the Exchequer promptly introduced a new Bill for the government of India of which the most remarkable feature was a council consisting partly of nominees of the Crown and partly of persons elected on a complicated and elaborate system by citizens of Manchester and other large towns holders of East India stock, and others This scheme died of ridicule and when the House assembled

after the Easter recess no one could be found to defend it ¹ Mr Disraeli grasped eagerly at a suggestion by Lord John Russell that the Bill should be laid aside, to be succeeded by another based on resolutions of the House. In the meantime Lord Ellenborough had been compelled to resign in consequence of disapproval of his dispatch censuring Lord Canning's Oudh proclamation, and had been succeeded by Lord Stanley, on whom devolved the charge of introducing and piloting through the House the measure which eventually became law as the Act for the better government of India ²

This Act declared that India was to be governed directly by and in the name of the Crown, acting through a Secretary of State, to whom were to be transferred the powers formerly exercised either by the Court of Directors or by the Board of Control Power was given to appoint a fifth principal Secretary of State for this purpose

The Secretary of State was to be aided by a council of fifteen members, of whom eight were to be appointed by the Crown and seven elected by the directors of the East India The major part both of the appointed and of the elected members were to be persons who had served or resided in India for ten years, and, with certain exceptions, who had not left India more than ten years before their appointment Future appointments or elections were to be so made that nine at least of the members of the council should hold these The power of filling vacancies was vested in qualifications the Crown as to Crown appointments, and in the council itself as to others The members of the council were to hold office during good behaviour, but to be removable on an address by both Houses of Pailiament, and were not to be capable of sitting or voting in Parliament 3

¹ It was to this Bill that Loid Palmerston applied the Spanish boy's remark about Don Quixote, and said that whenever a man was to be seen laughing in the streets he was sure to have been discussing the Government of India Bill

² 21 & 22 Viet e 108

³ These provisions have been modified by subsequent legislation. See Digest, s. 4

The council was charged with the duty of conducting under the direction of the Secretary of State the business transacted in the United Kingdom in relation to the government of India and the correspondence with India. The Secretary of State was to be the president of the council, with power to over rule in case of difference of opinion, and to send without reference to the council any dispatches which might under the former practice have been sent through the secret committee.

The officers on the home establishment both of the Company and of the Board of Control were to form the establishment of the new Secretary of State in Council and a scheme for a permanent establishment was to be submitted

The patronage of the more important appointments in India was vested either in the Crown or in the Secretary of State in Council Lieutenant governors were to be appointed by the governor general subject to the approval of the Crown.

As under the Act of 1853 admission to the covenanted civil service was to be open to all natural born subjects of Her Majesty and was to be granted in accordance with the results of an examination held under rules to be made by the Secretary of State in Council with the assistance of the Civil Service Commissioners.

The patronage to military cadetships was to be divided between the Secretary of State and his council

The property of the Company was transferred to the Crown. The expenditure of the revenues of India was to be under the control of the Secretary of State in Council but was to be charged with a dividend on the Company a stock and with their debts, and the Indian revenues remitted to Creat Britain were to be paid to the Secretary of State in Council and applied for Indian purposes Provision was made for the appointment of a special auditor of the accounts of the Secretary of State in Council ²

The Board of Control was formally abolished With respect to contracts and legal proceedings, the Secretary of State in Council was given a quasi-corporate character for the purpose of enabling him to assert the rights and discharge the habilities devolving upon him as successor to the East India Company ¹

It has been seen that under the authority given by various The Acts the Company raised and maintained separate military Army forces of their own. The troops belonging to these forces, whilst in India, were governed by a separate Mutiny Act, perpetual in duration, though re-enacted from time to time with amendments. The Company also had a small naval force, once known as the Bombay Marine, but after 1829 as the Indian Navy

The Act of 1858 transferred to the service of the Crown all the naval and military forces of the Company, retaining, however, their separate local character, with the same hability to local service and the same pay and privileges as if they were in the service of the Company Many of the European troops refused to acknowledge the authority of Parliament to make this transfer They demanded re-engagement and bounty as a condition of the transfer of their services 3, and, failing to get these terms, were offered their discharge

In 1860 the existence of European troops as a separate force was put an end to by an Act (23 & 24 Vict c 100) which, after reciting that it is not expedient that a separate European force should be continued for the local service of Her Majesty in India, formally repealed the enactments by which the Secretary of State in Council was authorized to give directions for raising such forces

In 1861 the officers and soldiers formerly belonging to the Company's European forces were invited to join, and many

ILBERT

¹ Digest, s 35

² The first of these Acts was an Act of 1753 (27 Geo II, c 9), and the last was an Act of 1857 (20 & 21 Vict c 66), which was repealed in 1863 (26 & 27 Vict c 48)

³ In 1859 they made a 'demonstration' which, from the small stature of the recruits enlisted during the Indian Mutiny, was sometimes called the 'Dumpy Mutiny' Pritchard, Administration of India, 1 36

of them were transferred to the regular army under the authority of an Act of that year (24 & 25 Vict c 74) Thus the European army of the late East India Company except a small residue became merged in the military forces of the Crown ¹

The naval force of the East India Company was not amal gamated with the Royal Navy but came to an end in 1863 when it was decided that the defence of India against serious attack by sea should be undertaken by the Royal Navy which was also to provide for the performance of the duties in the Persian Gulf which had been previously undertaken by the Indian Navy ²

The change effected by the Government of India Act 1858 was formally announced in India by the Queen's Proclamation of November I 1858

In 1859 the Government of India Act 1859 (22 & 23 Vict c 41) was passed for determining the officers by whom and the mode in which contracts on behalf of the Secretary of State in Council were to be executed in India ³

Three Acts of great importance were passed in the year 1861

Under the Charter Act of 1793 rank and promotion in the Company s civil service were strictly regulated by seniority and all offices in the civil line of the Company s service in India under the degree of councillor were strictly reserved to the civil servants of the presidency in which the office was held. But by reason of the exigencies of the public service, numerous civil appointments had been made in

Under existing arrangements all the troops sent to India are placed on the Indian establishment and from that time cesse to be roted on the Army Estimates. The number of the forces in the regular army a fixed by the annual Army Act is declared to be exclusive of the number actuality serving within Her Majesty a Indian prosessions. As to the constitutionality of employing Indian troops outside India, see the lebates of 1878 on the employment of Indian troops in M Ita, Hansand, cext. 18 1944. 13 369, 515 and Anson, Law and Custom of the Constitutions, pt il, p. 361 (2nd ed.).

A See Sir Charles Wood a letter to the Admiralty of Oct 30, 18/-

See Digest & 33-

Legisla tion of 1861 Indian Civil Service Act, 1861 India in disregard of these restrictions. The Indian Civil Service Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 54), validated all these irregular appointments in the past, but scheduled a number of appointments which, in the future, were to be reserved to members of the covenanted civil service 1

At the same time it abolished the rule as to seniority and removed all statutory restrictions on appointments to offices And, even with respect to the reserved not in the schedule offices, it left a power of appointing outside s under exceptional This power can only be exercised where it appears to the authority making the appointment that, under the circumstances of the case, it ought to be made without regard to statutory conditions The person appointed must in the Revenue of Judicial Departments, the person appointed must pass the same examinations and tests as are required in the case of the covenanted civil service The appointment is provisional only, and must be forthwith reported to the Secretary of State in Council with the special reasons for making it, and unless approved within twelve months by the Secretary of State 1t becomes void 2

The Indian Councils Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 67), modi-Indian fied the constitution of the governor-general's executive Councils Act, 1861 council and remodelled the Indian legislatures

A fifth ordinary member was added to the governorgeneral's council Of the five ordinary members, three were required to have served for ten years in India under the Company or the Crown, and one was to be a barrister or advocate of five years' standing Power was retained to appoint the commander-in-chief an extraordinary member ³

Power was given to the governor-general, in case of his absence from headquarters, to appoint a president of the council, with all the powers of the governor-general except those with respect to legislation. And, in such case, the

¹ This schedule is still in force Digest, s 93

² This provision still exists Ibid s 95

³ Ibid 39, 40

governor general might invest himself with all the powers exercisable by the Governor General in Council except the powers with respect to legislation 1

For purposes of legislation the governor general s council was reinforced by additional members not less than six nor more than twelve in number nominated by the governor general and holding office for two years. Of these additional members, not less than one-half were to be non-official, that is to say persons not in the civil or military service of the Crown. The heutenant-governor of a province was also to be an additional member whenever the council held a legislative atting within his province.

The Legislative Council established under the Act of 1853 had modelled its procedure on that of Parliament and had shown what was considered an inconvenient degree of in dependence by asking questions as to and discussing the propriety of measures of the Executive Government. The functions of the new Legislative Council were limited strictly to legislation, and it was expressly forbidden to transact any business except the consideration and enactment of legislative measures or to entertain any motion except a motion for leave to introduce a Bill or having reference to a Bill actually introduced.

Measures relating to the public revenue or debt religion military or naval matters, or foreign relations were not to be introduced without the governor general sanction. The assent of the governor general was required to every Act passed by the council and any such Act might be

¹ See Dicest #8, 45, 47

These provisions have been modified by the Act of 1892 (55 & to Vict

c. 14, a. 1). See Digest, a. 60.

It had, among other things, discussed the property of the grant to the Mysore princes. See Proceedings of Legislative Council for 18C x pp. 1343-1402.

^{* 24 &}amp; 25 Vict. c. 67 R. 19. As to the object with which this section was framed, see paragraph 24 of Sir Charles Wood's dispatch of Variety 0, 1861. The restrictions inspeed in 1861 were relaxed in 1862 (15 & 17 Vict. 14, s. 2). Direct s. 64.

disallowed by the Queen acting through the Secretary of State

The legislative power of the Governor-General 'a Council was declared to extend to making laws and regulations for repealing, amending, or altering any laws or regulations for the time being in force in the 'Indian territories now under the dominion of Her Majesty',' and to making laws and regulations for all persons, whether British or native, foreigners or others, and for all courts of justice, and for all places and things within the said territories, and for all servants of the Government of India within the dominions of princes and States in alliance with Her Majesty'. But there were express savings for certain Parliamentary enactments, for the general authority of Parliament, and for any part of the unwritten laws or constitution of the United Kingdom whereon the allegiance of the subject or the sovereignty of the Crown may depend

An exceptional power was given to the governor-general, in cases of emergency, to make, without his council, ordinances, which were not to remain in force for more than six months³

Doubts had for some time existed as to the proper mode of legislating for newly acquired territories of the Company When Benares and the territories afterwards known as the North-Western Provinces were annexed, the course adopted was to extend to them, with some variations, the laws and regulations in force in the older provinces of Bengal, Behar, and Orissa. But when the Saugor and Nerbudda territories were acquired from the Marathas by Lord Hastings, and when Assam, Arakan, and Tenasserim were conquered in 1824, and Pegu in 1852, these regions were specially exempted from the Bengal Regulations, instructions, however, being given to the officers administering them to conduct their procedure in accordance with the spirit of the regulations, so

¹ Explained by 55 & 56 Vict c 14, s 3 Digest, s 63

These powers were extended by 28 & 29 Vict c 17, s 1, and 32 & 33 Vict c 98, s 1 See Digest, s 63

² See Digest, s 69

far as they were suitable to the circumstances of the country ! And when the Punish was annexed the view taken was that the Governor General in Council had power to make laws for the new territory not in accordance with the forms prescribed by the Charter Acts for legislation but by executive orders corresponding to the Orders in Council made by the Crown for what are called Crown Colonies Provinces in which this nower was exercised were called non regulation provinces to distinguish them from the regulation provinces which were governed by regulations formally made under the Charter Acts A large body of laws had been passed under this power or assumed power and in order to remove any doubts as to their validity a section was introduced into the Indian Councils Act 1861 declaring that no rule law or regulation made before the passing of the Act by the governor general or certain other authorities should be deemed invalid by reason of not having been made in conformity with the provisions of the Charter Acts 2

The power of legislation which had been taken away from the Governments of Madras and Bombay by the Charter Act of 1833 was restored to them by the Act of 1861. The councils of the governors of Madras and Bombay were expanded for logislative purposes by the addition of the advocate-general and of other members nominated on the same principles as the additional members of the governor general s council. No line of demarcation was drawn between the subjects reserved for the central and the local legislatures respectively. but the previous sanction of the governor general was made requisite for legislation by the local legislature in certain

Chesney a Indian Polity (3rd ed.), pp. 58 Ga

Indian legislation subsequently became necessary for the purpose of ascertaining and determining the rules which had been thus validated in general terms. See Sir James Stephen's speech in the Legislative Council in the debate on the Punjab Laws Acts, March ~ 18 2 and the chapter contributed by him to Sir W. Hunter's Life of Lord Mayn, vol. ii

^{*} These provisions have also been modified by the Act of 1892. See

Digest, sa. 71 76, 77

cases, and all Acts of the local legislature required the subsequent assent of the governor-general in addition to that of the Secretary of State, and were made subject to disallowance by the Crown, as in the case of the governorgeneral's council There were also the same restrictions on the proceedings of the local legislatures 1

The governor-general was directed to establish, by proclamation, a legislative council for Bengal 2, and was empowered to establish similar councils for the North-Western Provinces and for the Punjab 3 These councils were to consist of the lieutenant-governor and of a certain number of nominated councillors, and were to be subject to the same provisions as the local legislatures for Madras and Bombay

The Act also gave power to constitute new provinces for legislative purposes and appoint new lieutenant-governors, and to alter the boundaries of existing provinces 4

The amalgamation of the supreme and sadr courts, that is Indian to say, of the courts representing the Crown and the Company Gourts respectively at the presidency towns, had long been in con- Act, 1861 templation, and was carried into effect by the Indian High Courts Act, 1861 5

By this Act the Queen was empowered to establish, by letters patent 6, high courts of judicature in Calcutta, Madias, and Bombay, and on then establishment the old chartered supreme courts and the old 'Sadı Adalat' Courts were to be abolished, the jurisdiction and the powers of the abolished courts being transferred to the new high courts

Each of the high courts was to consist of a chief justice and not more than fifteen judges, of whom not less than one-

į

¹ See note 4, p 100

² A legislative council for Bengal was established by a proclamation of January 18, 1862

³ A legislative council was established for the North-Western Provinces and Oudh (now United Provinces of Agra and Oudh) in 1886, and for the Punjab in 1897

^{&#}x27; 24 & 25 Viet c 104 ⁴ 88 46, 47 Digest, s 74

⁶ The letters patent or charters now in force with respect to these three high courts bear date December 28, 1865

third, including the chief justice were to be barristers and not less than one-third were to be members of the covenanted civil service. All the judges were to be appointed by and to hold office during the pleasure of the Crown The high courts were expressly given superintendence over and power to frame rules of practice for all the courts subject to their appellate jurisdiction 1

Power was given by the Act to establish another high court with the same constitution and powers as the high courts established at the presidency towns 2

Legislatron since 1861

The Indian High Courts Act of 1861 closed the series of constitutional statutes consequent on the transfer of the government of India to the Crown. Such Acts of Parliament as have since then been passed for India have done little more than amend, with reference to minor points, the Acts of 1858 1881 hra

The Indian High Courts Act 1865 " empowered the Governor General in Council to pass orders altering the limits of the jurisdiction of the several chartered high courts and enabling them to exercise their jurisdiction over native Christian subjects of Her Majesty resident in Native States

Another Act of the same year the Government of India Act, 1865 extended the legislative powers of the governor general's council to all British subjects in Native States whether servants of the Crown or not 5 and enabled the Governor General in Council to define and alter by proclams tion the territorial limits of the various presidencles and lieutenant governorships 6

The Government of India Act 1869 1 vested in the Secretary of State the right of filling all vacancies in the Council of India and changed the tenure of members of the council

See Digest, ss. 96-103.

Ibid. 57

a. 16. Under this power a high court was established at Allahabari in 1866. It is probable that the power was thereby exhausted.

^{3 &}amp; 29 Viet. e. 15. Degrat a. 104.

^{27 2 79} Vict. c 17

bee Digest, a. 63. 1 12 £ 31 VKL c 0

from a tenure during good behaviour to a term of ten years. It also transferred to the Crown from the Secretary of State in Council the right of filling vacancies in the offices of the members of the councils in India.

The Indian Councils Act, 1869, still further extended the legislative powers of the governor-general's council by enabling it to make laws for all native Indian subjects of Her Majesty in any part of the world, whether in India or not

A very important modification in the machinery for Indian lagislature was made by the Government of India Act, 1870 2 It has been seen that for a long time the governor-general believed himself to have the power of legislating by executive order for the non-regulation provinces The Indian Councils Act of 1861, whilst validating rules made under this power in the past, took away the power for the future The Act of 1870 practically restored this power by enabling the governorgeneral to legislate in a summary manner for the less advanced parts of India 3 The machinery provided is as The Secretary of State in Council, by resolution, follows declares the provisions of section I of the Act of 1870 applicable to some particular part of a British Indian province Thereupon the Governor in Council, lieutenant-governor, or chief commissioner of the province, may at any time propose to the Governor-General in Council drafts of regulations for the peace and good government of that part, and these drafts, when approved and assented to by the Governor-General in Council, and duly gazetted, have the same force of law as if they had been formally passed at sittings of the Legislative This machinery has been extensively applied to Council the less advanced districts of the different Indian provinces, and numerous regulations have been, and are constantly being, made under it

¹ 32 & 33 Vict c 98 See Digest, s 63

² 33 & 34 Vict c 3 Digest, s 68

This restoration of a power of summary legislation was strongly advocated by Sir H S Maine See Minutes by Sir H S Maine, pp 153, 156

The same Act of 1870 contained two other provisions of considerable importance One of them (s. 5) repeated and strengthened the power of the governor general to overrule his council 1 The other (s. 6) after reciting the expediency of giving additional facilities for the employment of natives of India of proved ment and ability in the civil service of Her Majesty in India enabled any native of India to be appointed to any office place or employment in that service notwithstanding that he had not been admitted to that service in the manner directed by the Act of 1858 i e by competition in England. The conditions of such appoint ments were to be regulated by rules made by the Governor General in Council with the approval of the Secretary of State in Council 2 The result of these rules was the statutory civilian who has now been merged in or superseded by the Provincial Service

Two small Acts were passed in 1871 the Indian Councils Act 1871 (34 & 35 Vict c 34) * which made slight extensions of the powers of local legislatures and the Indian Bishops Act 1871 (34 & 35 Vict c 62) which regulated the leave of absence of Indian bishops

An Act of 1873 (36 Vict c 17) formally dissolved the East India Company as from January 1 1874

The Indian Councils Act 1874 (37 & 38 Vict c 91) enabled a sixth member of the governor general s council to be appointed for public works purposes

The Council of Indua Act 1876 (39 & 40 Vict c 7) enabled the Secretary of State for special reasons to appoint any person having professional or other peculiar qualifications to be a member of the Council of India, with the old tenure during good behaviour which had been abolished in 1869.

1 See Digest, s. 44. It will be remembered that Lord Lytton acted under this power when he exempted imported cotton goods from duty in 1879.

See 1bid, 94.

This Act was passed in consequence of the decision of the Rombay High
Court in R. v Ecoy 7 Rom. Cr. 6. See note on s. 9 of Digrat.

This lower was xercised in the case of Fr II R Maine and was prohably conferred with special reference to him. In the same year was passed the Royal Titles Act, 1876 (39 & 40 Viet c. 10), which authorized the Queen to assume the title of Empress of India

The Indian Salaries and Allowances Act, 1880 (43 & 44 Vict c 3), enabled the Secretary of State to regulate by order certain salaries and allowances which had been previously fixed by statute ¹

The Indian Marine Service Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Viet c 38), enabled the Governor-General in Council to legislate for maintaining discipline in a small marine establishment, called Her Majesty's Indian Marine Service, the members of which were neither under the Naval Discipline Act nor under the Merchant Shipping Acts -

The Council of India Reduction Act, 1889 (52 & 53 Vict c 65), authorized the Secretary of State to abstain from filling vacancies in the Council of India until the number should be reduced to ten

The Indian Councils Act, 1892 (55 & 56 Vict c 14), authorized an increase in the number of the members of the Indian legislative councils, and empowered the Governor-General in Council, with the approval of the Secretary of State in Council, to make rules regulating the conditions under which these members are to be nominated. At the same time the Act relaxed the restrictions imposed by the Act of 1861 on the proceedings of the legislative councils by enabling rules to be made authorizing the discussion of the annual financial statement, and the asking of questions, under prescribed conditions and restrictions

The Act also cleared up a doubt as to the meaning of an enactment in the Indian Councils Act of 1861, modified some of the provisions of that Act about the office of 'additional members' of legislative councils, and enabled local legislatures, with the previous sanction of the governor-general, to repeal

or after Aots of the governor general a council affecting their province $^{\mathrm{I}}$

The Madras and Bombay Armes Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 62) abolished the offices of commanders in-chief of the Madras and Bombay armies and thus made possible a sim plification of the Indian military system which had been asked for persistently by four successive vicercys.

The Contracts (India Office) Act 1903 (3 Edw VII, c. 11) declared the mode in which certain contracts might be made by the Secretary of State in Council ³

The Indian Councils Act 1904 (4 Edw VII c 26) while continuing the power to appoint a sixth member of the Governor General's Council removed the necessity for appointing him specifically for public works purposes 4

See Digest, a. 76. In the absence of this power the sphere of action of the new legislature for the North-Western Provinces and Oudh was confined within an infinitesimal area.

Administrative reforms in India are not carried out with undue precipitancy. The appointment of a single commander-in-chief for India, with four subordinate commanders under him, was recommended by Lord William Bentinck, Sir Charles Metcalfe, and others in 1833 (Further Papers respecting the East India Company a Charter 1833.)

See Digest *, 32. See Digest 2, 39.

[The authorities which I have found most useful for this chapter are Reports of Parliamentary Committees pinsum. Calendar of Stat. Fapers, Colonial. East Indies Shaw Charters of the East Indies Shaw Charters of the East Indies Company Mairus 1887; Morley Digest Introduction; Stephen [J. P.], Vancouser and Impey 1883; Forcest (G.), Selections from State Papers Indies, 1772-85; and for general history Hunter (Sir W. W.), Husbory of British India (solly 2016) published; Lyul (Sir A. C.), British Doumnon in Indies Lecky History of England in the 18th centery; Hunt (W.), Political History of England, 1760-1801; and Mill. History of British India and Indies Lecky Wilson.]

CHAPTER II

SUMMARY OF INISTING LAW

THE administration of British India rests upon English Acts of Parliament largely supplemented by Indian Acts and regulations.

At the head of the administration in England is the Home Secretary of State who exercises, on behalf of the Crown, Government the powers formerly exercised by the Board of Control and Secretary of State Court of Directors and who as a member of the Cabinet, is responsible to and represents the supreme authority of Parliament?

He is assisted by a council, the Council of India, originally Council of India fifteen in number, but now, under an Act of 1889, being gradually reduced to ten. The members of the council are appointed by the Secretary of State, and hold office for a term of ten years, with a power of reappointment under special circumstances for a further term of five years. There is also a special power to appoint any person 'having professional or other peculiar qualifications' to be a member of the council during good behaviour. At least nine members of the council must be persons who have served or resided in British India for not less than ten years, and who have left British India not more than ten years before their appointment. A member of the council cannot sit in Parliament?

The duties of the Council of India are to conduct, under the direction of the Secretary of State, the business transacted in the United Kingdom in relation to the government of

¹ The best authorities for the existing system of administration are Sir John Strachey's India (3rd ed, 1903), Sir W Hunter's Indian Empire, Chesney's Indian Polity (3rd ed, 1894), and the latest of the Decennial Reports on the Moral and Material Progress of India (1904)

² Digest, s 2

³ Ibid 2, 3

India and the correspondence with India. The Secretary of State is president of the council and has power to appoint a vice-president.¹

Every order proposed to be made by the Secretary of State must before it is issued, be either submitted to a meeting of the council or deposited in the council room for seven days before a meeting of the council But this requirement does not apply to orders which under the old system might have been sent through the secret committee ²

In certain matters including the expenditure of the revenues of India, orders of the Secretary of State are required by law to obtain the concurrence of a majority of votes at a meeting of his council but in all other matters the Secretary of State can overrule his council. Whenever there has been a difference of opinion in council any member has a right to have his opinion, and the reasons for it recorded ³

The council is thus in the main, a consultative body without any power of initiation, and with a limited power of veto. Even on questions of expenditure where they arise out of previous decisions of the Cabinet as would usually be the case in matters relating to peace or war or foreign relations it would be very difficult for the Council to withhold their concurrence from the Secretary of State when he acts as representative and mouthpiece of the Cabinet.

For the better transaction of business the council is divided

The establishment of the Secretary of State that is to say the permanent staff constituting what is popularly known as the India Office was fixed by an Order of the Queen in Council made under the Act of 1858. It is divided into departments each under a separate permanent secretary and the committees of the council are so formed as to correspond to these departments

All the revenues of India are required by law to be received

Digest, pp. 5-10. * Hid. 12 14. * Hid. 10.

Staff of India Office

Indian revenues. for and in the name of the King, and to be applied and disposed of exclusively for the purposes of the Government of India ¹ The expenditure of these revenues, both in India and elsewhere, is declared to be subject to the control of the Secretary of State in Council, and no grant or appropriation of any part of the revenues is to be made without the concurrence of a majority of the votes at a meeting of the Council Except for preventing or repelling actual invasion of India 2 of His Majesty's Indian possessions, or under other sudden and urgent necessity, the revenues of India are not, without the consent of both Houses of Parliament, to be applicable to defraying the expenses of any military operation carried on beyond the external frontiers of those possessions by His Majesty's forces charged upon those revenues 3

The accounts of the Indian revenues and expenditure are Audit laid annually before Parliament, and the accounts of the Secretary of State in Council are audited by an auditor, who is appointed by the King by warrant countersigned by the Chancellor of the Exchequer 4

For the purpose of legal proceedings and contracts, but Contracts not for the purpose of holding property, the Secretary of and legal proceed-State in Council is a juristic person or body corporate by ings that name, having the same capacities and habilities as the East India Company ⁵ He has also statutory powers of contracting through certain officers in India 6

At the head of the Government in India is the governor- Governgeneral, who is also viceroy, or representative of the King India He is appointed by the King by warrant under his sign The governormanual, and usually holds office for a term of five years 7 general

He has a council, which at present consists of six members, The besides the commander-in-chief, who may be, and in practice general's always is, appointed an extraordinary member 8

council

¹ Digest, s 22

² Ibid 23 See, however, the practical qualifications of this requirement noted above

³ Ibid 24.

⁴ Ibid 29, 30

⁵ Ibid 32, 35

⁶ Ibid 33

⁷ Ibid 36, 37

⁸ Tbid 38-40 •

The Governor of Madras or Bombay is also an extraordinary member of the council whenever it sits within his province (which, in fact never happens 1)

The power given by an Act of 1874 to appoint a sixth ordinary member specifically for public works purposes was made general by an Act of 1904.

The ordinary members of the governor generals council are appointed by the Crown, in practice for a term of five years. Three of them must be persons who at the time of their appointment have been for at least ten years in the service of the Crown in India and one must be a barrister of England or Ireland or a member of the Faculty of Advocates of Scotland, of not less than five years standing.

If there is a difference of opinion in the council in ordinary circumstances the opinion of the majority prevails but, in exceptional circumstances, the governor general has power to overrule his council ³

If the governor general visits any part of India unaccom panied by his council he is empowered to appoint some ordinary member of his council to be president of the council in his place and in such case there is further power to make an order authorizing the governor general alone to exercise all the executive powers of the Governor General in Council ⁴

The official acts of the central Government in India are expressed to run in the name of the Governor General in Council often described as the Government of India ⁵ The executive work of the Government of India is distributed among departments which may be compared to the depart ments of the central Government in Figland There are at present nine of these departments—Finance Foreign Home Legislative Revenue and Agriculture Public Works, Commerce and Industry Army and Military Supply At the head of each of them is one of the accretaries to the

Digest, a. 40. Ibid. 39. Ibid. 44. Ibid. 45, 47
Legislative sanction for this name is given by the Indian General Claus & Act (X of 1897 a. 3 (22)).

Government of India, who corresponds to the permanent secretary in England, and each of them, except the Foreign Department, is assigned to the special care of one of the members of council. The Foreign Department is under the immediate superintendence of the viceroy, who may be thus called his own Foreign Minister, although members of the council share responsibility for such matters relating to the department as come within their cognizance.

Besides these nine departments of the Secretariat, there are special departments, outside the Secretariat departments but attached to some one of them. These special departments either transact branches of work which the Government of India keeps in its own hands, or exercise supervision over branches of work which are conducted by the Local Governments. Thus the Directors-General of the Post Office and of the Telegraph department, the Surveyor-General, and the newly constituted Railway Board, are at the head of departments which are centrally administered. On the other hand the Inspectors-General of Forests and of Agriculture, and the Directors-General of Education and of the Indian Medical Service, represent departments which are administered by the Local Governments but supervised by the Government of India

In the transaction of business, minor questions are settled, departmentally Questions involving a difference of opinion between two departments, or raising any grave issue, are brought up to be settled in council

The council usually meets once a week, but special meetings may be summoned at any time. The meetings are private, and the procedure is of the same informal kind as at a meeting of the English Cabinet, the chief difference being that one of the secretaries to the Government usually attends during the discussion of any question affecting his department, and takes a note of the order passed ¹

ILBERT I

¹ For a description of the mode of transacting business in council before the work of the Government was 'departmentalized,' see Lord Minto, in India, p. 26, and as to the effect of departmentalizing, see Strachey, p. 60

Every dispatch from the Secretary of State is circulated among all the members of the council and every dispatch to the Secretary of State is signed by every member of the council who is present at headquarters as well as by the vicercy unless he is absent

If any member of the council dissents from any dispatch signed by his colleagues he has the right to append to it a minute of dissent

The headquarters of the Government of India are at Calcutta during the cold weather season, and at Simla during the rest of the year ¹

The local governments. For purposes of administration British India is now divided into thirteen provinces, each with its own local government. These provinces are the old presidencies of Madras (Fort St George) and Bombay five Lieutenant-Governorships namely Bengal Eastern Bengal and Assam the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh the Punjab and Burma and six Chief Commissionerships namely the Central Provinces Ajmere-Merwara Coorg British Baluchistan the North West Frontier Province and the Andaman Islands

The provinces of Madras and Bombay are each under a governor and council appointed by the Crown, in practice for a term of five years the governor being usually an English statesman, and the council consisting of two members of the Indian Civil Service of twelve years standing. The governors of Madras and Bombay retain their privilege of

As to the advantages and disadvantages of S mis as a seat of Government, see Minutes by Sir H. S. Maine No. 70.

As to the ambiguity of the term presidency see Chesney Indian. Polity (and ed.), pp. 79-88. Strackey p. 43.

Constituted in 1905 by the union of the Ea tern part of Bengal with the Chief Commissionerably of Assam. See Act VII of 1905

Constituted in 1901 by the union of the Lieutenant-Governorskij of the orth-Western Provinces with the Chief Commissionership of Oudh.

• Placed under a lieutenant-governor in 1897

 Made a Chief Commissionership in 1887 Carred out of the Punjab, and placed under a Chief Commissioner in 1901

Digest, 14, 50, 51

communicating directly with the Secretary of State, and have the same power as the governor-general of overruling their councils in cases of emergency. For reasons which are mainly historical, the control of the Government of India over the Governments of Madras and Bombay is less complete than over other local Governments.

The heutenant-governors have no executive councils, and are appointed by the governor-general, with the approval of the King ¹ They are in practice appointed from the Indian Civil Service ², and hold office for five years

The chief commissioners are appointed by the Governor-General in Council In some cases this office is combined with another post. Thus the Resident at Mysore is, ex-officio, Chief Commissioner of Coorg, and the Governor-General's Agent for Rajputana is, ex-officio, Chief Commissioner of Ajmere-Merwara. So also the Chief Commissioners of British Baluchistan and of the North-West Frontier Province are Governor-General's Agents for dealing with the neighbouring tribes outside British India

Under an arrangement made in 1902 the 'Assigned Districts' of Berar are leased in perpetuity to the British Government, and are administered by the Chief Commissioner of the Central Provinces

For legislative purposes the governor-general's council Indian legislative expanded into a legislative council by the addition of not tion less than ten nor more than sixteen additional members, of whom at least one-half must be persons not in the civil or military service of the Crown in India. These additional members are nominated by the governor-general under rules approved by the Secretary of State 3. Under the rules framed in pursuance of the Act of 1892 4 there are sixteen additional members, of whom six are officials appointed by the Governor-General in Council, and ten are non-official

¹ Digest, s 55

² There may have been exceptions, e g Sir H Durand

³ Digest, s 60 ⁴ 55 & 56 Vict c 14

Of the non official members four are appointed by the governor general on the recommendation of the non-official additional members of the provincial legislatures of Madras, Bombay Bengal and the United Provinces each of these bodies recommending one member and one on the recommendation of the Calcutta Chamber of Commerce. The governor general can, if he thinks fit, decline to accept a recommendation is submitted to him. The remaining five members are nominated by the governor general in such manner as shall appear to him most suitable with reference to the legislative business to be brought before the council and the due representation of the different classes of the community.

The additional members hold office for two years and are entitled to be present at all legislative meetings of the council but at no others ¹

The legislature thus formed bears the awkward name of the Governor-General in Council at meetings for the purpose of making laws and regulations

The Governor General in Council at these meetings has power to make laws—

- (a) for all persons, for all courts and for all places and things within British India and
- (b) for all British subjects of His Majesty and servants of the Government of India within other parts of India that is to say within the Native States and
- (c) for all persons being native Indian subjects of His Majesty or native Indian officers or soldiers in His Majesty's Indian forces when in any part of the world whether within or without His Majesty's dominions and
- (d) for all persons employed or serving in the Indian Marine Service ²

Digest, a. 60.
Bible 63. As to whether there I any power to legislate for servant of the Government outside. India, see the note (c) on that section.

But this power is subject to various restrictions. For instance, it does not extend to the alteration of any Act of Parliament passed since 1860, or of certain specified portions of earlier Acts¹, and does not enable the legislature to make any law affecting the authority of Parliament or any part of the unwritten laws or constitution of the United Kingdom whereon may depend the allegiance of any person to the Crown or the sovereignty or dominion of the Crown in any part of British India²

Measures affecting the public debt or revenues of India, the religion or religious rites or usages of any class of His Majesty's subjects in India, the discipline or maintenance of the military or naval forces, or the relations of the Government with foreign States, cannot be introduced by any member without the previous sanction of the governor-general's Every Act requires the governor-general's assent, unless it is reserved by him for the signification of His Majesty's pleasure, in which case the power of assenting rests with the Crown The assent of the Crown is in other cases not necessary to the validity of an Act, but any Act may be disallowed by the Crown 4

The procedure at meetings of the Legislative Council is regulated by rules made by the council and assented to by the governor-general 5

Under the Act of 1861, the powers of the Legislative Council were strictly confined to the consideration of measures introduced into the council for the purpose of enactment or the alteration of rules for the conduct of business ⁶ But under the Act of 1892 rules may be made authorizing at meetings of the Council discussion of the annual financial statement and the asking of questions, but under such conditions and restrictions, as to subject or otherwise, as may be prescribed

Namely, 3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, except ss 81-86, 16 & 17 Vict c 95, 17 & 18 Vict c 77, 21 & 22 Vict c 106, 22 & 23 Vict c 41 See 24 & 25 Vict c 67, s 22, as amended by 32 & 33 Vict c 98, s 2

⁵ Ibid. 67 ⁶ See above, p 100

Local legisla

tures

Under the rules made in pursuance of this power the annual financial statement must be made publicly in the council Every member is at liberty to make any observations he thinks fit and the financial member of the council and the president have the right of reply. Under the same rules due notice must be given of any question, and every question must be a request for information only and must not be put in argumentative, or hypothetical or defamatory language. No discussion is permitted in respect of an answer given on behalf of the Government and the president may disallow any question which in his opinion cannot be answered consistently with the public interest.

Besides the formal power of making laws through the Legislative Council the governor general has also under an Act of 1870. Power to legislate in a more summary manner by means of regulations for the government of certain districts of India of a more backward character which are defined by orders of the Secretary of State and which are scheduled districts within the meaning of certain Acts of the Indian Legislature. Under a section of the Act of 1861. It the governor general has also power in cases of emergency to make temporary ordinances which are to be in force for a term not exceeding six months.

The Governor General in Council also exercises certain legislative powers with respect to Native States but in his executive capacity and not through his legislative council ²

Local legislatures were established by the Indian Councils Act 1861 for the provinces of Madras and Bombay and have under the powers given by that Act since been established for Bengal for the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh as constituting a single province for the Punjab for Burma and for the province of Eastern Bengal and Assam 4

The legislatures for Madras and Bombay consist of the

^{1 33} Vict e 3, a. 1 abore p. 105 Digent s. 68. 24 & 25 Vict. e 67 a. 23. Digent a. 69.

^{*} See Chapter v Ree Digest, as, 70, 4

governor and his council, reinforced, for the purpose of legislation, by additional members These additional members must be not less than eight and not more than twenty in number, and must include the advocate-general of the province, and at least one-half of them must be persons not in the civil of military service of the Crown They are nominated by the governor in accordance with rules framed by the Governor-General in Council and approved by the Secretary of State Under the existing rules, their number, both at Madras and at Bombay, is fixed at twenty, of whom not more than nine may be officials The system of nomination adopted is intended to give a representative character to the members For instance, at Bombay eight non-official members are nominated on the recommendation of various bodies and associations, including one recommended by the Corporation of the City, one by the University, and six by groups of municipal corporations, groups of district local boards, classes of large landholders, and associations of merchants, manufacturers, or tradesmen The remaining non-official members are nominated by the governor 'in such manner as shall in his opinion secure a fair representation of the different classes of the community'

In the provinces which have legislative, but not executive, councils, the legislature consists of the lieutenant-governor and of persons nominated by him under similar rules and on the same general principles as those which apply to the local legislatures of Madras and Bombay. The number of the nominated members of the legislative council is twenty in Bengal, fifteen in the United Provinces, nine in the Punjab and Burma respectively, and fifteen in Eastern Bengal and Assam. One-third of them must be persons not in the civil or military service of the Crown. Of the fifteen councillors for Eastern Bengal and Assam not more than seven may be officials.

The powers of the local legislatures are more limited than

¹ Digest, s 73

² See notification of Oct 16, 1905

those of the legislative council of the governor general They cannot make any law affecting any Act of Parliament for the time being in force in the province and may not, without the previous sanction of the governor general make or take into consideration any law—

- (a) affecting the public debt of India or the customs duties or any other tax or duty for the time being in force and imposed by the authority of the Governor-General in Council for the general purposes of the Government of India or
- (b) regulating any of the ourrent coin, or the issuing of any bills notes or other paper currency or
- (c) regulating the conveyance of letters by the post office or messages by the electric telegraph within the province or
- (d) altering the Indian Penal Code or
- (e) affecting the religion or religious rites or usages of any class of His Majesty's subjects in India or
- (f) affecting the disorpline or maintenance of any part of His Majesty's naval or military forces or
- (g) regulating patents or copyright or
- (h) affecting the relations of the Government with foreign princes or States ¹

Until 1892 their powers were much restricted by their inability to alter any Act of the Governor General in Council but under a provision of the Indian Councils Act 1892 the local legislature of any province may with the previous sanction of the governor general repeal or amend as to that province any law or regulation made by any other authority in India.

Acts passed by a local legislature in India require the assent of the governor-general and are subject to dis allowance by the Crown in the same manner as Acts of the governor general a legislative council.² The restrictions on

the adopt to oblic or a rest that council alco apply to meating of the local legislature.

No property of the received to the control of the loss light later to posty by the protect lamover the governor expectally cornect entire at life to be relation which is either for proving a form that is all local time of their own, or en posters who have beyond the competency of the loss local values of the loss dealt with my universe property throughout British India. Under this loss took follows as the lindical color in linding the Penal Color, the tooks of the land Crimical Presidence, at the land color, the tooks of the land the tentral Presidence, the Succession has the Landence Let the Contract Let the Special Color, the the Newstande Instruments Let the Transfer of Preports Let, the Landence Let and the Lauments Let.

The law administered by the courts of British Indiscount to, Indian to far as it is enacted law, of

- (i) Such Acts of Parliament is extend, expressly or by implication, to British India?
- (2) The regulation made by the Governments of Madras, Bengal and Bombay before the coming into operation of the Government of India Act. 1833 (3.8.4 Will. IV. 6.85) 5.

As to the relations between the governor-general's council and local legislatures, see Minutes ha Sir H. S. Maine, No. 69

³ See the Statutes relating to India, published by the Indian Legislative Department in 1895.

The Bengal Regulations presed before 1793 were in that year collected and presed by Lord Cornwallis in the shape of a revised code. 675 Regulations were presed between 1793 and 1834, both inclusive, but of there only eighty-nine are now wholly or partly in force. Such of them as are still in force are to be found in the volumes of the Bengal Code published by the Indian Legislative Department.

Of the 251 Madras Regulations, twenty-eight are still wholly or partly in force, and are to be found in the Madras Code

The Bombay Regulations were revised and consolidated by Mountstuart Elphinstone in 1827. Twenty Bombay Regulations are still whally or partly in force, and are to be found in the Bombay Code.

¹ Digest, r 77

- (3) The Acts passed by the Governor General in Council under the Government of India Act 1833 and subsequent statutes 1
- (4) The Acts passed by the local legislatures of Madras Bombay Bengal the North Western Provinces and Oudh (now the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh) the Punjab Burma and Eastern Bengal and Assam since their constitution under the Indian Councils Act 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 67).
- (5) The Regulations made by the governor general under the Government of India Act 1870 (33 Vict c 3)³
- (6) The Ordinances if any made by the governor general under s 23 of the Indian Councils Act 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 67) and for the time being in force '
- To these may be added-
- (7) Orders in Council made by the King in Council and applying to India 5
- (8) Statutory rules made under the authority of Fnglish Acts ^o
- (9) Rules, orders regulations by laws and notifications made under the authority of Indian Acts

Revised editions of these Acts, omitting repealed matter have been published by the Indian Legislattre Department. Such of them a relate only to particular provinces are to be found in the Codes for these provinces published by the Legislative Department

These Acts are to be found in the volumes of Codes mentioned above A Chromological Table of and Index to these five classes of enactment have been compiled by the Indian Legislative Departments.

See Digest a. 69.

⁸ See e.g. the Order in Council confirming the Extradition (India) Act 1895 (IV of 1895), Statistory Rules and Order Recent v 1971 the Earlike Order in Council of 1897 which gives an appeal from the British Court in Zanzibar to the Bombay High Court Statistory Rule and Orders Retract v 87; and the Indian (Foreign Jurisdiction) Order in Council, 1902 perietd below p. 358

e g, the rules made under s. 8 of the Indian Council Act 1891 (Digest s. 43), and under st. 1 & of the Indian Councils Act 189. (Digest st. 60, 61).

T Lists of such of these as have been made under general Acts have been published by the Legislative Department. There are also some list and collections of rules made under local Acts. (10) Rules, laws, and regulations made by the governorgeneral or the Governor-General in Council for nonregulation provinces before 1861, and confirmed by s 25 of the Indian Councils Act, 1861

These enactments are supplemented by such portions of the Hindu, Mahomedan, and other native laws and customs as are still in force, and by such rules or principles of European, mainly English, law as have been applied to the country, either under the direction to act in accordance with justice, equity, and good conscience, or in other ways, and as have not been superseded by Indian codification

Native law has been wholly superseded, as to criminal law and procedure and as to civil procedure, by the Indian Penal Code, the Indian Codes of Criminal and Civil Procedure, the Evidence Act, and other enactments, and has been largely superseded as to other matters by Anglo-Indian legislation, but still regulates, as personal law, most matters relating to family law and to the law of succession and inheritance among Hindus, Mahomedans, and other natives of the country ²

The East India Company Act, 1793 (33 Geo III, c 52), The civil reserved to members of the covenanted civil service the India principal civil offices in India under the rank of member of council Appointments to this service were made in England by the Court of Directors

The Government of India Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict c 95), threw these appointments open to competition among natural-born subjects of Her Majesty, and this system was maintained by the Act of 1858, which transferred the government of India to the Crown ⁴ The first regulations for the competi-

¹ See above, p 102 Probably most, if not all of this body of laws has expired or been superseded

² See below, Chapter 1v

³ So called from the covenants into which the superior servants of the East India Company were required to enter, and by which they were bound not to trade, not to receive presents, to subscribe for pensions, and so forth Members of the civil service of India are still required to enter into similar covenants before receiving their appointments

⁴ See Digest, s 92

tive examinations were framed by Lord Macanlay's committee in 1854, and have since been modified from time to time. Under the existing rules the limits of age for candidates are from twenty-one to twenty three. Successful candidates remain on probation for one year and then have to pass an examination in subjects specially connected with their future duties. If they pass they receive their appointments from the Secretary of State. Probationers are encouraged by a special allowance of £100 to pass their probationary year at a University or College approved by the Secretary of State.

The Indian Civil Service Act 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 54) whilst validating certain irregular appointments which had been made in the past expressly reserved in the future to members of the covenanted service all the more important civil posts under the rank of member of council in the regulation provinces. The schedule of reserved posts, which is still in force i does not apply to non-regulation provinces, such as the Punjab Oudh, the Central Provinces and Burma, where the higher civil posts may be, and in practice often are filled by military officers belonging to the Indian Army and others

An Act of 1870 (33 Vict o 3) after reciting that it is expedient that additional facilities should be given for the employment of natives of India of proved merit and ability in the civil service of Her Majesty in India authorized the appointment of any native of India to any office place or employment in the civil service in India without reference to any statutory restriction, but subject to rules to be made by the Governor General in Council with the sanction of the Secretary of State in Council.

Little was done under this Act until rules for regulating appointments under it were made during Lord Lytton's government in 1879. The intention was that about a sixth of the posts reserved by law to the covenanted civil service should be filled by natives of India appointed under these rules and for the purpose of giving gradual effect to this

scheme, the number of appointments made in England was in 1880 reduced by one-sixth. The persons appointed under the rules were often described as 'statutory civilians,' and about sixty natives of India had been so appointed when the system was changed in 1889. The rules did not work satisfactorily, and in 1886 a commission, under the presidency of Sn Charles Artchison, was appointed by the Government of India with instructions 'to devise a scheme which might reasonably be hoped to possess the necessary elements of finality, and to do full justice to the claims of natives of India to higher employment in the public service'

Under the scheme established in pursuance of the recommendations of Sir Charles Aitchison's commission a provincial . civil service has been formed by the amalgamation of the higher appointments in what was previously known as the uncovenanted civil service with a certain number of appointments previously held by the covenanted civil service The lower grade appointments of what had been the uncovenanted civil service are now styled the 'subordinate service' There are thus three classes of the general civil service, (I) the Civil Service of India, (2) the Provincial Service, and (3) the Sub-The Civil Service of India is recruited by ordinate Service open competition in England The other two services are recruited provincially and consist almost entirely of natives of the province The provincial service is fed mainly by direct recruitment, but, in exceptional cases, by promotion In the executive branch the from the subordinate service lowest grade in the provincial service is the deputy collector, the highest in the subordinate service is the tahsildar cial officers of all grades belong to the provincial service 1

Besides this general service, there are special services such as the education department, the public works department, the forest department, and the police department. Appointments to the highest posts in these departments are as a rule

¹ As to the proportion of Englishmen in the Indian Civil Service, see Strachey, *India*, p 82

made in England. The other posts are recruited provincially and are, like posts in the general service graded as belonging either to a provincial service, or to a subordinate service.

The chartered high courts.

It is only with reference to the four chartered high courts that the judicial system of India is regulated by English statute. Under the Regulating Act of 1773 (13 Geo III o 03) a supreme court was established by charter for Calcutta, and similar courts were established for Madras in 1800 (39 & 40 Geo III, o 79) and for Bombay in 1823 (4 Geo IV o. 71). The Act of 1781 (21 Geo III o 70) recognized an appellate jurisdiction over the country courts established by the Company in the Presidency of Bengal 2

The Indian High Courts Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 104) amalgamated the supreme and sadr courts at the three presidency towns (that is to say the courts exercising the jurisdiction of the Crown and the appellate and supervisional jurisdiction of the Company at these towns) by authorizing the establishment of chartered high courts inheriting the jurisdiction of both these courts. The charters now regulating these high courts were granted in December 1865. The same Act authorized the establishment of a new high court and accordingly a charter establishing the High Court at Allshabad was granted in 1866.

Each of the four chartered high courts consists of a chief justice and of as many judges, not exceeding fifteen as His Majesty may think fit to appoint.

A judge of a chartered high court must be either-

- (a) a barrister of England or Ireland or a member of the Faculty of Advocates in Scotland of not less than five years standing or
- (b) a member of the civil service of India of not less than ten years standing and having for at least three years served as or exercised the powers of a district judge or

¹ See East India (Progress and Condition) Decennial Report, 1941 pp. 58-60. See above, p. 5
Digest a. 96.

- (c) a person having held judicial office not inferior to that of a subordinate judge, or judge of a small cause court, for not less than five years, or
- (d) a person having been a pleader of a high court for not less than ten years ¹

But not less than one-third of the judges, including the chief justice, must be barristers or advocates, and not less than one-third must be members of the civil service of India ¹

Every judge of a chartered high court holds office during His Majesty's pleasure 2, and his salary, furlough, and pension are regulated by order of the Secretary of State in Council ³. Temporary vacancies may be filled by the Governor-General in Council in the case of the high court at Calcutta, and by the local government in other cases ⁴.

The jurisdiction of the chartered high courts is regulated by their charters, and includes the comprehensive jurisdiction formerly exercised by the supreme and sadi courts. They are also expressly invested by statute (24 & 25 Vict c 104, s 15) with administrative superintendence over the courts subject to their appellate jurisdiction, and are empowered to—

- (a) call for returns,
- (b) direct the transfer of any suit or appeal from any such court to any other court of equal or superior jurisdiction,
- (c) make general rules for regulating the practice and proceedings of those courts,
- (d) prescribe forms for proceedings in those courts, and for the mode of keeping book entries or accounts by the officers of the courts, and
- (e) settle tables of fees to be allowed to the sheriffs, attorneys, clerks, and officers of the courts ⁷

Frinted in Statutory Rules and Orders Revised, vol vi
Digest, s 101
7 Ibid 102

But these rules forms and tables are to be subject to the previous approval of the Government of India or of the local government ¹

The business of the chartered high courts is distributed among single judges and division courts in accordance with rules of court subject to any provision which may be made by Act of the Governor General in Council.

The Governor General in Council may by order after the local limits of the jurisdiction of the several chartered high courts and authorize them to exercise jurisdiction over Christian subjects of His Majesty resident in Native Statoe⁵

The old enactments requiring the chartered high courts, in the exercise of their original jurisdiction with reference to certain matters of which the most important are inheritance and succession when both parties are subject to the same law or custom to decide according to that law or custom and when they are subject to different Laws or customs to decide according to the law of the defendant are still in force subject to such modifications as have been or may be made by Indian legislation ⁴

Traces of the old conflicts between the supreme court and the governor general s council are still to be found in enact ments which exempt the governor general and the governor of Madras and Bombay and members of their council from the original jurisdiction of the chartered high courts in respect of anything counselled ordered, or done by any of them in their public capacity from liability to arrest or imprisonment in any civil proceeding in a high court and from being subject to the oriminal jurisdiction of a high court in respect of any misdemeanour at common law or under any Act of Parlis ment⁵ Nor are the chartered high courts to exercise original jurisdiction in revenue matters ⁶

Jurisdic- The tion of Lnglish diction

The highest officials in India are exempted from the jurisdiction of the Indian chartered high courts but under enact

Pigret, s. 102 Ibid. 108 16kL 103.

IPIG 101

ments which are still in force 1 certain offences by persons courts holding office under the Crown in India are expressly made offences punishable as misdemeanours by the High Court in England in India These offences are —

- (I) Oppression of any of His Majesty's subjects,
- (2) Wilful breach or neglect of the orders of the Secretary of State,
- (3) Wilful breach of the trust and duty of office,
- (4) Trading, and
- (5) Receipt of presents

Under an Act of 1797 (37 Geo III, c 142, s 28), any British subject 2 who, without the previous consent in writing of the Secretary of State in Council, or of the Governor-General in Council, or of a local Government, is concerned in any loan to a native plince, is guilty of a misdemeanour

Any of these offences may be tried and punished in England, but the prosecution must be commenced within five years after the commission of the offence or the arrival in the United Kingdom of the person charged, whichever is later 3

Supreme authority over the army in India is vested by law The army in the Governor-General in Council 4 Under the arrangements in India made in 1905 the commander-in-chief of His Majesty's Forces in India has charge of the Army Department, which to a certain extent corresponds to the War Office in England Subject to the administrative control of the Governor-General in Council, the same commander-in-chief is also the chief executive officer of the army Under the system in force before the changes introduced by the Act of 1893 he held special command of the troops in the Bengal Presidency, and exercised a general control over the armies of Madras and Bombay Each of these armies had a local commander-in-chief, who might

¹ Digest, s 117

² This probably means any European British subject See Digest, s 118

This is the period fixed by 21 Geo III, c 70, s 7 But the period under 33 Geo III, c 52, s 141, is six years from the commission of the offence and a shorter period is fixed by the general Act, 56 & 57 Vict c 61 See Digest, s 119

⁴ See 1bid. 36

be and in practice always was, appointed a member of the governor's executive council and the local Government of the precidency had certain administrative powers in military matters. This system of divided control led to much in convenience and by an Act of 1893 (56 & 57 Vert c 62) the offices of the provincial commanders in chief were abolished, and the powers of military control vested in the Governments of Madras and Bombay were transferred to the Government of India.

The administrative arrangements under the Act of 1893 came into force on April 1 1895. The Army of India was then divided into four great commands each under a lieu tenant-general, the whole being under the direct command of the commander in-chief in India and the control of the Government of India. In 1904 one of the commands was abolished and the army is now organized in three commands and two independent divisions, one of which however will probably be absorbed into one of the commands.

The army in India consists first of His Majesty's forces which are under the Army Act and secondly of the native troops of which the British officers are under the Army Act whilst the remainder are under the Indian Articles of War an Act of the Indian Legislature. In 1905 the total strength was nearly 231 000 men of all arms, of whom rather more than 78,500 (including the British officers of the Indian Army) were British. This is exclusive of the active reserve in process of formation, consisting of men who have served with the colours in the Native Army from 5 to 12 years and numbering now about 24,500 men and of the volunteers about 32 000 in number enrolled under the Indian Volunteers Acts (\\ of 1869 as amended by \\ of 1896)

When the Native Army was reorganized in 1861 its British officers were formed into three staff corps one for each of the three armies of Bengal Madras and Bombay. The officers of the corps were in the first instance transferred

Act V of 1869 as amended by Act VII of 1894

from the East India Company's army, and were subsequently drawn from British regiments. In 1891 the three stuff corps were amalgamated into a single body, known as the Indian Staff Corps In 1902 the use of the term 'Staff Corps' was abandoned, and these officers are now said to belong to the Indian Army The number of their establishment is nearly They are recruited partly from young officers of 3,200 British regiments and batteries in India, and partly by the appointment of candidates from the Royal Military College, Sandhurst, to an unattached list, from which they are transferred to the Indian Army after a year's duty with a British regiment in India After passing examinations in the native language and in professional subjects, an officer of the Indian Army is eligible for staff employment or command in any The officers of the Indian Aimy are employed not only in the Native Army and in military appointments on the staff, but also in a-large number of civil posts. They hold the majority of appointments in the Political Department, and many administrative and judicial offices in nonregulation provinces

The Charter Acts of 1813 and 1833 provided for the appoint- Ecclesiment of bishops at Calcutta, Madias, and Bombay, and astical establishconferred on them ecclesiastical jurisdiction and power to ment admit to holy orders These provisions are still in force 1, but the bishops who have been since appointed for other Indian dioceses, such as the diocese of Lahore, do not derive their authority from any Act of Parliament The salaries, allowances, and leaves of absence of the Indian bishops and archdeacons are regulated by the King or by the Secretary of State in Council 2

The provisions summarized above include all the matters Subsirelating to the administration of India which are regulated diary provisions by Act of Parliament, with the exception of some minor points relating to salaries, leave of absence, temporary appointments, and the like

¹ Digest, ss 110-112

² Ibid 113, 114.

The salaries and allowances of the governor general and the governors of Madras and Bombay and of their respective councils of the commander mehief and of lieutenantgovernors are fixed by order of the Secretary of State in Council, subject to limits imposed by Act of Parliament¹

Return to Europe vacates the offices of the governor general, of the governors of Madras and Bombay and the members of their respective councils and of the commander in-chief. Except that members of council can obtain six months leave of absence on medical certificate.

There is power to make conditional appointments to the offices of governor general, governor and member of council 4

If a vacancy occurs in the office of governor general when there is no successor or conditional successor on the spot, the Governor of Madras or Bombay whichever is senior in office fills the vacancy temporarily. A temporary vacancy in the office of Governor of Madras or Bombay is filled by the senior member of council. Provision is also made for filling temporary vacancies in the offices of ordinary or additional members of council

Absence on sick leave or furlough of persons in the service of the Crown in India is regulated by rules made by the Secretary of State in Council ⁷ The distribution of patronage between the different authorities may also be regulated in like manner.

Administrative arrangements not dependent on Acts of Parlisment.

The administrative arrangements which have been sum marked above depend mainly though not exclusively on Acts of Parliament To describe the branches of administration which depend not on Acts of Parliament but on Indian laws or administrative regulations would be beyond the scope of this work. For a description of them reference should be made to such authorities as Sir John Strachev s.

Thiel. 81 11id. 83. 11id. 85 Thiel. 86. 11id. 89. 11id. 90.

Digest, s. 80.
Bid. 82. The precise effect of the enactments reproduced by this section is far from clear

excellent book on India, or the latest of the decennial reports on the moral and material progress of India. Only a few of them can be touched on lightly here

In the first place something must be said about the Indian Financial inancial system The principal heads of Indian revenue, system as shown in the figures annually laid before Parliament, are land revenue, opium, salt, stamps, excise, provincial rates, customs, assessed taxes, forest, registration, and tributes from Native States The principal heads of expenditure are debt services, military services, collection of revenue, commercial services, famine relief and insurance, But during recent years the services and civil service grouped as commercial, namely, post office, telegraph, railways and irrigation, have shown a surplus, and have been a source of revenue and not of expenditure. The most important head of revenue is the land revenue, a charge on the land which is permanently fixed in the greater part of Bengal and in parts of Madras, and periodically settled elsewhere

The central government keeps in its own hands the collection of certain revenues such as those of the Salt Department in Northern India, the Telegraph Department, and the revenues of Coorg, Ajmere, and the North-West Frontier Province, besides certain receipts connected with the Army and other services. It also deals directly with the expenditure on the Army and the Indian Marine, on certain military works, on railways and telegraphs, on the administration of the three small provinces whose revenue it receives, and on the mint, and with the greater part of the post office expenditure and of the political charges

The other branches of revenue are collected and the other branches of expenditure are administered by the provincial or local governments. But the whole of the income and expenditure, whether collected or borne by the central or by the local government, is brought into one account as the income and expenditure of the Indian Empire

Since 1871 the relations between central and provincial

finance have been regulated by quinquennial contracts between the central and each provincial government. Under these contracts the whole or a proportion, of certain taxes and other receipts collected by each provincial government is assigned to it for meeting a prescribed portion of the administrative charges within the province

The provincial governments have thus a direct interest in the efficient collection of revenue and an inducement to be economical in expenditure since savings effected by them are placed to their credit. But they may not alter taxation or the rules under which the revenue is administered without the assent of the Supreme Government Subject to general supervision and to rules and conditions concerning such matters as the maintenance of great lines of communication the creation of new appointments the alteration of scales of salaries and the undertaking of new general services or duties they have a free hand in administering their share of the revenue. The apportionment of revenue is settled afresh every five years after a review of the provincial finance Any balance which a provincial government can accumulate by careful administration is placed to its credit but on occasions of extraordinary stress as during the Afghan War the central government has sometimes called upon local governments to surrender a share of their balances

Administrative staff of local governments. As has been said above the governors of Madras and Bombay are assisted by executive councils. A lieutenant governor has no executive council but has the help of a Board of Revenue in Bengal Eastern Bengal and Assam and the United Provinces and of a Financial Commissioner in the Punjab and Burma. Madras has also a Board of Revenue. Each province has its secretariat manned according to administrative requirements and also special departments, presided over by heads, such as the inspector general of police the commissioner of excise the director general of education, the inspector general of civil hospitals the

sanitary commissioner, and the chief engineer of public works, for the control of matters which are under provincial, as distinguished from central management. There may be also special officers in charge of such matters as experimental farms, botanical gaidens, horse breeding, and the like, which require special qualifications but do not need a large staff

The old distinction between regulation and non-regulation Regulaprovinces 1 has become obsolete, but traces of it remain in tion and the nomenclature of the staff, and in the qualifications for regulation administrative posts. The corresponding distinction modern practice is between the regions which are under ordinary law, and the more backward regions, known as scheduled districts, which are under regulations made in exercise of the summary powers conferred by the Government of India Act, 1870 (33 Vict c 3) 2

provinces

In each province the most important administrative unit The is the district. There are 249 districts in British India They vary considerably in area and population, from the Simla district in the Punjab with 101 square miles to the Upper Khyndwin in Burma with approximately 19,000 square miles, and from the hill district of North Alakan with a population of 20,680 to Maimansingh with a population of 3,915,000 In the United Provinces the district has an average area of 1,500 or 2,000 square miles, with a population of 750,000 to 1,500,000 But in several provinces, and especially in Madras, the district is much larger

At the head of the district is the district magistrate, who The in the old regulation provinces is styled the collector and magnis-olsewhere the deputy commissioner. He is the local repre-trate and his staff sentative of the Government and his position corresponds more nearly to that of the French préfet than to that of any English functionary³

¹ See above, pp 101, 102

² See above, p 105, and East India (Progress and Condition) Decennial Report (1904), pp 56, 57

³ See Strachey, 359 East India (Progress and Condition) Decennial Report (1904), p 57

He has assistants and deputies varying in number title and rank and his district is sub-divided for administrative purposes into charges which bear different names in different parts of the country

In most parts of India, but not in Madras districts are grouped into divisions under commissioners who stand between the district magistrate and his local government

If the district is, par excellence the administrative unit of the Indian country the village may be said to be the natural unit. It answers very roughly to the English civil parish or the continental commune and it is employed as the unit for revenue and police purposes. Its organization differs much in different parts of India but it tends to be a self sufficing community of agriculturists. It has its headman who in some provinces holds small police powers, its account ant who keeps the record of the State dues and maintains the revenue and rent rolls of the village, and its watchman and other menials. In Bengal the village system is less developed than elsewhere

Municipal and district councils.

Under various Acts of the central and local Indian legislatures municipal and district councils have been established in the several provinces of India with limited powers of local taxation and administration. This system of local government received a considerable extension under the vicerovalty of Lord Ripon¹

Judicial arrangomenta, Reference has been made above to the four chartered high courts. But the term high court as used in Indian legislation includes also the cluef courts of those parts of British India which are outside the jurisdiction of the chartered high courts. These are the chief court of the Punjab established in 1866 the cluef court of Lower Burma established in 1900 and the courts of the judicial commissioners for

See Government of India Acts I XIV \V and XX of 1883, XIII and XVII of 1884; Bengal Act III of 1884; Bombay Acts I and II of 18 4; Madyas Acts IV and V of 1884.

bee 8, 3 (24) of the Indian General Clauses 4et (X of 1897).

Oudh, the Central Provinces, Upper Burma, Berar and Sind The Punjab chief court has at present six judges, the Lower Burma chief court four. The new province of Eastern Bengal and Assam remains under the jurisdiction of the Calcutta high court.

These non-chartered high courts exercise with respect to the courts subordinate to them the like appellate jurisdiction, and the like powers of revision and supervision, as are exercised by the chartered courts, and their decisions are subject to the like appeal to the judicial committee of the Privy Council

The procedure of the several civil courts is regulated by Civil the general Code of Civil Procedure, but then nomenclature, Jurisdiction, and jurisdiction depend on Acts passed for the different provinces. There is usually a district judge for a district or group of districts, whose court is the chief civil tribunal for the district or group, and who usually exercises criminal jurisdiction also as a sessions judge. There are subordinate judges with lesser jurisdiction, and below them there are the courts of the munsif, or of some petty judge with a similar title. The right of appeal from these courts is regulated by the special Act, and by the provisions of s. 584 of the Code of Civil Procedure as to second appeals. In the presidency towns, and in some other places, there are also small cause courts exercising final jurisdiction in petty cases.

The constitution, jurisdiction, and procedure of climinal Criminal courts are regulated by the Code of Climinal Procedure, jurisdiction which was last re-enacted in 1898 (Act V of 1898). In every province, besides the high court, there is a court of sessions for each sessional division, which consists of a district or group of districts. The judge of the court of sessions also, as has been seen, usually exercises civil jurisdiction as district judge. There may be additional, joint, and assistant sessions judges. There are magistrates of three classes, first, second, and third. For each district outside the presiding

towns there is a magnificate of the first class called the district magnificate with subordinate magnificates under him. For the three presidency towns there are special presidency magnificates and the sessions divisions arrangements do not apply to these towns.

A high court may pass any sentence authorized by law A sessions judge may pass any sentence authorized by law but sentences of death must be confirmed by the high court Trials before the high court are by a jury of nine. Trials before a court of sessions are either by a jury or with assessors according to orders of the local Government.

Presidency magnetrates and magnetrates of the first class can pass sentences of impresonment up to two years and of fine up to 1 000 rupees. They can also commit for trial to the court of sessions or high court

Magistrates of the second class can pass sentences of imprisonment up to six months and of fine up to 200 rupees

Magistrates of the third class can pass sentences of imprison ment up to one month and of fine up to fifty rupees

In certain parts of British India the local Government can, under a 30 of the Code of Criminal Procedure invest magnetrates of the first class with power to try all offences not punishable with death

In certain cases and under certain restrictions magnitrates of the first class or if specially so empowered magistrates of the second class can pass sontences of whipping

A judge or magistrate cannot try a European British subject unless he is a justice of the peace High court judges sessions judges district magistrates and presidency magistrates are justices of the peace ex officio In other cases a justice of the peace must be a European British subject If a European British subject is brought for trial before a magistrate he may claim to be tried by a mixed jury

India as defined by the Interpretation Act 1889 (52 & 53 Vict c. 63 s 18) and by the Indian General Clauses
Act (\ of 1897 s 3 (27)) includes not only the territories

Native States. comprised in British India, that is to say, the territories under the direct sovereignty of the Crown, but also the territories of the dependent Native States These are upwards of 600 in number They cover an area of nearly 700,000 square miles, and contain a population of about 62,500,000 Then total revenues are estimated at nearly Rx 20,000,000 1 They differ from each other enormously in magnitude and The Nizam of Hyderabad rules over an area of 83,000 square miles and a population of more than 11,000,000 There are petty chiefs in Kathiawai whose territory consists of a few acres²

The territory of these States is not British territory Their Division subjects are not British subjects. The sovereignty over reignty them is divided between the British Government and the ruler of the Native State in proportions which differ greatly according to the history and importance of the several States, and which are regulated partly by treaties or less formal engagements, partly by sanads or charters, and partly by The maximum of sovereignty enjoyed by any of their ruleis is represented by a prince like the Nizam of Hyderabad, who coms money, taxes his subjects, and inflicts capital punishment without appeal The minimum sovereignty is represented by the lord of a few acres in Kathawai, who enjoys immunity from British taxation. and exercises some shadow of judicial authority

But in the case of every Native State the British Govern-General ment, as the paramount Power,-

control by British

- (I) exercises exclusive control over the foreign relations of Government the State,
- (2) assumes a general, but limited, responsibility for the internal peace of the State,

1 Rx = tens of rupecs

² For further details as to the Native States see East India, Moral and Material Progress, Decennial Report (1904), pp 15-50, and on the general position of these States see -Tupper, Our Indian Protectorate, Lee-Warner, Protected Princes of India, Strachey, India, ch xxiv, Westlake, Chapters on Principles of International Law, ch x, and below, chapter v

- (3) assumes a special responsibility for the safety and welfare of British subjects resident in the State and
- (4) requires subordinate co-operation in the task of resisting foreign aggression and maintaining internal order

Control over foreign relations.

It follows from the exclusive control exercised by the British Government over the foreign relations of Native States that a Native State has not any international exist-It does not as a senarate unit form a member of the family of nations It cannot make war. It cannot enter into any treaty engagement or arrangement with any of its neighbours. If for instance it wishes to settle a question of disputed frontier it does so not by means of an agreement, but by means of rules or orders framed by an officer of the British Government on the application of the parties to the dispute. It cannot initiate or maintain diplomatic relations with any foreign Power in Europe, Asia or elsewhere It cannot send a diplomatic or consular officer to any foreign State It cannot receive a diplomatic or consular officer from any foreign State Any attempt by the ruler of a Native State to infringe these rules would be a breach of the duty he owes to the King Emperor Any attempt by a foreign Power to infringe them would be a breach of international Hence if a subject of a Native State is aggreeved by the act of a foreign Power or of a subject of a foreign I ower redress must be sought by the British Government conversely if a subject of a foreign Power is aggrieved by the act of a Native State or of any of its subjects the foreign Power has no direct means of redress but must proceed through the British Government Consequently the British Government is in some degree responsible both for the protection of the subjects of Native States when beyond the territorial limits of those States, and for the protection of the subjects of foreign Powers when within the territorial limits of Native States And as a corollary from this respon

sibility, the British Government exercises control over the protected class of persons in each case

The British Government has recognized its responsibility for, and asserted its control over, subjects of Native Indian States resorting to foreign countries by the Orders in Council which have been made for regulating the exercise of British jurisdiction in Zanzibar, Muscat, and elsewhere By these orders provision has been made for the exercise of jurisdiction, not only over British subjects in the proper sense, but also over British-protected subjects, that is, persons who by reason of being subjects of princes and States in India in alliance with His Majesty, or otherwise, are entitled to British pro-And the same responsibility is recognized in more tection general terms by a section in the Foreign Jurisdiction Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict c 37, s 15), which declares that where any Order in Council made in pursuance of the Act extends to persons enjoying His Majesty's protection, that expression is to include all subjects of the several princes and States ın India

The consequences which flow from the duty and power Power to of the British Government to maintain order and peace in maintain the territories of Native States have been developed at length by Mr Tupper and Sir William Lee-Warner The guarantee to a native ruler against the risk of being dethroned by insurrection necessarily involves a corresponding guarantee to his subjects against intolerable misgovernment degree of misgovernment which should be tolerated, and the consequences which should follow from transgression of that degree, are political questions to be determined with reference to the circumstances of each case

The special responsibility assumed by the British Govern-Special ment for the safety and welfare of British subjects, whether bility for English or Indian, within the territories of Native States, British involves the exercise of very extensive jurisdiction within in Native The territories of British India and of the those territories Native States are inextricably interlaced The territories of

States

the Native States are intersected by British railway lines, postal lines and telegraph lines. British subjects European and Indian, freely and extensively resort to and reside in Native territory for purposes of trade and otherwise. For each Native State there is a British political officer representing the civil authority exercised by the paramount power and in each of the more important States there is a resident political officer with a staff of subordinates. Detachments of British troops occupy cantonments in all the more important military positions.

For the regulation of the rights and interests arising from this state of things an extensive judicial machinery is required. It varies in character in different places and its powers are not everywhere based on the same legal principles. For the proper control of the railway staff it has sometimes been found necessary to obtain a formal cession of the railway lands. In other cases, a cession of jurisdiction within those lands has been considered sufficient. The jurisdiction exercised in cantonments has been sometimes based on the extra-territorial character asserted for cantonments under European international law. And a similar extra territorial character may be considered as belonging to the residencies and other stations occupied by political officers.

Subor dinate military co-operation.

Excep-

The duty moumbent on Native States of subordinate co-operation in the task of resisting foreign aggression has been recognized and emphasized by arrangements which were made during Lord Duffenns viceroyalty with several of these States for maintaining a number of selected troops in such a condition of efficiency as will make them fit to take the field side by side with British troops. Other States have engaged to furnish transport corps. The total number of these contingents is about 17 500 men. The officers and men are to a great extent natives of the State to which they belong but they are inspected and advised by British officers. The result of all these limitations on the powers of the

The result of all these limitations on the powers of the

tional 1 See below Chapter v

Stracber India, p. 455

Native Indian States is that, for purposes of international position law, they occupy a very special and exceptional position indian 'The principles of international law,' declared a resolution of States the Government of India in 1891, 'have no bearing upon the relations between the Government of India as representing the Queen-Empress on the one hand, and the Native States under the sovereignty of Her Majesty on the other. The paramount supremacy of the former presupposes and implies the subordination of the latter'

¹ Gazette of India, No 1700 E, August 21, 1891

CHAPTER III

DIGEST OF STATUTORY EVACTMENTS RELATING TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

N B —The marginal references in square brackets $[\]$ indicate the exactments reproduced.

PART I

THE SECRETARY OF STATE IN COUNCIL.

The Crown

Government of ment of His Majesty the King (b)

the Orown. [21 & 22 Viot. c. 100, s 2]

(2) All rights which if the Government of India Act 1858 had not been passed, might have been exercised by the Fast India Company in relation to any territories may be exercised by and in the name of His Majesty as rights incidental to the government of British India (c)

(a) The expressions British India and India are defined by s. 124 of this Digest, in accordance with the Interpretation Act 1889 (52 & 53 Viote. 65 s. 18) and the Indian General Clauses Act (X of 1807 s. 3 (7) (27)).

The language used in the Act of 1833 (3 & 4 Will. IV c. 85, s. 1) was the territories now in the possession and under the government of the said company. A similar expression was used in the Indian Councils Act 1851 (24 & 25 Vict. c 67 s. 2). Hence questions arose as to the application of the Acts to territories subsequently acquired. Those questions have however now been set at rest by s. 3 of the Indian Councils Act 1802 (55 & 56 Vict. c 14), which expressly declares the applicability of the Acts of 1833 and 1861 to territories subsequently acquired.

(b) The Royal Titles Act, 1876 (39 & 40 Vict. c. 10), authorized the Queen, with a view to the recognition of the transfer of the govern ment of Indua from the East India Company to the Crown, by Royal Proclamation, to make such addition to the style and titles appretaining to the Imperial Crown of the United Kingdom and its dependencies as to Her Majesty might seem meet. Accordingly the Queen, by proclamation dated April 8 1876, added to her style and titles

the words, 'Indiae Imperatrix, or Empress of India' (London Gazette, April 28, 1876, 2667), and 'Emperor of India' forms part of the title of the present King

(c) These rights include the right to acquire and cede territory See Lachmi Narayan v Raja Pratab Singh, I L R 2 All 1, and p 36 above, and note (a) to s 36 below

The Secretary of State

- 2.—(I) Subject to the provisions embodied in this Digest, The Secretary of His Majesty's principal Secretaries of State (in this State Digest referred to as 'the Secretary of State') has and \$\frac{121 \text{ & 22}}{\text{Vict c}}\$ performs all such or the like powers and duties in anywise \$\frac{106}{106}\$, \$\sigma\$ 3 \$\frac{1}{106}\$ relating to the government or revenues of India (a), and all such or the like powers over all officers appointed or continued under the Government of India Act, 1858, as, if that Act had not been passed, might or should have been exercised or performed by the East India Company, or by the Court of Directors or Court of Proprietors of that Company, either alone or by the direction or with the sanction or approbation of the Commissioners for the Affairs of India, in relation to that government or those revenues and the officers and servants of that Company, and also all such powers as might have been exercised by the said Commissioners alone (b)
- (2) In particular, the Secretary of State may, subject to [3 & 4 Will IV, the provisions embodied in this Digest, superintend, direct, c 85, s and control all acts, operations, and concerns which in anywise 25] relate to or concern the government or revenues of India, and all grants of salaries, gratuities, and allowances, and all other payments and charges whatever out of or on the revenues of India
- (3) Any warrant or writing under His Majesty's Royal [21 & 22 Sign Manual which, before the passing of the Government of Vict c India Act, 1858, was required by law to be countersigned by the president of the Commissioners for the Affairs of India must in lieu thereof be countersigned by the Secretary of State (c)
- (4) There are paid out of the revenues of India to the [21 & 22 Vict c Secretary of State and to his under secretaries respectively, 106, s 6]

the like yearly salaries as may for the time being be paid to any other Secretary of State and his under secretaries respectively (d)

- (a) The term revenues of India is retained here and elsewhere though in an Act of Parliament it might now be more accurate to speak of the revenues of Brutish India.
- (b) The Secretary of State is the minister through whom the authority of the Crown over India is exercised in England, and thus corresponds roughly to the president of the Board of Control (Commissioners for the Affairs of India) under the system which prevailed before the Act of 1858 He is appointed by the delivery of the seeks of office, and appoints two under secretaries, one permanent, who is a member of the Civil Service, the other parliamentary who changes with the Government. The Act of 1858 authorized the appointment of a fifth principal Secretary of State, in addition to the four previously existing (Home, Foreign, Colonial, and War).

The office of Secretary of State is constitutionally a unit, though there are five officers. Hence any Secretary of State is capable of performing the functions of any other and consequently it is usual and proper to confer statutory powers in general terms on a (or "the") Secretary of State, an expression which is defined by the Interpretation Act 1880, as meaning one of Her Majesty's principal Secretaries of State But in matters relating to India there are certain functions which must be exercised by the Secretary of State in Council See Anson, Lin and Custom of the Constitution (second edition), il. pp. 167 282

- (c) See e.g. the provisions as to removal of officers below s. 21
- (d) i.e. £5,000 to the Secretary of State £2,000 to the permanent Under Secretary and £1 500 to the Parliamentary Under Secretary

The Council of India

3 -(1) The Council of India consists of not more than fifteen and not less than ten members (a)

- (2) The right of filling any vacancy in the Council of India is vested in the Secretary of State
- (3) Unless at the time of an appointment to fill a vacancy in the Council of India nine of the then existing members of the council are persons who have served or resided in British India (b) for at least ten years and have not last left British India more than ten years before the date of their appoint ment the person appointed to fill the vacancy must be so qualified

The Council of India. |21 & 22 Vict. e 106, #4. 7 10 II I3 12 & 33 Viet e 97 🖦 I .., 3, 6.

12 & 53 Viot. c. 65.]

- (4) Every member of the Council of India holds office, except as by this section provided, for a term of ten years.
- (5) The Secretary of State may for special reasons of public advantage reappoint for a further term of five years any member of the Council of India whose term of office has expired. In any such case the reasons for the reappointment must be set forth in a minute signed by the Secretary of State and laid before both Houses of Parliament. Save as aforesaid, a member of the Council of India is not capable of reappointment.
- (6) The Secretary of State may also, if he thinks fit, [39 & 40 appoint any person having professional or other peculiar qualifications to be a member of the Council of India during good behaviour. The special reasons for every such appointment must be stated in a minute signed by the Secretary of State and laid before both Houses of Parliament. Not more than three persons so appointed may be members of the council at the same time. If a member so appointed resigns his office, and has at the date of his resignation been a member of the council for more than ten years, the King may, by warrant under His Sign Manual, countersigned by the Chancellor of the Exchequer, grant to him, out of the revenues of India, a retiring pension during life of five hundred pounds (c)
 - (7) Any member of the Council of India may, by writing signed by him, resign his office. The instrument of resignation must be recorded in the minutes of the council.
 - (8) Any member of the Council of India may be removed by His Majesty from his office on an address of both Houses of Parliament
 - (9) There is paid to each member of the Council of India out of the revenues of India the annual salary of twelve hundred pounds
 - (a) The Council of India is, in a certain, but very limited, sense the successor of the old Court of Directors Under the Act of 1858 it consisted of fifteen members, eight appointed by the Crown, and seven elected, in the first instance, by the Court of Directors, and

subsequently by the council, itself. The members of the council held office during good behaviour but were removable on an address by both Houses of Parliament. By an Act of 1869 (32 & 33 Vict c. 97) the right of filling all vacancies in the council was vested in the Secretary of State, and the tenure was changed from tenure during good behaviour to tenure for a term of ten years, with a power of reappoint ment for five years, for special reasons. By an Act of 1880 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 65) the Scoretary of State was authorized to abstain from filling vacancies in the council until the number should be reduced

- (b) It will be observed that service or residence in British India (see 21 & 22 Viet. c. 106, s. 1) not in India, is the qualification.
- (c) This exceptional power which was conferred by an Act of 1876 (30 & 40 Vict. c. 7), was exercised in the case of Sir H S, Maine, and was probably conferred with special reference to his case
- 4. A member of the Council of India is not capable of sitting or voting in Parliament

This restriction applies to seats in both Houses of Parliament.

5 If at any time it appears to Parliament expedient to reduce the number or otherwise to deal with the constitution 106, 8, 12] of the Council of India a member of that council is not compensa- entitled to claim any compensation for the loss of his office or for any alteration in the terms and conditions under which his office is held unless he has served in his office for a period of ten vears

> This enactment is contained in the Act of 1869 which changed the tenure of members of council

Duties of council. 721 & 22 Viot c. 106, 4, 19]

Seat in council

diaqualification

for Parlia ment

21 4 22

itet a

Claims to

32 & 33 Viet. c.

97 4 7 1

tion.

The Council of India under the direction of the Secretary of State and subject to the provisions embodied in this Digest conducts the business transacted in the United kingdom in relation to the government of India and the correspondence with India

Powers of council. 21 & 2-Vlot. c 106 L ...]

- 7 -(1) All powers required to be exercised by the Secretary of State in Council and all powers of the Council of India may be exercised at meetings of the council at which not less than five members are present
- (2) The Council of India may act notwithstanding any vacancy in their number

of the

[21 & 22

- 8.—(1) The Secretary of State is the president of the President and vice-Council of India, with power to vote president
- (2) The Secretary of State in Council may appoint any [21 & 22 of council member of the Council of India to be vice-president thereof, and the Secretary of State may at any time remove any 22 1 person so appointed
- (3) At every meeting of the Council of India the Secretary of State, or in his absence the vice-president, if present, or in the absence of both of them, one of the members of the council, chosen by the members present at the meeting, presides
- 9. Meetings of the Council of India are convened and held Meetings when and as the Secretary of State directs, but one such council meeting at least must be held in every week
- Viet e 10.—(1) At any meeting of the Council of India at which 106, 8 22] Procedure the Secretary of State is present, if there is a difference of at meetings opinion on any question, except (a) a question with respect to 21 & 22 which a majority of votes at a meeting is by this Digest Vict c 106, 8 23] declared to be necessary, the determination of the Secretary of State is final
- (2) In case of an equality of votes at any meeting of the council the person presiding at the meeting has a casting vote
- (3) All acts done at a meeting of the council in the absence of the Secretary of State require the approval in writing of the Secretary of State
- (4) In case of difference of opinion on any question decided at a meeting of the council, the Secretary of State may require that his opinion and the reasons for it be entered in the minutes of the proceedings, and any member of the council who has been present at the meeting may require that his opinion and any reasons for it that he has stated at the meeting be also entered in like manner
- (a) A majority of votes is necessary for decisions on the following matters -
 - 1 Appropriation of revenues or property, s 23
 - 2 Issuing securities for money, s 28

- 3 Bale or mortgage of property 8.31
- 4. Contracta, s. 32.
- 5 Alteration of salaries, a. 80.
- 6 Furlough rules, s. 80.
- 7 Indian appointments, s. co.
- 8 Appointments of natives of India to offices reserved for Indian Civil Bervice, s. cu.
- o Provisional appointments to posts on the Governor-General s Council, s. 83 and to reserved offices, s. 95

Commit toos of council. [21 & 22 Vict. c.

- 11 The Secretary of State may constitute committees of the Council of India for the more convenient transaction of business, and direct what departments of business are to be 105, a. 20.] under those committees respectively and generally direct the manner in which all business of the council or committees
 - thereof is to be transacted (a) (a) The existing committees are Finance, Political and Secret Military Revenue and Statistics, Public Works, Stores, and Judicial and Public.

Orders and Dispatches

Submission of orders, &c. thereon. [21 & 22 Vict. c. 106, ■. 24, 25]

- 12 -(1) Subject to the provisions (a) embodied in this Digest every order or communication proposed to be sent to to council, India, and every order proposed to be made in the United of epinions Kingdom by the Secretary of State under the Government of India Act 1858 must unless it has been submitted to a meeting of the Council of India, be deposited in the council room for the perusal of all members of the council during seven days before the sending or making thereof
 - (2) Any member of the Council of India may record in a minute-book kept for that purpose, his opinion with respect to any such order or communication and a copy of every opinion so recorded must be sent forthwith to the Secretary of State
 - (3) If the majority of the Council of India so record their opinions against any act proposed to be done the Secretary of State must unless he defers to the opinion of the majority record his reasons for acting in opposition thereto
 - (c) The qualifications relate to urgency orders under s. 13 and secret orders under s. 14

- 13.—(I) Where it appears to the Secretary of State that Provithe dispatch of any communication or the making of any cases of order, not being an order for which a majority of votes at a urgency meeting of the Council of India is by this Digest declared to Vict c be necessary (a), is urgently required, the communication may be sent or order made, although it has not been submitted to a meeting of the Council of India or deposited for the perusal of the members of that council
- (2) In any such case the Secretary of State must, except as by this Digest provided (b), record the urgent reasons for sending the communication or making the order, and give notice thereof to every member of the council
 - (a) See note on s 10
 - (b) The exception is under the next section, s 14
- 14.—(I) Where an order concerns the levying of war or Provision as to secret prince or State, or the policy to be observed with respect to orders and disany prince or State, and is not an order for which a majority patches of votes at a meeting of the Council of India is by this Digest III, c 52, declared to be necessary (a), and is an order which in the opinion of the Secretary of State is of a nature to require IV, c 85, secrecy, the Secretary of State may send the order to the 21 & 22 Governor-General in Council or to any local Government or of 106, s 27 officer in India without having submitted the order to a meeting of the Council of India or deposited it for the perusal of the members of that council, and without recording or giving notice of the reasons for making the order (b)
- (2) Where any dispatch from the Governor-General in [33 Geo Council, or from the Governor in Council of Madias or of III, c 52, Bombay, concerns the government of British India, or any of 21 & 22 Vict c the matters aforesaid, and in the judgement of the authority 106, s 28] sending the dispatch is of a nature to require secrecy, it may be marked 'Secret' by the authority sending it, and a dispatch so marked is not to be communicated to the members of the Council of India unless the Secretary of State so directs
 - (a) See note on s 10

(b) The Act of 1784 (44 Geo. III sees, 2 c. 25) which constituted the Board of Control, directed that a committee of secrecy consisting of not more than three members, should be formed out of the directors of the Company and, when the Board of Control issued orders requiring secrecy the committee of secrecy was to transmit the orders to India. without informing the other directors. (See above p. 63) Those directions were reproduced by the Charter Act of 1703 (33 Geo. III. 0. 52 ss. 10, 20), and by the Charter Act of 1833 (3 & 4 Will, IV c. 85 as. 35 36). The Government of India Act, 1858 (21 & ... Vict. c. 106, s. 2,), directed that orders which formerly went through the secret committee need not be communicated to the council, unless they were orders for which a majority of votes of the council was required. There are similar provisions as to dispatches from India. Secret orders are usually communicated to the Political and Secret Committee of the council. (See above, s. 11)

Signature and ordera, ke (21 & 32 Vlot. c.

15 -(1) Every order or communication sent to India and address of [save as expressly provided by this Digest] every order made in the United Kingdom in relation to the government of India under this Act must be signed by the Secretary of State (a)

- 106, 4 19.1 (2) Every dispatch from the Governor General in Council or from the Governor in Council of Madras or of Bombay must be addressed to the Secretary of State (b)
 - (a) This reproduces the existing enactment, but of course applies only to official orders and communications. It is not clear to what provisions (if any) the saving refers.
 - (b) This recognizes the right of the Governments of Madras and Bombay to communicate directly with the Secretary of State a right derived from a time when Madras and Bombay constituted independent presidencies together with the Presidency of Bengal, and before a general Government of India had been catablished.

Communi cation to Parliement as to orders for commencing hostilities. 21 & 23

Vict. c

16 When any order is sent to India directing the actual commencement of hostilities by His Majesty's forces in India the fact of the order having been sent must unless the order has in the meantime been revoked or suspended, be communi cated to both Houses of Parliament within three months after the sending of the order or if Parliament is not sitting at the 106, a. 54.] expiration of those three months then within one month after

the next meeting of Parliament (a)

(a) See also s. 24

17 It is the duty of the Governor-General in Council to Correspondence transmit to the Secretary of State con tantly and diligently hy

an exact particular of all advices or intelligence, and of all governortransactions and matters, coming to the knowledge of the with Sec-Governor-General in Council and relating to the government, retary of commerce, revenues, or affairs of India (a)

[13 Geo III, o 63,

(a) This reproduces an enactment contained in the Regulating Act, a of 1 1773, by which Warren Hastings and his successors were directed to correspond regularly with the Court of Directors at home, but its re-enactment would probably not be considered necessary at the present day

Establishment of Secretary of State

- 18.—(I) His Majesty the King may, by Order in Council, Establishfix the establishment of the Secretary of State in Council and the Secrethe salaries to be paid to the persons on that establishment
- (2) Every such order must be laid as soon as may be before [21 & 22 both Houses of Parliament
- (3) No addition may be made to the said establishment, nor to the salaries authorized by any such order, except by a sımılar Order in Council to be laid in like manner before both Houses of Parliament
- (4) The regulations made by His Majesty for examinations, certificates, probation, or other tests of fitness in relation to appointments to junior situations in the civil service apply to such appointments on the said establishment
- (5) Subject to the foregoing provisions of this section, the Secretary of State in Council may make all appointments to and promotions in the said establishment, and remove any officer or servant belonging to the establishment (a)
- (a) This is the enactment by which the staff of the India Office is regulated
- 19. His Majesty may by warrant under the Royal Sign Pensions Manual, countersigned by the Chancellor of the Exchequer, Viet c grant to any secretary, officer, or servant appointed on the 106, 5 18] establishment of the Secretary of State in Council such compensation, superannuation, or retiring allowance as may be granted to persons on the establishment of a Secretary of State under the laws for the time being in force concerning

ment of tary of State Vict c 106, 88 15, 167

superannuations and other allowances to persons having held civil offices in the public service (a)

(a) This gives the staff of the India Office pensions on the civil service scale, i. e. one-enxtieth of annual selary for each year of service subject to certain conditions and restrictions.

Indian Appointments

Indian appointments. [21 & 22 Viot. c. 106, as. 33 35 23 & 24 Viot. c. 100,

K I]

20—(1) In any regulations for the time being in force for the organization of the Indian Army provision must be made for the benefit of the sons of persons who have served in India in the military or civil service of the Crown or of the East India Company equally advantageous with those which were in force before the twentieth day of August one thousand eight hundred and sixty and the selection of such persons is to be in accordance with regulations made by the Secretary of State (a)

[21 & 22 Vict. c. 106, r. 37]

- (2) Except as provided by this Digest all powers of making pregulations in relation to appointments and admissions to service and other matters connected therewith and of altering or revoking such regulations, which if the Government of India Act 1858 had not been passed, might have been exercised by the Court of Directors or Commissioners for the Affairs of India may be exercised by the Secretary of State in Council
 - (a) Sections 33, 34 35 and 36 of the Government of India Act 1858 run as follows:--
 - 33. All appointments to cadethips, naval and military and all admissions to service not herein otherwise expressly provided for shall be vested in Her Majesty and the names of persons to be from time to time recommended for such cadethips and service shall be submitted to Her Majesty by the Secretary of State
 - 34. Regulations shall be made for admitting any persons, being natural born subjects of Her Majesty (and of such age and qualifies tions as may be prescribed in this behalf) who may be desired to becoming candidates for cadetahips in the engineers and in the artillery to be examined as candidates accordingly and for prescribing the brunches of knowledge in which such candidates shall be examined, and generally for regulating and conducting such examinations.
 - 35. Not less than one tenth of the whole number of persons to be recommended in any year for military cadetahips (other than cadet shins in the engineers and artillery) shall be selected according to such

regulations as the Secretary of State in Council may from time to time make in this behalf from among the sons of persons who have served in India in the military or civil service of Her Majesty, or of the East India Company

'36 Except as aforesaid, all persons to be recommended for military cadetships shall be nominated by the Secretary of State and members of council, so that out of seventeen nominations the Secretary of State shall have two, and each member of council shall have one, but no person so nominated shall be recommended unless the nomination be approved of by the Secretary of State in Council'

When the Government of India Act, 1858, passed, and for some years afterwards, the Indian Army (taking European and Native together) was officered in two ways. A certain number of cadets were appointed to Addiscombe, and thence, according to their success in passing the college examination, went to India in the engineers, artillery, or infantry. Others received direct cadetships, and went to India without previous training. The Act speaks of both classes alike as receiving cadetships. But the artillery and engineers were not in practice taken into account in calculating the one-tenth under s. 35. This being so, the effect of s. 35 was, roughly speaking, that one-tenth of the officers appointed to the Indian Army (exclusive of the engineers and artillery) must be the sons of Indian servants.

The Act of 1860 (23 & 24 Vict c 100), which abolished the European Army, and which was passed on August 20, 1860, provided that 'the same or equal provision for the sons of persons who have served in India shall be maintained in any plan for the reorganization of the Indian Army' The mode of appointment to the Native Army was meantime altered. In pursuance of this provision, an order was issued in 1862, under which the Secretary of State makes appointments to cadetships at Sandhurst, fixed at twenty annually, limited to the The expenses of these cadets are borne by sons of Indian servants India, if their pecuniary circumstances are such as to justify the pay-Regulations as contemplated by s 35 of the Government of India Act, 1858, have been made governing the selection, and are rigidly followed These cadetships differ from the old ones in that they are not directly and necessarily connected with the Indian Army, for a cadet might pass from Sandhurst into the British Army and not into the staff corps But the object is, of course, to supply the Indian The word 'cadet' in the Government of India Act has no express limitation, and the present cadets appear to fall within the In practice, appointments of cadets do not now meaning of the term go to the King

Section 34 appears to be spent, and s 36 to be virtually repealed by the abolition of the Indian Army The effect of the other two sections, so far as they are in force, is reproduced in the Digest

21.—(1) His Majesty may, by writing under the Royal Powers of Sign Manual, countersigned by the Secretary of State, re-

of State as to removal in India of officers. **54.** 74. 75 21 8 22

Viot. c

Secretary move or dismiss any person holding office under the Crown

- (2) A copy of any writing under the Royal Sign Manual (2) A copy of any witning control must within eight Hi, c. 52. removing or dismissing any such person must within eight as 35 36.
 35 40 days after the agnature thereof be communicated to the 17 c. 85 Secretary of State in Council
- (3) Nothing in this enactment affects [any of His Majorty's 106, s. 38 powers over any officer in the army or the power of the Scoretary of State in Council [or of any authority in India] to remove or dismiss any such person.

This is an attempt to reproduce the net result of a series of enact ments, which are still in the statute book, but the earlier of which were intended to give the Crown power over servants of the East India Company and, therefore, are not wholly applicable to existing circum stances. The saving words in square brackets do not reproduce any existing enactment, but represent the effect of the law

The Charter Act of 1793 (33 Geo. III, c. 52) enacted (es. 35 36) that -

- 35 It shall and may be lawful to and for the King a Majesty his heirs and successors, by any writing or instrument under his or their sign manual, countersigned by the president of the Board of Commissioners for the Affairs of India, to remove or recall any person or persons holding any office, employment or commission, civil or military under the said united Company in Indus for the time being, and to vacate and make void all or every or any appointment or appointments, commission or commissions, of any person or persons to any such offices or employments and that all and every the powers and authorities of the respective persons so removed, recalled, or whose appoint ment or commission shall be vacated, shall coase or determine at or from such respective time or times as in the said writing or writings shall be expressed and specified in that behalf Provided always that a duplicate or copy of every such writing or instrument under His Majesty s sign manual, attested by the said president for the time being, shall, within eight days after the same shall be signed by His Malesty his heirs or successors, be transmitted or delivered to the chairman or deputy chairman for the time being of the said Company to the intent that the Court of Directors of the said Company may be apprised thereof
- 36. Provided always, that nothing in this Act contained shall extend or be construed to preclude or take away the power of the Court of Directors of the said Company from removing or recalling any of the officers or servants of the said Company but that the said court shall and may at all times have full liberty to remove recall, or dismiss any of such officers or servants at their will and pleasure in

the like manner as if this Act had not been made, any governor general, governor, or commander-in-chief appointed by His Majesty, his heirs or successors, through the default of appointment by the said Court of Directors, always excepted, anything herein contained to the contrary notwithstanding'

The Charter Act of 1833 (3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, ss 74, 75) enacted that—

'74 It shall be lawful for His Majesty by any writing under his sign manual, countersigned by the president of the said Board of Commissioners, to remove or dismiss any person holding any office, employment, or commission, civil or military, under the said Company in India, and to vacate any appointment or commission of any person to any such office or employment

'75 Provided always, that nothing in this Act contained shall take away the power of the said Court of Directors to remove or dismiss any of the officers or servants of the said Company, but that the said court shall and may at all times have full liberty to remove or dismiss any of such officers or servants at their will and pleasure'

And finally the Government of India Act, 1858 (21 & 22 Vict c 106, s 38), enacts that —

'Any writing under the Royal Sign Manual, removing or dismissing any person holding any office, employment, or commission, civil or military, in India, of which, if this Act had not been passed, a copy would have been required to be transmitted or delivered within eight days after being signed by Her Majesty to the chairman or deputy chairman of the Court of Directors, shall in lieu thereof be communicated within the time aforesaid to the Secretary of State in Council'

The countersignature of the Secretary of State was substituted for the countersignature of the president of the Board of Control by the Government of India Act, 1858 (See above, s 2)

The tenure of persons serving under the Government of India, or under a local Government, is presumably tenure during the pleasure In the case of Grant v The Secretary of State for India of the Crown in Council, L R 2 C P D 455 (1877), the plaintiff, formerly an officer in the East India Company's service, appointed in 1840, and subsequently continuing in the Indian Army when the Indian military and naval forces were transferred to the Crown, brought an action against the defendant for damages for being compulsorily placed by the Government upon the pension list, and so compelled to retire from the army It was held on demurrer that the claim disclosed no cause of action, because the Crown acting by the defendant had a general power of dismissing a military officer at its will and pleasure, and that the defendant could make no contract with a military officer in derogation In the case of Shenton v Smith (1895), A C 229, which was an appeal from the Supreme Court of Western Australia, it was held that a Colonial Government is on the same footing as the Home Government with respect to the employment and dismissal of servents of the Crown, and that these, in the absence of special contract, hold

their offices during the pleasure of the Orown. The respondent in that case, having been gazef.ed without any special contract to act temporarily as medical officer during the absence on leave of the actual holder of the office, was dismissed by the Government before the leave had expired. It was held that he had no cause of action against the Government. In the case of Dunn v The Queen (1896), 1 Q. B. 116 it was held that servants of the Crown, civil as well as military except in special cases where it is otherwise provided by law hold their offices only during the pleasure of the Crown. In this case a petition of right had been presented, and the case set up by the suppliant was that Sir Claude McDonald, Her Majesty's Commissioner and Consul General for the Niger Protectorate in Africa, acting on behalf of the Crown, had engaged him in the service of the Crown as consular agent in that region for a period of three years certain, and he claimed damages for having been dismissed before the expiration of that period. It appeared that Sir Claude McDonald himself held office only during the pleasure of the Crown. Mr Justice Day held that contracts for the service of the Crown were determinable at the pleasure of the Crown and therefore directed a verdict and judgement for the Crown. The decision was upheld by the Court of Appeal. Subsequently Mr Dunn brought an action against Sir Claude McDonald, presumably for breach of contract, but the action was dismissed, and the dectrine that an agent who makes a contract on behalf of his principal is liable to the other contracting party for a breach of an implied warrant of his authority to enter into the contract was held inapplicable to a contract made by a public servant acting on behalf of the Crown. Dunn v McDonald (1897), I Q. B. 401 555 See Jehanger v S of S fr India LL.R. 27 Bom. 189 Poss v S of S for India LL.R. 33 Cal. 669.

It is the practice for the Secretary of State in Council to make a formal contract with persons appointed in England to various branches of the Government service in India, e.g. education officers, forest officers, men in the Geological Survey and mechanics and artificers on railways and other works, and many of these contracts contain agreement to keep the men in the service for a term certain, subject to a right of dismissal for particular causes. Whether and how far the principles laid down in the cases of Sheston v Smith and Duan v The Queen apply to these contracts, is a question which in the present state of the authorities cannot be considered free from doubt.

Tenure during pleasure is the ordinary tenure of public servants in England, including those who belong to the permanent civil service and the service of a member of the Civil Service of India is expressly declared by his covenant to continue during the pleasure of His Majest; Tenure during good behaviour is, subject to a few exceptions (e.g. the auditor of Indian accounts: see below a. 30), confined to persons holding judicial offices. But judges of the Indian high courts are expressly declared by statute to hold during pleasure: see below a. 97. The difference between the two forms of tenure is that a person holding during good behaviour cannot be removed from his office

42]

except for such misconduct as would, in the opinion of a court of justice, justify his removal, whilst a person holding during pleasure can be removed without any reason for his removal being assigned See Anson, Law and Custom of the Constitution (second edition), pt ii p 213 See also Willis v Gipps, 6 State Trials N S 311 (1846), as to removal of judicial officers

PART II

REVENUES OF INDIA

- 22.—(1) The revenues of India are received for and in the Application of name of His Majesty, and may, subject to the provisions revenues embodied in this Digest (a), be applied for the purposes of the Vict c 95, government of British India alone

 S 27
 21 & 22
 - (2) There are to be charged on the revenues of India alone—Viet c 106, 8, 2,
 - (a) all the debts of the East India Company, and
 - (b) all sums of money, costs, charges, and expenses which, ²¹ & ²² Viet c if the Government of India Act, 1858, had not been 106 passed, would have been payable by the East India Company out of the revenues of India in respect of any treaties, covenants, contracts, grants, or habilities existing at the commencement of that Act, and
 - (c) all expenses, debts, and habilities lawfully contracted and incurred on account of the government of India (b), and
 - (d) all payments under the Government of India Act, 1858
 - (3) For the purposes of this Digest the revenues of India include—
 - (a) all the territorial and other revenues of or arising in British India, and
 - (b) all tributes and other payments in respect of any territories which would have been receivable by or in the name of the East India Company if the Government of India Act, 1858, had not been passed, and
 - (c) all fines and penalties incurred by the sentence or order of any court of justice in British India, and all forfeitures for crimes of any movable or immovable property (b) in British India, and

- (d) all movable or immovable property (c) in British India escheating or lapsing for want of an heir or successor (d) and all property in British India devolving as bona vacantia for want of a rightful owner
- (4) All other money vested in, or arising or accruing from property or rights vested in His Majesty under the Govern ment of India Act, 1858 or to be received or disposed of by the Secretary of State in Council under that Act must be applied in aid of the revenues of India.
- (a) The qualification refers to s. 34, under which there is power to dispose of escheated property

(b) See Shivabhajan v Secretary of State for India L.L.R. 28 Bom 314, 321

(c) The expression in the Act is real or personal cetate, but movable or immovable property is more intelligible in India, where the terms are defined by the General Clauses Act (X of 1897 a. 3 (25), (34)).

(d) As to the circumstances under which property in India may oschest or lapse to the Crown, see Collector of Massispatam v Caraly Vencata Narramapak, 8 Moore Ind. App. 500; and Rance Sonet Kowar v Musa Humut Bahadoor L. R. 3 L. A. 02.

23 The expenditure of the revenues of India both in India and elsewhere is subject to the control of the Secretary of State in Council and no grant or appropriation of any part of those revenues or of any other property coming into the possession of the Secretary of State in Council by virtue of the Government of India Act 1858 may be made without the concurrence of a majority of votes at a meeting of the

Council of India

This section of the Act of 1858 has given rise to questions as to the relations between the Secretary of State and his council, and between the Secretary of State in Council and the Government of India.

On the first question there was an important debate in the House of Lords on April 29, 1869 (Hansard 195 pp. 18 1-1846), in which the Marquis of Salisbury and the Duke of Argyll took part and which was made remarkable by a difference of opinion between high legal authorities on the construction of this section, one view the stricter being maintained by Lord Cairns and Lord Chelmsford, and a different view by the then Lord Chancellor Lord Hatherley The discussion showed that whilst the object and to some extent the effect of this section was to impose a constitutional restraint on the powers of the Secretary of State with respect to the expenditure of money yet this restraint could not be effectively asserted in all cases, especially where

Control of Secretary of State OVEC CX penditure of revenues. [21 & 23 Viol. c. 100, 8, 41 1 Impered questions were involved. For instance, the power to make war necessarily involves expenditure of revenues, but is a power for the exercise of which the concurrence of a majority of votes at a meeting of the council cannot be made a necessary condition. The Secretary of State is a member of the Cabinet, and in Cabinet questions the decision of the Cabinet must prevail

Is to the second point, questions have been raised as to the powers of the Indian Legislature to appropriate by Indian Acts to specific objects provincial or Imperial, sources of meome, such as ferry fees and other tolls, process fees, rates on land heence taxes, and income But a strict view of the enactment in the Act of 1858 would be inconsistent with the general course of Indian legislation, and would give rise to meanteniences in practice

24. Except for preventing or repelling actual invesion of Restric-His Majesty's Indian possessions, or under other sudden and tion on applicaurgent necessity the revenues of India are not without the tion of consent of both Houses of Parliament, applicable to defraying to military the expenses of any military operation carried on beyond the beyond external frontiers of those possessions by His Majesty's forces the charged upon those revenues

121 & 22 Vict c

As to the object and effect of this enactment, and in particular as to 106, s 55] whether it requires the consent of Parliament to be obtained before war is commenced, see Hansard, 157, July 19, 23, 1858 (Debates on passing of Government of India Act) Hansard, 240, May 20 21, 23, 1878 (Employment of Indian Troops in Malta), Hansard, 243, December 16, 17, 1878 (Afghan War), Hansard, 272, 273, July 27, 31, 1882 (Fgypt) Hansard, 295, March 5, 9, 16 1885 (Soudan), Hansard 302, pp 322-347, January 25, 1886 (Annexation of Upper Burma). July 6 1896 (Soudan), April 13 1904 (Tibet), Correspondence as to incidence of cost of Indian troops when employed out of India, 1896 (C 8131) Anson, Law and Custem of the Constitution, Part n p 361 (second edition) See also s 16 of this Digest

- 25.—(I) Such parts of the revenues of India as are remitted Accounts to the United Kingdom, and all money arising or accoung tary of in the United Kingdom from any property or rights vested State with Bank in His Majesty for the purposes of the government of India, [21 & 22 or from the sale or disposal thereof, must be paid to the 106, sa Secretary of State in Council, to be applied for the purposes 43, 45, 23 of the Government of India Act, 1858
- (2) All such revenues and money must be paid into the 26 & 27 Bank of England to the credit of an account entitled 'The Vict c Account of the Secretary of State in Council of India',

Vict c 41.

- (3) The money placed to the credit of this account is paid out on drafts or orders either signed by two members of the Council of India and countersigned by the Secretary of State or one of his under secretaries or his assistant under secretary or agned by the accountant-general on the establishment of the Secretary of State in Council or by one of the two senior clerks in the department of that accountant-general and countersigned in such manner as the Secretary of State in Council directs and any draft or order so signed and countersigned effectually discharges the Bank of England for all money paid thereon.
- (4) The Secretary of State in Council may for the payment of current demands keep at the Bank of England such accounts as he deems expedient and every such account is to be kept in such name and be drawn upon by such person and in such manner as the Secretary of State in Council directs
- (5) There are raised in the books of the Bank of England such accounts as may be necessary in respect of stock vested in the Secretary of State in Council and any such account is entitled The Stock Account of the Secretary of State in Conneil of India.
- (6) Every account referred to in this section is a public account (a)
- (a) This section represents the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1858 as modified by 22 & 23 Vict c, 41 s, 3 and 26 & 27 Vict e. 73 s. 16 and by existing practice

26 The Secretary of State in Council by power of attorney Powers of attorney executed by two members of the Council of India and counter for sale or signed by the Secretary of State or one of his under secretaries purchase of stock or his assistant under secretary may authorize all or any of and receipt of dividends |21 & 22 |Viet e

106. 4. 47 ~6 k 27

Viet c , 3, 16.]

the cashiers of the Bank of England-(a) to sell and transfer all or any part of any stock standing In the books of the Bank to the account of the Secretary of State in Council

(b) to purchase and accept stock on any such account and

(c) to receive dividends on any stock standing to any such account,

and by any writing signed by two members of the Council of India and countersigned as aforesaid may direct the application of the money to be received in respect of any such sale or dividend

Provided that stock may not be purchased or sold and transferred under the authority of any such general power of attorney, except on an order in writing directed to the chief cashier and chief accountant of the Bank of England, and signed and countersigned as aforesaid

- 27. All securities held by or lodged with the Bank of Provision England in trust for or on account or on behalf of the Secretary securities of State in Council may be disposed of, and the proceeds \$\begin{array}{c} \left\{ 21 & 22 \\ Vict & c \end{array}\$ thereof may be applied, as may be authorized by order in 106, s 48 writing signed by two members of the Council of India and \$\begin{array}{c} \left\{ 26 & 27 \\ Vict & c \end{array}\$ 73, countersigned by the Secretary of State or one of his under \$\begin{array}{c} \frac{8}{3} & \frac{16}{3} \end{array}\$ secretaries, or his assistant under secretary, and directed to the chief cashier and chief accountant of the Bank of England
- 28.—(I) All powers of issuing securities for money in the Exercise
 United Kingdom which are for the time being vested in the of borrowing
 Secretary of State in Council must be exercised by the powers
 [21 & 22]
 Secretary of State in Council with the concurrence of a Viet c
 majority of votes at a meeting of the Council of India

 106, s 49
 26 & 27
 Viet o
- (2) Such securities, other than debentures and bills, as 73, 8 16 might have been issued under the seal of the East India 56 57 Vict c 70, Company must be issued under the hands of two members 8 5] of the Council of India and countersigned by the Secretary of State or one of his under secretaries, or his assistant under secretary
- (3) All debentures and bills issued by the Secretary of State in Council must bear the name of one of the under secretaries for India for the time being, and that name may be impressed or affixed by machinery or otherwise in such manner as the Secretary of State in Council directs ,

The enactments by which the Secretary of State has from time to time been authorized to borrow under special Acts, or for special purposes, such as railways, are not reproduced here.

Accounts
to be
annually
laid before
Parliament.
[21 & 22
Viot. c.
106, s. 53]

- 29—(1) (a) The Secretary of State in Council must within the first fourteen days during which Parliament is sitting next after the first day of May in every year lay before both Houses of Parliament.
 - (a) An account for the financial year preceding that last completed of the annual produce of the revenues of British India distinguishing the same under the respective heads thereof at each of the several provinces and of all the annual receipts and distinguements at home and abroad for the purposes of the government of India distinguishing the same under the respective heads thereof
 - (b) The latest estimate of the same for the last financial year
 - (c) The amount of the debts chargeable on the revenues of India with the rates of interest they respectively carry and the annual amount of that interest
 - (d) An account of the state of the effects and credits in each province and in England or elsewhere, applicable to the purposes of the government of India, according to the latest advices which have been received thereof
 - (e) A list of the establishment of the Secretary of State in Council and the salaries and allowances payable in respect thereof
- (2) If any new or increased salary or pension of fifty pounds a year or upwards has been granted or created within any year in respect of the said establishment (b) the par ioulars thereof must be specially stated and explained at the foot of the account for that year
- (3) The account must be accompanied by a statement prepared from a detailed report from each province in British India in such form as best exhibits the moral and material

progress and condition of British India in each such province (c)

- (a) At some time or other during the session of Parliament, usually towards the end, the House of Commons goes into committee on the East India Revenue Accounts, and the Secretary of State for India or his representative in the House of Commons, on the motion to go into committee, makes a statement in explanation of the accounts of The debate which takes place on this statethe government of India ment is commonly described as the Indian Budget Debate resolution in committee is purely formal
- (b) The words 'in respect of the said establishment' represent the construction placed in practice on the enactment reproduced by this section
- (c) This is the annual 'moral and material progress report' A special report is published at the expiration of each period of ten years, giving a very full and interesting account of the general condition of India at that date The last of these decennial reports was in 1904
- 30.—(1) (a) His Majesty may, by warrant under His Audit of Royal Sign Manual, countersigned by the Chancellor of the Indian accounts Exchequer, appoint a fit person to be auditor of the accounts in United of the Secretary of State in Council, and authorize that 21 & 22 auditor to appoint and remove such assistants as may be 106, 8 52 specified in the wairant

Kingdom Vict c 44 & 45

- (2) The auditor examines and audits the accounts of the ^{63, 8 1}] receipt, expenditure, and disposal in the United Kingdom of all money, stores, and property applicable for the purposes of the Government of India Act, 1858
- (3) The Secretary of State in Council must by the officers and servants of his establishment produce and lay before the auditor all such accounts, accompanied by proper vouchers for their support, and must submit to his inspection all books, papers, and writings having relation thereto
- (4) The auditor has power to examine all such officers and servants in the United Kingdom as he thinks fit in relation to such accounts, and the receipt, expenditure, or disposal of such money, stores, and property, and for that purpose, by writing under his hand, to summon before him any such officer or servant

- (5) The auditor must report to the Secretary of State in Council his approval or disapproval of the accounts aforesaid with such remarks and observations in relation thereto as he thinks fit, specially noting any case if such there be in which it appears to him that any money arising out of the revenues of India has been appropriated to other purposes than those to which they are applicable
- (6) The auditor must specify in detail in his reports all sums of money stores and property which ought to be accounted for and are not brought into account or have not been appropriated, in conformity with the provisions of the law or which have been expended or disposed of without due authority and must also specify any defects, inaccuracies, or irregularities which may appear in the accounts or in the authorities vouchers or documents having relation thereto.
- (7) The auditor must lay all such reports before both Houses of Parliament with the accounts of the year to which the reports relate
 - (8) The auditor holds office during good behaviour
- (6) There are paid to the auditor and his assistants out of the revenues of India such salaries as His Majesty by warrant signed and countersigned as aforesaid may direct
- (10) The auditor and his assistants are for the purposes of superannuation allowance in the same position as if they were on the establishment of the Secretary of State in Council.
- (a) The duties of the India Office auditor as to Indian revenues and expenditure correspond in some respects to the duties of the compreheroiler and auditor-general with respect to the revenues of the United Kingdom. But the reports of the India Office auditor are not referred to the Public Accounts Committee of the House of Commons. As to the comptroller and auditor-general, see Anson Love and Curt m of the Constitution (and ed.), pp. 338–346.

PART III

PROPERTY, CONTRACTS, AND LIABILITIES

- 31.—(I) The Secretary of State in Council may, with the Power of concurrence of a majority of votes at a meeting of the Council Secretary of State to of India sell and dispose of any property for the time being sell, mort-yested in His Majesty for the purposes of the government buy property of India, and raise money on any such property by way of [21 & 22 mortgage and make the proper assurances for any of those Vict c 106, 8 40] purposes, and purchase and acquire any property
- (2) All property acquired in pursuance of this section vests in His Majesty for the service of the government of India
- (3) Any assurance relating to real estate made by the authority of the Secretary of State in Council may be made under the hands and seals of three members of the Council of India
- 32.—(I) The Secretary of State in Council may, with the Contracts of Secreconcurrence of a majority of votes at a meeting of the Council fary of of India, make any contract for the purposes of the Government of India Act, 1858

 The Secretary of State in Council may, with the Contracts of Secretary of State

 [21 & 22]
 Vict c
 106, s 40
- (2) Any contract so made may be expressed to be made 22 & 23 Vict c by the Secretary of State in Council
- (3) Any contract so made, if it is a contract which, if made 3 Edw between private persons, would be by law required to be under seal, may be made, varied, or discharged under the hands and seals of two members of the Council of India
- (4) Any contract so made which, if it were made between private persons, would be by law required to be signed by the party to be charged therewith, may be made, varied, or discharged under the hands of two members of the Council of India
- (5) The benefit and hability of every contract made in pursuance of this section passes to the Secretary of State in Council for the time being

(6) Every contract for or relating to the manufacture sale purchase or supply of goods or for or relating to affreight ment or the carriage of goods or to insurance may be entered into made and signed on behalf of the Scoretary of State by any person upon the permanent establishment of the Scoretary of State duly empowered by the Scoretary of State in this behalf subject to such rules and restrictions as the Scoretary of State prescribes. Contracts so entered into made and signed are as valid and effectual as if entered into as prescribed by the foregoing provisions of this section. Particulars of all contracts so entered into as aforesaid must be laid before the Scoretary of State in such manner and form and within such times as the Scoretary of State prescribes.

ower to execute assur ances, &c. in India. [22 & 23 Vict. c. 41 s. 1 2 33 & 34 Vict c 59, s. 2]

- 33—(1) The Governor General in Council and any local Government (a) may on behalf and in the name of the Secretary of State in Council and subject to such provisions or restrictions as the Secretary of State in Council with the concurrence of a majority of votes at a meeting of the Council of India prescribes sell and dispose of any movable or immovable property (b) whatsoever in India within the limits of their respective governments, for the time being vested in this Majesty for the purposes of the government of India or raise money on any such property by way of mortgage and make proper assurances for any of these purposes and purchase or acquire any property movable or immovable (b) in India within the said respective limits and make any contract for the purposes of the Government of India Act 1858 (c)
- (2) Every assurance and contract made for the purposes of this section must be executed in such manner as the Governor General in Council by resolution (d) directs or authorizes and if so executed may be enforced by or against the Secretary of State in Council for the time being
- (3) Neither the Secretary of State nor any member of the Council of India nor any person executing any such assurance

or contract, is personally hable in respect thereof, but all habilities in respect of any such assurance or contract are borne by the revenues of India

- (4) All property acquired in pursuance of this section vests in His Majesty for the service of the government of India
- (a) The words 'or any officer for the time being entrusted with the government, charge, or care of any presidency, province, or district' have been construed in practice as including only heutenant-governors and chief commissioners, and not 'district officers' in the special India sense They are, therefore, represented in the Digest by the expression 'local Government,' as defined by s 124 of the Digest
 - (b) The words in the Act are 'real or personal estate'
- (c) Soon after the passing of the Government of India Act, 1858, it became necessary to legislate for the purpose of determining how contracts on behalf of the Secretary of State in Council were to be made in India Before that Act it had been held that contracts made in England by the East India Company as a governing power could only be made under seal (Gibson v East India Company, 5 Bing N C In India, at least in the presidency towns, certain documents required sealing for the purpose of legal validity. The real seal of the Company was in England, but copies were kept in Calcutta, Madias, and Bombay, and documents sealed with these copies were generally accepted as sealed by the Company Contracts not under seal were made in India on behalf of the Company by various officials transfer of the powers of the Company to the Secretary of State in Council disturbed all these arrangements, and the Government of India Act, 1859 (22 & 23 Vict c 41), was accordingly passed for determining the officers by whom, and the mode in which, contracts on behalf of the Secretary of State in Council were to be executed in India The Act was amended by the East India Contracts Act, 1870 (33 & 34 Viet c 59)
- (d) See the resolution of the Government of India in the Home Department of March 28, 1895, specifying the officers by whom particular classes of instruments may be executed
- 34. The Governor-General in Council, and any other Power to person authorized by any Act passed in that behalf by the escheated Governor-General in Council, may make any grant or disproperty, coposition of any property in India accruing to His Majesty by [16 & 17 Vict coposition of the person from whom the property has accrued, or to or in favour of any other person.

As to escheat, see note (c) on s 22 above

Rights and liabillities of Secretary of State in Council. [21 & 22 Vict. c. 106, ss. 65 68 23 & 23 Vict. c. 41 s. 6]

35—(1) The Secretary of State in Council may sue and be sued as well in India as in England by the name of the Secretary of State in Council, as a body corporate (a)

(2) Every person has the same remedies against the Secretary of State in Council as he might have had against the East India Company if the Government of India Act 1858 had not been passed (b)

- (3) The property and effects for the time being vested in His Majesty for the purposes of the government of India or acquired for those purposes are liable to the same judgements and executions as they would have been liable to in respect of liabilities lawfully incurred by the East India Company if the Government of India Act 1858 had not been passed
- (4) Neither the Secretary of State nor any member of the Council of India is personally liable in respect of any contract entered into or other hability incurred by the Secretary of State or the Secretary of State in Council in his or their official capacity nor in respect of any contract covenant or engagement of the East India Company but all such liabilities and all costs and damages in respect thereof are borne by the revenues of India.
- (a) Although the Secretary of State is a body corporate or in the same position as a body corporate, for the purpose of contracts, and of suing and being sued, by the is not a body corporate for the purpose of holding property. Such property as formerly vested, or would have vested in the East India Company now vests in the Crown. See the remarks of James, L. J., in Kiulock v Secretary of State in Conneil (1880), L. R. 15 Ch. D. 1. The Secretary of State in Council has privileges in respect of debts due to him in India similar to those of the Crown in respect of Crown debts in England (The Secretary of State for India v Bombay Landing and Shipping Company 5 Dom. H. C. Ren. O. C. J. 23).

(b) An action does not lie against the Crown in England. The only legal remedy of a subject against the Crown in England is by petition of right

Until 1874 it was doubtful whether a petition of right would be except for restitution of property detained by the Crown. But in that year it was decided that a petition would lie for damages for breach of contract (R v Thomas L. R. 10 Q B 31); and that decision has been followed in subsequent cases. A petition of right does not

he for a tort except where the wrong complained of is detention of property, the reason alleged being the maxim that the king can do no wrong. For a wrong done by a person in obedience or professed obedience to the Crown the remedy is against the wrongdoer himself and not against his official superior, because the ultimate superior, the Crown, is not hable. See Clode, Law and Practice of Petition of Right and R v. Lords Commissioners of the Treasury, 7 Q B 387, and Raleigh v. Goschen, [1898] i. Ch. 73

. A petition of right does not lie in respect of property detained or a contract broken in India

In the case of Frith v Rey, L R 7 Ev 365 (1872), the suppliant, by petition of right, sought to recover from the Crown a debt alleged to have become due to the person whom he represented from the Sovereign of Oudh, before that province was annexed in 1856 to the territories of the East India Company But it was held that, assuming the East India Company became liable to pay the debt by reason of the annexation of the province, the Secretary of State for India in Council, and not the Crown, was, under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1858, the person against whom the suppliant must seek his remedy, and that consequently a petition of right would not It was pointed out that the remedy by petition of right was inapplicable, as it was plain that the revenues of England could not be liable to pay the claim, and that consequently a judgement for the suppliant would be barren See also Doss v The Secretary of State for India in Council, L R 19 Ex 509, and Reiner v Marquis of Salisbury, L R 2 Ch D 378

Under the enactments reproduced by this section there is a statutory remedy against the Secretary of State in Council, and that remedy is not confined to the classes of cases for which a petition of right would lie in England See the judgement of Sii Barnes Peacock, C J, in the case of the P & O Company v Secretary of State for India in Council (1861), 2 Bourke 166, 5 Bom H C R Appendix A, and Mayne's Criminal Law of India, pp 299 sqq On the other hand it would appear that, apart from special statutory provisions, the only suits which could have been brought against the East India Company, and which can be brought against the Secretary of State in Council as successor of the Company, are suits in respect of acts done in the conduct of undertakings which might be carried on by private individuals without sovereign powers See Nobin Chunder Dey v The Secretary of State for India, I L R 1 Cal 11 (1875), Jehangir M Cursetyi v Secretary of State for India in Council (1902), I L R 27 Bom 189, Shwabhajan v Secretary of State for India, I L R 28 Bom 314

A suit or action against the Secretary of State in Council may sometimes be met by the plea that the act complained of falls within the category of 'acts of State,' and accordingly cannot be questioned by a municipal court. A plea of this kind was raised successfully in several cases by the East India Company with respect to proceedings taken by them, not in their character of trading company but in their

character of territorial sovereigns. (As to the distinction between these two characters, see Gibson V East India Company (1839), 5 Bing N C. 262 Raja of Goory V East India Company (1850), 29 Beav 300, at p. 308 and the cases noted below) And the principles laid down in those cases have been followed in the case of similar proceedings against the Secretary of State in Council.

The question whether the East India Company were acting as a avaration power or as a private company was raised in Modalay v. The Bast India Company (1785), 1 Bro. C. 450 (referred to in Prolemu v. United States (1866), L. R. 2 Eq. 659), but the first reported case in which the Company successfully raised the defence that they were acting as sovereigns, and that the sots complained of were acts of State, appears to have been The Nabob of the Carnatic v. Kast India Company (1793), 1. Ves. Jr. 371; 2 Ves. Jr. 56; 3 Bro. C. C. 291; 4 Bro. C. C. 100. This was a suit for an account hought by the Nabob of Aroot against the East India Company. On the hearing it appeared by the Company a nawer that the subject-matter of the suit was a matter of political treaty between the Nabob and the Company the Company having seted throughout the transaction in their political capacity and having been dealt with by the Nabob as if they were an independent sovereign. On this ground the bill was dismissed.

The same principle was followed in the case of The East India Company v Syed Ally (1827), 7 Moo. Ind. App. 555 where it was held that the resumption by the Madrus Covernment of a jaghire granted by former Nawaks of the Carnatio before the date of cession to the hast India Company and the regrant by the Madrus Government to another was such an act of sovereign power as precluded the Courts from taking cognizance of the question in a suit by the heirs of the original grantee

The case of Betreechand v Ephinstone (1830), 2 State Trials, N S. 379 I Knapp P C. 316, relied the question as to the title to booty taken at Poonsh, and alleged to be the property of the Peishwa. It was held that the transaction having been that of a hostile seizure made, if not flagments yet sondism cassants bello a municipal court had no jurisdiction to adjudge on the subject and that if anything had been done amiss, recourse could be had only to the Government for redress. This decision was followed in Fx pte D F Mamis (1901).

A. 6. 100

In the Tanjore case Secretary of State is Council of India v Assackee Boys Sakola (1859), 13 Moo. P C. 22 a bill was filed on the equity side of the Supreme Court of Madras to establish a claim as private property to certain property of which the Government had taken possession and for an account. The acts in question had been done on behalf of the Government by a commissioner appointed by them in connexion with the taking over of Tanjore on the death of the Itaja Sivaji without beirs. It was held that as the seiture was made by the British Government, acting as a sovereign Power through its delegate the East India Company it was an act of State to inquire into the propriety of which a municipal court had no juri-diction. Lord king-down,

in delivering judgement, remarked that 'the general principle of law could not, with any colour of leason, be disputed The transactions of independent States between each other are governed by other laws than those which municipal courts administer Such courts have neither the means of deciding what is right nor the power of enforcing any decision which they make ' It was held that the act complained of fell within this principle 'Of the propriety or justice of that act,' remarked Lord Kingsdown, 'neither the Court below nor the Judicial Committee have the means of forming, or the right of expressing if they had formed, any opinion It may have been just or unjust, politic or impolitic, beneficial or injurious, taken as a whole, to those whose interests are affected These are considerations into which It is sufficient to say that, even if a their lordships cannot enter wrong has been done, it is a wrong for which no municipal court of justice can afford a remedy'

In the Coorg case, Raja of Coorg v East India Company (1860) 29 Beav 300, the East India Company had made war against the Raja of Coorg, annexed his territory, and taken his property, including some of the Company's notes—The raja filed a bill against the East India Company, but it was held that the Company had acted in their sovereign capacity, and the bill was dismissed

In the Delhi case, Raja Salig Ram v Secretary of State for India in Council (1872), L R Ind App Supp Vol, p 119, the question was as to the validity of the seizure, after the Indian Mutiny, of estates formerly belonging to the titular King of Delhi Here also it was held that the seizure was an act of State, and as such was not to be questioned in a municipal court

In Sirdar Bhagwan Singh v Secretary of State for India in Council (1874), L R 2 Ind App Cas 38, an estate belonging to a former chief in the Punjab had been seized by the Crown, and the question was whether it had been so seized in right of conquest or by virtue of a legal title, such as lapse or escheat—It was held that the seizure had been made in right of conquest, and as such must be regarded as an act of State, and was not liable to be questioned in a municipal court

Forester and others v Secretary of State for India in Council (1872), L R Ind App Supp Vol, p 10, is a case on the other side of the line. In this case the Government of India had, on the death of Begum Sumroo, resumed property formerly belonging to her, and the legality of their action was questioned by her heirs. It appeared that the Begum had very nearly, but not quite acquired the position of a petty Indian sovereign, but that she was a British subject at the time of her death, and that the seizure in question was not the seizure, by arbitrary power, of territories which up to that time belonged to another sovereign State, but was the resumption, under colour of a legal title, of lands previously held from the Government by a subject under a particular tenure, on the alleged determination of that tenure, and that consequently the questions raised by the suit were recognizable by a municipal court

Doss v Secretary of State for India in Conneil (18,5), L. R. 19 Eq. 509, was a case arising out of the extinction of a sovereign power in India though not in consequence of hostilities. It was a suit brought in the English Court of Chancery by creditors of the late King of Oudh against the Secretary of State as his stoccasor. It was held that as the debt had been incoursed by the late king in his capacity as sovereign, and could not have been enforced against him as a legal claim, it did not, upon the annexation of the kingdom of Oudh, become a legal obligation upon the East India Company and therefore was not, by the Act of 1858 transferred as a legal obligation against the Secretary of State and on this ground a demorrar to the fill was allowed.

In the case of Grant v Secretary of State for India in Council (1877), 2 C. P. D. 445. 46 L. J. C. 631 a demurrer was allowed to an action by an officer of the East India Company's service who had been compulsorily rotired under the order of the Government of India. Here the plaintiff was clearly a British subject, but nothing turned upon this For the order was held, as an act of administration in the public service to be within the high powers of government formerly entrusted to the East India Company (not as a trading company but as a subordinate Government) and now to be exercised by the Government of India. In effect the question was not of a sovereign act, but of the powers of high (but still subordinate) officers of Government.

In Kvalock v Secretary of State for Isada (1879), L. R. 15 Ch. D. I and 7 App. Oas. 619, which was one of the Banda and Kirwee cases, it was held that a royal warrant granting booty of war to the Secretary of State for India in Council in trust to distribute amongst the persons found entitled to share it by the decree of the Court of Administy and not operate as a transfer of property or create a trust and that the defendant, being merely the agent of the sovereign, was not liable to account to any of the parties found entitled.

In Walker v Baird, [1892] App Cas. 491 which was an appeal to the Privy Council from the Supreme Court of Nowfoundland, it was held that the ples of a cot of State, in the sense of an sot, the justification of which on constitutional grounds cannot be inquired into, cannot be admitted between British subjects in a British colony. In this case the plaintiff complained of interference with his lobster factory and the defendant, a captain of one of Her Majesty's ships, pleaded that he was acting in the execution of his duty in carrying out an agreement between the Queen and the Republic of France. But the defence was not allowed.

In Cook v Sprays [1899] A. C. 572 it was held that grantees of concessions made by the paramount chief of Pondoland could not after the annexation of Pondoland by the Queen, enforce against the Crown the privileges and rights conferred by the concessions. The language used in the Tanjore case was quoted with approval.

In West Rand Central Gold Mining Company Limited v The King [1905] 2 h. B. 301 it was held, on demurrer to a petition of right that damages could not be recovered against the Crown in respect of gold 'commandeered' by the Boer Government before the annexation of the Transvaal

The facts in Duleep Singh's case, Salaman v Secretary of State for India in Council, [1905] i K. B 613, resembled those in the Tanjore case. When the Punjab was annexed, the East India Company confiscated the State property, granted Duleep Singh a pension for life, assumed the custody of his person during his minority, and took possession of his private property. It was held that these were acts of State, and that an action would not he against the Secretary of State in Council for arrears of the pension and for an account of the personal property.

On 'acts of State,' see further, Mayne, Criminal Law of India pp 318 sqq, the article 'Act of State' in the Encyclopaedia of the Laws of England, and the cases collected in the notes on The Queen v The Commissioners of the Treasury, L R 7 Q B 387, in Campbell's Ruling Cases, vol 1 pp 802 sqq The notes on Indian cases in that volume have been partially reproduced above Mr Harrison Moore's recent essay on Act of State in English Law (London, 1906) covers wider ground, and touches on many points in the 'troublesome borderland of law and politics'

In suits or actions against the Secretary of State for breach of contract of service, regard must also be had to the principles regulating the tenure of servants under the Crown (see note on s 21 above)

And, finally, the liability of the Secretary of State in Council to be sued does not deprive the Crown of the privileges to which it is entitled by virtue of the prelogative. In Ganpat Pataya v Collector of Canara (1875), I L R I Bom 7, the priority of Crown debts over attachment was maintained, and West, J, said—'It is a universal rule that prerogative and the advantages it affords cannot be taken away except by the consent of the Crown embodied in statute. This rule of interpretation is well established, and applies not only to the statutes passed by the British, but also to the Acts of the Indian Legislature framed with constant reference to the rules recognized in England.'

As to the legal hability of a colonial governor, Sir W Anson says—'He can be sued in the courts of the colony in the ordinary form of procedure. Whether the cause of action springs from habilities incurred by him in his private or in his public capacity, this rule would appear to hold good. Though he represents the Crown he has none of the legal irresponsibility of the sovereign within the compass of his delegated and limited sovereignty' Law and Custom of the Constitution, pt ii p 262. See Hill v Bigge, 3 Moore P C 465, Musgrove v Pulido, L R 5 App. Cas 102, Nueaha Tamaki v Baker, App. Cas, [1901] pp 561, 576

The procedure in suits against the Government in India is regulated by ss 416-429 of the Code of Civil Procedure (XIV of 1882)

PART IV

THE GOVERNOR GENERAL IN COUNCIL.

General Powers of Governor General in Council

General powers ând. duties of Governor

10.1

36 -(1) The superintendence direction and control of the owil and military government of British India is vested in the Governor General of India in Council (a)

General in (2) The Governor General in Council is required to pay Connoff. due obedience to all such orders as he may receive from the [13 Geo. Щ, с. 63. Secretary of State (b)

B. G. & 4 Will. IV a. 85

(a) It is difficult to reproduce with accuracy enactments which regu lated the powers and duties of the Governor-General and his Council in the days of the East India Company

Section 9 of the Regulating Act of 1773 (13 Geo. III, c. 63) enacts that the said governor general and council (i.e. the Governor-General and Council of Bengal), or the major part of them, shall have power of superintending and controlling the presidencies of Madras. Bombay and Benecolen respectively so far and in so much as that it shall not be lawful for any president and council of Madras. Bombay or Bencoolen to make war or treaties without the previous consent of the governor-general and council, except in cases of imminent necessary or of special orders from the Company See s. 40 of this Digest. Section to of the Charter Act of 1873 (3 & 4 Will. IV c. 8t) declared that The superintendence, direction, and control of the whole civil and military government of all the said territories and revenues in India shall be and is hereby vested in a governor-general and councillors, to be styled "The Governor-General of Indus in Council.

Since India has been placed under the direct government of the Grown the governor general has also been viceroy as the representative of the Queen. Lord Canning was the first vicerov

The Governor General in Council is often described as the Govern ment of India, a description which is recognized by Indian legislation (\ of 1807 1.3 (22)).

Of course the reproduction of statutory enactments embodied in this Digest is not an exhaustive statement of the powers of the Governor General in Council. For instance the powers of the Government of India, as the paramount authority in India, extend beyond the limits of British India.

Again, the Governor-General in Council, as representing the Crown in India, enjoys, in addition to any statutory powers, such of the powers, prerogatives, privileges, and immunities appertaining to the Crown as are appropriate to the case and consi tent with the system of law

m force in India Thus it has been decided that the rule that the Crown is not bound by a statute unless expressly named therein applies See Secretary of State for India v Bombay Landing and Shipping Company, 5 Bom H C Rep O C J 23, Ganpat Pataya v Collector of Canara, I L R 1 Bom 7, The Secretary of State for India v Matthurabhar, I L R 14 Bom 213, 218, Bell v Municipal Commissioners for Madras, I L R 25 Mad 457 The Governor-General in Council has also, by delegation, powers of making treaties and arrangements with Asiatic States, of exercising jurisdiction and other powers in foreign territory, and of acquiring and ceding territory See Damodhai Khan v Deoram Khanji, I L R 1 Bom 367, L R 2 App Cas 332, Lachmi Narayan v Raja Pratab Singh, I L R 2 All I, Hemchand Devchand v Azam Sakarlal Chhotamlal and The Taluka of Kotda Sangani v The State of Gonda!, A C, [1906] 212, and Morcover, the Government of India has powers, below, p 387 rights, and privileges derived, not from the English Crown, but from the native princes of India, whose rule it has superseded Foi instance, the rights of the Government in respect of land and minerals in India are different from the rights of the Crown in respect of land and minerals in England Whether and in what cases the Governor-General has the piciogative of pardon has been questioned. The power is not expressly conferred on him by his warrant of appointment, but it would be strange if he had not a power possessed by all colonial governors the power of remitting sentences under the Code of Criminal Procedure makes the question of little practical importance. As to the prerogatives of the Crown in India and elsewhere, see Chitty, Prerogatives of the Crown, Forsyth, Cases and Opinions, chap v, and Campbell's Ruling Cases, vol viii pp 150-275

The Madras and Bombay Armies Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict c 62), took away the military control and authority previously exercisable by the Governments of Madras and Bombay As to the power of the governor-general to grant military commissions, see the note below, p 267

(b) This reproduces part of s 9 of the Regulating Act (13 Geo III, c 63), which directs that 'the said governor-general and council for the time being shall and they are hereby directed and required to obey all such orders as they shall receive from the Court of Directors of the said united Company' This enactment was necessary at a time when the relations to be regulated were those between the statutory governor-general and his council on the one hand and the directors of the Company on the other, and, being still on the statute book, is But, of course, the relations between the Secretary reproduced here of State and the Government of India are now regulated by constitutional usage

The Governor-General

37. The Governor-General of India is appointed by His The Majesty by warrant under the Royal Sign Manual

govern general [21 & 22 Viot. o. 106 4. 201

The appointment of the governor-general is made on the advice of the Prime Minuster

The governor-general usually holds office for a term of five years. As to his resignation, see below a, 82

The Council of the Governor General

Constitue tion of governor general a council.

38 The council of the Governor General of India, as con stituted for executive purposes consists of the ordinary mem bers and of the extraordinary member (a) (if any) thereof (b)

(a) Boo s. 40.

(b) This section does not reproduce any specific ensetment, but represents the existing law

Ordinary members of council 21 & 22 Vict. o

39 -(1) The ordinary members of the governor general s council are appointed by His Majosty by warrant under the Royal Sum Manual.

106, 6. 7 24 & 25 Vict. c. 67 . 3

(2) The number of the ordinary members of the governor general a council is five or if His Majesty thinks fit to appoint a sixth member six (a)

32 & 33 Vlot 0 97 1.8 37 & 18 Vict. c. 1.8 10 0 20.]

(3) Of the ordinary members of the governor general s council three must be persons who at the time of their appointment have been for at least ten years in the service 4Edw VII, of the Crown in India (b) and one must be a barrister of England or Ireland, or a member of the Faculty of Advocates of Scotland of not less than five years standing (c)

> (4) If any person appointed an ordinary member of the governor general a council is at the time of his appointment in the military service of the Crown he may not during his continuance in office as such member hold any military command or be employed in actual military duties

> (a) The number is at present six. The power given by 37 & 38 Vict. c. 91 to appoint a sixth member specifically for public works purposes was made a general power by 4 Edw VII c. 26. Under existing arrangoments the business of the Government of India is distributed between mno departments-Finance, Foreign, Home, Legislative Revenue and Acroculture, Public Works, Commerce and Industry Army Military Supply Of these the Foreign Department is under the immediate superintendence of the governor-general, and the Army Department is under the commander in chief of His Majesty a forces in India (see a. 40 of Digest). The charge of the other departments is distri buted between the other members of council.

The term of office of a member of council is by custom five years.

mporary happens, partment Ha' bervice from the e qualificaof the post 53, were not g this period mber 3, 1859, - 1-1 50 autes, No 42). Je' 1, 114 d in the same vernor-general's naide i sur i, the Legislative thometer great ex me Department, the nate of the c accommendations andirect is a . a separate departm Lagrage View t its relation to the Intop 11. its 1 gulated by the rules conferede that he uncil of the governorif held begins a and the drafts of all the posteriet. " inor general's council, md of the orm of regulations subincests to a continuous 1870 (33 Viet c 3), and the Example Edward vers given by Acts of the Relation States ils and Acts of the local The M dear year ? s and other special points, took and took in Government on various legal by the Greeken takes charge of most of the the govern of and cral's council, and is chairman belon, p 26, se Bills are referred As to the (b) The the that hapter on Legislation under Lord c 63h who s down _ FitzJames Stephen to Sir W W the time land are as i u chap viii) See also Sir H S. obe) all sect area legislation (Minutes, No 204) of the said saider Farm of State in Council may, if he Extra when the mission mmander-in-chief for the time being ordin BOMERIC PRINTS AN THE REAL PROPERTY. s in India an extraordinary member of cou

nd so long as the governor-general and his n any province administered by a governor in

al's council, and in that case the com- [24 & Vict of the vict of the vict of the council next 67, ss

3, 9]

[21 & 22 Vict. a. 106. 8. 201.

The appointment of the governor-general is made on the advice of the Prime Minister

The governor general usually holds office for a term of five years. As to his resignation, see below s. 82

The Council of the Governor-General

Constitue tion of COVERNOR concral a council.

- 38 The council of the Governor General of India as con statuted for executive purposes consists of the ordinary mem bers and of the extraordinary member (a) (if any) thereof (b),
 - (a) See #. 40.

(b) This section does not reproduce any specific enactment, but represents the existing law

Ordinary members of council. [31 & 22 Vlot. 0.

39 -(1) The ordinary members of the governor general s council are appointed by His Majesty by warrant under the Royal Sign Manual.

106, a. 7 24 a 25 Viot. c. 67 4. 3 32 & 33 Tet. 0.

(2) The number of the ordinary members of the governor general a council is five or if His Majesty thinks fit to appoint a sixth member six (a)

s. 8 £ 38 lot.a. 11 K. I 0. 20.1

- (3) Of the ordinary members of the governor generals council three must be persons who at the time of their appointment have been for at least ton years in the service 4Ldw VII, of the Crown in India (b) and one must be a barrister of England or Ireland or a member of the Faculty of Advocates of Scotland, of not less than five years standing (c)
 - (4) If any person appointed an ordinary member of the governor-general s council is at the time of his appointment in the military service of the Crown, he may not during his continuance in office as such member hold any military command or be employed in actual military duties
 - (a) The number is at present six. The power given by 37 & 38 Vict. c. 91 to appoint a sixth member specifically for public works purposes was made a general power by 4 Edw VII c. 26. Under existing arrangements the business of the Government of India is distributed between nine departments-Finance, Foreign, Home, Legislative Revenue and Agriculture, Public Works, Commerce and Industry Army Military Supply Of these the Foreign Department is under the immediate superintendence of the governor-general, and the Army Department is under the commander in-chief of His Majesty's forces in India (see s. 40 of Digest). The charge of the other departments is distri buted between the other members of council.

The term of office of a member of council is by custom five years.

As to leave of absence, resignation, and conditional and temporary appointments, see below, ss. 81-87.

- (b) The result of this restriction is that if, as occasionally happens, the member of council in charge of the military supply department (formerly the military department) is not qualified by ten years' service in India, the finance member must, practically, be taken from the Indian Civil Service
- (c) The member of council who is required to hold these qualifications is usually styled the law member. The first holder of the post was Lord Macaulay He and his successors, down to 1853, were not members of the executive council, and his duties during this period are described by Sir Barnes Peacock in a minute of November 3, 1859, and by Sir H S Maine in his minute of May 5, 1866 (Minutes, No 42) By the Act of 1853 (16 & 17 Vict c 95) he was placed in the same position as the other ordinary members of the governor-general's council He is at the head of a department of his own, the Legislative Department, which was formerly a branch of the Home Department, but which was, in pursuance of Sir H S Maine's recommendations (see Minutes by Sir H S Maine, No 84), constituted a separate department in 1869. The duties of this department, and its relation to the other branches of the Government of India, are regulated by the rules and orders for the transaction of business in the council of the governorgeneral Practically, its functions are to prepare the drafts of all legislative measures introduced into the governor-general's council, to consider, and in some cases to settle, the form of regulations submitted under the Government of India Act, 1870 (33 Vict 6 3), and of the rules and regulations made under powers given by Acts of the governor-general's council, to consider Bills and Acts of the local legislatures with reference to penal clauses and other special points, and to advise other departments of the Government on various legal The law member of council takes charge of most of the Bills introduced into the governor-general's council, and is chairman of the select committees to which those Bills are referred. As to the general nature of his work, see the chapter on Legislation under Lord Mayo, contributed by Sir James FitzJames Stephen to Sir W W Hunter's Life of Lord Mayo (vol 11 chap viii) See also Sir H S Maine's Minute of 1868 on Over legislation (Minutes, No 204)
 - 40.—(I) The Secretary of State in Council may, if he Extrathlinks fit, appoint the commander-in-chief for the time being ordinary members of His Majesty's forces in India an extraordinary member of council of the governor-general's council, and in that case the com- [24 & 25] Vict commander-in-chief has rank and precedence in the council next 67, ss after the governor-general (a).
 - (2) When and so long as the governor-general and lus council are in any province administered by a governor in

council the governor of that province is an extraordinary member of the governor (eneral a council (b)

(a) In practice, the commander in-chief is always appointed an extraordinary member of council. Under regulations made in 1905 he is in charge of the army department.

(b) In practice, meetings of the governor general and his council are not held within the presidencies of Madras and Bombay

Ordinary and legalative meet ings of COACLUOL general s conneil.

- 41 -(1) The governor general a council hold ordinary meetings that is to say meetings for executive purposes and legislative meetings that is to say meetings for the purpose of making laws
- (2) The ordinary and extraordinary members of the governor general's council are entitled to be present at all meetings thereof

This section does not reproduce any specific enactment, but represents existing law and practice.

There appears to be no express enactment that the governor-general shall, when present, preside at meetings of his council, but this is implied by such provisions as 24 & 25 Viet. c. 107 8, 7

Ordinary meetings of council. THE TY e 85 s.

-4 & 25 Viet c.

48

42 -(1) The ordinary meetings of the governor general s council are held at such places in India (a) as may be appointed by the Governor General in Council.

(2) At any ordinary meeting of the governor general s council the governor general and one ordinary member of his council may exercise all the functions of the Governor 67 8. 9.] General in Council (b)

> (a) The expression used in the Act of 1861 is within the territories of India, which, perhaps, means British India. In practice the meet ings of the council are held at Calcutta and Simia.

> (b) The Act of 1793 (33 Geo. III c. 52 s. 38) directs that the Covernor General and councillors of Fort William, and the several rovernors and councillors of Fort Saint George and Bombay shall at their respective council boards proceed in the first place to the consideration of such matters as shall be proposed by the governor-general or by the governors of the said presidencies respectively and as often as any matter or question shall be propounded by any of the said councillors it shall be competent to the said governor-general or governor respectively to postpone and adjourn the discussion thereof to a future day provided that no such adjournment shall exceed forty-eight hours nor shall the matter or question so proposed be adjourned more than twice without the consent of the councillor who proposed the same

This enactment, though not specifically repealed, is practically superseded by the rules and orders made under the Indian Councils Act, 1861, and therefore is not reproduced in the Digest

- 43.—(I) All orders and other proceedings of the Governor- Busi-General in Council must be expressed to be made by the ness of Governor-Governor-General in Council, and must be signed by a General secretary to the Government of India, or otherwise as the [33 Geo Governor-General in Council may direct (a)
- (2) The governor-general may make rules and orders (b) III, c for the more convenient transaction of business in his council, 155, 8 79 other than the business at legislative meetings, and every Vict c 67, 88] order made or act done in accordance with such rules and orders must be treated as being the order or the act of the Governor-General in Council
- (a) Under the Act of 1793 (33 Geo III, c 52, s 39) the signature referred to is that of 'the chief secretary to the council of the presidency'

Under the Act of 1813 (53 Geo III, c 155, 8 79) orders or proceedings may be signed either by the chief secretary to the Government of the said presidency, or, in the absence of such chief secretary, by the principal secretary of the department of such presidency to which such orders or proceedings relate

Under Act II of 1834 of the Indian Legislature, each of the secretaries to the Government of India and to the Government of Fort William in Bengal is declared to be competent to perform all the duties and exercise all the powers which by any Act of Parliament or any regulation then in force were assigned to the chief secretary to the Government of Fort William in Bengal, and each of the secretaries to the Governments of Fort St George and Bombay is declared to be competent to perform all the duties and exercise all the powers which by any such Act or regulation were assigned to the chief secretaries to the Governments of Fort St George and Bombay respectively

Under these circumstances this section of the Digest probably represents the form in which Parliament would re enact the existing statutory provisions, especially as they are provisions which may be modified See 24 & 25 Viet e 67, s 22

In practice, orders and proceedings are signed by the secretary of the department to which they relate

(b) The rules and orders made under this section appear to be treated by the Government of India as confidential, and have not been pub-The most important effect of the section has been to facilitate lished the departmental transaction of business

s 39

Procedure in case of difference of opinion. [13 Geo. III., c. 63, a. 8 3 & 4 Will. IV e. 48]

e 44—(r) At any ordinary meeting of the governor general s council if any difference of opinion arises on any question brought before the council the Governor General in Council is bound by the opinion and decision of the majority of those present and if they are equally divided the governor-general or in his absence the senior member of the council present has two votes or the conting vote.

[33 Geo III, c. 52 76 47 48 49 35 & 34 Viot. c 3 & 5]

- (2) Provided that whenever any measure is proposed before the Governor General in Council whereby the safety fran quillity or interests of British India or of any part thereof are or may be, in the judgement of the governor general essentially affected, and he is of opinion either that the measure proposed ought to be adopted and carried into execution or that it ought to be suspended or rejected and the majority present at a meeting of the council dissent from that opinion the governor general may on his own authority and responsibility adopt suspend or reject the measure in whole or in part
- (3) In every such case any two members of the dissentient majority may require that the adoption suspension, or rejection of the measure and the fact of their dissent be notified to the Secretary of State and the notification must be accompanied by copies of any minutes which the members of the council have recorded on the subject.
- (4) Nothing in this section empowers the governor-general to do anything which he could not lawfully have done with the concurrence of his council

The Regulating Act of 1773 (13 Geo. III c. 63 a. 8) provides that in all cases whatever wherein any difference of opinion shall arise upon any question proposed in any consultation the said governor general and council shall be bound and concluded by the opinion and decision of the major part of those present. And if it shall happen that, by the death or remoral, or by the absence of any of the members of the said council, such governor-general and council shall be equally divided, then, and in every such case the said governor general, or in hig absence the eldest councillor present, shall have a casting vote and his opinion shall be decisive and concluive.

The Charter Act of 1833 (3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, s 48) enacts that 'in every case of difference of opinion at meetings of the said council where there shall be an equality of votes, the said governor-general shall have two votes or the casting vote'

The difficulties which Warren Hastings encountered in his council under the Act of 1773 are well known, and Lord Cornwallis stipulated, on his appointment, that his hands should be strengthened, accordingly by an Act of 1786 (26 Geo III, c 10) the governor-general was empowered in special cases to override the majority of his council and act on his own responsibility. (See above, p 67)

The provisions of the Act of 1786 were re-enacted by ss 47, 48, and 49 of the Charter Act of 1793 (33 Geo III, c 52), which are still in force, and which run as follows —

'47 And whereas it will tend greatly to the strength and security of the British possessions in India, and give energy, vigour, and dispatch to the measures and proceedings of the executive Government within the respective presidencies, if the Governor-General of Fort William in Bengal and the several governors of Fort Saint George and Bombay were vested with a discretionary power of acting without the concurrence of their respective councils, or forbearing to act according to their opinions, in cases of high importance, and essentially affecting the public interest and welfare, thereby subjecting themselves personally to answer to their country for so acting or forbearing to act it enacted, that when and so often as any measure or question shall be proposed or agitated in the Supreme Council at Fort William in Bengal, or in either of the councils of Fort Saint George and Bombay, whereby the interests of the said united Company, or the safety or tranquillity of the British possessions in India, or in any part thereof, are or may, in the judgement of the governor-general or of the said governors respectively, be essentially concerned or affected, and the said governor-general or such governors respectively shall be of opinion that it will be expedient, either that the measures so proposed or agitated ought to be adopted or carried into execution, or that the same ought to be suspended or wholly rejected, and the several other members of such council then present shall differ in and dissent from such opinion, the said governor-general or such governor and the other members of the council shall and they are hereby directed forthwith mutually to exchange with and communicate in council to each other, in writing under their respective hands (to be recorded at large on their secret consultations), the respective grounds and reasons of their respective opinions, and if after considering the same the said governor general or such governor respectively, and the other members of the said council, shall severally retain their opinions, it shall and may be lawful to and for the said governor-general in the Supreme Council of Fort William, or either of the said governors in their respective councils, to make and declare any order (to be signed and subscribed by the said governor-general or by the governor making the same) for suspending or rejecting the measure or question so proposed or agitated, in part

or in whole, or to make and declare such order and resolution for adopting and carrying the measure so proposed or agitated into execution, as the said governor-general or such governors in their respective councils shall think fit and expedient which said last mentioned order and resolution so made and declared shall be signed as well by the said governor general or by the governor so making and declaring the same as by all the other members of the council then precent, and intents and purposes as if all the said other members had advised the same or concurred therein and the said members in council, and all folicers civil and military and all other persons concerned, shall be and they are hereby commanded, authorized, and enjoined to be obedient thereto, and to be adding and assisting in their respective stations in the corrying the same into execution.

- 48 And that the governor general or governor who shall declare and command any such order or resolution to be made and recorded without the assent or concurrence of any of the other members of council shall alone be held responsible for the same and the conrecomence thereof.
- 49 Provided always that nothing in this Act contained shall extend or be construed to extend to give power to the said Governor General of Fort William in Bengal, or to either of the said governors of Fort Saint George and Bombay respectively to make or carry into execution any order or resolution which could not have been lawfully made and executed with the concurrence of the councils of the respective Governments or presidencies, anything herein contained to the contrary notwithstanding.

The Government of India Act, 1870 (33 & to Vict. c. 3, s. 5), enacts that Whenever any measure shall be proposed before the Governor General of India in Council, whereby the safety tranquillity or interests of the British possessions in India, or any part thereof, are or may be in the judgement of the said governor general essentially affected, and he shall be of opinion either that the measure proposed might be adopted and carried into execution or that it ought to be suspended or rejected, and the majority in council then present shall dissent from such ominion, the governor-general may on his own authority and responsibility suspend or reject the measure in part or in whole or adopt and carry it into execution : but in every such case two members of the dissentient majority may require that the said suspension, rejection or adoption as well as the fact of their dissent shall be notified to the Secretary of State for India; and such notification shall he accompanied by cordes of the minutes (if any) which the members of the council shall have recorded on the subject.

This enactment practically supersedes, but does not expressly repeal the enactments in the Act of 1793 but does not apply to the Govern ments of Madras and Bombay. It was under the enactment of 1870 that Lord Lytton acted in March, 1870, when he exempted certain imported cotton goods from customs duty.

45.—(I) Whenever the Governor-General in Council de-Provision clares that it is expedient that the governor-general should for appointment visit any part of India, unaccompanied by his council, the of president of Governor-General in Council may appoint some member council of the council to be president of the governor-general's Vict c 67, s 6] council during the time of the visit

- (2) The president of the governor-general's council has, during his term of office, the powers of the governorgeneral at ordinary meetings of the governor-general's council (a)
- (a) The object of this section is to make provision for the current business of Government during the temporary absence of the governor-The last occasion on which it was put in force was Lord Dufferin's visit to Burma after the annexation of Upper Burma such cases the governor-general retains his own powers under s 47 (1). This power is not exercised on the occasion of the viceroy's ordinary annual tour
- 46. If the governor-general, or the president of the Provision governor-general's council, is obliged to absent himself from sence of any ordinary meeting of the governor-general's council by governorindisposition, or any other cause, and signifies his intended or presiabsence to the council, the senior ordinary (a) member for meetings the time being present at the meeting presides thereat, with [24 & 25 the like powers as the governor-general would have had, if Viet c 67, 8 7] present

Provided that if the governor-general, or president, is at the time resident at the place where the meeting is assembled, and is not prevented by indisposition from signing any act of council made at the meeting, the act requires his signature, but if he declines or refuses to sign it, the like provisions have effect as in cases where the governor-general, when present, dissents from a majority of the meeting of the council (b)

- (a) The word 'ordinary' is not in the Act of 1861, but is probably implied
 - (b) See s 44
- 47.—(1) In any case where a president of the council Powers of may be appointed, the Governor-General in Council may general in

absence from Council. [33 Geo. III, c. 52, 84. 54, 55 24 & 25 Viot. c. 67 s 6.]

by order authorize the governor general alone to exercise, in his discretion, all or any of the powers which might be exercised by the Governor General in Council at ordinary meetings (a)

- (2) The governor general during absence from his council may if he thinks it necessary issue, on his own responsibility any order which might have been issued by the Governor General in Council to any local Government or to any officers or servants of the Crown acting under the authority of any local Government without previously communicating the order to the local Government and any such order is of the same force as if made by the Governor General in Council, but a copy of the order must be sent forthwith to the Secretary of State in Council and to the local Government with the reasons for making the order
- (3) The Scoretary of State in Council may by order suspend until further order all or any of the powers of the governor general under the last foregoing sub-section, and those powers will accordingly be suspended as from the time of the receipt by the governor-general of the order of the Scoretary of State in Council (b)
 - (a) This provision supplements s. 45
- (b) The provisions of sub-sections (2) and (3) are reproduced from ss. 54 and 55 of the Act of 1793 (33 Geo. III, c. 52). But those sections were enacted in circumstances very different from those of the present time, and are practically superseded by the enactment reproduced in sub-section (t).

War and Treaties

Restriction on power of Governor General in Council to make war or treaty [33 Geo. 111, c. 52 s. 42.]

48—(1) (a) The Governor General in Council may not without the express command of the Secretary of State in Council in any case (except where hostilities have been actually commenced or preparations for the commencement of hostilities have been actually made against the British Government of India or against any prince or State whose territories His Majesty has engaged by any subsisting treaty to defend or

guarantee) either declare war or commence hostilities or enter into any treaty for making war against any prince or State in India, or enter into any treaty for guaranteeing the possessions of any such prince or State

- (2) In any such excepted case the Governor-General in Council may not declare war or commence hostilities or enter into a treaty for making war against any other prince or State than such as is actually committing hostilities or making preparations as aforesaid, and shall not make a treaty for guaranteeing the possessions of any prince or State except on the consideration of that prince or State actually engaging to assist His Majesty against such hostilities commenced or preparations made as aforesaid
- (3) When the Governor-General in Council commences any hostilities or makes any treaty, he must forthwith communicate the same, with the reasons therefor, to the Secretary of State
- (a) This section first appeared in Pitt's Act of 1784 (24 Geo III, sess 2, c 25, s 34), and was preceded by the preamble Whereas to pursue schemes of conquest and extension of dominion in India are measures repugnant to the wish, the honour, and policy of this nation' (See above, p 64) It was re-enacted, with the preamble, by s 42 of the Act of 1793, and, as so re-enacted, is still on the statute book. It is of historical interest as an expression of the views with which the expansion of the territorial possessions of the East India Company was regarded in the eighteenth century, but as it relates only to hostilities against and treaties with the 'country princes or States in India,' it is no longer of practical importance. The last provision, though expressed in general terms, obviously refers to the hostilities and treaties referred to in the preceding part

PART V

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

General

49.—(I) Every local Government (a) must obey the orders of Relation of local the Governor-General in Council, and keep him constantly Governand punctually informed of its proceedings, and is under ments to Governor-his superintendence and authority in all matters relating to General in

Council. [13 Gea. ПГ, с 63 ı. 9. 33 Goo III, a. 52 H. 24, 40, 41 43, 44. 3 & 4 Will. IV 0, 85

(2) No local Government may make or issue any order for commencing hostilities or levying war or negotiate or conclude any treaty of peace or other treaty with any Indian prince or State (except in cases of sudden emergency or imminent danger when it appears dangerous to postpone such hostilities or treaty) unless in pursuance of express orders from the as 65 67 Governor General in Council or from the Secretary of State

- and every such treaty must if possible contain a clause subjecting the same to the ratification or rejection of the Governor-General in Council
- (3) The authority of a local Government is not superseded by the presence in its province of the governor general (b)
- (a) The expression local Government is defined by s. 124 to mean a governor in council, heutenant-governor or chief commissioner By the Indian General Clauses Act (X of 1897) it is defined to mean the person authorized by law to administer executive government in the part of British India in which the Act containing the expression operates, and to include a chief commissioner. As to the existing local Governments, see above, p 114
- (b) This section reproduces ensetments which applied to the Govern ments of Madras and Bombay and were passed with the object of maintaining proper control by the Government of Bengal over the Governments of the two other presidencies. Of course the circum stances of the present day are widely different. Some of the provisions of the enactments reproduced are omitted, as having been made un necessary by the existence of telegraphic communications, and by other alterations of circumstances. For instance, it has not been considered necessary to reproduce the power of the governor general to suspend a local Government.

Governments of Madras and Bombay

Governments of Madras and Bombay [33 Geo. 111 c. 52 R. 24. VIII. IV c 85 ## 56, 57 21 & 2

- 50 -(1) The provinces (a) of Fort St George and Bombay are subject to the provisions embodied in this Digest (b) administered by the Governors in Council of Madras and Bombay respectively and are in this Digest referred to as the provinces of Madras and Bombay respectively
 - (2) The governors of Madras and Bombas are appointed by His Majesty by warrant under the Royal Sign Manual (c)
- (3) The Secretary of State may if he thinks fit, by order tion and revoke or suspend for such period as he may direct the

appointment of a council for either or both of those provinces, and whilst any such order is in forcy the governor of the province to which the order refers has all the powers of the Governor thereof in Council (d)

- (a) It seems desirable to avoid the term 'piesidency,' which dates from a time when British India was divided into three presidencies But the Governments of Madras and Bombay occupy a position different from and superior to that of the other local Governments. The governor is appointed by the Crown, and not by the governor-general, he is assisted by an executive council, and he retains the right of communicating directly with the Secretary of State (above, s. 15)
 - (b) c g to the control of the governor-general
- (c) Before the Act of 1858 the appointments were made by the Court of Directors with the approval of the Crown
- (d) This power was given by the Act of 1833, but has never been exercised
- 51.—(I) The ordinary (a) members of the councils of the Ordinary governors of Madias and Bombay are appointed by His of counMajesty by warrant under the royal sign manual

 Cols

 [33] Geo
- (2) The number of the ordinary members of each of the said $\frac{\text{III}}{\text{ss}}$, $\frac{52}{\text{ss}}$, councils is such number not exceeding three as the Secretary 3 & 4 Will 1V, c 85, ss
- (3) Every ordinary member of the said councils must be 56 , 57 a person who at the time of his appointment has been for Vict c at least twelve years in the service of the Crown in India (c)
- (4) Provided that if the commander-in-chief of His Majesty's forces in India (not being likewise governorgeneral) happens to be resident at Madras or Bombay he is, [33 Geo during his continuance there, a member of the governor's $\frac{\text{III}, c}{8}$, $\frac{52}{33}$, council (d)
- (a) The commanders-in-chief of the Madras and Bombay armics might be appointed, and, in fact, were always appointed, extraordinary members of the Madras and Bombay Councils—But these offices were abolished by the Madras and Bombay Armies Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict c 62)—The term 'ordinary' is used in this section by way of distinction from additional or legislative members (see s 60)
- (b) The number was reduced from three to two in 1833, and is now two
- (c) The qualification under 33 Geo III, c 52, s 25, is twelve years' residence in India in the service of the East India Company The

qualification for membership of the governor general a council is some what different (s. 30).

(d) This proviso, which is taken from the Act of 1703, is practically inoperative.

Ordinary ind legis-... nectings of Madras nd Bombay Jounelly.

- 52 -(1) The councils of the governors of Madras and Bom bay hold ordinary meetings, that is to say meetings for executive purposes and legislative meetings that is to say meetings for the purpose of making laws
- (2) The ordinary members of those councils are outifled to be present at all meetings thereof (a)
 - (a) This section does not reproduce any specific enactment, but represents the existing law

Procedure a cases of lifference 33 Geo. II, c. 52 **47 48** 19.1

- 53 The foregoing provisions of this Digest with respect to the procedure in case of a difference of opinion between the of opinion, governor-general and his council and in case of the governor general being obliged to absent himself from his council by indisposition or other cause apply with the necessary modifications in the case of a difference of opinion between the Governor of Madras or Bombay and his council and in the case of either of those governors being obliged to absent himself from his council (4)
 - (a) See sa. 44 and 46. Section 44 reproduces 33 Geo. 11I, c. 52 44. 47-49, as modified by 33 & 34 Vlot. c. 3 s. 5 The last ensetment applies only to the governor-general a council, but, as will be seen from the note to s. 44 does not substantially modify the Act of Geo. III.

Business of Gover nor in Council. 13 Geo III c. 52 s. 39 53 Ĝeo 111, c. 155 s. 79. 4 & 25 Vict c.

- 54.—(1) All orders and other proceedings of the Governor of Madras in Council and of the Governor of Bombay in Council must be expressed to be made by the Governor in Council and must be signed by a secretary to the Government of the province or otherwise as the Governor in Council may direct (a) (2) The governors of Madras and Bombay respectively may
- make rules and orders for the conduct of business in their respective councils, other than the business at legislative 67 4. 28 1 meetings, and every order made or act done in accordance with such rules and orders is deemed to be the order or the act of the Governor in Council
 - (a) bee note on # 43.

Licutenant-Governorships and other Provinces

- 55.—(I) The provinces known as Bengal (a), the United Lieuten-Provinces of Agra and Oudh (b), the Punjab (c), Burma (c) ant-go vernors and Eastern Bengal and Assam (a) are administered by 15 & 6 Will IV, lieutenant-governors
- (2) Every lieutenant-governor of a province in India is $_{\text{Vict}}^{16\&17}_{\text{C}95}$, appointed by the governor-general, subject to the approval $_{17\&18}^{\text{S}}_{\text{C}16}$ of His Majesty (d)
- (3) A heutenant-governor must have been, at the time of 21 & 22 Vict c his appointment, at least ten years in the service of the Crown 106, s 29] in India (c)
- (4) The Governor-General in Council may, with the approval of the Secretary of State in Council, declare and limit the extent of the authority of any lieutenant-governor (f)
- (a) By s 16 of the Government of India Act, 1853, the Court of Directors were authorized to declare that the Governor-General of India should not be Governor of the Presidency of Fort William in Bengal, but that a separate governor should be appointed for that presidency, and in that case a governor was to be appointed in like manner as the governors of Madras and Bombay, and the governorgeneral's power of appointing a deputy-governor of Bengal was to But unless and until a separate governor of the presidency was so constituted, the Governor-General in Council might appoint any servant of the Company who had been ten years in its service in India to be heutenant governor of such part of the territories under the Presidency of Fort William in Bengal as, for the time being, might not be under the Lieutenant-Governor of the North-Western Provinces. The project of constituting a new governorship was abandoned, and under the alternative power a heutenant-governor of the Lower Provinces of Bengal (now commonly known as Bengal) was appointed in In October, 1905, a new province was formed by detaching the eastern part of Bengal from the rest of the province and uniting it with Assam under a lieutenant-governor See Act VII of 1905
- (b) The lieutenant-governorship of the North-Western Provinces was of earlier date than the lieutenant-governorship of Bengal, and was constituted under an Act of 1835 (5 & 6 Will IV, c 52) The Act of 1833 had directed the division of the Presidency of Bengal into two distinct presidencies, one to be styled the Presidency of Fort William, the other the Presidency of Agra The Act of 1835 authorized the Court of Directors to suspend these provisions, and directed that during the period of suspension the Governor-General in Council might appoint any servant of the Company who had been ten years in its

service in India to the office of Lieutenant-Governor of the North Western Provinces now under the Presidency of Fort William in Bengal, a designation them appropriate, but since made inappropriate by the annexation of the Punjab. Power was also given to declare and limit the extent of the territories so placed under a lieutenant governor and of the authority to be exercised by him. The arrangements thus temporarily made by the Act of 1835 were continued by the Act of 1853 (16 & 17 Vlot. c. 95 s. 15). A lieutenant-governor of the North Western Provinces was first appointed by notification, dated February 29, 1836 (Calcutta Gazette for March 2, 1836, second supplement). This notification merely gave the lieutenant-governor the powers of the Governor of Agra, and those powers, as defined by 3 & 4 Will. IV c. 85, did not include any of the powers of the Governor General in Council under the Bengal Regulations. The power given by the Act of 1835 to define the authority of the heutenant-governor is probably superseded by the powers under 17 & 18 Viot. c. 77 a. 4

The Leutenant-Governor of the North Western Provinces used to be also Chief Commissioner of Oudh. In 1901 when the North West Frontier Province was constituted, the old North Western Provinces were united with Oudh under a heutenant-governor and the two provinces were designated the United Provinces of Agra and Ordh. The unloss was confirmed by Act VII of 1902.

(c) Section 17 of the Act of 1853 (16 & 17 Vict c. 95) enacts that -It shall be lawful for the Court of Directors of the said Company under such direction and control, if and when they think fit to constitute one new presidency within the territories subject for the time being to the government of the said Company and to declare and appoint what part of such territories shall be subject to the govern ment of such new presidency and unless and until such new presidency be constituted as aforesaid, it shall be lawful for the said Court of Directors, under such direction and control as aforesaid, if and when they think fit, to authorize (in addition to such appointments as are hereinbefore authorized to be continued and made for the territories now and heretofore under the said Presidency of Fort William) the appointment by the said Governor-General in Council of a lieutenantgovernor for any part of the territories for the time being subject to the government of the said Company and to declare for what part of the said territories such beutenant-governor shall be appointed, and the extent of his authority and from time to time to revoke or alter any such declaration.

The power of constituting a new presidency was not exercised but that of appointing a new lieutenant-governor was exercised in 1863 by the appointment of Sir John Lawrence as Lieutenant-Governor of the Punjab. The rule of construction applied to recent Acts of Parliament by a, 32 of the Interpretation let 1889 (52 & 53 Vict. c. 63), does not apply to the Act of 1853 and, apart from this, the power of appointing freel heurenant governors under the let of 1853 was probably exhausted by the constitution of a licutenant governor

ship of the Punjab Further powers of constituting lieutenant governorships are given by \$ 46 of the Indian Councils Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 67) but apparently are precisable only when a new legislative council is established. See the note on 8 74 below was under these further powers that in 1807 Burma, and in 1905 Eastern Bengal and Assam, were constituted lieutenant-governorships

- (d) See 21 & 22 Vict c 106, s 29
- (e) This provision applies in terms only to the lieutenant-governors of Bengal and the North-Western Provinces (now united with Oudh), but its operation has been perhaps extended by the final words of 21 & 22 Vict c 106, 8 29
- (f) This sub-section reproduces 5-4 of the Act of 1854 (17 & 18 Vict c 77), which, however, applies in terms only to the two older lieutenantgovernorships, the language being 'It shall be lawful for the said Governor-General of India in Council, with the like sanction and approbation [1 e of the Court of Directors and the Board of Control]. from time to time to declare and limit the extent of the authority of the Governor in Council, Governor, or Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal, or of Agra, or the North-Western Provinces, who is now, or may be hereafter, appointed' But a power to alter the limits of provinces is given by other enactments See s 57 below
- 56. The Governor-General in Council may, with the ap- Power to proval of the Secretary of State, and by notification in the place Gazette of India, take any part of British India under the under immediate authority and management of the Governoi- of Gover-General in Council, and thereupon give all necessary orders and General in directions respecting the administration of that part, or otherwise provide for the administration thereof

There is reason to believe that the enactment reproduced by this section was passed in consequence of a minute of Sir Barnes Peacock, forming an enclosure to a dispatch from the Government of India, dated July 16, 1852, and that it was mainly designed to give the Governor-General in Council the power which, according to Sir Barnes Peacock, he had not, of taking under his immediate executive control territory which formed part of some one of the presidencies section has been thus applied in various cases Thus Arakan, which was originally annexed to Lower Bengal, was under this section taken into the hands of the Governor-General in Council and annexed to British Burma (Foreign Department Notification, No 30 (Political), dated January 16, 1862) The province of Assam (now united with Eastern Bengal) was constituted by removing it under this section from the lieutenant-governorship of Bengal, taking it under the Governor-General in Council, and constituting it a chief commissionership, the regulation district of Sylhet being subsequently added to it in the same manner (Home Department Proclamation No 379,

[17 & 18 Vict c 77, s 3] February 6 1874 and Notification No. 380, of same date; also Notification No 2344 of September 1 1874).

On the other hand, when the chief commissionerships of Oudh, the Central Provinces, and British (now Lower) Burms were constituted out of territories vested in the Governor-General in Council, the procedure was merely the issue of a resolution reciting the reasons for establishing the chief commissionership, defining the territories included in it, and specifying the staff appointed, no reference being made to any statute (Foreign Department letter to Chief Commissioner of Oudh, No. 12 dated February 4 1856, and Foreign Department Resolution, No o dated November 2, 1861 and No 212 dated January 31 1862). In the same way repeated changes have been made by executive orders in the government of the Andaman Islands.

The view taken by the Government of India is that the section does not apply to territories already included in a chief commissionership. this description of territory being, according to the practice of the Indian Legislature, always treated as already under the immediate authority and management of the Governor-General in Council, and therefore not capable of being placed under his authority and management by proclamation. A chief commissioner merely administers territory on behalf of the Governor General in Council, and the Governor General in Council does not divest himself of any of his powers in making over the local administration to a chief communioner

Although, however the territory comprised in a chief commissioner ship may be technically under the immediate authority and management of the Governor-General in Council, yet the chief commissioner would ordinarily be the local Government within the meaning of Act A of 1897 s. 3 (79), and he is defined as a local Government by this Digest

The result appears to be-

lter

mit of

85 F

- (t) The section must be used when it is desired to transfer the administration of territory from a governor in council or a hea tenant governor to a chief commissioner
- (2) The section need not be used and is not ordinarily used, when the administration of territory already under the administration of the Governor-General in Council is transferred from one local agency to another

The transfer of territory under this section does not change the law in force in the territory (see below s. 58). Consequently supplemental legislation will usually be necessary

57 The Covernor General in Council may by notification ower to in the Gazette of India declare appoint or alter the boun daries of any of the provinces into which British India is rovince. for the time being divided and distribute the territories of Brifish India among the several provinces thereof in such mainer as may seem expedient, subject to these qualifications, 28 & 29
namely—

Vict c 17
ss 4, 5]

- (1) An entire district may not be transferred from one province to another without the previous sanction of the Crown, signified by the Secretary of State in Council, and
- (2) Any notification under this section may be disallowed by the Secretary of State in Council (a)
- (a) This section is intended to reproduce the effect of the following enactments —

'It shall be lawful for the said Court of Directors, under the control by this Act provided, and they are hereby required, to declare and appoint what part or parts of any of the territories under the government of the said Company shall from time to time be subject to the government of each of the several presidencies now subsisting or to be established as aforesaid, and from time to time, as occasion may require, to revoke and alter, in the whole or in part, such appointment, and such new distribution of the same, as shall be deemed expedient'

28 & 29 VICT C-17, 88 4, 5

'It shall be lawful for the Governor-General of India in Council from time to time to declare and appoint, by proclamation, what part or parts of the Indian territories for the time being under the dominion of Her Majesty shall be or continue subject to each of the presidencies and lieutenant-governorships for the time being subsisting in such territories, and to make such distribution and arrangement, or new distribution and arrangement, of such territories into or among such presidencies and lieutenant governorships as to the said Governor-General in Council may seem expedient

'Provided always, that it shall be lawful for the Secretary of State in Council to signify to the said Governor General in Council his disallowance of any such proclamation. And provided further, that no such proclamation for the purpose of transferring an entire zillah of district from one presidency to another, or from one lieutenant-governorship to another, shall have any force or validity until the sanction of Her Majesty to the same shall have been previously signified by the Secretary of State in Council to the governor-general'

The power given by the Indian Councils Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 67, s 47), would appear from the context to be intended to be exercised for legislative purposes only, and is therefore reproduced below, s 74 That given by the Act of 1865 (28 & 29 Vict c 17, s 4) is wider The Government of India were advised in 1878 that the Act of 1865 enables the Governor-General in Council to transfer territory from a chief commissionership to a presidency or heutenant-governorship,

but does not allow the converse. Parliament it was thought having enacted 17 & 18 Vict. o. 77 s. 3, must be taken to have been aware of the existence of territories called chief commissionerubles, and to have deliberately omitted any mention of these in the Act of 1855

On April 24, 1883 a proclamation was issued under 28 & 29 Vict.
c. 17 s. 4, placing the villages of Shalkh-Othman and Imad, near
Aden, under the Government of Bombay The section has since then
been applied to Perim.

Saving as to laws. [17 & 18 Vict. c. 77 & 3-24 & 25 Vict. c. 67

L 47]

58 An alteration in pursuance of the foregoing provisions of the mode of administration of any part of British India or of the boundaries of any part of British India does not affect the law for the time being in force in that part

The power to take territory under the immediate authority of the Governor General in Council (reproduced by s. 56 above) is qualified by the provise that no law or regulation in force at any such time as regards any such portions of territory shall be altered or repealed except by law or regulation made by the Governor General of India in Council (17 & 18 Vict. c. 77 s. 3).

The power to fix the limits of a province given by 24 & 25 Vlot 0. 67 a. 47 and reproduced by a. 57 above, is qualified by a similar provise, that any law or regulation made by the Governor or Lieu tenant-Governor in Council of any presidency division, province or terratory shall continue in force in any part thereof which may be sovered therefrom by any such proclamation, until superseded by law or regulation of the Governor-General in Council, or of the Governor or Lieutenant-Governor in Council of the presidency division, province or territory to which such parts have become annexed.

The power exercisable under 28 & 29 Vict. c. 17 s. 4, is not qualified by a similar provise.

Power to extend bounds rice of press dency towns. [55 Geo. III c 84, s. 1]

59 The Governor General in Council the Governor of Madras in Council and the Governor of Bombay in Council may with the approval of the Secretary of State in Council extend the limits of the towns of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay respectively and any Act of Parliament charter law or usage having effect only within the limits of these towns respectively will have effect within the limits as so extended

This power which was given by an Act of 1815 appears to be still in force and not to be superseded by the later enactments reproduced above

of council

for legis-

PART VI

INDIAN LEGISLATION

Legislation by Governor-General in Council

- 60.—(I) For the purposes of legislation, the governor-Addigeneral nominates persons resident in India to be additional members members of his council (a)
- (2) The number of the additional members of the governorgeneral's council is such as to the governor-general from [24 & 25 Vict c 67, time to time seems expedient, but must be not less than ten ss 9, 10, and not greater than sixteen (b) <u>3</u>3 & 34
- (3) At least one-half of the additional members of the $^{\rm Vict\ c}_{\rm s\ 3}$ governor-general's council must be persons not in the civil 55 & 56 Vict c 7ict c 3, or military service of the Crown in India, and if any such 14, s 1] additional member accepts office under the Crown in India his seat as an additional member thereupon becomes vacant
- (4) The term of office of an additional member of the governor-general's council is two years
- (5) When and so long as the governor-general and his council are in a province administered by a lieutenantgovernor or chief commissioner, that heutenant-governor or chief commissioner is an additional member of the council, in excess, if necessary, of the maximum number hereinbefore specified of additional members
- (6) The additional members of the governor-general's council are entitled to be present at the legislative meetings of the council, and at no others
- (7) The Governor-General in Council may, with the approval of the Secretary of State in Council, make regulations as to the conditions under which nominations may be made in accordance with this section, and prescribe the manner in which such regulations are to be carried into effect (c)
- (a) The Legislative Council of the Government of India is an expansion of the Governor-General's executive council Its cumbrous

statutory description is the Governor General in Council at meetings for the purpose of making laws and regulations. It was constituted by the Indian Councils Act, 1861 in supersession of the legislative body established under the Act of 1853 and its constitution was modified by the Indian Councils Act, 1892 (55 & 56 Vict. c. 14). The qualification of residence in India was added by the Act of

- (b) The number under the Act of 1861 was not less than aix nor more than twelve. It was increased by the Act of 1892
 - (c) As to the effect of these regulations, see above, pp. 115-116.

Times and places of meetings. 124 & 25 67 8. 17]

- 61 -(1) The legislative meetings of the governor general s lagislative council are held at such times and places as the Governor General in Council appoints (a)
 - (2) Any such meeting may be adjourned by the governor Leneral or by the person presiding at the meeting if so authorized by the governor general (b)
 - (a) In practice the meetings are held at Calcutta and Simla. There are no legislative sessions, but meetings are held whenever it is con sidered convenient. A Bill remains in life until it is passed or with drawn, or is treated under the rules of business as dropped. All the Acts passed in any one calendar year are numbered in consecutive order (Act I of 1807 and so on).
 - (b) It would be more convenient to make the power of adjournment exercisable by the person presiding, without further authority

tion of legislativo meetings of council. (24 & 5 Viot c. 6, s. 15 1

Constitu-

- 62 -(1) At every legislative meeting of the governor general's council the governor general or the president of the governor general a council (a) or some other ordinary member of the governor general's council and at least six other members ordinary or additional of that council must be present
- (2) At every such meeting the governor general or in his absence the president of the governor-general a council or if there is no president or if the president is absent the senior ordinary member of the governor general a council present at the meeting presides
- (3) The person presiding at a legislative meeting of the governor general a council has a second or casting vote
 - (a) Sec a. 45

- 63.—(I) The Governor-General in Council has power at Legislative meetings to make laws (a)—

 (a) for all parsons for all courts, and for all places and Governor
 - (a) for all persons, for all courts, and for all places and General in things within British India (b), and

 Council
 [3 & 4]
 - (b) for all British subjects of His Majesty and servants of the Will IV, Government of India within other parts of India (c), and 46, 51, 73 (c) for all persons being native Indian subjects of His Vict c

 Majesty or pative Indian officers, soldiers, or followers 67, 8, 22
 - Majesty or native Indian officers, soldiers, or followers of this vict c in this Majesty's Indian forces, when respectively in Vict c any part of the world, whether within or without His 32 & 33 Vict c in Majesty's dominions (d), and
 - (d) for all persons employed or serving in or belonging to 33 & 34 Vict c

 His Majesty's Indian Marine Service (e), and

 3, s 2
 47 & 48

 (e) for repealing or altering any laws or regulations for Vict c
- the time being in force in any part of British India [oi 38, ss 2, over any persons for whom the Governor-General in 55 & 56 Vict c Council has power to make laws] (f)

 14, s 3]
- (2) Provided that the Governor-General in Council has 3 & 4 Will IV, not power to make any law repealing or affecting (g)— Council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3 & 4 Will IV, or a section of the Government of India Act, 1833, Vict council has 3
- (a) any provisions of the Government of India Act, 1833, Viet c 95 except sections eighty-one, eighty-two, eighty-three, Viet c 77 eighty-four, eighty-five, and eighty-six of that Act, or 21 & 22 Viet c any provisions of the Government of India Act, 1853, 106 or the Government of India Act, 1854, or the Govern-Viet c 46 ment of India Act, 1858, or the Government of India 24 & 25 Viet c 67 Act, 1859, or the Indian Councils Act, 1861 (h), or
 - (b) any Act of Parliament passed after the year one thousand eight hundred and sixty, and extending to British India (i), or
 - (c) any Act enabling the Secretary of State in Council to raise money in the United Kingdom for the government of India, or
 - (d) the Army Act (1), or any Act amending the same, and has not power to make any law affecting the authority of Parhament (1), or any part of the unwritten laws or con-

stitution of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland whereon may depend in any degree the allegiance of any person to the Crown of the United Kingdom (I) or the sovereignty or dominion of the Crown over any part of British India (m)

- (3) The Governor General in Council has not power with out the previous approval of the Secretary of State in Council to make any law empowering any court other than a high court within the meaning of this Digest (a) to sentence the punishment of death any of His Majesty's natural born subjects born in Europe or the children of such subjects or abolishing any high court within the meaning of this Digest (a)
- (4) Any law made in accordance with this section controls and supersedes any other law or regulation repugnant thereto which may have been previously made by any authority in India (p)
- (5) A law made in accordance with this section for His Majesty's Indian Marine Service does not apply to any offence unless the vessel to which the offender belongs is at the time of the commission of the offence within the limits of Indian waters that is to say the high seas between the Cape of Good Hope on the West and the Straits of Magellan on the East (q) and any territorial waters between those limits
- (6) The punishments imposed by any such law as last aforesaid for offences must be similar in character to and not in excess of the punishments which may at the time of making the law be imposed for similar offences under the Acts relating to His Majesty's Navy except that in the case of persons other than Europeans or Americans imprisonment for any term not exceeding fourteen years or transportation for life or any less term may be substituted for penal servitude

(a) The legislative powers of the Governor General in Council and derived from a series of enactments.

Under s. 73 of the Government of India Act, 1833 (3 & 4 Will. IV c. 85), it is lawful for the said Governor General in Council from time to time to make articles of war for the government of the native

officers and soldiers in the military service of the Company, and for the administration of justice by courts martial to be holden on such officers and soldiers, and such articles of war from time to time to repeal or vary and amend, and such articles of war shall be made and taken notice of in the same manner as all other the laws and regulations to be made by the said Governor-General in Council under this Act, and shall prevail and be in force, and shall be of exclusive authority over all the native officers and soldiers in the said military service, to whatever presidency such officers and soldiers may belong, or where soever they may be serving Provided nevertheless, that until such articles of war shall be made by the said Governor-General in Council, any articles of war for or relating to the government of the Company's native forces, which at the time of this Act coming into operation shall be in force and use in any part or parts of the said territories, shall 1emain in force '

By s 22 of the Indian Councils Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 67), the Governor-General in Council was empowered at meetings for the purpose of making laws and regulations as aforesaid, and subject to the provisions therein contained, 'to make laws and regulations for repealing, amending, or altering any laws or regulations whatever now in force or hereafter to be in force in the Indian territories now under the dominion of Her Majesty, and to make laws and regulations for all persons, whether British or native, foreigners or others, and for all courts of justice whatever, and for all places and things whatever within the said territories, and for all servants of the Government of India within the dominions of princes and States in alliance with Her Majesty, and the laws and regulations so to be made by the Governor-General in Council shall control and supersede all laws and regulations in anywise repugnant thereto which shall have been made prior thereto by the governors of the presidencies of Fort Saint George and Bombay respectively in Council, or the Governor or Lieutenant-Governor in Council of any presidency or other territory for which a council may be appointed, with power to make laws and regulations, under and by virtue of this Act Provided always, that the said Governor-General in Council shall not have the power of making any laws or regulations which shall repeal or in any way affect any of the provisions of this Act

- 'Or any of the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1833, and of the Government of India Act, 1853, and of the Government of India Act, 1854, which after the passing of this Act shall remain in force
- 'Or any provisions of the Government of India Act, 1858, or of the Government of India Act, 1859
- 'Or of any Act enabling the Secretary of State in Council to raise money in the United Kingdom for the Government of India
- Or of the Acts for punishing mutiny and desertion in Her Majesty's Army or in Her Majesty's Indian forces respectively, but subject to the provision contained in the Government of India Act, 1833, 8 73, respecting the Indian articles of war

Or any provisions of any Act passed in this present session of Parliament, or hereafter to be passed, or anywise affecting Her Majesty's Indian territories, or the inhabitants thereof:

Or which may affect the authority of Parliament, or the constitution and rights of the East India Company or any part of the unwritten laws or constitution of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, whereon may depend in any degree the allegiance of any person to the Crown of the United Kingdom or the sovereignty or dominion of the Crown over any part of the said territories.

By s. 1 of the Government of India Act, 1865 (8 & 29 Vict. c. 15) the Governor-General of India was empowered, at meetings for the purpose of making laws and regulations, to make laws and regulations for all British subjects of Her Majesty within the dominions of princes and States in India in alliance with Her Majesty whether in the service of the Government of India or otherwise.

By s 1 of the Indian Councils Act 1859 (32 & 33 Vict c 98) the Governor General of India in Council was empowered, at meetings for the purpose of making laws and regulations, to make laws and regulations for all persons being native Indian subjects of Her Majesty with out and beyond as well as within the Indian territones under the dominions of Her Majesty. And under s. 3 of the same Act a law or regulation so made is not to be invalid by reason only of its repealing or affecting ss. 81 8 83 84 85 or 86 of the Government of India Act. 1813

The Indian Marine Service Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Viot c 38), gives

Section 45 of the Government of India Act, 1813 (1 & 4 Will, IV c. 8c), enacts that all laws and regulations made under that Act so long as they remain unrepealed shall be of the same force and effect within and throughout the Indian territories as any Act of Parliament would or ought to be within the same territories, and shall be taken notice of by all courts of justice whatsoever within the same territories in the same manner as any public Act of Parliament would or ought to be taken notice of, and it shall not be necessary to register or publish in any court of justice any laws or regulations made by the said Governor General in Council. This enactment has not been repealed, but the first part of it applies in terms only to laws made under the powers given by the Act of 1832 and is not reproduced in the Act of 1861 or expressly made applicable to laws made under the powers given by that Act. Its repetition or application was probably considered un necessary in 1861 The exemption from the obligation to register which is in general terms, was enacted with reference to the questions which had arisen as to the necessity for registering enactments made under various statutory powers conferred before 1833 (See above p. 50.)

The powers of legislation reproduced in this Digest are not exhaustive Under various Acts of Parliament the Indian Legislature like other British legislatures with limited powers, has power to make laws on particular subjects with more extensive operation than laws made under its ordinary powers. See e.g. the Extradition Act, 1870 (33 & 34 Viet e. 52, s. 18), the Slave Trade Act, 1876 (39 & 40 Viet e. 46, s. 2), the Fugitive Offenders Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Viet e. 69, s. 32), the Colonial Courts of Admiralty Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Viet e. 27), the Colonial Probates Act, 1892 (55 & 56 Viet e. 6, s. 1), and the Merchant Shipping Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Viet e. 60, ss. 264, 368, 735, 736)

The leading case on the general powers of the Indian Legislature is The Queen v Burah (1878), L R 3 App Cas 889 The Indian Legislature had passed an Act (XXII of 1869) purporting -First, to remove the Garo Hills from the jurisdiction of the ordinary civil and criminal courts, and from the law applicable to those courts, and, secondly, to vest the administration of civil and criminal justice in those territories in officers appointed by the Lieutenant Governor of Bengal The Act was to come into operation on a date to be fixed by By the ninth section the lieutenant governor the heutenant governor was empowered, by notification in the Calcutta Gazette, to extend all or any of the provisions of the Act to certain neighbouring mountainous The validity of the Act, and particularly of the ninth section, was questioned, but was maintained by the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, who held (1) that the Act was not inconsistent with the Indian High Courts Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 104), or with the Charter of the Calcutta High Court, (2) that it was in its general scope within the legislative powers of the Governor-General in Council, (3) that the ninth section was conditional legislation and not a delegation of legislative power, and (4) that where plenary powers of legislation exist as to particular subjects, whether in an imperial or in a provincial legislature, they may be well exercised, either absolutely or conditionally, in the latter case leaving to some external authority the time and manner of carrying its legislation into effect, and the area over which it is to extend

Lord Selborne, in delivering the judgement of the Judicial Committee, expressed himself as follows —

'The Indian Legislature has powers expressly limited by the Act of the Imperial Parliament which created it, and it can, of course, do nothing beyond the limits which circumscribe these powers. But, when acting within these limits, it is not in any sense an agent of delegate of the Imperial Parliament, but has, and was intended to have, plenary powers of legislation, as large, and of the same nature, as those of Parliament itself. The established courts of justice, when a question arises whether the prescribed limits have been exceeded, must of necessity determine that question, and the only way in which they can properly do so is by looking to the terms of the instrument, by which affirmatively the legislative powers were created, and by which negatively they are restricted. If what has been done is legislation within the general scope of the affirmative words which give the power, and if it violates no express condition or restriction by which

that power is limited (in which category would of course be included any Act of the Imperial Parliament at variance with it), it is not for any court of justice to ingular further or to enlarge constructively those conditions and restrictions.

The same principles have been since laid down with respect to colonial logislatures in the case of Powell v Apollo Candle Company (1885), 10 App. Cas. 282. See also Harris v Davies (1885), 10 App. Cas. 279, and Musgrove v Ohum Tecong Toy [1891] L. R. A. C. 274 (the Chinese immigration case).

In Spring v Siggias, [1897] A. C. 238 it was held on appeal from the Cape that a power for the governor to add to the existing laws already proclaimed and in force in Pondoland such laws as he should from time to time by proclamation declare to be in force in these territories, did not authorize the issue of a proclamation for the arrest and imprisonment of a particular chief.

(b) The expression used in the Indian Councils Act, 1861 is the Indian territories now under the dominion of Her Majesty But a. 3 of the Indian Councils Act, 1892 (55 & 56 Vict. c. 14) explains that this is to be read as if the words or hereafter were inserted after now Consequently it is represented by British India, which means the territories for the time being constituting British India (see a. 1.4) and the notes thereon).

(c) The Act of 1861 gave power to make laws for all servants of the Government of India within the dominions of princes and States in alliance with Her Majesty The Act of 1865 gave power to make laws for all British subjects of Her Majesty within the dominions of princes or States as India in alliance with Her Majesty whether in the service of the Government of India or not Consequently it may be argued that the power to make laws for servants of the Government of India, as distinguished from British subjects generally extends beyond the Native States of India But having regard to the sense in which the phrase princes and States in alliance with Her Majesty is commonly used in Acts relating to India, it seems safer to adopt the narrower construction and to treat the expressions in the Act of 1861 and in the Act of 1865 as synonymous.

The expression Government of India is defined by the Indian General Causes Act (N of 1897), in terms which would exclude the local Governments. But this definition does not apply to the construction of an English Act of Parliament, and the expression servants of the Government of India in the Act of 1867 would doubtless be held to include all servants of the Government of India, whether directly employed by the Government of India in the serves or by or under the India in its narrower sense or by or under a local Government, and

On general principles, there would seem to be no objection to legitation conferring jurisdiction in respect of an offence committed by a servant of the Crown in any foreign country where the offence condit of a breach of had Mary to the Crown.

whether Blitish subjects or not See the definition of 'Government in Act X of 1897, s 3 (21)

It has been argued that the expression 'British subjects of Her Majesty' was used in the Act of 1865 in its older and narrowel sense, as not including persons of Asiatic descent. If so, there would be no power under this enactment to legislate for natives of Ceylon in the Nizam's territories. In practice, however, the questions referred to in this note do not cause difficulty because a wider power to legislate for persons and things outside British India can be exercised under the Foreign Jurisdiction Act. See below, ch. v.

- (d) The Indian Articles of War are contained in Act V of 1869, as amended by Act XII of 1894 The words 'or followers' do not occur in the Act of 1833, but their insertion seems to be justified by the Army Act, which, after a saving for Indian military law respecting officers or soldiers or followers in Her Majesty's Indian forces, being natives of India, enacts (s 180 (2) (b)) that, 'For the purposes of this Act, the expression "Indian military law" means the Articles of War of other matters made, enacted, or in force, or which may hereafter be made, enacted, or in force, under the authority of the Government of India, and such articles or other matters shall extend to such native officers, soldiers, and followers, wherever serving'
- (e) The East India Company used to keep a small naval force, known first as the Bombay Marine, and afterwards as the Indian Navy This force was abolished in 1863, when it was decided that the Royal Navy should undertake the defence of India against serious attack by sea, and should also provide for the performance of the duties in the Persian Gulf which had been previously undertaken by the Indian After the abolition of the Indian Navy, two small services, the Bengal Marine and the Bombay Marine, came into existence for local purposes, but were found to be expensive and inefficient, and accordingly the Government of India amalgamated them into the force now known as the Indian Marine According to the preamble to the Indian Marine Service Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict c 38), this force was 'employed under the direction of the Governor-General in Council for the transport of troops, the guarding of convict settlements, the suppression of piracy, the survey of coasts and harbours, the visiting of lighthouses, the relief of distressed or wrecked vessels, and other local objects,' and was maintained out of the revenues of India

The ships on this establishment were Government ships, but did not form part of the Royal Navy, and consequently did not fall within the provisions either of the Merchant Shipping Acts on the one hand, or of the Naval Discipline Act (29 & 30 Vict c 109) on the other, or of any corresponding Indian enactments. They were in fact in the same kind of position as some of the vessels employed by the Board of Trade and by the Post Office in British waters. Under these circumstances it was thought expedient that the Governor-General in Council should have power to make laws for the maintenance of discipline in their service, and, accordingly, the Indian Marine Service

Act 7881, was peaced for this purpose. It enabled the Governor General in Council, at legislative meetings, to make laws for all persons amployed or serving in or belonging to Her Malesty a Indian Marine Service, but the numer ments were to be of the same character as those under the Navy Acts, and the Act was not to operate beyond the limits of Indian waters as defined by the Act. i. e. the old limits of the Rest India Company a charter. The ressons for the limitation to Indian waters were doubtless that it was desirable to maintain the local character of the objects for which, according to the preemble. the establishment was mentained that if under excentional circum stances, a ship belonging to the establishment was sent to English waters, on transport service or otherwise, no practical difficulties in maintaining discipling were likely to some and that it was not desirable to give to these ships and to their officers, outside Indian waters, their proper sphere of operations, a status practically equivalent to that of the Royal Navy The officers of the Indian Marine Service are appointed by the Governor General in Council, but do not hold com missions from the King and consequently cannot exercise powers of command over officers and men of the Royal Navy. The ships are unarmed, and therefore are practically of no use for the suppression of person. In time of war however the King may by Proclamation or Order in Council, direct that any vessel belonging to the Indian Marine Service, and the men and officers serving therein, shall be under the command of the senior naval officer of the station where the vessel is and while the vessel is under such command, it is to be deemed, to all intenta, a vessel of war of the Royal Navy and the men and officers are to be under the Naval Discipline Act and subject to regulations usued by the Admiralty with the concurrence of the Secretary of State for India in Council (47 & 48 Viet c. 38 s. 6).

Under the power conferred by the Indian Marine Service Act, 1884, the Indian Legislature passed the Indian Marine Act 1887 (Act VIV of 1887), which established for the Indian Marine Service a code of discipline corresponding to that in force for the Royal Navy and declared that Chapter VII of the Indian Penal Code as to offences relating to the Army and Navy was to apply as if Her Majesty a Indian Marine Service were commised in the Navy of the Queen (a. 2016).

On the relations between the Royal Navy and the Indian Marine Service, see the evidence given by Str John Hext and others in the First Report of the Royal Commission on the administration of the expenditure of India (1866).

(f) The words or over any persons for whom the Governor General in Council has power to make laws are not in the Act of 1861 but seem to be implied by the context.

(g) Affecting would probably be construed as equivalent to altering

(k) The short titles given by the Short Titles Act 1895, are substituted in the text for the longer titles used in the Act of 1891. It will be observed that subject to the exceptions here specified, the

Parliamentary enactments relating to India may be repealed or altered by Indian legislation This power is saved by the language used in producing these enactments in the Digest See e g ss 101, 103, 105

- (i) The language of the Act of 1861 is 'any provisions of any Act passed in this present session of Parliament, or hereafter to be passed, in anywise affecting Her Majesty's Indian territories, or the inhabitants thereof' See R v Meares, 14 Bengal Law Reports, 106, 112
- (7) 44 & 45 Vict c 58 Under s 136 of this Act as amended by s 4 of the Army (Amendment) Act, 1895 (58 & 59 Vict c 7), the pay of an officer or soldier of Her Majesty's regular forces must be paid without any deduction other than the deductions authorized by this or by any other Act, or by any Royal warrant for the time being, or by any law passed by the Governor-General of India in Council Thus the Indian Legislature has power to authorize deductions from military pay, but this power can hardly be treated as power to amend the Army Act
- (1) After these words followed in the Act of 1861 the words 'or the constitution and rights of the East India Company'. It will be remembered that the Company was not formally dissolved until 1874
- (l) 'Whereon may depend United Kingdom' These words are somewhat indefinite, and a wide meaning was attributed to them by Mr Justice Norman in the case of In the matter of Ameer Khan, 6 Bengal Law Reports, 392, 456, 459 In this case, which turned on the validity of an arrest under Regulation III of 1818, the powers of the Indian Legislature under successive charters and enactments were fully discussed.
- (m) Are the words 'or the sovereignty,' &c, to be connected with 'whereon may depend,' or with 'affecting'? Probably the latter If so, legislation to authorize or confirm the cession of territory is placed by these words beyond the powers of the Indian Legislature The power of the Crown to cede territory in India and elsewhere was fully discussed in the Bhaunagar case, Damodhar Khan v Deoram Khanji, I L R i Bom 367, L R 2 App Cas 332, where the Judicial Committee, without expressly deciding the main question at issue, clearly intimated that in their opinion the Crown possessed the power This opinion was followed by the high court at Allahabad in the case of Lachmi Najayan v Raja Piatab Singh, I L R 2 All 1 Sii H S Maine's Minute of 1868 on the Rampore Cession case (No 79), and the debates in Parliament in 1890 on the Anglo-German Agree ment Bill, by which the assent of Parliament was given to the agreement for the cession of Heligoland, and in 1904 (June 1) on the Anglo French Convention Bill
 - (n) 1 e a chartered high court See s 124
- (o) This reproduces 3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, s 46, and is the reason why the sanction of the Secretary of State in Council is recited in the preamble to the Punjab Courts Act, 1884 (XVIII of 1884, printed in the Punjab Code)

- (p) Any authority in India. The words of the Act are: the Governors of the Presidencies of Port St. George and Bombay respectively in Council, or the Governor or Lieutenant Governor in Council of any presidency or other territory for which a council may be appointed, with power to make laws and regulations by virtue of this Act.
 - (q) These were the old limits of the East India Company's charter

Business at legislative meet ings.

[24 & 25 Vict. c. 67 s. 19. 55 & 56 Vict. c 14, s. 2.]

- 64—(I) (a) At a legislative meeting of the governor general's council no business shall be transacted other than the consideration of measures introduced [or proposed to be introduced] (b) into the Council for the purpose of enactment or the alteration of rules for the conduct of business at legis lative meetings.
- (2) At a legislative meeting of the governor general a council no motion shall be entertained other than a motion for leave to introduce a measure into the council for the purpose of enaotment or having reference to a measure introduced [or proposed to be introduced] (b) into the council for that purpose [or having reference to some rule for the conduct of business] (b)
- (3) It shall not be lawful without the previous sanction of the governor general to introduce at any legislative meeting of the governor general's council any measure affecting—
 - (a) The public debt or public revenues of India or imposing any charge on the revenues of India (c) or
 - (b) The religion or religious rites and usages of any class of His Majesty's subjects in India or
 - (c) The discipline or maintenance of any part of His Majesty's military or naval forces or
 - (d) The relations of the Government with foreign princes or States
- (4) Provided that the Governor General in Council may with the sanction of the Secretary of State in Council make rules authorizing at any legislative meeting of the governor general's council a discussion of the annual financial state-

ment of the Governor-General in Council and the asking of questions, but under such conditions and restrictions as to subject or otherwise as may be in the said rules prescribed No member at any such meeting of the and declared council shall have power to submit or propose any resolution or to divide the council in respect of any such financial discussion of the answer to any question asked under the authority of this section or the rules made under this sub-Rules made under this sub-section shall not be subject to alteration or amendment at legislative meetings of the council (d)

- (a) As to the object with which this section was framed, see par 24 of Sir C Wood's dispatch of August 9, 1861
- (b) The words 'or proposed to be introduced' and 'or having reference to some rule for the conduct of business' are not in the Act of 1861, but represent the existing practice
- (c) The words 'or imposing any charge on the revenues of India' might perhaps be omitted as unnecessary
- (d) This proviso reproduces the alterations made by the Act of 1892 Under the existing rules the financial statement must be explained in council every year, and a printed copy must be given to every Any member may offer observations on the explanatory statement, the finance member has the right of reply, and the discussion is closed by any observations the president may think fit to make
- 65.—(I) When an Act has been passed by the governor-Assent of general's council at a legislative meeting, the governorgeneral, whether he was or was not present in council at Acts the passing thereof, may declare that he assents to the Act, or that he withholds assent from the Act, or that he reserves the Act for the signification of His Majesty's pleasure thereon
- (2) An Act of the Governor-General in Council has not validity until the governor-general has declared his assent thereto, or, in the case of an Act reserved for the signification of His Majesty's pleasure, until His Majesty has signified his assent to the governor-general through the Secretary of State in Council, and that assent has been notified in the Gazette of India **a** <

governor general to Vict c 67,8 20 Power of Crown to disallow Acts 24 & 25 Viot c. 67 8, 21 1

- 66 -(1) When an Act of the Governor General in Council has been assented to by the governor general he must send to the Secretary of State an anthentic copy thereof
- (2) It is lawful for His Majesty to comify through the Secretary of State in Council his disallowance of any such Act.
- (3) Where the disallowance of any such Act has been so signified the governor general must forthwith notify the disallowance and therennon the Act as from the date of the notification, becomes void accordingly (a)
- (a) When an Act has been passed by the Governor-General in Council the Secretary of State usually sends a despatch intimating that the Act has been considered in council and will be left to its operation. But this formal expression of approval is not essential to the validity of the Act

Rules for nonduct of business. [24 & 25 Vict 0 67 8. 18 1

- 67 The Governor General in Council may at legislative meetings of the governor general's council subject to the assent of the governor general make rules for the conduct of business at legislative meetings of the council and for prescribing the mode of promulgation and authentication of Acts made at such meetings but any such rule may be disallowed by the Secretary of State in Council and if so duallowed has no effect
- h bill, when introduced, is published in the official gazetics in English and the local vernacular with a Statement of Objects and Reasons, and a similar course is usually adopted after every subsequent stage of the bill at which important amendments have been made. Thus a bill as amended in committee is published with the report of the committee explaining the nature of, and reasons for the amendment The draft of a bill is in some cases published for the purpose of eliciting opinion, before its introduction into the council.

When a bill is introduced, or on some subsequent occasion, the member in charge of it makes one or more of the following motions-

- (1) That it be referred to a select committee or (2) That it be taken into consideration by the council either at
- once or on some future day to be then mentloned ; or (3) That it be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon.
- The usual course is to refer a bill after introduction to a select com mittee. It is then considered in council after it is reported by the committee with or without amendment and is passed, either with or without further amendments made by the council

- 68.—(I) (a) The local Government (b) of any part of Power to Bitish India to which this section for the time being applies make regulations may propose to the Governor-General in Council the diaft of [33 Victor 33 victor 3, ss 1, any regulation for the peace and government of that part, 2] with the reasons for proposing the regulation
- (2) Thereupon the Governor-General in Council may take any such draft and reasons into consideration, and when any such draft has been approved by the Governor-General in Council and assented to by the governor-general (c), it must be published in the Gazette of India, and in the local official gazette, if any, and thereupon has the like force of law and is subject to the like disallowance as if it had been made by the Governor-General in Council at a legislative meeting
- (3) The governor-general must send to the Secretary of State an authentic copy of every regulation to which he has assented under this section
- (4) The Secretary of State may by resolution in council apply this section to any part of British India as from a date to be fixed in the resolution, and withdraw the application of this section from any part to which it has been applied (d)
- (a) This power was conferred by the Act of 1870, with the object of providing a more summary legislative procedure for the more backward parts of British India. The enactment conferring the power was passed in consequence of a dispatch from the Government of India drafted by Sir H S Maine (See Minutes by Sir H S Maine, Nos 67, 69) The regulations made under it must be distinguished from the old Madras, Bengal, and Bombay regulations, which were made before 1833 by the Governments of the three presidencies, and some of which are still in force
 - (b) 'Local Government' is defined by s 124
- (c) It will be observed that the Governor-General in Council cannot amend the draft
- (d) The Indian Statute Book has from the earliest times contained 'deregulationizing' enactments, i e enactments barring, completely or partially, the application in the more backward and less civilized parts of the country of the ordinary law, which was at first contained in the old 'regulations' These enactments took varied and sometimes very complicated forms, so that, in course of time, doubts arose, and it became occasionally a matter of considerable difficulty to ascertain what laws were and what were not in force in the different 'deregu-

lationized tracts. The main object of the Scheduled Districts Act, 1874 (XIV of 1874), was to provide a method of removing these doubts by means of notifications to be issued by the Executive Government. The preamble refers to the fact that various parts of British India had never been brought within, or had from time to time been removed from, the operation of the general Acts and regulations, and the juris diction of the ordinary Courts of Judicature ; that doubts had arisen in some cases as to which Acts or regulations were in force in such parts, and in other cases as to what were the boundaries of such and that it was expedient to provide readier means for ascertaining the enactments in force in such territories and the bound arles thereof, and for administering the law therein. The Act then proceeds to specify and constitute a number of deregulationized tracts as scheduled districts, to give the power of declaring by notification what enactments are, or are not actually in force in any scheduled district, and to provide for extending by notification to any scheduled district, with or without modifications or restrictions, any ensetment in force in any part of British Indus at the date of the extension. The Act also gives powers to appoint officers for the administration of a scheduled district, and to regulate their procedure and the exercise of their powers therein, and also to settle questions as to the boundaries of any such tract. A large number of declaratory and extending notifications have been fasued under the Act.

Every district to which 33 Viet. c. 3 a. I (reproduced by this section of the Digest) is made applicable thereupon becomes by virtue of a. 1 of the Indian Scheduled Districts Act, 1874 (XIV of 1874), a scheduled district within the meaning of that Act and of the Indian General Clauses Act 1897 (X of 1897 s. 3 (49)).

The Scheduled Districts Act, 1874, is immediately followed in the Indian Statute Book by the Laws Local Extent Act, 1874 (XV of 18,4) the object of which is to remove doubts as to the application of certain enactments to the whole or particular parts of British India. This Act also uses the expression scheduled districts, but in a sense which has in the course of time become different from that in which the term is used in the Scheduled Districts Act. The lists of scheduled districts appended to the two Acts were originally identical, but since 18,4 Acts have been passed which have amended or partially repealed the list in \ct \text{\text{VIV}} but have not in all cases made corresponding after ations in the list annexed to Act XV Moreover certain regions not included in the original schedule have by reason of the application to them of 33 Vict, e 3 s. 1 become spec facto scheduled districts. The Legislative Department of the Government of India has published lists of the territories which are deregulationized" scheduled," and subject to the statute 33 Vict c. 3 & 1 respectively

69 The governor general may in cases of emergency Power to make and promulgate ordinances for the peace and good covernment of British India, or any part thereof and any

make

ordinance so made has, for such period not exceeding six cases of months from its pionulgation as may be declared in the gency notification, the like force of law to a law made by the Viet c Governor-General in Council at a legislative meeting, but 67, 8 23] the power of making ordinances under this section is subject to the like restrictions as the power of making laws at legislative meetings, and any ordinance made under this section is subject to the like disallowance as a law passed at a legislative meeting, and may be controlled or superseded by any such law

The power given by this section has rarely been exercised, and should be called into action only on uigent occasions. The leasons for a resort to it should always be recorded, and these, together with the Ordinance itself, should be submitted without loss of time to His Majesty's Government

Local Legislatures

70. The Governor of Madras in Council, the Governor Meaning of Bombay in Council, the Lieutenant-Governors in Council, legisof Bengal, the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh, the latures Punjab, Burma, and Eastern Bengal and Assam, and any 56 Vict c local legislature which may be hereafter constituted in pursuance of the Indian Councils Act, 1861 are local legislatures 24 & 25 Vict c 67 within the meaning of this Digest

This section follows substantially the definition of 'local legislature' in the Indian Councils Act, 1892 (55 & 56 Vict c 14, s 6), with the modifications required by the local legislatures constituted since its passing

- 71.—(I) (a) The legislative powers of the Governor of Constitu-Madras in Council and the Governor of Bombay in Council tion of legisare exercised at legislative meetings of their respective ın Madras councils
- (2) For the exercise of those powers the governors of Bombay Madras and Bombay respectively must nominate persons Vict c 67, resident in India to be additional members of their councils
- 55 & 56 Vict c 14, (3) The number of the additional members of each of the vict c r ss 1, 4] said councils (besides the advocate-general of the province

or officer acting in that capacity) is such as to the governors of Madras and Bombay respectively from time to time seems expedient but must be not less than eight nor more than twenty (b)

- (4) The advocate-general or acting advocate-general for the time being of the province must be appointed one of the additional members of the council of the governor of that province
- (5) Of the additional members of each of the said councils at least one-half (c) must be persons who are not in the civil or military service of the Crown in India and if any such additional member socepts office under the Crown in India his seat as an additional member thereupon becomes vacant
- (6) The term of office of an additional member of either of the said councils [other than the advocate-general or acting advocate-general] (d) is two years
- (7) An additional member of either of the said councils is entitled to be present at legislative meetings of the council and at no others
- (8) The Governor General in Council may with the approval of the Secretary of State in Council make regula tions (e) as to the conditions under which nominations are to be made under this section and prescribe the manner in which such regulations are to be carried into effect
- (a) This section reproduces the provisions of the Act of 1861 as modified by the Act of 1892
- (b) The number under the Act of 1861 was not less than four nor more than eight.
- (c) One-half Does this include the advocate-general? The point does not seem clear
- (d) The words in square brackets probably express the effect of the existing law but the construction is not clear
 - (e) The effect of these regulations is summarized above pp. 110, 1 °O.

Procedure 72—(1) At every legislative meeting of the council of at legistic file Covernor of Madras or of the Governor of Bombav meetings the governor or some ordinary member of his council and of Stadras at least four other members of the council must be present

- (2) The governor, if present, and in his absence the senior and Bom bay ordinary member (a) of his council, presides

 [24 & 25]
 Vist a 65
- (3) In case of difference of opinion at any such legislative $_{ss}^{Vict}$ $_{34,36}^{c}$ meeting, the opinion of the majority prevails
- (4) In case of an equality of votes, the governor, or in his absence the member presiding, has a second or casting vote
- (5) Any such legislative meeting must be held at such time and place as the governor appoints, and may be adjourned by the governor or by the person presiding at the meeting if so authorized by the governor
- (a) The expression in the Act of 1861 is 'senior civil ordinary member,' and the word 'civil' was perhaps intended to exclude the local commander-in chief, who, however, was an extraordinary member. If so, the word has become unnecessary since the passing of the Madras and Bombay Armies Act (56 & 57 Vict e 62)
- 73.—(I) The members of the councils of the Lieutenant-Constitution of Governors of Bengal (a), of the United Provinces of Agia and legisted Oudh (b), of the Punjab (b), of Burma (b), of Eastern Bengal lative councils and Assam, and of any lieutenant-governor for whose province of lieutenant-governor legislature is hereafter constituted, must be such norse persons resident in India as the lieutenant-governor, with Vict c 67, the approval of the governor-general, nominates, subject to ss 45, 48 this qualification, that not less than one-third of the members Vict c of each council must be persons who are not in the civil or 14, s 1 military service of the Crown in India
- (2) The number of the members of the councils of the lieutenant-governors of Bengal, the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh, of the Punjab, and of Burma respectively is such as the Governor-General in Council may from time to time fix by proclamation, but must not be more than twenty for Bengal and not more than fifteen for the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh (c)
- (3) The number of the members of any other council of a lieutenant-governor constituted for legislative purposes must be that fixed by the notification under which the council is constituted

Power to

con titute

- (4) The term of office of a member of a lieutenant-governor in council is two years
- (5) The Governor General in Council may with the approval of the Secretary of State in Council make regulations as to the conditions under which nominations are to be made under this section and prescribe the manner in which such regulations are to be carried into effect (d)
- (a) Section 44 of the Indian Councils Act, 1861 enacted that the Governor General in Council, so soon as it should appear to him expedient, should, by proclamation, extend the provisions of the Act touching the making of laws and regulations for the peace and good government of the presidencies of Fort Saint George and Bombay to the Bengal division of the Presidency of Fort William, and abould specify in such proclamation the period at which such provisions should have effect, and the number of councillors which the leutenant-governor of the said division might nominate for his saststance in making laws and regulations. Accordingly a legislative council was established for Bengal by proclamation of January 18 1862. Calcutta Gazette 1862 pp 227 228.
- (a) By a. 44 of the Indian Councils Act, 1851 the Governor General in Council was also empowered to extend the provisions of the Act to the territories known as the North Yestern Provinces and the Punjab respectively. A legislative council was established for the North Western Provinces and Oudh together (see the powers under the next section), by proclamation of November 56, 1886, and the name of the province for which the council was established was in 1901 filtered to the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh. Legislative councils were established for the Punjab and Burma by proclamation of April 0, 1897 and for the province of Eastern Bengal and Assam by proclamation of September 1 1905. See Act VII of 1905. The number of councillors to be nominated was fixed at nino for the Punjab and Burma respectively and fifteen for Eastern Bengal and Assam.
- (c) By the Act of 1892 (55 & 56 Vict c 14, \$\tilde{s}\$ 1) the Governor General in Council was empowered to increase by proclamation the number of legislative councilions for Bengal and for the North Western Provinces and Oudh, subject to the maximum limit of twenty and fifteen. The number for Bengal was, by proclamation of March 16, 1893, fixed at twenty. The number for the North Western Provinces and Oudh (now the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh) was, by proclamation of the same date fixed at fifteen.
- (d) This power was given by the Act of 1892. The regulations are to the same general effect as those for Madras and Bombay. See above pp. 119, 179.
- 74 —(1) The Governor General in Council may with the precious approval of the Secretary of State in Council and

by notification in the Gazette of India constitute a new new local province for legislative purposes and, if necessary, appoint tures a lieutenant-governor for any such province, and constitute [24 & 25] the Lieutenant-Governor in Council of the province, as from 67, ss 46-a date specified in the notification, a local legislature for that province and fix the number of the lieutenant-governor in Council, and define the limits of the province for which the Lieutenant-Governor in Council is to exercise legislative powers

- (2) Any law made by the local legislature of any province shall continue in force in any part of the province severed therefrom in pursuance of this section until suspended by a law of the governor-general or of the local legislature to whose province the part is annexed (a)
- (a) This section is intended to give the effect of the existing enactments in the Act of 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 67, ss 46-49), which run as follows —
- '46 It shall be lawful for the governor general, by proclamation as aforesaid, to constitute from time to time new provinces for the purposes of this Act, to which the like provisions shall be applicable, and, further, to appoint from time to time a heutenant governor to any province so constituted as aforesaid, and from time to time to declare and limit the extent of the authority of such heutenant-governor, in like manner as is provided by the Government of India Act, 1854, respecting the heutenant governors of Bengal and the North-Western Provinces
- '47 It shall be lawful for the Governor General in Council, by such proclamation as aforesaid, to fix the limits of any presidency, division, province, or territory in India for the purposes of this Act, and further by proclamation to divide or alter from time to time the limits of any such presidency, division, province, or territory, for the said purposes Provided always, that any law or regulation made by the Governor or Lieutenant-Governor in Council of any presidency, division, province, or territory shall continue in force in any part thereof which may be severed therefrom by any such proclamation, until superseded by law or regulation of the Governor-General in Council, or of the Governor or Lieutenant Governor in Council of the presidency, division, province, or territory to which such parts may become annexed
- '48 It shall be lawful for every such Lieutenant-Governor in Council thus constituted to make laws for the peace and good government of his respective division, province, or territory, and, except as otherwise hereinbefore specially provided, all the provisions in this Act contained

respecting the nomination of additional members for the purpose of making laws and regulations for the presidences of Fort Samt George and Bombay and Hmiting the power of the Governors in Council of Fort Saint George and Bombay for the purpose of making laws and regulations, and respecting the conduct of business in the meetings of such councils for that purpose, and respecting the power of the governor-general to declare or withhold his assent to laws or regulations made by the Governor in Council of Fort Saint George and Bombay and respecting the power of Her Majesty to disallow the same, shall apply to laws or regulations to be so made by any such Lieutenant Governor in Council.

49. Provided always, that no proclamation to be made by the Governor-General in Council under the provisions of this Act for the purpose of constituting any council for any presidency division provinces, or territories hereinbefore named, or any other provinces, or for altering the boundaries of any prendency division, provinces or territory or constituting any new province for the purpose of this Act, shall have any force or validity until the sanction of Her Majesty to the same shall been have previously signified by the Secretary of State in Council to the governor-general.

It was under these enactments that local legislatures were established for the North Western Provinces and Outh (1886), for Burma (1897) and for Eastern Bengal and Assam (1905). See Act VII of 1905

The effect of the ensements appears to be that a new licutement governorship cannot be created miless a local legislature is created at the same time on was done in the last two near mentioned above.

75—(I) At every meeting of a heutenant governor a council the heutenant-governor or in his absence the member of the council highest in official rank among those holding office under the Crown presides

- (2) The legislative powers of the council may be exercised only at meetings at which the lieutenant governor or some other member holding office under the Crown and not less than one-half of the members of the council are present
- (3) In case of difference of opinion at any meeting of the lieutenant-governor s council if there is an equality of votes, the lieutenant governor or other person presiding has a second or easting vote

76—(1) The local legislature of any province in India may subject to the provisions of this Digest make laws for the peace and good government of the territories for the time being constituting that province (a)

Procedure at meet ings of lieutenant gover nor s conneil. [24 & 25 Viot e 67 s. 45]

Powers of local legislature [24 & 25 Vict c 67

- (2) The local legislature of any province may, with the ss 42, 43, previous sanction of the governor-general, but not otherwise, 55 & 56 repeal or amend as to that province any law or regulation made Vict c by any authority in India other than that local legislature (b)
- (3) The local legislature of any province may not, without the previous sanction of the governor-general, make or take into consideration any law—
 - (a) affecting the public debt of India, or the customs duties or any other tax or duty for the time being in force and imposed by the authority of the Governor-General in Council for the general purposes of the government of India, or
 - (b) regulating any of the current coin, or the issue of any bills, notes, or other paper currency, or
 - (c) regulating the conveyance of letters by the post office or messages by the electric telegraph within the province, or
 - (d) altering in any way the Indian Penal Code (c), or
 - (e) affecting the religion or religious rites or usages of any class of His Majesty's subjects in India, or
 - (f) affecting the discipline or maintenance of any part of His Majesty's naval or military forces, on
 - (g) regulating patents or copyright, or
 - (h) affecting the relations of the Government with foreign princes or States
- (4) The local legislature of any province has not power to make any law affecting any Act of Parliament for the time being in force in the province (d)
- (5) Provided that an Act or a provision of an Act made by a local legislature, and subsequently assented to by the governor-general in pursuance of the provisions contained in this Digest, is not to be deemed invalid by reason only of its requiring the previous sanction of the governor-general under this section
- (a) The Governor-General in Council has concurrent power to legislate for a province under a local legislature. In practice, however, this power is not, unless under very exceptional circumstances, exercised as to matters within the competency of the local legislature.

- (6) Under the Act of 1861 a local legislature could not alter an Act of the Government of India passed after the Act of 1861 came into operation. Consequently the sphere of operations of the local legis latures was often inconveniently restricted by the numerous Acts passed by the Governor-General in Council since 1861 particularly by such general Acts as the Evidence Act and the Essements Act. The provision reproduced in sub-section (2) was inserted in the Act of 1862 for the purpose of removing this inconvenience.
- (c) Str Charles Wood, when Secretary of State for India in a dispatch dated December 1, 1862, addressed the Government of India as follows —

Cases, no doubt, will consistently occur when special legislation by the local Governments for offences not included in the Penal Code will be required. In these cases the general rule should be to place such offences under penalties already assigned in the Code to acts of a similar character. This mode of legislation, though an addition to, cannot be deemed an alteration of the Penal Code but if any deviation is considered necessary then the law requires that your previous sanction should be obtained.

It was the intention of Her Majesty's Government that, except in local and peculiar circumstances, the Code should contain the whole lody of penal logislation, and that all additions or modifications suggested by experience should from time to time be incorporated in it. And the duty of maintaining this uniformity of course devolves upon your Excellency in Council.

As a general rule for the guidance of the local councils, it would probably be expedient—and this appears also to be your own view that all bills containing penal clauses should be submitted for your previous sanction.

In consequence of this despatch all Bills introduced into a local legislature and containing penal clauses are required to be sent to the Government of India for consideration as to the penal clauses.

As to what would amount to an alteration of the Penal Code see Minutes by Sir H S. Maine Nos. 5 and 6.

(d) Among the Acts which a local legislature cannot affect is the Indian High Courts Act 1861 (24 & -5 Vict. o. 104), and, consequently questions have arisen as to the validity of laws affecting the jurisdiction of the chartered high court. It has been held that the Governor of Bombay in Council has power to pass Acts limiting or regulating the jurisdiction of the courts established by the local legislature and that such Acts are not void merely because their indirect effect may be to increase or diminish the occasions for the exercise of the appellate jurisdiction of the high court (Presistantur Raghunathi) v Government of Bombay 8 Bom. If C. Rep. A. C. I. 195). Also that the Bombay Legislative Council has authority to make laws regulating the rights and obligations of the subjects of the Bombay Covernment but not to affect the authority of the high court in deeling with those rights and obligation (Volletter of Thesau v Bhaston Visaded er Batt I. I. R., R Bom 761).

The power of the Governor General in Council to affect by legislation the prerogative of the Crown is expressly recognized by statute (see below \$70). It may perhaps be inferred that the local legislatures do not possess this power. But see Bell y. Municipality of Madras 25 Mad. 74

- 77.—(1) It a legislative meeting of the Governor of business at legistative meeting of the Governor of Bombay in Council, lative and at a meeting of a Lieutenant-Governor in Council no meeting business may be transacted other than the consideration of Vict c 67. measures introduced for proposed to be introduced [a) into \$\frac{85}{55}\$ \$\frac{37}{15}\$ the council for the purpose of enactment or the alteration \$\frac{55}{56}\$ \$\frac{56}{56}\$ of rules for the conduct of business at legislative meetings.
- (2) At any such meeting no motion may be entertained other than a motion for have to introduce a measure into the council for the purpose of enactment or having reference to a measure introduced [or proposed to be introduced into the council for that purpose, or having reference to some rule for the conduct of business] (a)
- (3) Provided that the Governors in Council of Madras and Bombay respectively and the heutenant-governor of any province having a local legislature, may, with the sauction of the Governor-General in Council make rules for authorizing at any legislative inceting of their respective councils the discussion of the annual financial statement of their respective local Governments and the asking of questions but under such conditions and restrictions as to subject or otherwise as may in the rules applicable to those councils respectively be prescribed or declared member at any legislative meeting of any such council has power to submit or propose any resolution or to divide the council in respect of any such financial discussion or the answer to any question asked under the authority of this sub-section or the rules made under this sub-section (b)
- (4) It is not lawful for any member of any such council to introduce, without the previous sanction of the governor or lieutenant-governor any measure affecting the public revenues of the province or imposing any charge on those revenues.

- (5) Rules for the conduct of business at legislative meetings of the Governor of Madras in Council or of the Governor of Bombay in Council or of any Lieutenant-Governor in Council may be made and amended at legislative meetings of the council subject to the assent of that governor or lieutenant governor but any such rule may be disallowed by the Governor General in Council and if so disallowed shall have no affect Provided that rules made under this section with respect to the discussion of the annual financial statement and the asking of questions are not to be subject to amendment as aforesaid
- (a) The words in square brackets are not in the Act of 1861 but represent the existing practice.

(b) This qualification on the restrictions imposed by the Act of 1861 was introduced by the Act of 1862

leant to local legislatures.

- 78 —(I) When an Act has been passed by the council of a governor at a legislative meeting thereof or by the council of a heutenant-governor the governor or heutenant governor whether he was or was not present in council at the passing of the Act may declare that he assents to or withholds his assent from the Act
- (2) If the governor or heutenant-governor withholds his assent from any such Act the Act has no effect
- (3) If the governor or heutenant-governor assents to any such Act he must forthwith send an authentic copy of the Act to the governor general and the Act has not validity until the governor general has assented thereto and that assent has been signified by the governor general to the governor or heutenant-governor and published by the governor or heutenant governor
- (4) Where the governor general withholds his assent from any such Act he must signify to the governor or houtenant governor in writing his reason for so withholding his assent (a)
- (5) When any such Act has been assented to by the governor general he must send to the Secretary of State an authentic copy thereof and it is lawful for His Majesty to signify through the Secretary of State in Council his disabowance of any such Act

- (6) Where the disallowance of any such Act has been so signified the governor or heutenant-governor must forthwith notify the disallowance and thereupon the Act as from the date of the notification becomes yord accordingly
- (a) Assent has been withheld on one or more of the following grounds —
- (1) that the principle or policy of the Act, or of some particular provision of the Act, is unsound,
- (2) that the Act, or some provision of the Act, is ultra vires of the local legislature,
 - (1) that the Act is defective in form

With respect to (3) the recent practice of the Government of India has it is believed, been to avoid as much as possible criticism of the drafting of local Bills or Acts

Valudity of Indian Laws

- 79. A law made by any authority in India is not invalid Removal solely on account of any one or more of the following reasons of doubts as to
 - (a) in the case of a law made by the Governor-General in validity of Council, because it affects the prerogative of the Crown (a) laws
 - (b) in the case of any law, because the requisite proportion Vict of members not holding office under the Crown in India 67, 85 14, 24 33, 45 was not complete at the date of its introduction to the 34 & 35 Vict of Council or its enactment 34, 5 1]
 - (c) in the case of a law made by a local legislature, because it confers on magistrates being justices of the peace, the same jurisdiction over European British subjects as that legislature by Acts duly made could lawfully confer on magistrates in the exercise of authority over natives in the like cases (b)
- (a) This saving does not appear to apply to the local legislatures Sec note (c) on s 76 As to the prerogatives of the Crown, see note (a) on s 36
- (b) An Indian Act (XXII of 1870) was passed to confirm certain pievious Acts of the Madras and Bombay legislatures which had been adjudged invalid on the ground of interference with the rights of European British subjects See R v Reay, 7 Bom Cr 6, and the speeches of Mr FitzJames Stephen in the Legislative Council in 1870, Proceedings, pp 362, 384 As Indian legislation could not confer on local legislatures the requisite power in the future, it was conferred by an Act of Parliament in 1871 (34 & 35 Vict c 34)

- (5) Rules for the conduct of business at legislative meetings of the Governor of Madras in Council or of the Governor of Bombay in Council or of any Lieutenant-Governor in Council may be made and amended at legislative meetings of the council subject to the assent of that governor or lieutenant-governor but any such rule may be disallowed by the Governor General in Council, and if so disallowed shall have no effect Provided that rules made under this section with respect to the discussion of the annual financial statement and the asking of questions are not to be subject to amendment as aforesaid
 - (a) The words in square brackets are not in the Act of 1861 but represent the existing practice.
- (b) This qualification on the restrictions imposed by the Act of 1861 was introduced by the Act of 1892

beent to lets of local legislatures. (

- 78—(1) When an Act has been passed by the council of a governor at a legislative meeting thereof or by the council of a heutenant-governor the governor or lieutenant governor whether he was or was not present in council at the passing of the Act may declare that he assents to or withholds his assent from the Act
 - (2) If the governor or heutenant-governor withholds his assent from any such Act the Act has no effect
 - (3) If the governor or lieutenant-governor assents to any such Act he must forthwith send an authentic copy of the Act to the governor general and the Act has not validity until the governor-general has assented thereto and that assent has been signified by the governor general to the governor or lieutenant governor and published by the governor or lieutenant governor
 - (4) Where the governor general withholds his assent from any such Act he must signify to the governor or heutenant governor in writing his reason for so withholding his assent (a)
 - (5) When any such Act has been assented to by the governor-general he must send to the Secretary of State an authentic copy thereof and it is lawful for His Majesty to signify through the Secretary of State in Council his disafowance of any such Act

certain

- 111
- (6) Where the disallowance of any such Act has been so signified the governor or heutenant-governor must forthwith notify the disallowance, and thereupon the Act, as from the date of the notification, becomes void accordingly
- (a) Assent has been withheld on one or more of the following grounds -
- (1) that the principle or policy of the Act, or of some particular provision of the Act, is unsound,
- (2) that the Act, or some provision of the Act, is ultra vires of the local legislature,
 - (3) that the Act is defective in form

With respect to (3) the recent practice of the Government of India has, it is believed, been to avoid as much as possible criticism of the drafting of local Bills or Acts

Validity of Indian Laws

- 79. A law made by any authority in India is not invalid Removal of doubts solely on account of any one or more of the following reasons -
 - (a) in the case of a law made by the Governor-General in validity of Council, because it affects the prerogative of the Crown (a) laws
 - (b) in the case of any law, because the requisite proportion Vict c of members not holding office under the Crown in India 67, 88 14. 24, 33, 48 was not complete at the date of its introduction to the 34 & 35 Vict c Council or its enactment 34, s I]
 - (c) in the case of a law made by a local legislature, because it confers on magistrates, being justices of the peace, the same jurisdiction over European British subjects as that legislature by Acts duly made could lawfully confer on magistrates in the exercise of authority over natives in the like cases (b)
- (a) This saving does not appear to apply to the local legislatures See note (c) on s 76 As to the prerogatives of the Crown, see note (a) on s 36
- (b) An Indian Act (XXII of 1870) was passed to confirm certain pievious Acts of the Madras and Bombay legislatures which had been adjudged invalid on the ground of interference with the rights of European British subjects See R v Reay, 7 Bom Cr 6, and the speeches of Mr FitzJames Stephen in the Legislative Council in 1870, Proceedings, pp 362, 384 As Indian legislation could not confer on local legislatures the requisite power in the future, it was conferred by an Act of Parliament in 1871 (34 & 35 Viet c 34)

PART VII

Salaries Leave of Absence, Vacation of Office Temporary Appointments etc

Salaries wolls bus ances of COVERNOR general and cer turn other officials in India. 13 Geo III, c 53 B. 32 WILL IN 85 ... 76, 77 16 & 17 Vict e 15 8. 35

24 & 25 Vict c

温精。

3 64 4 1

80—(I) There are to be paid to the Governor General of India and to the other persons mentioned in the First Schedule to this Digest out of the revenues of India such salaries not exceeding in any case the maximum specified in that behalf in the said schedule, and such allowances (if any) for equipment and voyage as the Secretary of State in Council may by order fix in that behalf and subject to or in default of any such order as are now payable.

- (2) Provided as follows -
- (a) An order affecting salaries of members of the Governor General's council may not be made without the con currence of a majority of votes at a meeting of the Council of India
- (b) If any person to whom this section applies holds or enjoys any pension or salary or any office of profit under the Crown or under any public office his salary under this section must be reduced by the amount of the pension salary or profits of office so held or enjoyed by him
- (c) Nothing in the provisions of this section with respect to allowances authorizes the imposition of any additional charge on the revenues of India

(3) The salary payable to a person under this section commences on his taking upon himself the execution of the office to which the salary is attached and is to be the whole profit or advantage which he enjoys during his continuance in the office (a)

(a) The salaries of the governor-general, governors and members of council were fixed at what is hown as the maximum in the First Schedulo in 3 & 4 Will. IV 65 = 76 but were there declared to be sal ject to such reduction a the Court of Directors, with the sanction of the Board of Control might at any time think fit

The salary of the commander-in-chief and of lieutenant-governors was fixed at 100,000 Company's rupees by 16 & 17 Vict c 95, s 35, but the salaries so fixed were declared to be subject to the provisions and regulations of the Government of India Act, 1833 (3 Will IV, c 85), concerning the salaries thereby appointed

The view adopted in this Digest is that these salaries can be fixed at any amount not exceeding the amounts specified in the Acts of 1833 and 1853. The power to reduce has been exercised more than once, but it is open to argument whether the power to reduce involves a power to raise subsequently.

The allowances for equipment and voyage of the officers mentioned in the First Schedule (and also of the bishops and aichdeacons of Calcutta, Madras, and Bombay) may, under the Indian Salaries and Allowances Act, 1880 (43 Vict c 3), be fixed, altered or abolished by the Secretary of State in Council But nothing in that Act was to authorize the imposition of any additional charge on the revenues of India

Sub-section (3) is taken from s 76 of the Act of 1833

Under 33 Geo III, c 52, s 32, a commander-in chief was not to be entitled to any salary or emolument as member of council, unless it was specially granted by the Court of Directors

The salaries and allowances now paid under the enactments reproduced in this Digest are as follows —

Officer	Salary	Equipment and Voyage
	Rs	£
Viceroy and Governor-General	2,50,800	3,500
Governors of Bombay and Madras	1,20,000	1,000
Commander-in-Chief	1,00,000	500
Lieutenant-Governor	1,00,000	_
Member of Governor-General's Council	76,800	300
Member of Council, Madras and Bombay	61,440	
Chief Justice, Calcutta	72,000	1
Puisne Judges, Calcutta	45,000	
Chief Justice, Madras	60,000	[
Puisne Judges, Madras	45,000	300
Chief Justice, Bombay	60,000	[
Puisne Judges, Bombay	45,000	()
Bishop of Calcutta	45 977) 200
Bishops of Madras and Bombay	25,600	300
Archdeacon, Calcutta	Pay as Semor	ľ
Madras	Chaplains	
,, Bombay &	Rs 3,200	

¹ These allowances are not payable unless the officer is resident in Europe at the time of the appointment

ILBERT

Leave of absence to members of council.

[24 & 25 Viot c 67 8, 26.]

- 81—(1) The Governor General in Council and the Governor formers of Madras and Bombay in Council respectively may regard to any of the offinary members of their respective councils leave of absence under medical certificate for a period of not exceeding six months.
 - (2) Where an ordinary member of council obtains leave of absence in pursuance of this section he retains his office during his absence and on his return and resumption of hus duties is entitled to receive half his salary for the period of his absence but if his absence exceeds six months his office becomes vacant

Provision
as to
absence
from India
or province.
133 Geo.
111, c. 52,
53 Jr Geo IV
c 56, s. 31
3 & 4
V IL IV
c 85, s. 70,
7 Will, IV

nd 1 Vict

e 47]

- 82—(1) If the governor general the Governor of Madras the Governor of Bombay or the commander in-cluef of His a Majesty's forces in India and subject to the foregoing provisions of this Digest as to leave of absence if any ordinary member of the council of the governor general or of the Governor of Madras or Bombay departs from India intending to return to Europe his office thereupon becomes vacant (a)
 - [(2) No act or declaration of any governor general governor or member of council other than as aforesaid except a declaration in writing under hand and soal delivered to the secretary for the public department of the presidency wherein he is, in order to its being recorded shall be deemed or held as a resignation or surrender of his office (b)
 - [(3) If the governor-general or any ordinary member of the governor general s council leaves India otherwise than in the known actual service of the Crown, and if any governor licutenant governor or ordinary member of a governor s council leaves the presidency to which he belongs otherwise than as aforesaid his salary and allowances are not payable during his absence to any person for his use (c)]
 - [(4) If any such officer not having proceeded or intended to proceed to Europe dies during his absence and whilst intending to return to India or to his presidency his salary and

allowances, will, subject to any rules in that behalf made by the Secretary of State in Council, be paid to his personal representatives

- (5) If any such officer does not return to India or his presidency, or returns to Europe, his salary and allowances will be deemed to have ceased on the day of his leaving India or his presidency (d)
- (a) Under 33 Geo III, c 52, s 37, 'the departure from India of any governor-general, governor, member of council, or commanderin-chief, with intent to return to Europe, shall be deemed in law a resignation and avoidance of his office,' and his arrival in any part of Europe is to be a sufficient indication of such intent The Act of 1833 (3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, s 79) enacts in almost identical words that the return to Europe, or departure from India with intent to return to Europe, of any Governor-General of India, governor, member of council, or commander-in-chief, is to be deemed in law a resignation and avoidance of his office or employment These provisions have been qualified as to members of council by the power to grant sick leave under the Act of 1861 (see s 82) But when the Duke of Connaught wished to visit England in the Jubilee year during his term of office as commander-inchief in the Bombay Presidency a special Act had to be passed (50 Vict sess 2, c 10)
- (b) This sub-section reproduces a provision in s 79 of the Act of 1833, which was copied from a similar provision in the Act of 1793 The provision possibly arose out of the circumstances attending Warren Hastings' resignation in 1776 (see above, p 64), but does not appear to be observed in practice
- (c) This sub-section is intended to reproduce as far as practicable the effect of the enactments still in force on this subject, but their language is not clear, and was framed with reference to circumstances which no longer exist

Section 37 of the Act of 1793 enacts that 'if any such governorgeneral or any other officer whatever in the service of the said Company shall quit or leave the presidency or settlement to which he shall belong, other than in the known actual service of the said Company, the salary and allowances appertaining to his office shall not be paid of payable during his absence to any agent or other person for his use, and in the event of his not returning back to his station at such presidency or settlement, or of his coming to Europe, his salary and allowances shall be deemed to have ceased from the day of his quitting such presidency or settlement, any law or usage to the contrary notwithstanding'

An Act of 1826 (7 Geo IV, c 56, s 3), after referring to this provision, enacts that the 'Company may cause payment to be made to the representatives of officers in their service, civil or military, who, having

quitted or left their stations and not having proceeded or intended to proceed to Europe, intending to return to their stations, have died or may hereafter happen to die during their temporary absence within the limits of the said Company's charter or at the Cape of Good Hope, of such salaries and allowances, or of such portions of salaries and allowances, as the officers so dying would have been entitled to if they had returned to their station.

Section 79 of the Act of 1833 enacts that if any such governor general or member of council of India shall leave the said territories, or if any governor or other officer whatever in the service of the said Company shall leave the presidency to which he shall belong, other than in the known actual service of the said Company the salary and allowances appertaining to his office shall not be paid or payable during his absence to any agent or other person for his use, and in the event of his not returning or of his coming to Europe, his salary and allowances shall be deemed to have ceased from the day of his leaving the said territories or the presidency to which he may have belonged. Provided that it shall be lawful for the said Company to make such payment as is now by law permitted to be made to the representatives of their officers or servants who, having left their stations intending to return thereto, shall die during their absence.

An Act of 1837 (7 Will. IV c. 47) enacts that these provisions in the Acts of 1703 and 1833 are not to extend to the case of any officer or servant of the Company under the rank of governor or member of council who shall quit the presidency to which he shall belong in consequence of sickness under such rules as may from time to time be established by the Governor-General of India in Council or by the Governor in Council of such presidency as the case may be, and who shall proceed to any place within the limits of the East India Company's charter or to the Mauritius, or to the island of St. Helens, nor to the case of any officer or servant of the said Company under such rank as aforesaid who, with the permission of the Government of the presidency to which he shall belong, shall quit such presidency in order to proceed to another presidency for the purpose of embarking thence for Europe, until the departure of such officer or servant from such last mentioned presidency with a view to return to Europe, so as that the port of such departure for Europe shall not be more distant from the place which he shall have quitted in his own presidency than any port of embarkation within such presidency

These rules were to require the approval of the Court of Directors and the Board of Control.

Finally a 32 of the Act of 1853 (see a 89 of the Digest) declared that Nothing in any enactment now in force or any charter relating to the said Company shall be taken to prevent the establishment, by the Court of Directors (under the direction and control of the said Board of Commissioners), from time to time of any regulations which they may deem expedient in relation to the absence on sick leave or forlough of all or any officers and persons in the service of the said Company in

India, or receiving salaries from the said Company there, under which they respectively may be authorized to repair to and reside in Europe or elsewhere out of the limits of the said, Company's charter, without forfeiture of pay or salary, during the times and under the circumstances during and under which they may now be permitted (while absent from their duty) to reside in places out of India within the limits of the said Company's charter, or during such times and under such circumstances as by such regulations may be permitted'

The powers conferred by the Act of 1853 would seem to over-11dc the previous provisions as to salary, but not the previous provisions as to vacation of office

- (d) The last two sub-sections are inserted as a rough reproduction of the Act of 1826, and of an enactment in the Act of 1853, but it is doubtful whether these enactments are still law, and whether they are not superseded by regulations under the Act of 1853
- 83.—(I) His Majesty may by wairant under his Sign Condi-Manual appoint any person conditionally to succeed to any appointof the offices of governor-general, governor, or ordinary ments [3 & 4] member of the council of the governor-general or of the Will IV, Governor of Madras or Governor of Bombay, in the event 24 & 25 of the office becoming vacant, or in any other event or con- vict c 67 ss 2, 5 tingency expressed in the appointment, and to revoke any such conditional appointment (a)
- (2) A person so conditionally appointed is not entitled to any authority, salary, or emolument appertaining to the office to which he is appointed, until he is in the actual possession of the office
- (a) By 3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, the power of making conditional appointments to the offices of governor-general, governor, and member of the Council of Madias and Bombay was vested in the Court of Directors, and consequently is now vested in the Secretary of State (21 & 22 Viet c 106, 8 3)

Under 24 & 25 Vict c 67, s 5, the power of making conditional appointments to the office of ordinary member of the governor-general's council is apparently exercisable either by the King, or by the Secretary of State with the concurrence of a majority of the Council of India

In practice, the power is in all these cases exercised by the King only

84.—(1) If any person entitled under a conditional Power for appointment to succeed to the office of governor-general general to on the occurrence of a vacancy therein, or appointed absolutely exercise to that office, is in India on or after the occurrence of the before vacancy, or on or after the receipt of the absolute appoint-

scat. [21 & 22 Vict c.

ment as the case may be and thinks it necessary to exercise the powers of governor general before he takes his seat in 106 s. 63 leguncil he may make known by proclamation his appoint ment and his intention to assume the office of governor general

- (2) After the proclamation, and thenceforth until he repairs to the place where the council may assemble he may exercise alone all or any of the powers which might be exercised by the Governor General in Council except the power of making laws at legislative meetings
- (3) All acts done in the council after the date of the proclamation but before the communication thereof to the council are valid subject nevertheless, to revocation or alteration by the person who has so assumed the office of governor general
- (4) When the office of governor-general is assumed under the foregoing provision, if there is at any time before the governor general takes his seat in council no president of the Council authorized to preside at legislative meetings the senior ordinary member of council then present presides therein with the same powers as if a president had been appointed and were absent

Provision for tem-POTATY vacancy n COTCEDOR general. 3 & 4 1711 IV e. 85 # 62 24 & 25 Viet. e 67 #4. 50, 51]

- 85 -(1) If a vacancy occurs in the office of governor general when there is no conditional or other successor in India to supply the vacancy the Governor of Madras or the Governor of Bombay whichever has been first appointed to the office of governor by His Majesty is to hold and execute the office of governor general until a successor arrives or until some person in India is duly appointed thereto
- (2) Every such acting governor general while acting as such has and may exercise all the rights and powers of the office of governor general and is entitled to receive the emoluments and advantages appertaining to the office for going the salary and allowances appertaining to his office of governor and his office of governor is supplied for the

time during which he acts as governor-general in the manner duected by law with respect to vacancies in the office of governor

- (3) If, on the vacancy occurring, it appears to the governor who by virtue of this provision holds and executes the office of governor-general necessary to exercise the powers thereof before he takes his seat in council, he may make known by proclamation his appointment, and his intention to assume the office of governor-general, and thereupon the provisions of this Digest respecting the assumption of the office by a person conditionally appointed to succeed thereto apply
- (4) Until such a governor has assumed the office of governor-general, if no conditional or other successor is on the spot to supply such vacancy, the senior ordinary member of council holds the office of governor-general until the vacancy is filled in accordance with the provisions of this Digest (a)
- (5) Every ordinary member of council so acting governor-general, while so acting, has and may exercise all the rights and powers of the office of governor-general, and is entitled to receive the emoluments and advantages appertaining to the office, forgoing his salary and allowances as member of council for that period
- (a) Thus, on Lord Mayo's death in 1872, Sir John Strachey acted as governor-general from February 9 until the arrival of Lord Napier of Merchistoun on February 23
- 86.—(1) If a vacancy occurs in the office of Governor of Provision Madias or Bombay when no conditional or other successor porary is on the spot to supply the vacancy, the senior ordinary vacancy in office of member of the governor's council, or, if there is no council, Governor the senior secretary to the local Government (a), holds and or Bomexecutes the office of governor until a successor arrives, or until some other person on the spot is duly appointed thereto

(2) Every such acting governor is, while acting as such, entitled to receive the emoluments and advantages appertaying

e 85, s

63]

to the office of governor forgoing the salary and allowances appertaining to his office of member of council or secretary

(a) The Act of 1833 contained a power to abolish these councils.

for tem-PERMIT vacancy in office of ordinary member of ouncil. 134 1V

Provision

24 E 5

\ ict 67 6. 37]

87 -(1) If a vacancy occurs in the office of an ordinary member of the council of the governor general or of the council of the Governor of Madras or Bombay when no person conditionally appointed to succeed thereto is present on the spot the vacancy is to be supplied by the appointment of the Governor General in Council or Governor in Council as the case may be c 85 s.64

- (2) Until a successor arrives the person so appointed executes the office to which he has been appointed and has and exercises all the rights and powers thereof and is entitled to receive the emoluments and advantages appertaining to the office during his continuance therein forgoing all salaries and allowances by him held and enjoyed at the time of his being appointed to that office
- (3) If any ordinary member of any of the said councils is by infirmity or otherwise rendered incapable of acting or of attending to act as such or is absent on leave and if any person has been conditionally appointed as aforesaid the place of the member so meanable or absent is to be supplied by that person.
- (4) If no person conditionally appointed to succeed to the office is on the spot the Governor General in Council or Governor in Council as the case may be is to appoint some person to be a temporary member of council and until the return to duty (a) of the member so incapable or absent the person conditionally or temporarily appointed executes the office to which he has been appointed and has and exercises all the rights and powers thereof and receives half the salary of the member of council whose place he supplies and also half the salary of any other office he may hold if he hold any such office the remaining half of such last named salary being at the disposal of the Governor-General in Council or Fovernor in Council whichever may appoint to the office

- (5) Provided as follows —
- (a) No person may be appointed a temporary member of council who might not have been appointed as hereinbefore provided to fill the vacancy supplied by the temporary appointment, and
- (b) If the Secretary of State informs the governor-general that it is not the intention of His Majesty to fill a vacancy in the council of the governor-general, no temporary appointment may be made under this section to fill the vacancy, and if any such temporary appointment has been made before the date of the receipt of the information by the governor-general, the tenure of the person temporarily appointed ceases from that date
- (a) The words 'to duty' are not in the Act, but seem to express the intention
- 88.—(I) An additional member of the council of the Vacancies governor-general or of a governor, or a member of the additional council of a heutenant-governor, may resign his office to members of council the governor-general or to the governor or heutenant- [24 & 25 Vict c governor, and on the acceptance of the resignation the office 67, ss 12, becomes vacant
- (2) If any such additional member or member is absent Viet c from India or unable to attend to the duties of his office for a period of two consecutive months, the governor-general, governor, or heutenant-governor, as the case may be, may declare by a notification published in the Government Gazette, that the seat in council of that additional member or member has become vacant
- (3) In the event of a vacancy occurring by reason of the absence from India, inability to attend to duty, death, acceptance of office, or resignation duly accepted of any such additional member or member, the governor-general, governor, or lieutenant-governor, as the case may be, may nominate any person as an additional member or member, as the case may be, in his place, and every additional member or member so nominated must be summoned to all meetings of the

legislative council to which he belongs for the term of two years from the date of his nomination. Provided that it is not lawful by any such nomination to diminish the proportion of non-official members required by law (a)

(a) The provisions in the Act of 1861 as to the resignation of additional members were modified and supplemented by the Act of 1892

Leave on furlough. [16 & 17 Viet. 95 & 32] 89 The Secretary of State in Council may with the concurrence of a majority of votes at a meeting of the Council of India make regulations as to the absence on sick leave or furlough of persons in the service of the Crown in India, and the terms as to continuance or diminution of pay salary and allowances on which any such sick leave or furlough may be granted.

Power to makeregu lations as to Indian appoint ments. [3 & 4 Will. 1\(\) e 8 \(\) s. 78 21 & 22 Vict. e 106 ss.

10, 17 1

90 The Secretary of State in Council may with the concurrence of a majority of votes at a meeting of the Council of India make regulations for distributing between the several authorities in India the power of making appointments to and promotions in offices commands and employments under the Crown in India.

PART VIII

THE CIVIL SERVICE OF INDIA

No lisabilities in
respect of
religion,
colour or
lisce of
birth
[3 & 4
Will, IV

91 No native of British India nor any natural born subject of His Majesty resident therein, is, by reason only of his religion, place of birth descent or colour or any of them dis abled from holding any place office or employment under His Majesty in India

e.85 s.17]

This reproduces a 87 of the Act of 1833 with the substitution of British India for the said territories, and His Majesty in India for the said Company See the comments on this enactment in pars. 103-109 of the dispatch of December 10, 1834.

Regula tion for admi ion to civil

92 —(1) The Secretary of State in Council may with the advice and assistance of the Civil Service Commissioners make regulations for the examination of natural born subjects of

His Majesty desirous of becoming candidates for appointment service [21 & 22 Vict c to the Civil Service of India

- (2) The regulations prescribe the age and qualifications of 106, s 32] the candidates, and the subjects of examination
- (3) Every regulation made in pursuance of this section must be forthwith laid before Parliament
- (4) The candidates certified to be entitled under the regulations must be recommended for appointment according to the order of their proficiency as shown by their examination
- (5) Such persons only as are so certified may be appointed or admitted to the Civil Service of India by the Secretary of State in Council (a)
- (a) The civil service referred to in these sections is the service which used to be known as the covenanted civil service, but which, under the rules framed in pursuance of Sir Charles Aitchison's Commission, is designated the Civil Service of India See above, p 125

Where a child of a father or mother who has been naturalized under the Naturalization Act, 1870 (33 & 34 Vict c 14), has during infancy become resident with the father or mother in any part of the United Kingdom he is, by virtue of s 10 (5) of that Act, a naturalized British subject, and is entitled to be treated under the enactment reproduced by this clause as if he were a natural-born British subject sion includes a native of British India, but would, apparently, not include a subject of a Native State in India

93. Subject to the provisions of this Digest, all vacancies Offices happening in any of the offices specified or referred to in the to civil Second Schedule to this Digest, and all such offices which may be created hereafter, must be filled from amongst the members Vict c of the Civil Service of India belonging to the presidency wherein the vacancy occurs

servants [24 & 25 54, s 2 33 Geo ĬĬI, c 52, s 57]

The provision of the Act of 1793 as to filling vacancies from among members belonging to the same presidency appears to be still in force, but has given rise to practical difficulties, and seems inapplicable to such offices as that of secretary to the Government of India

94.—(1) The authorities in India by whom appointments Power to are made to offices in the Civil Service of India may appoint natives of any native of India of proved merit and ability to any such India to reserved office, although he has not been admitted to that service in offices accordance with the foregoing provisions of this Digest

[33 & 34

Vlot. c. 3, s. 6.]

- (2) Every such appointment must be made subject to such rules as may be prescribed by the Governor General in Council and sanctioned by the Secretary of State in Council with the concurrence of a majority of votes at a meeting of the Council of India.
 - (3) For the purposes of this section the expression native of India includes any person born and domiciled in British India of parents habitually resident in British India, and not established there for temporary purposes only and the Governor General in Council may by resolution define and limit the qualification of natives of India thus expressed but overy resolution made by him for that purpose will be subject to the sanction of the Secretary of State in Council and will not have force until it has been laid for thirty days before both Houses of Parliament

The ensetment reproduced by this section is not very clearly expressed, and runs as follows $\,\longrightarrow\,$

Whereas it is expedient that additional facilities should be given for the employment of natives in India, of proved merit and ability in the civil service of Her Majesty in India. Be it enacted, that nothing in the Government of India Act 1858 or in the Indian Civil Service Act 1851 or in any other Act of Parliament or other law now in force in India, shall restrain the authorities in India by whom appointments are or may be made to offices, places, and employments in the civil service of India for many such office, place, or employment, although such native shall not have been admitted to the said Civil Service of India in manner in a, 32 of the first mentioned het provided, but subject to such rules as may be from time to time prescribed by the Governor General in Council and sanotioned by the Secretary of State in Council, with the concurrence of a majority of members present; and that for the purpose of this Act the words natives of India " shall include any person born or domidiled within

natives of India" shall include any person born or domiciled within the dominions of Her Majesty in India, of parents habitually resident in India, and not established there for temporary purposes only; and that it shall be lawful for the Governor-General in Council to define and limit from time to time the qualification of natives of India thus expressed provided that every resolution made by him for such purpose shall be subject to the sanction of the Secretary of State in Council and shall not have force until it has been laid for thirty days before both Houses of Parliament.

For the history of the successive rules made under this section see above p. 1.4. The expression native of India as defined by the section is construed as including persons born or domiciled in a Native State

- 95.—(I) Where it appears to the authority in India by Power to whom an appointment is to be made to any office reserved to visional members of the Civil Service of India, that a person not being appointments in a member of that service ought, under the special circum-certain stances of the case, to be appointed thereto, the authority may [24 & 25 appoint thereto any person who has resided for at least seven 54, ss 3,4] years in India, and who has, before his appointment, fulfilled all the tests (if any) which would be imposed in the like case on a member of that service
- (2) Every such appointment is provisional only, and must forthwith be reported to the Secretary of State in Council, with the special reasons for making it, and unless the Secretary of State in Council approves the appointment, with the concurrence of a majority of votes at a meeting of the Council of India, and within twelve months from the date of the appointment notifies such approval to the authority by whom the appointment was made, the appointment must be cancelled

PART IX

THE INDIAN HIGH COURTS

Constitution

96.—(I) (a) Each high court consists of a chief justice, Constituand as many judges, not exceeding fifteen (b), as His Majesty $_{\text{high}}^{\text{non}}$ may think fit to appoint

courts [24 & 25 Viet e

(2) A judge of a high court must be—

- 104, 88 2, (a) A barrister of England or Ireland, or a member of the 19] Faculty of Advocates in Scotland, of not less than five years' standing, or
- (b) A member of the Civil Service of India of not less than ten years' standing, and having for at least three years served as or exercised the powers of a district judge, or
 - (c) A person having held judicial office not inferior to that of a subordinate judge, or a judge of a small cause court, for a period of not less than five years, or

- (d) A person having been a pleader (c) of a high court for a neriod of not less than ten years
- (3) Provided that not less than one-third of the judges of a high court including the chief justice must be such harristers or advocates as aforesaid and that not less than one-third must be members of the Civil Service of India.
- (a) There are four chartered high courts at Calcutta, Madras, Rombay and Allahabad.
- (b) There is power in all cases to raise the number to this maximum.
- (c) The word pleader in the enactment reproduced by this section annarently includes every one who has for ten years been allowed to plead in the Indian sense, i. c. to act as a barrister in the high court. though not a harrister or member of the Faculty of Advocates.

97 -(1) Every judge of a high court holds his office during His Majesty's pleasure (a)

- (2) Any such judge may region his office in the case of the high court at Calentta to the Governor-General in Council 201 R 41 and in the case of any other high court to the local Government of the province in which the high court is ost shlushed
 - (a) As to tenure during pleasure, see the note on \$ 21 above.
 - 98 -(1) The chief justice of a high court has rank and precedence before the other judges of the same court
 - (2) All the other judges of a high court have rank and precedence according to the seniority of their appointments unless otherwise provided by the terms of their appointment
- 99 The Secretary of State in Council may fix the salaries allowances furloughs retiring pensions and (where necessary) expenses for equipment and voyage of the chief justices and judges of the several high courts and from time to time alter them but any such alteration does not affect the salary tour 61 of any judge appointed before the date thereof

For existing salaries and allowances, see note on a. So.

Provision for vacaney in the office of chief

100 -(1) On the occurrence of a vacancy in the office of chief justice of a high court and during any absence of such a chief justice the Governor General in Council in the in tice or case of the high court at Calcutta and the local Govern

Tenure of office of judges of high courts. 24 2 25 Ti t. o

Prece dence of judges of high courte 24 & 25 Tet c 104 8. 5]

Salaries, &c., of

judges of high

24 & 25

courts

ment in other cases, is to appoint one of the judges of the other same high court to perform the duties of chief justice of [24 & 25] the court until some person has been appointed by His Majesty Vict c to the office of chief justice of the court, and has entered on the discharge of the duties of that office, or until the chief justice has returned from his absence, as the case requires (a)

- (2) On the occurrence of a vacancy in the office of any other judge of any such high court, and during any absence of any such judge, or on the appointment of any such judge to act as chief justice, the Governor-General in Council or local Government, as the case may be, may appoint a person, with such qualifications as are required in persons to be appointed to the high court, to act as a judge of the high court, and the person so appointed may sit and perform the duties of a judge of the court until some person has been appointed by His Majesty to the office of judge of the court, and has entered on the discharge of the duties of the office, or until the absent judge has returned from his absence, or until the Governor-General in Council or local Government sees cause to cancel the appointment of the acting judge (b)
- (a) Apparently the person appointed to act for the chief justice need not be a barrister-judge, though the chief justice himself must be a barrister See s 97 above
- (b) The appointment remains in force until the occurrence of one of the contingencies mentioned in this sub-section, and hence cannot be made for a specified time. Probably the 'acting judge' referred to at the end of the sub-section is the judge acting as chief justice referred to above. There is no limit of time within which the appointment must be made. See Rao Balwant Singh v. Rani Kisheri L. R. 25 I. A. 54, 76

Jurisdiction

101.—(I) Subject to any law made by the Governortion

General in Council (a), the several high courts have such of high courts

jurisdiction, original and appellate, including admiralty juris[13 Geo
III, c 63,

ss 13, 14

all such powers and authority over or in relation to the
III, c 70,

administration of justice, including power to appoint clerks

s 8

33 Geo
and other ministerial officers of the court, and power to make

III, c 52,

E. 156. 3, Geo III, c. 142, E. 11 39 & 40 Geo. III, c. 79, SE. 2 5 4 Geo IV c 71 SE. 7 17 24 & 25 Vict. c 104, E. 9.]

rules for regulating the practice of the court as are vested in them by charter and subject to the provisions of any such law or charter all such jurisdiction powers, and authority as were vested in any of the courts in the same presidency abolished by the Indian High Courts Act 1861 at the date of their abolition (b)

- (2) Each of the high courts at Calcutta Madras and Bombay is a court of record and a court of over and terminer and gool delivery for the territories under its jurisdiction.
- (3) Subject to any law which may be made by the Governor General in Council the said high courts have not and may not exercise any original jurisdiction in any matter con cerning the revenue or concerning any act ordered or done in the collection thereof according to the usage and practice of the country or the regulations for the time being in force (c)
 - (a) This power is reserved by s. 9 of the Indian High Courts Act, 1861
- (6) The jurisdiction of the chartered high courts in India is based partly on their charters and partly on parliamentary enactments applying either to the high courts themselves or to their producessors. The charters are to be found in the Statitory Roles and Orders.

The charters are to be found in the Statutory Rules and Orders Revised, Vol. VI.

The statutory enactments still unrepealed with respect to the jurisdiction of the high court are as follows —

By a. 13 of the Regulating Act of 1773 (13 Geo. III, c. 63) the Supreme Court of Judicature at Fort William was declared to have full power and authority to exercise and perform all civil, cruninal, admiralty and coclesiastical jurisdiction, and to appoint clerks and other ministerial officers, and to form and catablas such rules of practice, and such rules for the process of the court, and to do all such things as might be found necessary for the administration of justice and the due execution of all or any of the powers which by the charter might be granted and committed to the court. It was also to be at all times a court of record and a court of oyer and terminer and gaol delivery in and for the town of Calcutta and factory of Fort William, and the limits thereof and the factories subordinate thereto.

Under a. 14 of the same Act the new charter of the court, and the jurisdiction powers and authorities to be thereby catalished, were to extend to all British subjects who should reside in the kingdoms or provinces of Bengal, Behar and Orissa, or any of them, under the protection of the Company and the court was to have full power and authority to bear and determine all complaints against any of His Mujesty's subjects for any crime misdemeanours, or oppressions, and

to entertain, hear, and determine any suits or actions whatsoever against any of His Majesty's subjects in Bengal, Behar, and Orissa, and any suit, action, or complaint against any person who at the time when the debt or cause of action or complaint had arisen had been employed by, or been directly or indirectly in the service of, the Company, or of any of His Majesty's subjects

Section 156 of the East India Company Act, 1793 (33 Geo III, c 52), chacted and declared that the power and authority of the supreme court at Calcutta extended to the high seas, and that the court should have full power and authority to inquire, hear, try, examine, and determine, by the oaths of honest and lawful men, being British subjects resident in the town of Calcutta, all treasons, murders, piracies, robberies, felonies, maimings, forestallings, extortions, trespasses, misdemeanours, offences, excesses, and enormities, and maritime causes whatsoever, according to the laws and customs of the Admiralty of England, done, perpetrated, or committed upon any of the high seas, and to fine, imprison, correct, punish, chastise, and reform parties guilty and violators of the laws, in like and in as ample manner to all intents and purposes as the said court might or could do if the same were done, perpetrated, or committed within the limits prescribed by the charter, and not otherwise or in any other manner

The East India Act, 1797 (37 Geo III, c 142), after providing for the erection of courts of judicature at Madras and Bombay, gave those courts, by s 11, the jurisdiction formerly exercisable by the mayor's court at Madras and at Bombay, or by the courts of over and terminei or gaol delivery there, and declared, by s 13, that these courts were to have full power to hear and determine all suits and actions that might be brought against the inhabitants of Madras and Bombay respectively in manner provided by the charter, subject however to the proviso in s 108 of this Digest

The Government of India Act, 1800 (39 & 40 Geo III, c 78), authorized the grant of a charter for the establishment of a supreme court at Madras It was (s 2) to have full power to exercise such civil, criminal, admiralty, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction, both as to natives and British subjects, and to be invested with such powers and authorities, privileges and immunities, for the better administration of the same, and to be subject to the same limitations, restrictions and control within Fort St George and the town of Madras, and the limits thereof, and the factories subordinate thereto, and within the territories subject to or dependent on the Government of Madras, as the supreme court at Fort William was invested with or subject to within Fort William or the kingdoms or provinces of Bengal, Behar, and Orissa

The Indian Bishops and Courts Act, 1823 (4 Geo IV, c 71, s 7), authorized the grant of a charter for the establishment of a supreme court at Bombay with jurisdiction corresponding to that previously given to the supreme court at Madras, and declared, by s 17, that the supreme courts at Madras and Bombay were to have the same powers as the supreme court at Fort William in Bengal

1LBLRT

In 1828 an Act (9 Geo. IV c. 74) was passed for unproving the administration of criminal justice in the East Indies. The only sections now unrepealed in this Act are 8a, 1 7 8 9, 25 25 56, and 110. By s. 1 the Act is declared to extend to all persons and all places, as well on land as on the high seas, over whom or which the criminal jurisdiction of any of His Majesty's courts of justice erected or to be erected within the British territories under the government of the United Company of Merchants of England trading to the East Indies does or shall hereafter extend. Sections 7 8 and 9 which relate to accessories, and a. 52 which relate to punishments, are apparently superseded as to admiratly cases by the Admiratty Offences (Colonial) Act, 1849 (12 & 13 Vict. c. 95), and the Admiratty Jurisdiction (India) Act, 1860 (23 & 24 Vict. c. 88) (see The Queen Empreus Tation, I. I. R. 16 Cal. 238) and as to other cases by the Indian Codes.

Section 26 keys down a rule for interpreting oriminal statutes, corresponding to the rule embedded for India in the General Clauses Act of 1897 and for the United Kingdom in the Interpretation Act, 1889.

Section 56 extends to British India the provisions previously enacted for England by 9 Geo. IV c. 31 s. 8 with respect to offences committed for England by 9 Geo. IV c. 31 s. 8 with respect to offences committed in an another but has been held not to make any person liable to punish ment for a complete offence who would not have been so liable beformable to a complete offence who would not have been so liable beform soon Burmese native subjects of the East India Company committed a murder on the Occos Islands, which were then uninhabited islands in the Bay of Bengal, within the limits of the Company scharter. They were convicted under the Act of 1828 by the supreme court of Calcutta, but the convection was reversed by the Prvy Council. It was held that the place in which the offence was committed was, but the offenders personally were not, within the jurisdiction conferred by the statute, and that the object of the statute was only to apply to the Last Indies the enactment proviously peased for England.

Section 110 of the Act of 18 8 has been repealed, except so far as it is in force in the Straits Settlements.

The Admiralty Offences (Colonial) Act 1849 (12 & 13 Vict. c. 96), casets that if any person within any colony (which is to include British India, 23 & 24 Vict. c. 88 s. 1) is charged with the commission of any offence committed upon the sea or in any haven, river creek, or place where the admiral has jurisdiction, or being so charged is brought for trial to any colony all magistrates, justices of the peace public procedures, juries judges, courts, public officers, and other persons in the colony are to have the same jurisdiction and authority with respect to the offence as if the offence had been committed upon any waters situate within the limits of the local jurisdiction of the courts of criminal justice of the colony.

The let further enacts (a. 3) that where any person dies in any colony of any stroke poisoning, or hurt having been feloniously stricken, poisoned, or hurt upon the sea, or in any haven, river creek, or place

where the admiral has jurisdiction, or at any place out of the colony, every offence committed in respect of any such case, whether amounting to the offence of murder or of manslaughter, or of being accessory before the fact to murder, or after the fact to murder or manslaughter, may be dealt with and punished in the colony as if the offence had been wholly committed in the colony, and if any person is charged in any colony with any such offence resulting in death on the sea, or in any such haven, &c, the offence is to be held for the purposes of the Act to have been wholly committed upon the sea

The Admiralty Jurisdiction (India) Act, 1860 (23 & 24 Vict c 88), provides (s 2) that where any person within any place in India is charged with the commission of any offence in respect of which jurisdiction is given by the Act of 1849, or, being so charged, is brought for trial under that Act to any place in India, if before his trial he makes it appear that if the offence charged had been committed in that place he could have been tried only in the supreme court of one of the three presidencies in India, and claims to be so tried, the fact is to be certified, and he is to be sent for trial and tried accordingly

The Indian High Courts Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 104), abolished the supreme courts at Calcutta, Madras, and Bombay, and the Company's courts of appeal at those places, and provided for the establishment by charter of high courts at those places

Under s 9, 'each of the high courts to be established under this Act shall have and exercise all such civil, criminal, admiralty and viceadmiralty, testamentary, intestate, and matrimonial jurisdiction, original and appellate, and all such powers and authority for and in relation to the administration of justice in the presidency for which it is established, as Her Majesty may by such letters patent as aforesaid grant and direct, subject, however, to such directions and limitations as to the exercise of original, civil, and criminal jurisdiction beyond the limits of the presidency towns as may be prescribed thereby, and save as by such letters patent may be otherwise directed, and, subject and without prejudice to the legislative powers in relation to the matters aforesaid of the Governor-General of India in Council, the high court to be established in each presidency shall have and exercise all jurisdiction, and every power and authority whatsoever in any manner vested in any of the courts in the same presidency abolished under this Act at the time of the abolition of such last-mentioned courts?

Section 11 declares that the existing provisions applicable to the supreme courts are to apply to the high courts

The Courts (Colonial) Jurisdiction Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict c 27), enacts, by s 3, that when, by virtue of any Act of Parliament, a person is tried in a court of any colony (which by s 2 is to include British India) for any crime or offence committed upon the high seas of elsewhere out of the territorial limits of the colony and of the local jurisdiction of the court, or, if committed within that local jurisdiction, made punishable by that Act, he shall, upon conviction, be liable to

such punishment as might have been inflicted upon him if the enme or offence had been committed within the limits of the colony and of the local jurisdiction of the court, and to no other Provided that if the crime or offence is not punishable by the law of the colony in which the trial takes place, the person shall on conviction, be liable to such punishment (other than capital punishment) as seems to the court most neady to correspond to the punishment to which he would have been liable if the crime or offence had been tried in England.

The Territorial Waters Jurisduction Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict c. . 3). which was passed in consequence of the decision in the Francouse case (P v Keys, 2 Ex. 169), and which extends to India, declares that an offence committed by a person, whether he is or is not a subject of Her Majesty on the open sea within the territorial waters of Her Majesty's dominions is an offence within the jurisdiction of the admiral. although it may have been committed on board or by means of a foreign ship, and the person who committed the offence may be arrested, tried and punished accordingly Proceedings for the trial and punishment of a person who is not a subject of Her Majesty and is charged with any such offence as is declared by the Act to be within the jurisdiction of the admiral, are not to be instituted in British India except with the leave of the governor-general or the governor of the presidency For the purpose of any offence declared by the Act to be within the jurnsdiction of the admiral, any part of the see within one marmo league of the coast, measured from low water mark, is to be deemed to be open see within the territorial waters of Her Majesty a dominions.

Under the Odontal Courts of Admiralty Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. 27), the Legislature of British India may declare certain courts to be colonial courts of admiralty and courts so declared have the admiralty jurisdiction described in the Act. Under this power the Legislature of India has, by Act XVI of 1891 s. 2 declared the high courts at Calcutts, Madras, and Bombey as well as the courts of the recorder at Rangoon, the Resident at Aden, and the district court of Karachi, to be celonial courts of admiralty

The Merchant Shipping Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict c. 60), provides by s. 686, that where any person being a British subject, is charged with having committed any offence on board any British ship on the high seas or in any foreign port or harbour or on board any foreign ship to which he does not belong, or not belong a British subject is charged with having committed any offence on board any British ship on the high seas, and that person is found within the jurisdiction of any court in Her Majesty's dominions which would have had cogniz ance of the offence if it had been committed on board a British ship within the limits of its ordinary jurisdiction, that court shall have juris diction to try the offence as if it had been so committed; but nothing in this section is to affect the Admirtly Offences (Colonial) Act, 1849.

Section 687 of the same Act provides that all offences against property or person committed in or at any place either ashore or affect, out of Her Majesty's dominious by any master seaman, or

apprentice who, at the time when the offence is committed, is, or within three months previously has been, employed in any British ship, shall be deemed to be offences of the same nature respectively, and be liable to the same punishments respectively, and be inquired of, heard, tried, determined, and adjudged in the same manner and by the same courts and in the same places as if those offences had been committed within the jurisdiction of the Admiralty of England, and the costs and expenses of the prosecution of any such offence may be directed to be paid as in the case of costs and expenses of prosecutions for offences committed within the jurisdiction of the Admiralty of England'

It seems to follow from these several enactments, and from pars 29 and 32 of the Charters, that where a chartered high court exercises jurisdiction in respect of-

- (1) an offence committed on land, both the procedure and the substantive law to be applied are those of British India, i e both the Code of Criminal Procedure and the Penal Code apply,
- (2) an offence committed at sea by a native of British India, the position is the same,
- (3) an offence committed at sea by any other person, whether within territorial waters or beyond them, the procedure is regulated by British Indian law, but the nature of the crime and the punishment are determined by English law

See Queen Empress v Barton, I L R 16 Cal 238, and Mayne, Criminal Law of India, chap in

- (c) The enactment reproduced by this sub-section was probably suggested by the Patna case, as to which see Stephen's Nuncoms, and In 1873 certain licensed liquor-vendors moved the Impey, chap xii high court at Calcutta for a mandamus to compel the Board of Revenue to issue rules prescribing the fees payable for liquor licences, but it was held that the matter related wholly to the revenue, and that therefore by 21 Geo III, c 70, s 8, the high court had no jurisdiction (Re Audur Chundra Shaw, 11 Beng L R 250) In a later Madras case (1876) doubts were expressed as to the extent to which the enactment was still in force, and, in particular, whether it had not been repealed except as to land revenue See Collector of Sea Customs v Panniai Chithambaram, I L R 1 Mad. 89 In any case it applies only to the jurisdiction derived from the supreme court, i e to the original jurisdiction
- 102. Each of the high courts has superintendence over Powers of all courts for the time being subject to its appellate jurisdiction, court with and may do any of the following things, that is to say-
 - (a) call for returns,
 - (b) direct the transfer of any suit or appeal from any [24 & 25 such court to any other court of equal or superior juris- 104, s 15] diction, 1

high respect to subordıcourts

- (c) make and usue general rules for regulating the practice and proceedings of such courts
- (d) prescribe forms for any proceedings in such courts and for the mode of keeping any books entries or accounts by the officers of any such courts and
- (c) settle tables of fees to be allowed to the sheriffs attorneys and all clerks and officers of such courts

Provided that all such rules forms and tables require the provious approval in the province of Bengal of the Governor General in Council and in the province of Madras or Bombay or the North Western Provinces of the local Government (a)

(a) As to the relations of the high courts to the subordinate courts, see further above, pp. 12, 136

Exercise of includiction by single judges or livision courts. 1 & 25 lict e 104 FS. 13 14]

103 -(1) Subject to any law made by the Governor-General in Council each high court may by its own rules provide as it thinks fit for the exercise by one or more judges or by division courts constituted by two or more judges of the high court of the original and appellate jurisdiction vested in the court

(2) The chief justice of each high court determines what judge in each case is to sit alone and what judges of the court whether with or without the chief justice are to constitute the several division courts

Power for GOVERNOR Council to alter local limits of jurisdiction of high courts. 9 & 29 7 t c 15 PL 3 4(]

- 104 -(1) (a) The Governor General in Council may by General in order transfer any territory or place from the jurisdiction of one to the jurisdiction of any other of the high courts and authorize any high court to exercise all or any portion of its jurisdiction in any part of British India not included within the limits for which the high court was established (b) and also to exercise any such jurisdiction in respect of Christian (c) subjects of His Majesty resident in any part of India outside British Indla (b)
 - (2) The Governor General in Council must transmit to the Secretary of State an authentic copy of every order made under this section

- (3) His Majesty may signify, through the Secretary of State in Council, his disallowance of any such order, and such disallowance makes void and annuls the order as from the day on which the governor-general makes known by proclamation or by signification to his council that he has received notification of the disallowance, but no act done by any high court before such notification is invalid by reason only of such disallowance
- (4) Nothing in this section affects any power of the Governor-General in Council in legislative meetings
- (a) As to the object and construction of this section, see Minutes by Sir H S Maine, No 45
- (b) For orders made under this provision, see Notifications, Nos 178, 180, 181, of September 23, 1874, Mayne, Criminal Law of India, p 258 It would seem that s 3 of the Act of 1865 (reproduced by this provision) only empowered the governor-general to make an order transferring any territory from the jurisdiction of one court to the jurisdiction of another, and that the second branch of the section was only to enable the governor-general to authorize the court to which such transfer was made to exercise jurisdiction If this is so, the Governor-General in Council could not either by order or legislation extend the local and personal jurisdiction of the high court at Allahabad over the province of Oudh, or authorize two of the judges of the high court to sit at Lucknow to try cases arising in Oudh, or empower the Chief Commissioner of Oudh to transmit cases from Oudh for trial at Allahabad by judges of the high court there
- (c) 'The comprehensive term "Christian" was doubtless used because it might be convenient to give a particular high court matrimonial and testamentary jurisdiction over all Christian subjects' Minutes by Sir H S Maine, Nos 44, 45
 - (d) 1 e in Native States See s 124
- 105.—(I) Subject to any law made by the Governor-Exemp-General in Council (a), the governor-general and each of the tion iron purisdicgovernors of Madras and Bombay, and each of the ordinary and tion of extraordinary members of their respective councils, is not—
 - (a) subject to the original jurisdiction of any high court capacity by reason of anything counselled, ordered, or done by any III, c 63, of them, in his public capacity only, nor
 - ceeding in any high court acting in the exercise of its \$ 1 \\ 37 \text{ Geo} \\ \text{III, c 142.} (b) liable to be arrested or imprisoned in any suit or pro-III, c 70, original jurisdiction, nor

court in

ss 15, 17 21 Geo

A 11 39 & 40 Uro. III, 0 79, 1. 3 4 Geo IV c 41 1.7]

- (c) subject to the original criminal jurisdiction of any high court in respect of any misdemeanour at common law or under any Act of Parliament or in respect of any act which if done in England would have been a misdemeanour
- (2) The exemption under this section from liability to arrest and imprisonment extends also to the chief justices and other judges of the several high courts
- (a) The enactments reproduced by this section apply only to the one inal jurisdiction of the high courts, and are not excepted from the legislative power of the governor general's council by 24 & 25 Vlot. c. 67 s. 22. The exemptions from jurisdiction granted by 21 Geo. III. c. 70, and reproduced in this section were granted in consequence of the proceedings in the Cossijurah case. See above, p. 54 Mayne, Criminal Law of India p. 301 and Jehanger v Secretary of State for India L. L. R. 27 Bom. 189.

Written order by governor general a lustifica tion for any act in any court in India. [21 Geo. III, c. 70,

- 108 Subject to any law made by the Governor General in Council the order in writing of the Governor General in Council for any act is in any proceeding civil or criminal in any high court acting in the exercise of its original juris diction, a full justification of the act except so far as the act extends to any [European] British subject of His Majesty (a) but nothing in this section exempts the governor-general or any member of his council or any person acting under their 85 2 3,4] orders, from any proceedings in respect of any such act before any competent court in England,
 - (a) The expression in the Act of 1780 is British subjects, which of course must be construed in the narrower sense. As to the circum stances out of which this enactment arose, see above, pp. 54 foll and Mill's British India iv 373-375 Cowell's Tagore Lectures p. 72 Vencomer and Impey il. 189. As to the limitations formerly imposed on the powers of the Indian Governments in dealing with European British subjects, see In re Ameer Khan, 6 B L. R. 446, and the notes on sa. 63 and 79 of this Digest. The enactments reproduced by this section do not apply to the Governments of Madras and Bombey They are applied to the existing high courts by the conjoint operation of to & 40 Geo. III c 79, s. 3; 4 Geo. IV c 71 s. 7; and 24 & 25 Vict. e 10.1 s. 11 but appear to affect only the original jurisdiction of the high courts.

Procedure in case of oppreselon, ke

107 -(1) (a) Subject to any law made by the Governor General in Council if any person makes a complaint in writing and on oath to the high court at Calcutta of any

oppression or injury alleged to have been caused by any order by goverof the governor-general, or any member of his council, nor-general or his and gives security to the satisfaction of the high court to council [21 Geo prosecute his complaint by indictment, information, or III, c 70, action before a competent court in the United Kingdom within two years from the making of the same or from the return into the United Kingdom of the person or persons complained against, he is entitled to have a true copy of any order of which he complains produced before the high court, and authenticated by the court, and he and the persons against whom he complains may examine witnesses on the matter of the complaint

- (2) The high court must, if necessary, compel the attendance and examination of witnesses in any such case in the same manner as in other criminal or civil proceedings
- (3) Sections forty to forty-five of the East India Company 13 Geo Act, 1772, apply in the case of proceedings under this section as in the case of the proceedings referred to in those sections
- (a) The provision reproduced by this section has remained a dead letter from the date of its enactment, appears to be unnecessary, and could be repealed by Indian legislation. It does not apply to the Madras High Court, Re Wallace, I L R 8 Mad. 24

The sections referred to in sub-section (3) give jurisdiction to the Court of King's Bench, now the High Court, and provide for the taking of evidence in India, and its admissibility in England

Law to be administered

108. Subject to any law made by the Governor-General in Law to be Council, the high courts, in the exercise of their original tered in jurisdiction, shall, in matters of inheritance and succession to cases of inheritlands, rents, and goods, and in matters of contract and ance and dealing between party and party, when both parties are sub-sion ject to the same personal law or custom having the force of III, c law, decide according to that personal law or custom, and 70, 8 17 when the parties are subject to different personal laws or III, c custom having the force of law, decide according to the law 142, s 13] or custom to which the defendant is subject

This acction reproduces the enactments marginally noted so far as they appear to represent existing law. The qualifying words at the beginning of the clause represent existing law the enactments margin ally noted being under 24 & 25 Vict. a. 67 s. 22 capable of being altered by Indian legislation.

In Warren Hastings celebrated plan for the administration of justice, proposed and adopted in 1772 when the East India Company first took upon themselves the entire management of their territories in India, the twenty third rule specially reserved their own laws to the natives, and provided that Moulavies or Brahmina should respectively attend the courts to expound the law and assist in possing the decree.

Subsequently when the governor-general and council were invested by Parliament with the power of making regulations, the provisions and exact words of Warren Hastings twenty third rule were introduced into the first regulation enacted by the Bengal Government for the administration of justice. This regulation was passed on April 17 1783.

By section 27 of this regulation it was enacted that in all suits regarding inheritance, marriage, and caste, and other religious usages or institutions, the laws of the Koran with respect to Mahomedans, and those of the Shaster with respect to Gentoos, shall be invariably adhered to. This section was re-enacted in the following year in the revised Code, with the addition of the word succession. Section 17 of the Act of 1781 constitutes the first express recognition of Warren Rustings rule in the English Statute Law Enactments to the same effect have since been introduced into numerous subsequent English statutes and Indian Acts,—see, for example, 37 Geo. III, c. 142, s. 13; Bombay Regulation IV of 1827 s. 26 Act IV of 1872 s. 5 (Punjab) as amended by Act XII of 1878; Act III of 1873, a. 16 (Madras); Act XX of 1875 a, 5 (Central Provinces); Act XVIII of 1876, a. 3 (Oudh); Act XII of 1887 s. 37 (Bengal, North Western Provinces, and Assam) Act XI of 1880, s. 4 (Lower Burma) See also clauses 19 and 20 of the Charter of 1865 of the Bengal High Court, the corresponding clauses of the Madras and Bombay Charters, and clauses 13 and 14 of the Charter of the North Western Provinces High Court.

The effect of 21 Geo. III, c. 70, s. 17 is explained in Surkies v Processes Mayi Dass, I. L. R. 6 Cal. 794 (application for dower by the widow of an Armenian), and Japai Mohini Dasi v Decarkanath Belank I L. R. 8 Cal. 582 (where it was held that there was no question of succession of inheritance).

The Indian Contract Act (IV of 1872) contains a saving (s. 2) for any statute. Act, or regulation not thereby expressly repealed. This saving has been ledd to faculate the enactment reproduced by this section under which matters of contract are, within the presidency towns, but not elsewhere directed to be regulated by the personal law of the party and thus, paradoxically enough certain rules of Hindu law have maintained their footing in the last part of Brittsh India where they might have been expected to survive. See Nobin Chander

Bannerice v Romesh Chunder Ghose, I L R 14 Cal 781, where it was held that the custom of damdupat (Law Quarterly Review for 1896, p 45) was still in force in Calcutta If, however, any native law or custom is already inconsistent with the terms of the Contract Act, it would be held to be repealed See Madhub Chunder Poranamah v Raycoomar Doss, 14 Beng Law Rep 76, p 4

The leading case on the extent to which English law has been introduced into India is the Mayor of Lyons v East India Company (1836), reported I Moo P C 176, and also, with useful explanatory and illustrative matter, 3 State Trials, N S 647 The Judicial Committee in this case laid down the principle that the general introduction of English law into a conquered or ceded country does not draw with it such parts as are manifestly inapplicable to the circumstances of the settlement, and decided in particular that the English law incapacitating aliens from holding real property to their own use and transmitting it by devise or descent had never been expressly introduced into Bengal, and that the Statute of Mortmain, 9 Geo II, c 36, did not apply to India See also the famous judgement of Lord Stowell in The Indian Chief, (1800) 3 Rob Adm 12 at pp 28, 29 (quoted below, p 354), Freeman v Fairlie, (1828) I Moo Ind App 304, 2 State Trials, N S 1000, Advocate-General of Bengal v Ranee Surnomoye Dossee, (1863) 2 Moo P C, N S 22 (law as to forfeiture for suicide), and Ram Coomar Coondoo v Chunder Canto Mookergee, (1876) L R 2 App Cas 186 (law as to maintenance and champerty) And as to the effect of successive charters in introducing English law into India, see above, p 34, Morley's Digest, Introduction, pp xi, xxiii, and Mr Whitley Stokes' preface to the first edition of the older statutes relating to India (reprinted in the edition of 1881)

-Advocate-General

- 109.—(I) His Majesty may, by warrant under his Royal Appoint-Sign Manual, appoint an advocate-general for each of the powers of provinces of Bengal, Madras, and Bombay (a)
- (2) The advocate-general for each of those provinces may take on behalf of His Majesty such proceedings as may be sali! taken by His Majesty's Attorney-General in England (b)
- (a) The advocate-general for Bengal is a law officer of the Government of India
- (b) See Secretary of State for India

 ▼ Bombay Landing and Shipping Company 5 Bom H C R O C J, 42, and Act X of 1875, ss 144, 146

Ą

advocategeneral

[53 Geo III, c 155, 21 & 22 Vict c

106, s 29]

•

PART X

LOCLESIASTICAL ESTABLISHMENT

Jurischetion
of Indian
blahops.
[53 Geo
III c 155
ss. 51 52
3 24 Will
IV c 85
ss. 92 93

വം

- 110 —(1) The bishops of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay (a) have and may exercise such ecclesiastical jurisdiction and episcopal functions as His Majesty may by letters patent direct for the administering holy ceremonies and for the superintendence and good government of the ministers of the Church of England within their respective dioceses
- (2) The Bishop of Calcutta is the metropolitan bishop in India subject nevertheless to the general superintendence and revision of the Archbishop of Canterbury
- (3) Each of the bishops of Madras and Bombay is subject to the Bishop of Calcutta as such metropolitan, and must at the time of his appointment to his bishopric or at the time of his consecration as bishop take an oath of obedience to the Bishop of Calcutta in such manner as His Majesty by letters patent may be pleased to direct (b)
- (4) His Majesty may by letters patent vary the limits of the dioceses of Caloutta Madras and Bombay

[37 & 38 Viot. c. 77 a 13]

- (5) Nothing in this Digest or in any such letters patent as aforesaid prevents any person who is or has been bishop of any diocese in India from performing episcopal functions, not extending to the exercise of jurisdiction in any diocese or reputed diocese at the request of the bishop thereof
- (a) The bishops of Calcutta, Madras, and Bombay are the only Indian bishops who are referred to in the Acts relating to India. Bashops have also been appointed, under letters patent or otherwise, for Chota Vagnore Lahore, Lucknow Rangoon, Tinnerelly and Travancore.
- (b) As to these oaths, see 28 & '9 Vict c 122 and 31 & 32 Vict.
 c. 72 a. 14 Under 37 & 38 Vict. c. 77 a. 12 the archbishops of Canterbury or York may in consecrating any person to the office of bishipp for the purpose of exercising episcopal functions closwhere than in England, dispense with the oath of due obedience to the archbishop.

Power to admit to holy orders 111—(r) The Bishop of Calcutta may admit into the holy orders of deacon or priest any person whom he on examination, deems duly qualified specially for the purpose of taking on himself the cure of souls or officiating in any spiritual [4 Geo IV, capacity within the limits of the diocese of Calcutta, and c 71,56 residing therein

- (2) The deposit with the bishop of a declaration of such a purpose, and a written engagement to perform the same, signed by the person seeking ordination, is a sufficient title with a view to his ordination
- (3) It must be distinctly stated in the letters of ordination of every person so admitted to holy orders that he has been ordained for the cure of souls within the limits of the diocese of Calcutta only
- (4) Unless a person so admitted is a British subject, he is not required to take the oaths and make the subscriptions which persons ordained in England are required to take and make (a)
- (a) The enactment reproduced by this section appears to apply only to the Bishop of Calcutta, and is probably unnecessary, as being covered by the general language of the letters patent enabling the Bishop of Calcutta to perform all the functions peculiar and appropriate to the office of bishop within the diocese of Calcutta
- 112. If any person under the degree of bishop is appointed Consecrato the bishopric of Calcutta, Madras, or Bombay, being at the person time of his appointment resident in India, the Archbishop of resident in India ap-Canterbury, if so required to do by His Majesty by letters pointed to patent, may issue a commission under his hand and seal, [3 & 4 directed to the two remaining bishops, authorizing charging them to perform all requisite ceremonies for the 99] consecration of the person so to be appointed
- 113.—(I) There may be paid to the bishops and arch-Salarica deacons of Calcutta, Madras, and Bombay, out of the revenues ances of of India, such salaries (a), commencing from the time at which and archthey take upon themselves the execution of their office, and deacons such [pensions (b) and] allowances as may be fixed by the III, c 155, Secretary of State in Council, but any power of alteration \$\frac{\ss 49, 50}{4 \text{ Geo IV,}}\$ under this enactment shall not be exercised so as to impose c 71, ss any additional charge on the revenues of India

3, 4, 5 3 & 4

WILL IV 0 85 88. 90,96 97 98 100, 101 < & 6 Vlet. o. 119, 🖦 3 4: 43 Vict. c 3, 104. 2, 3]

(2) There are to be paid out of the revenues of India the expenses of visitations of the said bishops and of the providing a suitable house for the fesidence of the Bishop of Calcutta (c) but no greater sum may be assued on account of those expenses than is allowed by the Secretary of State in Council

(a) As to the existing salaries, see note on s. 80.

(b) This statement of the law is not strictly accurate. Penalons as distinguished from allowances, appear to be still paid under 4 Geo. IV c. 71 s. 3 6 Geo. IV c. 85 s. 15 and 3 & 4 Will. IV c. 85 s. 95 and not under 43 Viot. c. 3 s. 3. But it seems hardly worth while to reproduce here the specific provisions about bishops pensions.

(c) The statutory obligation to provide a house for the Bishop of Calcutta is exhausted, but it may have been construed as including an obligation to maintain his house.

lurlouch rules

114 His Majesty may make such rules as to the leave of absence of the several Indian bishops on furlough or medical 194 & 35 let.c.62] certificate as seem to His Majesty expedient

L tablishment of chaplains of Church of Bootland. (3 & 4 \\71L IV c. 85 s. 102.]

- 115 -(1) Two of the chaplains appointed in each of the presidencies of Bengal, Madras, and Bombay must always be ministers of the Church of Scotland, and are entitled to have from the revenues of India such salary as is from time to time allotted to the military chaplains in the several presidencies.
- (2) The ministers so appointed chaplains must be ordained and inducted by the presbytery of Edinburgh according to the forms and solemnities used in the Church of Scotland and are subject to the spiritual and ecclesiastical jurisdiction in all things of the preeby tery of Edmburgh whose judgements are subject to dissent protest and appeal to the Provincial Synod of Lothian and Tweeddale and to the General Assembly of the Church of Scotland

Saving av to grants to Chruti us. \\ dL 1\ c 85 s. [101

116 Nothing in this Digest prevents the Governor General in Council from granting with the sanction of the Secretary of State in Council to any sect persuasion or community of Christians not being of the Church of England or Church of Scotland such sums of money as may be expedient for the purpose of instruction or for the maintenance of places of worship

PART XI

OFFENCES, PENALTIES, AND PROCEDURE

- 117. If any person holding office under the Crown in India Certain does any of the following things, that is to say,—
 - (1) If he oppresses any of His Majesty's subjects (a) within $_{\text{Oppres-}}^{\text{meanou}}$ his jurisdiction or in the exercise of his authority
 - (2) If (except in case of necessity, the builden of proving III, c 47, which shall be on him) he wilfully disobeys or wilfully Wilful disomits, forbears, or neglects to execute any orders or [33 Geo instructions of the Secretary of State
 - (3) If he is guilty of any wilful breach of the trust and 3 & 4 IV, duty of his office and employment
 - (4) If, being the governor-general, or a governor, or a duty member of the council of the governor-general or of a III, c 52, governor, or being a person employed or concerned in the collection of revenue or the administration of justice, he Will IV, is concerned in or has any dealings of transactions by Trading way of traffic or trade in any part of India (b) [otherwise III, c 52, than as a shareholder in any joint-stock company or s 137 trading corporation],
 - (5) If he accepts or receives for his own use, in the discharge Receiving of his office, any gift, gratuity, or iewaid, pecuniary or [13 Geo otherwise [except in accordance with rules made by the size 23, 24, Secretary of State as to the receipt of presents], and 25 except in the case of fees paid to bailisters, physicians, III, c 52, surgeons, and chaplains in the way of their respective 3 & 4 Will IV, professions,

he is guilty of a misdemeanour

If a person is convicted of having accepted or received any such gift, gratuity, or reward, the court may order that the gut, gratuity, or reward, or any part thereof, be restored to the person who gave it, and that the whole or any part of any

acts to be mısdemeanours

To Geo obedience

sion

III, c 52, s 65

c 85,8 So] Breach of

c 85,8 80]

Will IV c 85,s 76]

presents

c 85,5 76]

fine imposed on the offender be paid or given to the prosecutor or informer as the court may direct (c)

- (a) The expression Hiz Majosty's subjects in the Act of 1770 (10 Geo. III, c 47 a, 4) was used at a time when it was very doubtful how far the sovereignty of the British Crown extended over natives of India, at all events outside the presidency towns, and was possibly intended to be used in the narrower sense formerly attributed to the expression British subjects. See note (c) on a 63 above.
 - (b) The expression in the Act of 1703 (33 Geo. III c. 52 s. 137) is within any of the provinces of India or other parts.
- (c) This section reproduces with as much exactness as seems practicable the several enactments noted in the margin. In many cases enactments dealing with the same offence use different language, and apply to different classes of persons. The provisions reproduced from 3 & 4 Will. IV c. 85 cannot be altered by Indian legislation. See 24 & 25 Viot. c. 67 s. 22

The words otherwise than as a shareholder in any joint-stock company or trading corporation, and except in accordance with rules made by the Secretary of State as to the receipt of presents, do not occur in the enactments reproduced, but represent the limitations placed in practice on the extremely general language of the enactments.

Similar prohibitions of trading or lending money are contained in enactments of the Indian legislatures. See e. g. Act XV of 1848 (trading by officers of chartered cours) Act II of 1874, s. 10 (by administrator general) Acts VII of 1898 s. 74, and XIX of 1881 s. 73 (by forest officers) Acts VI of 1898 s. 10, XXIV of 1895, s. 19 Sombay Act VII of 1897 s. 11 (by police officers) Acts XI of 1876 s. 34 and V of 1879, s. 3 (by officers of presidency banks); Act XVIII of 1881 s. 155 Bombay Act V of 1879, s. 31 Madras Regulation I of 1803, s. 40 Madras Regulation II of 1803, s. 40 Madras Regulation II of 1803, s. 18 (by revenue officers); Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793, s. 18 (by revenue officers); Bengal Regulation XXXVIII of 1793, s. 16 (logues by civil servants).

is to the rules prohibiting the receipt of presents by governors of and servants of the Crown in British Colonies, see Todd, Purhamen tury Government in the British Colonies p. 153 (second edition).

Loans to native princes. (37 Geo. 111 c 142, s. 28.]

- 118—(1) If any British subject without the previous consent in writing of the Secretary of State in Council or of the Covernor General in Council or of a local Government by himself or another—
 - (a) lends any money or other valuable thing to any native prince in India or
 - (b) is concerned in lending money to or raising or procuring money for any such native prince or becomes security for the repayment of any such money or

- (c) lends any money or other valuable thing to any other person for the purpose of being lent to any such native prince, or
- (d) takes, holds, or is concerned in any bond, note, or other security granted by any such native prince for the repayment of any loan or money hereinbefore referred to, he is guilty of a misdemeanour
- (2) Every bond, note, or security for money, of what kind or nature soever, taken, held, or enjoyed, either directly or inductly, for the use and benefit of any British subject, contrary to the intent of this section, is void (a)
- (a) The enactment reproduced by this section was passed in 1797 to stop the scandals caused by the lending of money by European adventurers to native princes on exorbitant terms See above, p 71 expression 'British subject,' as used in the Act of 1797, would doubtless be construed in its narrower sense, as not including natives of India
- 119.—(I) If any person holding office under the Crown in Prosecu-India commits any offence referred to in this Digest, or any offences in other crime or offence, the offence may, without prejudice to England [10 Geo any other jurisdiction, be inquired of, heard, tried, and deter- III, c mined before His Majesty's High Court of Justice, and be 13 Geo dealt with as if committed in the county of Middlesex
- (2) Every British subject is amenable to all courts of ²¹Geo III, justice in Great Britain of competent jurisdiction to try offences committed in India for any offence committed within India and outside British India as if the offence had been committed within British India
- (3) Every prosecution in respect of any offence referred to in this section must be commenced within five years after the commission of the offence, or after the arrival in the, United Kingdom of the person who committed the offence, whichever is later (a)
- (a) This section is merely an imperfect attempt to reproduce several enactments of the eighteenth century which are still unrepealed, and which, though obsolete as respects procedure, may still be of importance with respect to jurisdiction Section 67 of 33 Geo III, c 52, has been repealed as to Indian courts by Act XI of 1872, but is still unrepealed as to courts in the United Kingdom

III, c 63,

The limitation under 21 Geo. III c. 70, s. 7 (which applies only to proceedings against the governor general or a member of his council) is five years after commission of offence or arrest in England. The limitation under 33 Geo. III, c. 52 s. 141 is any years after commission of offence. There is a three years limitation under 33 Geo. III, c. 52 s. 162 which is repealed as to British India by Act IX of 1871 But all these limitations are now affected by the Public Authorities Protection Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 67)

The enactments reproduced run as follows -

If any person whatsoever employed by or in the service of the said united Company in any civil or military station, office, or capacity whatsoever in the East Indies, or deriving or claiming any power authority or jurisdiction by or from the said united Company shall, after the passing of this Act, be guilty of oppressing any of His Majesty s subjects beyond the seas within their respective jurisdictions, or in the exercise of any such station, office, employment power or authority derived or claimed by from, or under the said united Company or shall be guilty of any other crime or offence, such oppressions, crimes, and offences shall and may be inquired of heard, and determined in His Majesty & Court of King's Bench in England and such punishments shall be inflicted on such offenders as are usually inflicted for offences of the like nature committed in that part of Great Britain called England the same and all other offences committed against this Act may be alleged to be committed, and may be laid, inquired of, and tried in the county of Middlesex (10 Geo. III c. 47 s. 4).

If any governor general, president, or governor or council of any of the said Company's principal or other settlements in India, or the chief justice or any of the judges of the said Supreme Court of Judicature to be by the said new charter established, or of any other court in any of the said united Company a settlements, or any other person or persons who now are or heretofore have been, employed by or in the service of the said united Company in any civil or military station, office or capacity or who have or claim, or heretofore have had or claimed, any power or authority or jurisdiction by or from the said united Company or any of His Majesty's subjects residing in India, shall commit any offence against this Act, or shall have been or shall be guilty of any crime misdemeanour or offence committed against any of His Majesty s sul jects, or any of the inhabitants of India, within their respective jurnedictions, all such crimes offences, and misdemeanours may be respectively inquired of, heard, tried, and determined in His Majesty a Court of King a Bench, and all such persons so offending and not having been before tried for the same offence in India shall on conviction, in any such case as is not otherwise specially provided for by this Act, be liable to such fine or corporal punishment as the said court shall think fit; and, moreover shall be liable at the discretion of the said court to be adjudged to be incapable of serving the said united Company in any office civil or military and all and every such crimes, offences, and misdemeanours as aforesaid may be alleged

to be committed, and may be laid, inquired of, and tried in the county of Middlesex' (13 Geo III, c 63, s 39)

'No prosecution or suit shall be carried on against the said governoigeneral, or any member of the council, before any court in Great Britain (the High Court of Parliament only excepted), unless the same shall be commenced within five years after the offence committed, of within five years after his arrival in England' (21 Geo III, c 70, s 7)

'All His Majesty's subjects, as well servants of the said united Company as others, shall be and are hereby declared to be amenable to all courts of justice, both in India and Great Britain, of competent jurisdiction to try offences committed in India, for all acts, injuries, wrongs, oppressions, trespasses, misdemeanours, offences, and crimes whatever, by them or any of them done or to be done or committed in any of the lands or territories of any native prince or State, or against their persons or properties, or the persons or properties of any of their subjects or people, in the same manner as if the same had been done or committed within the territories directly subject to and under the British Government in India' (33 Geo III, c 52, s. 67)

'All penalties, forfeitures, seizures, causes of seizure, crimes, misdemeanours, and other offences, which shall arise or be incurred or made under or shall be committed against this Act, shall be sued for, prosecuted, examined, recovered, and adjudged in any of His Majesty's Courts of Record at Westminster, or in the Supreme Court of Judicature at Fort William in Bengal, or in one of the mayors' courts at Madras or Bombay respectively, in manner following, that is to say, all such pecuniary penalties, and all forfeitures of ships, vessels, merchandise and goods, shall and may be sued for, condemned, and recovered by action, bill, suit, or information, wherein no essoin, protection, wager of law, or more than one imparlance, shall be granted or allowed, and all such seizures, whether of any person or of any ships, vessels, merchandise and goods, and all causes of such seizures, shall be cognizable in such actions, suits, or prosecutions as shall bring into question or relate to the lawfulness or regularity of any such seizure, and all such offences as by this Act are not made punishable by pecuniary penalties or by any forfeiture of goods, but by fine or imprisonment, or both, or are hereby created, without providing any particular punishment, shall be prosecuted by indictment or information as misdemeanours, for breach thereof, and shall be punished by fine or imprisonment, or both, at the discretion of the court in which such prosecution shall, by virtue of this Act, be begun and carried on, and if such prosecution for a misdemeanour shall be in any of the said courts in the East Indies, and the person or persons prosecuted shall be there convicted, it shall be lawful for such court to order, as part or for the whole of the punishment, any such person or persons to be sent and conveyed to Great Britain' (33 Geo III, c 52, 8 140)

'Whenever any action, bill, suit, information, or indictment shall be brought or prosecuted in any of His Majesty's Courts of Record at Westminstei, for any offence against this Act, whether for a penalty,

forfeiture or misdemeanour the offence shall be laid or alleged to have been committed in the city of London or county of Middlesex, at the option of the informer or prosecutor and all actions, bills, surts, informations, and indictments for any offence or offences against this Act, whether filed, brought, commenced or prosecuted for a penalty or forfeiture, or for a misdemeanour in any of His Majesty's Courts of Record at Westminster or in the said Supreme Court, or any such mayor s court as aforesaid, shall be brought and prosecuted within six years next after the offence shall be committed, and a capias shall issue in the first process, and in the case of an offence hereby made punishable by any penalty or forioiture, such capans shall specify the sum of the penalty or forfeiture sued for and the person or persons sued or prosecuted for such penalty shall, on such capias, give to the person or persons to whom such capies shall be directed, sufficient bail or security by natural born subjects or denizens, for appearing in the court out of which such capies shall usue, at the day or return of such writ, to answer such suit or prosecution, and shall likewise, at the time of such appearance, give sufficient ball or security by such persons as aforesaid, in the same court, to answer and pay all the forfeitures and penalties sued for if he, she or they shall be convicted of such offence or offences, or to yield his, her or their body or bodies to prison but if the procecution shall be for any offence or offences against this Act punishable only as a misdemeanour then the person or persons against whom such capies shall issue, being thereupon arrested, shall be imprisoned and builable according to law as in other cases of misdemennour (33 Geo. III c. 52 L 141).

All suits and procecutions for anything done under or by virtue of this Act shall be commenced within the space of three years after the cause of complaint shall have arisen, or being done in Great Britain, in the absence of any person beyond sea aggrieved thereby then within the space of three years next after the return of such person to Great Britain (33 Geo. III, c. 52 s. 162).

Provision as to per sons suspected of dangerous correspon dence [33 Geo III, c. 5

120 -(1) The Governor General in Council and the Governors in Council of Madras and Bombay respectively may issue warrants for securing and detaining in custody any person suspected of carrying on mediately or immediately any illicit correspondence dangerous to the peace or safety of any 111, c. 5 as 45 46.] part of British India with any prince rajah zemindar or other person having authority in India or with the commander governor or president of any factory or settlement established in India by a European power or any correspon dence contrary to the rules and orders of the Secretary of State or of the Governor Ceneral in Council or Governor in Council

- (2) If on examination taken on oath in writing of any credible witness before the Governor-General in Council or the Governor in Council there appear reasonable grounds for the charge, the governor-general or governor may commit the persons suspected or accused to safe custody, and must within a reasonable time, not exceeding five days, cause to be delivered to him a copy of the charge or accusation on which he is committed
- (3) The person charged may deliver his defence in writing, with a list of such witnesses as he may desire to be examined in support thereof
- (4) The witnesses in support of the charge and of the defence must be examined and cross-examined on oath in the presence of the person accused, and their depositions and examination must be taken down in writing
- (5) If, notwithstanding the defence, there appear to the Governor-General in Council or Governor in Council reasonable grounds for the charge or accusation and for continuing the confinement, the person accused is to remain in custody until he is brought to trial in India or sent to England for that purpose
- (6) All such examinations and proceedings or attested copies thereof under the seal of the high court must be sent to the Secretary of State as soon as may be in order to their being produced in evidence on the trial of the person accused in the event of his being sent for trial to England
- (7) If any such person is to be sent to England the governorgeneral or governor, as the case may be, must cause him to be sent to England at the first convenient opportunity, unless he is disabled by illness from undertaking the voyage, in which case he must be so sent as soon as his state of health will safely admit thereof
- (8) The examinations and proceedings transmitted in pursuance of this section are to be deemed and received as evidence in all courts of law, subject to any just exceptions as to the competency of the witnesses (a)

(a) The provisions of the Act of 1793 reproduced by this section, have never been repealed. But no record has been found of any case in which they have been put into operation, and the cases which they were mainly designed to meet could probably be dealt with under other enactments. Powers of arrest and imprisonment for political offences are given by Bengal Regulation III of 1818 Madras Regulation II of 1810. Bombey Regulation XXV of 1827 Act XXXIV of 1850 (the State Prisoners Act, 1850), and Act III of 1858 (the State Prisoners Act 1858). See In the matter of Ameer Khan, 6 Bengal Law Rep. 302 The Bombay Regulation was used in 1886 for the arrest of Dhulcep Singh at Aden, and has since (in 1807) been but in force in connexion with seditions proceedings at Poons.

PART XII

SUPPLEMENTAL.

Samuas

Saving ea to certain rights and nowers Part to e 85 s. 51 24 & 25

Viet. c.

121.-(1) Nothing in this Digest derogates from or inter feres with the rights vested in His Majesty or the powers vested in the Secretary of State in Council in relation to the Government of British India by any law in force at the passing of the Government of India Act 1850

(2) Nothing in this Digest affects the power of Parliament 67 4. 52] to control the proceedings of the Governor General in Council or of any local Government, or to repeal or alter any law or regulation made by any authority in British India or to legislate for British India and the inhabitants thereof (a)

> (a) These favings, reproduced from the Acts of 1833 and 1861 are Important as showing that the parliamentary ensetments relating to India were never intended to be and cannot be construed as a complete code of the powers and rights exercisable by or with reference to the Government of India.

Treaties. contracts and lisbilities of Fast India Company (21 & 22 Viet e

122 -(1) All treaties made by the East India Company are so far as they are in force binding on His Majesty (a)

(2) All contracts made and liabilities incurred by the East India Company may so far as they are still outstanding be enforced by and against the Secretary of State in Council 105 1 67 1

(a) A treaty unless confirmed by legislation, cannot affect private rights of British sul jects in times of peace Walker v Baird [1892] A CL 192 496.

123. All orders, regulations, and directions lawfully made Orders of given by the Court of Directors of the East India Company, Company or by the Commissioners for the Affairs of India, are, so far [21 & 22 Viet c as they are in force, to be deemed to be orders, regulations, 106, s 59] and directions made by the Secretary of State under the Government of India Act, 1858

Definitions

- 124. In this Digest the following expressions, unless the Defince contrary intention appears, have the meanings hereby respectively assigned to them, namely,—
 - (1) The expression 'British India' means all territories and places within His Majesty's dominions which are for the time being governed by His Majesty through the Governor-General of India or through any governor or other officer subordinate to the Governor-General of India (a)
 - (2) The expression 'India' means British India together with any territories of any native prince or chief under the suzerainty of His Majesty exercised through the Governor-General of India, or through any governor or other officer subordinate to the Governor-General of India (a)
 - (3) The expression 'province' means any part of British India the executive government of which is administered by a Governor in Council, governor, heutenant-governor, or chief commissioner (b)
 - (4) The expression 'local Government' means a Governor in Council, lieutenant-governor, or chief commissioner (c)
 - (5) The expression 'high court' means a court established for some part of British India by His Majesty's letters patent (d)
 - (6) The expression 'Civil Service of India' means the service so designated in the rules now in force
 - (7) The expression 'office' includes place and employment

51 & 53 The Interpretation Act 1889 applies to the construction of the Digest (c)

(a) The definitions of ⁶British India and India follow those adopted in the Interpretation Act, 1889 (57 & 53 Vict c. 63 a. 18), and in the Indian General Clauses Act 1807 (X of 1897 a. 3 (7), (27)).

British India corresponds to the territories which were in the Act of 1858 described as the territories in the possession of or under the government of the East India Company and which were then held by the Company in trust for the Crown.

Aden is part of British India, and is included in the Bombay presidency. See the Aden Laws Regulation, 1891 (II of 1891).

India, as distinguished from British India, includes also the territories of Native States, which used to be described in Acts of Parliament as the dominions of the princes and States of India in alliance with Her Majesty or in similar terms. See e. g. 24 & 5 Vict. c. 67 & 22 28 & 20 Vict. c. 15 8. 3 28 & 29 Vict. c. 17 8. 1 53 & 54 Vict. c. 37 8. 15

The expression suzerainty is substituted by the Interpretation Act for the older expression alliance, as indicating more accurately the relation between the rulers of these States and the British Crown as the paramount authority throughout India. It is a term which is perhaps incepable of precise definition, but which is usefully employed to indicate the political authority exercised by one State over another and approximating more or less closely to complete sovereignty. See Holland's *Desirystation*, ed. 7 pp. 45–347 and below Chapter v

The territories of the Native States are not part of the dominions of the King but their subjects are, for international purposes, in the same position as British subjects. For instance under the Foreign Jurisdiction Act, 1820 (53 & 54 Vict c. 3, s. 15), where an order made in pursuance of the Act extends to persons enjoying His Majesty s protection, that expression is to be construed as including all subjects of the accreal princes and States in India. And it is possible that a subject of a Native State would not be held to be an allen within the meaning of the Naturalization Act 1870 (33 & 34 Vict c. 14), so as to be capable of obtaining a certificate of naturalization under that Act

The expression prince or chief seems wide enough to include the ruler or head man, by whatever name called, of any petty tribe or clan or group, however rudimentary may be its political organization. But of course political authority may be so widely distributed among head men or elders, or members of the tribe or group, as to make the task of finding an individual or collective sovereign very difficult. This difficulty is to some extent met by s. 2 of the Imperial Foreign Jurusiletion Act (53 & 54 Vict. c 37).

It has sometimes been found difficult to determine whether a pur

ticular territory ought to be treated as part of British India, or of India in the wider sense and questions have arisen as to the status of such

territories as Kathiawai. Cooch Behai, and the tributary mahals of See Empress v Keshub Mahajun, (1882) I L R S Cal 985, and Re Bichitramund, (1889) I L R 16 Cal 667 The position of Kathiawai was carefully considered in two cases which came together in 1905 before the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, Hemchand Deschand v Azam Salarlal Chhotamlal and The Taluka of Kotda Sangani v The State of Gondal 1. C [1906] 212 Both these cases were, in effect, appeals from decisions of British political agents exercising jurisdiction in Kathiawar It was decided (1) that Kathiawar is not as a whole within the King's dominions, (2) that the right of appeal to the King in Council from British courts exercising jurisdiction outside British dominions is not limited to British subjects, (3) that the question whether an appeal lies to the King in Council from the decision of a British political agent in Kathiawar depends on whether the jurisdiction exercised is political or judicial in its In the two cases in question the jurisdiction was held to be political, and the appeals were dismissed

India in the wider sense would not include French or Portuguese territory

The expression 'British India,' as defined above, includes the land down to low-water mark, and rould ordinarily include the territorial waters of British India though not the high seas beyond (R v Edmonstone, (1879) 7 Bom Cr Ca 109) In 1871 the Bombay High Court held that the provisions of the Indian Penal Code applied to offences committed within a marine league of the shore of British India (R v Kastya Rama, 8 Bom Cr Ca 63) But this decision is now affected by the Territorial Waters Jurisdiction Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Viet c 73), as to which see the note on s 101

For fiscal and protective purposes the Indian Legislature has made laws for Indian waters See, e.g. the Transport of Salt Act, 1879 (XVI of 1879), and the Obstructions in Fairways Act, 1881 (XVI of 1881)

The settlements of Plince of Wales' Island, Singapore, and Malacca were, in pursuance of the Straits Settlements Act, 1866 (29 & 30 Vict c 115, s 1), removed from British India and placed under the Colonial Office

- (b) 'Province' is defined in the Indian General Clauses Act (X of 1897, s 3 (43)) as meaning the territories for the time being administered by any local Government
- (c) 'Local Government' is defined in the Indian General Clauses Act (X of 1897, s 3 (29)) as meaning 'the person authorized by law to administer executive government in the part of British India in which the Act or regulation containing the expression operates,' and as including a chief commissioner

There are at present thirteen local Governments in British India, namely, the Governor of Madras in Council, the Governor of Bombay in Council, the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal, the Lieutenant-Governor of the United provinces of Agra and Oudh, the Lieutenant-Governor of

the Punjab the Lieutenant Governor of Burma the Laeutenant Governor of Eastern Bengal and Assam the Chief Commissioner of the Central Provinces the Chief Commissioner of Enternal Provinces the Chief Commissioner of Ajmere the Chief Commissioner of Coorg; the Chief Commissioner of the North West Frontier Province and the Chief Commissioner of the Andaman Islands. Under Act V of 1858 the powers of a local Government for certain purposes may be delegated to the commissioner in Sindh.

- (d) This definition only includes the chartered high courts at Celcutts Madras, Bombay and Allahabed. The definition in the Indian General Causes Act (X of 1897 s. 3 (24)) is wider and includes the various judicial commissioners and the chief court of the Punjab.
- (e) In a Digest of this kind it seems convenient to adopt the same general rules of construction as are applied to recent Acts of Parila ment. The application of the Interpretation Act makes the definitions of British India and India, strictly speaking, superfluous, but they are set out on account of their importance.

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTES

1 Omissions from Digest.

The following enactments have not been reproduced in this Digest on the ground of either never having come into operation, or having ceased through change of circumstances to be in operation:—

The power given by 13 Geo III c. 63 s. 9, for the Governor-General in Council to suspend the Government of Madras or Rombay in case of disabediance.

The express grant by 21 Geo. III c. 70, s. 17 of jurisdiction over all inhabitants of Calcutta.

The saving in 21 Geo. III e 70, s. 18 for the rights of fathers of Hindu and Mahomedan families and rules of easte.

The procedure under 24 Geo III sees. 2 c. 25 ss. 66 and 77 for constituting a special court for the trial of Indian offenders. This machinery has never been put into force.

The provisions in 33 Geo III c. 52 s. 41 as to the duty of local Governments in the case of conflict between the orders of the Governor General in Council and the orders of the Directors of the East India Company

The provision in 33 Geo III c 5 s. 70, as to forfeiture of office after absence for five years.

The requirement in 37 Geo. III c 14- to send to the Board for Affairs of India the forms and rules made in India as to process in the recorders courts.

The enactments in 53 Geo. III c 155 sa. 42 43, as to the control of the India Board over colleges and seminaries in India, and as to the provision to be made for public education in India.

The provision in 53 Geo III, c 155, sq 85, 86, as to the precedence of civil servants

The provisions in 6 Geo 1V, c 85, s 5, as to the payments to be made in the case of judges and bishops

The provision in 3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, for dividing the Presidency of Fort William into two presidencies

The provision in section 56 of the same Act for the government of Bengal by a Governor in Council

The express power given by section 86 of the same Act to hold land in India

2 Powers of Governor-General to grant Military Commissions

Questions have sometimes been raised as to the power of the governorgeneral, either alone or in council, to grant military commissions, with command over officers and men of the regular forces, and as to the effect of commissions so granted, and as the answer to the question depends on a series of enactments and other documents, it seems worth while to state it somewhat fully

Before the passing of the Government of India Act, 1858 (21 & 22 Vict c 106), the Governor-General in Council granted commissions to officers of the troops of the East India Company

The power to grant such commissions may be presumed to have been derived from the charters and Acts relating to the East India Company

According to Sir George Chesney (Indian Polity, 3rd edition, ch. xn), the first establishment of the Company's Indian army may be considered to date from 1748, when a small body of sepoys was laised at Madras, after the example set by the French, for the defence of that settlement, during the course of the wai which had broken out four years previously between France and England. At the same time a European force was raised, formed of such sailors as could be spared from the ships on the coast, and of men smuggled on board the Company's vessels in England by the crimps. An officer (Major Lawrence) was appointed by a commission from the Company to command their forces in India.

In 1754 an Act (27 Geo II, c 9) was passed for punishing mutiny and desertion of officers and men in the service of the United Company of Merchants of England trading to the East Indies, and for the punishment of offences committed in the East Indies, and at the island of St Helena. This Act recites that for the safety and protection of their settlements, and for the better carrying on of their trade, the East India Company, at their own costs and charges, do maintain and keep a miltary force for the garrison and defence of their settlements, factories, and places, and that it is requisite for the retaining of such forces in their duty that an exact discipline be observed, and that soldiers who shall mutiny or stir up sedition, or shall desert the Company's service, shall be brought to a more exemplary and speedy punishment than the

usual forms of law allow The Act then proceeds to make officers and soldiers of the Company a forces subject to punishment by court-martial for military offences, and authorizes the grant of a commussion or warrant under the King a Royal Sign Manual, by virtue of which the Court of Directors of the Company may authorize their president and council to appoint courts-martial.

The Act does not in so many words, give the Company power to grant commissions and Brougham, in the course of his argument in the case of Bradley v Arthur (2 State Trials N.S. p 190) comments on the avoidance of the word commission in the statute. The expression used is that if any person being misstered or in pay as an officer or who to or shall be enlisted, or in the Company's pay as a sokiler does so and so he is to be tried by court martial.

The statement that the word commission does not appear in the statute is not strictly accurate, for it is used in section 5 but there is nothing to show that the commissions there referred to are commissions in the army of the East India Company

Nor does Brougham appear to have been accurate in saying that the Act was a temporary Act annually renowed. It appears to have been a permanent Act but ceased to have any operation after the abolition of the East India Company a army and was formally repealed by the Statute Law Revvision Act of 1867

There appear to have been always doubts as to the exact status conferred by military commissions in the Company's army In 1796 Lord Cornwallis was appointed commander in chief as well as Governor General of India, and was thus invested with the supreme military as well as the supreme authority. One of the objects with which this combination of powers was conferred on him was to enable him to remove or mitigate the jenkousies and friction between the king s officers and the Company's officers, and with this object he granted, in : 88 or 1789, brevet commissions in the royal service to all the Com pany s officers, with dates corresponding to their substantive commissions (Cornwalls Correspondence, and edition, vol. ii n. 4.8 Chesnov Indian Polity ch. xii). This arrangement, according to Sir G Chesney. was continued until the abolition of the Company's government in 1858 brevet commissions being granted under powers delegated for that purpose by the Crown to the Commander in Chief in India. With out such brovet commission it is at least doubtful whether officers of the Company a forces could have exercised any command over officers or soldiers of the regular forces.

By the Government of India Act, 1858 (1 & 22 Vict. c. 105), the government of India was transferred to the Crown. But by a, 30 of that Act it was provided that all appointments to offices, commands, and employments in India, and all promotions which by law or under regulations, usage or custom were then made by any authority in India should continue to be made in India by the like authority and subject to the qualifications, conditions, and restrictions then affecting such appointments respectively

The Act 23 & 24 Vict e 100 (1860), after reciting that 'it is not expedient that a separate European force should be continued for the local service of Her Majesty in India,' enacted that 'so much of the Act of Parliament of the twenty-second and twenty-third of Her Majesty, chapter twenty-seven, intituled 'An Act to repeal the thirty-first section of sixteen and seventeen Victoria, chapter ninety five, and to after the limit of the number of European troops to be maintained for local service in India,' and of any former Act or Acts of Parliament as renders it lawful for the Secretary of State in Council from time to time to give such directions as he may think fit for raising such number of European forces as he may judge necessary for the Indian Army of Her Majesty, is hereby repealed.' This Act received the Royal Assent on August 20, 1860

Sir Charles Wood, when Secretary of State for India, by his Dispatch, No 461, dated December 16, 1862, informed the governor-general that local commissions should in all practicable cases be bestowed by the field-marshal commanding-in-chief on the recommendation of the Government of India preferred through the Secretary of State, but that in any case commissions which the Government of India might consider it necessary to bestow without previous reference should be subject to the confirmation of the Crown applied for through the same channel

Sir Charles Wood, by his Dispatch, No 351, dated November 16, 1864, informed the Government of India that, in view of royal commissions being granted to all officers of Hei Majesty's Indian forces and staff corps, the issue of commissions either by local Governments or by the commander-in-chief was unnecessary

The Indian Volunteers Act, 1869 (XX of 1869), which is amended by Act X of 1896, provides for the formation and dissolution and for the good order and discipline of volunteer corps in India. The Act is silent as to the grant of commissions to volunteer officers, but provides (s 14) that the commissions are to cease on retirement or dismissal. In practice, however, commissions to officers of volunteers under this Act are signed either by the governor-general or by the Governor-General in Council. Members of a corps of volunteers under the Indian Act are, on being called out for duty, subject, by virtue of s 8 of that Act, to military law under the Army Act, and by virtue of s 177 of the Army Act would be so subject, whether within or without the limits of India.

The regular forces are under the command of the Crown, and the military rank and military powers of command of officers of the regular forces depend solely on commissions from the Crown, issued in accordance with the provisions of 25 & 26 Vict e 4

The commission of a commander-in-chief usually authorizes him to grant commissions until the pleasure of the Crown is signified, and sometimes gives him absolute powers to grant commissions. Commissions so granted are granted by a military and not by a civil authority, and by virtue of express authority from the King. The commander-

in-chief in India is not at present authorized by his commission to sign commissions on behalf of the King

Before 1871 commissions to officers of the auxiliary forces in the United Kingdom were granted by the leutemants of counties in England and Scotland and by the Lord Lieutemant in Ireland. The power to grant these commissions was given by statute, and the rank and powers of command of the commissioned officers were also regulated by statute (see, e.g. 26 & 27 Vict. c. 65 a. 5). Without such a statutory provision they would have had no command over the regular forces But by a. 6 of the Regulation of the Forces Act, 1871 (34 & 35 Vict. c. 85), it was enacted that all officers in the militia, yeomanry and volunteers of England, Scotland, and Ireland should hold commissions from Her Majesty to be prepared, authenticated and issued in the manner in which commissions of officers in Her Rajesty's land forces are prepared authenticated, and issued according to any law or custom for the time being in force. Accordingly all such commissions are now granted directly or indirectly by the Crown.

The power of granting military commissions may be delegated by the Crown, but the power must apparently be given in express terms (see Bradley v Arthur 2 State Trials, N S 171) and it has been considered doubtful whether it could be given to a civilian (see Clode, Military Forces of the Croses vol ii. p 72 and Bradley v Arthur 2 State Trials, N S. 183 196, 202-203) Certainly in India, down to 1859, all commissions giving command over the regular forces were given by the military authority-the commander in-chief, and not the governor-general However Sir Bartle Frere, when High Commissioner for South Africa, was empowered by letters patent (dated October 10, 1878) to appoint any officer of the regular troops serving in South Africa to local and temporary rank and command therein, and by subsequent letters patent (dated March 22 1870) to appoint any officer of the local forces serving in South Africa to local and temporary rank and command in the regular army But this was a special appointment in time of war and outside the colonial limits Local forces may have meant forces within se. 175 (4) and 176 (3) of the Army Act, or colonial forces within s. 177 of the Army Act, or both. As to the powers ordinarily exercisable by colonial governors in military matters, see Todd, Parliamentary Government in the British Colonies (second edition) p. 41

The existing Army Act (44, & 45 Vict c 58) does not confer on the Governor-General of India any power to grant commissions or recognize any such power. Indeed, the Act treats him throughout as a civil and not a military officer (see, e.g., ss. 54, 62 65 94, 130, 134, 169). If his commission were to confer on him the powers of a commander in-chief he might, no doubt, by virtue of those powers, grant military commissions such as were granted by Lord Cornwallis in his capacity of commander in-chief; but otherwise he would appear not to have by virtue of his office power to grant any military command over officers of the regular forces.

In 1866 a provision was inserted in # 5- of the Mutlay Act to the

effect that, notwithstanding anything in the Act 23 & 24 Vict c 100, any person authorized in that behalf in India might enlist and attest, within the local limits of his authority, any person desirous of enlisting in Hei Majesty's Indian forces. This provision was re-enacted by s 52 of each successive annual Mutiny Act, and was eventually reproduced by s 180 (1) (h) of the existing Army Act, which provides that persons may be enlisted and attested in India for medical service or for other special service in Her Majesty's Indian forces for such periods, by such persons, and in such manner as may be from time to time authorized by the Governor-General of India. Enlistment is the process for taking men, not officers, into the army, and the section says nothing about the grant of commissions.

Section 71 of the Army Act enacts that 'for the purpose of removing doubts as to the powers of command vested or to be vested in officers and others belonging to Her Majesty's forces, it is hereby declared that Her Majesty may, in such manner as to Her Majesty may from time to time seem meet, make regulations as to the persons to be invested as officers, or otherwise, with command over Her Majesty's forces, or any part thereof, and as to the mode in which such command is to be exercised, provided that command shall not be given to any person over a person superior in rank to himself'. This provision was first enacted in 1881, when the old enactments as to the rank and command of officers of the military and other auxiliary forces were repealed, and its object was to provide for officers of the regular forces exercising command over officers of the auxiliary forces, and vice versa

Under these cheumstances it would appear that any forms of appointment, whether described as commissions or otherwise, granted by the governor-general or by the Governor-General in Council, could not confer the status and powers of command conferred by commissions under the signature of the King. No express power to grant such commissions is conferred on the governor-general by the existing form of his warrant of appointment.

SCHEDULES

FIRST SCHEDULE.

OFFICIAL SALABIES¹

Session and Chapter	Офсет	Hazimum Salary
3 & 4 Will IV o.	Vicercy and Governor	2,40,000 Slees Rs. = Rs.
85 a. 76.	General.	2 56,000.
3 & 4 Will. IV c	Governors of Madras and	1,20,000 Sicea Ra Ra.
85 a. 76.	Bombay	1 28 000.
16 & 17 Viet. c	Commander-in-Chief	Ra. 1 00,000.
16 & 17 Viet. c. 95, s. 35	Lieutenant-Governor	Ra. 1,00 000
3 & 4 WILL IV c.	Members of Governor	96,000 Sieca Ra. = Ra.
85 s. 76.	General s Council.	1 01,400
3 & 4 WIL. IV c.	Member of Council, Ma	60,000 Sloca Rs. = Rs.
85 s. 76.	dras and Bombay	64,000.

SECOND SCHEDULE.

OFFICES RESERVED TO THE CIVIL SERVICE OF INDIA.

Secretaries, junior secretaries, and under secretaries to the several Governments in India, except the secretaries, junior secretaries, and under secretaries in the Military Marine and Public Works Depart ments.

Accountant general.

Civil auditor

Sub-treasurer

Judicial

- Civil and sessions judges, or chief judicial officers of districts in the provinces known as Regulation Provinces.
 - 2 Additional and assistant judges in the said provinces.
- 3 Magistrates or chief magisterial officers of districts in the said provinces.

¹ See s. So of Digest.

- 4 Joint magistrates in the said provinces
- 5 Assistant magistrates, or assistants to magistrates in the said provinces

Revenue

- 1 Members of the Board of Revenue in the presidencies of Bengal and Madras
 - 2 Secretaries to the said Boards of Revenue
- 3 Commissioners of revenue, or chief revenue officers of divisions, in the provinces known as Regulation Provinces
- 4 Collectors of revenue, or chief revenue officers of districts, in the said provinces
- 5 Deputy or subordinate collectors where combined with the office of joint magistrate in the said provinces
 - 6 Assistant collectors or assistants to collectors in the said provinces
 - 7 Salt agents
 - 8 Controller of salt chowkies
 - 9 Commissioners of customs, salt, and opium
 - 10 Opium agents 1

¹ See s 93 of Digest This is the schedule appended to the Act of 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 54), but it has now become in some respects obsolete Foi instance, the expression 'Regulation Provinces' is only intelligible by reference to a past state of things—It means practically the presidencies of Madras and Bombay, and the heutenant-governorships of Bengal and the North-Western Provinces

TABLE OF COMPARISON BETWEEN STATUTORY ENACTMENTS AND DIGEST

Surion and Chapter	Tille and Short Contents	Remarks.
10 Geo. III, c. 47 s. 2	The East India Company Act, 1770 Persons in service of Company transporting warlike stores.	Not reproduced. Appears to be virtually repealed by 33 Geo. III, c. 52, s. 146.
B. 3.	Balloting by Court of Direc- tors of East India Company	Not reproduced. Repealed as to U h. by S. L. R. Act 1887
4.4	Trial in England of Company s servants committing offences in India.	Extended by 13 Geo. III, c. 63, a. 39, to all offenders. Re- produced by m. 117 (1), 119
k 5	In action against East India Company defendant may plead the general issue.	
s. 6.	The Act to be a public Act.	to U.K. by S. L. R. Act. 1887
2. 7	In action again t East India Company in England, de- fendant to give notice of substance of defence.	to Uh. by 56 & 57 Viot
13 Geo. III 63	The East India Company Act, 1772	
Presmbl	nuber f directors of La t India Company	Not reproduced. Repealed as to U.K. by S.L.R. Act 1887

¹S. L. R. Act = Statute Law R vision Act. Acts under thi name are periodically ps ecd for the purpose of removing from the Statute Book conactment which have been virtually repealed or have otherwise ceased to be in force as law

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
13 Geo III, c 63, ss 3-5	Qualification for rotes of pro- prietors of East India Com- pany for election of direc- tors, &c Oath to be taken by proprie-	Not reproduced Repealed as to UK by SLR Act, 1887
	tors of East India Company on election of directors, &c	
s 7	Government of Bengal vested in governor-general and four councillors	Not reproduced uperseded by 3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, s 39
s 8	Difference of opinion in gover- nor-general's council	Reproduced by s 44 (1)
s 9	President and Council of Madras, Bombay, and Ben- coolen—	Repealed in part, SLR Act, 1892
	not to make war or treaty without orders of Gover- nor-General in Council or East India Company	Reproduced by s 49 (2) Ben- coolen has been given to the Dutch
	liable to suspension if they disobey	Modified by 33 Geo III, c 52, s 43 The power for the Governor- General in Council to suspend a local Government in case of disobedience has been omitted as having been made unnecessary by change of circumstances
	to obey orders of Gover- nor-General in Council	Reproduced by s 49 (1)
	to keep Governor-General in Council informed of their proceedings Governor-General in Council	Repealed by S L R Act, 1892
	—to obey orders of East India Company	Reproduced by s 36 (2)
	to correspond with East India Company Court of Directors to send to Treasury copies of correspon-	Reproduced by S R L Act, 1892
s 10	dence relating to revenues Appointment of first Governor-General and members of list Council	Not reproduced Spent Repealed in part, S L R Act

Bession and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks.
13 Geo III, c 63, ∎ 10 (continues)	Appointment and removal of second Governor-General and members of his Council.	Not reproduced. Spent. Repealed in part, S. L. R. Act 1892.
#- II	Provisions of section 10, when to take effect.	Spent. Repealed as to U K. by S. L. R. Act, 1887
F. 12.	Saving of power to make appointments.	Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1892
us. 13, 14.	Constitution, powers, and jurisdiction of Supreme Court, Calcutta.	Reproduced by s. 101 The aupreme counts were abo- lished, and their powers and jurisdiction vested in the high courts, by 24 & 25 Vict. c. 104, ss. 8, 9. 8. 101 saves these powers and this jurisdiction.
4 15	Offences by Governor-General and members of his Council not triable by Supreme Court, Caloutta.	Reproduced by s. 105 (c).
L 16.	Jurusdiction of Supreme Court Calcutta, as to contracts.	Repealed by Indian Act VIV of 1870, and S. L. R. Act 1892.
4 17	Governor-General, members of his Council and judges of Supreme Court not to be arrested or imprisoned by that Court.	Reproduced by s. 105 (1) (b), and (2).
a. 18.	Appeal to King in Council.	Repealed S. L. R Act 1892.
4, 19.	Charter of mayor's court, (lal- cutta,	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S. L. R. Act, 1892.
M. 20-32		Repealed as to U h., by S.L.R Act 1887
1	Overnor-General, his Oum- cil, and judges of Supreme Court, Calcutta, not to re- ceive gifts.	Reproduced by s. 117 (5) The words as to a promise of a gift are omitted. S. 117 (5), following 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 85 s. 76, is limited to the acceptance of gifts by an official in the discharge of life office.

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
13 Geo III, c 63, s 25	Exception as to fees of barristers, &c	Reproduced by s 117 (5)
89 26-29	,	Repealed, 24 Geo III, sess 2, c 25, 9 47, and 33 Geo III, c 52, 9 146
98 30, 31		Repealed as to U K by S L R Act, 1887
۹ 32		Repealed, 24 Geo III, sess 2, c 25, s 47, and 33 Geo III, c 52, s 146
> 33	Power of Indian courts to punish East India Company's servants for breach of trust, &c	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
98 34, 35		Repealed as to U K by S L R Act, 1887
s 36	Power of Governor-General in Council to make laws	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
s 37	Power of Crown to disallow such laws	Not reproduced Superseded by 24 & 25 Vict c 67, s 21
s 38	Governor-General, members of his Council, and judges of Supreme Court to be justices of the peace	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
s 39	Trial of offences in England	Reproduced by s 119
ss 40,41	Procedure for obtaining evidence in India for criminal proceedings in the high court in England	
s 42	Procedure for obtaining evidence in India for proceedings in Parliament against Indian offenders	Left outstanding as belong- ing to the law of evidence
s 43	Proceedings in Parliament against Indian offenders not to be discontinued by prorogation or dissolution of Parliament	

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents.	Remarks
13 Geo. III, c. 63, s. 44.	Procedure for obtaining evi- dence in India for civil pro- ceedings in the high court in England.	Left outstanding as belonging
4 45	Depositions in capital cases not allowed as evidence, ex- cept in proceedings in Par- liament.	to the law of evidence
a. 46.	Saving for privileges of East India Company	Repealed, S. L. B. Act 1892
6. 47		Repealed as to U K, by S, L R Act 1887
21 Geo. III. 0 70, 8. I	The East India Company Act 1780 Governor-General and his Council exempt from juris- diction of Supreme Court, Calcutta, for official acts.	Reproduced by s. 105 (a).
29. 2-4.	Written order by Governor General in Council a justifi- cation for any act in any court in India.	Reproduced by s. 106.
a. 5	Procedure in case of oppres- sion, &c., by Governor General or his Council.	Reproduced by a. 107 (1) and (2).
• 6.	Copies and depositions ad missible in evi lence.	Reproduced by s. 107 (3).
7	Limitation of prosecutions and suits against Governor General and hi Council.	Reproduced by s. 110 (3). The provision as to limitation of olvil suits, and the exception a to Parliament have not been expressly reproduced
s. 8.	Supreme Court not to have jurisdiction in matters con- cerning the revenue	Reproduced by s. 101 (3).
9 10.	Exemption of certain classes of persons from jurisdiction of Supreme Court.	Repealed Indian Act XIV of 1870, S. L. R. Act 1892

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
21 Geo III, c 70, sq 11-16	Registration of native servants of East India Company	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
۹ 17	Jurisdiction of Supreme Court, Calcutta	Not reproduced Saved by s
	Proviso as to native laws and usages	This section is reproduced by s 108 so far as it appears to represent existing law
		The express grant of jurisdic- tion over all inhabitants of Calcutta is omitted as no longer necessary
s 18	Rights of fathers of Hindu and Mahomedan families, and rules of caste, preserved	Omitted as unnecessary May be, and has been to some extent, modified or super- seded by Indian legislation
89 19, 20	Power for Supreme Court, Calcutta, to make rules as to process	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
SS 21, 22	Judicial powers of Governor- General in Council	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
s 23	Power of Governor-General in Council to frame regulations for provincial courts and councils	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
s 24	No action for acts done by, or by order of, judicial officers	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
ss 25, 26	Notice to judicial officer before prosecuting him	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
ss 27, 28		Repealed, S L R Act, 1872
24 Geo III, sess 2, c 25 ss 1-63	``	Repealed S L R Act, 1872
ss 64, 65	Procedure by information against British subjects guilty of extortion in East India	Special procedure not reproduced As to substance, see s 119

Session and	Title and Short Contents.	Remarks
Chapter		
24 Geo. III, Pens. 2, c.25 ps. 66-77	Prosecution of Indian offen ders in Parhament	Not reproduced These sec- t one contain an elaborat
		procedure for constituting a special court for the trial of Indian offenders. It was to consist of three judges four press, and six members of the House of Commons. See Mill, British India, it 407 seq. The machinery has never been put in force and the whole of this set of provisions i practically obsolete.
**. 78-82.	Evidence and limitation of proceedings in information under Act	Not reproduced. Fall with foregoing provisions.
a. 83.	Saving for claims as to terri torial acquisitions.	Not reproduced. Made un necessary by transfer of government to the Crown.
m. 8.4, 85	Commencement of Act Act to be public Act.	Repealed, S. L. R. Act 1887
26 Geo. III, c 57	The East India Company Act 1786	
84 I−28	Prosecution of Indian offen- ters in Parliament.	Not reproduced. These sec- tions merely amend the machinery under Pitt's Act (24 Geo. III, sees. 2, c. 25).
8. 29.		Repealed by S.L.R. Act 1892
n. 30.	Jurisdi tion of governor s and mayor s court at Madras.	Juri-diction continued by s. 101 Repealed by S. L. R. Act 1892.
a. 31		Repealed by S. L. R. Act 1872
ss. 32 3t		Repealed by 33 Geo III, c 52, s. 146.
** 3 % 37		Repealed by S. J. R. Act. 187*
A. 3 ⁸	Bond executed in East Indies to be evidence in Britain, and vice versa.	Repealed as to British India by S. I. R. Act, 1892.

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
26 Geo III c 57 5 39	•	Repealed by S L R Act, 1892
33 Geo III c 52 ss 1-18	The East India Company Act, 1793	Repealed as to U K by S L R Act, 1887
s 19	Power of commissioners to send orders to India through secret committee of direc- tors	
> 20	Appointment of secret com- mittee of directors	Not reproduced Superseded by 21 & 22 Viet c 106 See s 14
S 2I	Dispatches of secret commit- tee, by whom to be pre- pared	Repealed as to U K. by S L R Act, 1887
s 22	Secret dispatches from India	Amended by 21 & 22 Vict c 106, s 28 Reproduced by s 14 (2) The enumeration of subjects in s 22 is not repeated in s 14 (2) It differs from that given in 21 & 22 Vict c 106, s 27, as to dispatches to India
s 23		Repealed as to U K by S L R Act, 1887
s 24	Government of Bengal by Governor-General in Council	Not reproduced Superseded by 3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, s 39 See ss 36, 49
	Government of Madras and Bombay by Governor in Council	Reproduced by s 50 (1) The provision as to control of the revenues is superseded by 21 & 22 Vict c 106, s 41. The provisions as to the military authority of the Madras and Bombay Governments are repealed by 56 & 57 Vict c 62.
	Number of members of council at Madras and Bombay	Modified by 3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, s 57 Reproduced by s 51 (2)

Session and Chapter	Tille and Short Contents.	Remarks.
33 Geo III, c 52 s. 24 (continued).	Governors in Council of Ma- dres and Bombay to be sub- ject to control of Governor General in Council.	Verbally modified by 3 & 4 Will. IV c. 85 : 65 Re- produced by s. 49 (1)
a. 25	Directors to fill vacancies in offices of— Governor-General Governors of Madras and Bombay Members of Council	Not reproduced. Superseded by 24 & 25 Vict. c. 106, s. 29. Not reproduced. Superseded
	Governor of the forts and garrisons at Fort Wil- liam, Fort St George and Bornbay Commanders-in-chief Qualification for office of mem- ber of council of— Governor-Georgia	'
	Governor of Madras or Bombay	Not reproduced Superseded by 24 & 25 Viet. c 67 a. 3. Reproduced by s. 51 (3). Provision as to seniority rep. by 24 & 25 Viet c. 54,
a. 26.	Power for Crown to fill vacancies in default of di- rectors.	Repealed as to U K. by S. L. R. Act 1887
s. 27	Provisional appointments to offices of— Governor-General, gover nor and member of Coun- cit. Governor of the forts and garrisons at FortWilliam, Fort St George and Bombay Commanders-in-ohlef	Not reproduced. Superseded by 3 & 4 Will. IV. a. 85, a 61 and 24 & 25 Vict c. 67 ss. 2, 5. Not reproduced See note on a. 25.
r. 28 r. 70, 50	Temporary vacancy in office	Repealed a to U K hy S. L. R Act 1897
	of— Governor-General. Governor	ot reproduced Superseded by 24 & 5 Vict c 67 as 50, 51 Not reproduced. Superseded by 3 & 4 Will. IV c 85 a. 63.

	~	maga. ta sa ma m
् हिरस्थान त ाने (Tillear's Short Co lette	Remark
· · ·	i	the second control of
gr Gen III		
C C2 31	four orans anymos in office of a region of control.	Not reproduced Superreded by a V a Will IV, c. Sa a ca, and and as Victor 67.
٠	Appendance of Commander included a member of Garcenor General excum- cil	Not reproduced. Superceded by 24 & 25 Viet e 157, n 3 To perfed in part S. L. B.
	to erner's council 5 tors of Conn. r der ma bul 65 norther of council	Not 1802 hepsided by 163, 78 act of 67 Pryromeed by 4, 80
,,	Converte method in India to be a nember of lead control while in Madra, o Rombas	Reproduced by the
*;	Ab once or illne of nember of council	Not reproduced Practically super eded by 3 & 4 Will IV, 6 85, 8 64, and 24 & 25 Vict 6 67, 8 27
× 35	Pemoval of officer by Crown	Amended as to communica- tion of order of removal by 21 & 22 Vict c 105 8 38 Reproduced by s 21 (1)
। ३५	pointed by Crown on de-	Not reproduced. Made un- necessary by abolition of
,	fault of directors	Company
	If Governor - General, Active leaves India intending to return to Europe his office vacated	Re-enacted in substance by 3 A 4 Will IV, c 85, s 76 Qualified as to members of council by 24 & 25 Vict c 67, s 26 Reproduced by 8 82 (1)
	Exidence of intention to re- turn to Europe	Not reproduced 3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, s 79, contains no such provision
	Resignation of office by Gover- nor-General &c	Reproduced by a 82 (2)
	Salary and allowances to cease from date of departure	Reproduced by 8 82 (4)
	or resignation	(·

284	GOVERNMENT OF	F INDIA [CH
Season and Chapter	Tills and Short Contents.	Remarks.
33 Geo. III, c. 52, s. 37 (continued).	Salary and allowances not payable during absence	Amended by 3 & 4 Will. IV a. 85 s. 79 Reproduced by s. 82 (2).
a. 38.	Power to postpone matters proposed by members of council.	Not reproduced. Supercoded by 24 & 25 Vict. c. 67 s. 8, giving power to make rules of procedure.
s. 39.	Form and signature of proceedings.	Amended by 53 Geo. III, c. 155, a. 179, and Indian Act II of 1834. Beproduced by sa. 43 (1), 54 (1).
40.	Authority of Governor-Gene- ral in Council over local Governments.	Re-enacted in more general terms by 3 & 4 Will. IV 0.85, s. 39. Reproduced by st. 36 and 49 (t).
E. 41	Duty of local Governments in case of conflict between orders of Governor-General in Council and orders of directors of East India Company	Not reproduced. These elaborate provisions have been made unnecessary and unsuitable by change of cir cumstances.
B. 42.	Restriction on power of Gov ernor-General in Council to make war or treaty	Reproduced by s. 48.
2. 43-	Local Governments not to make war or treaty without orders of Governor-General in Council or East India Company	Reproduced by 2. 49 (2).
	Officers of local Govern- ments to obey such orders of Governor General in Council.	Reproduced by s. 49 (1).
	Governor &c., disobeying or ders of Governor-General in Council liable to be sus- pended or removed.	Not reproduced. See note on 49.
P-44	Local Governments to keep Governor-General informed of their proceedings.	Reproduced by a. 49 (1).
41 46	Proceedings against persons suspected of dangerous correspondence.	Reproduced by a 120.

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
33 Gen III, 0 52. 17-10		Modified, as to Governor General, by 33 & 34 Vict (3, s 5 Reproduced by 55 44 (2), (3), (4) 53 (5)
× 50	Person temporarily acting as Governor-General or gover- nor not to act against opi- mon of council	by 3 & 4 Will IV, c 85,
	Power to act against opinion of council not to be exer-	
52	Powers of local Government superseded by visit of Governor-General	
> 53	Appointment and powers of vice-president during absence of Governor-General	Not reproduced Superseded by 24 & 25 Viet c 67, s 6, and by the appointment of a Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal
в 54	Power of Governor-General while absent from his coun- cil to issue orders to local Governments and officers	Reproduced by s 47 (2)
8 55	Suspension of Governor-Gene- ial's power to issue such orders	Reproduced by s 47 (3)
s 56		Repealed, 24 & 25 Vict c 54, 8 7
s 57	Presidential restriction on civil appointments	Reproduced by s 93 (final words)
	Residence required to qualify civil servant for appointment	Not reproduced Repealed by 24 & 25 Viet c 54, s 7
ss 58-61		Repealed as to U K by S L R Act, 1887

Scenion and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks.
~ ~~	•	
33 (1 c 0. III, 5. 52, 8. 62.	Receiving gifts to be a misde- meanour	Re-enacted by 3 & 4 Will. IV c. 85 s. 76. Reproduced by s. 117 (5).
€ 63	Disposal of gifts and fines	Reproduced by a. 117 (last part).
£ 64.	Exception for fees of barris- ters, &c.	Reproduced by s. 117 (5).
6. 65	Disobedience and breach of duty	Re-enacted by 3 & 4 Will. IV c 85 s. 80. Reproduced b s. 117 (2), (3).
s. 66.	Corrupt burgain for giving up or obtaining employment	Not reproduced. Supersede by 49 Geo. III, c. 126.
s. 67	Juradiction of courts in India and Great Britain over of fences by British subjects in Vative States.	See a. 119 (2). Repealed as t Indian courts by India Act XI of 1872.
a. 68	East India Company or its servants not to stay actions without approbation of Board.	Not reproduced. Cf 1 Geo. HI, c. 63, s. 35
s. 69.	East India Company not to release sentence of British or Indian court against its servants.	Repealed as to U h. h S. L. R Act, 1887
¥. 7U.	Forfeiture of office after ab- sence of five years.	Amended as to military officer by 53 Geo HI, c 155 a. 8. \text{\text{\text{\text{ot reproduced}}} Practically superseded by power to makerules as to furloogh &c.
bs 71-150		Repealed, B L. R. Act, 18,2
w. 13	(fovernor-General, governor judges of supreme courts, members of council, and judicial and revenue officers in Bengal not to trade	Reproduced by a. 117 (4).
	British subjects not to trade in salt &	\ot reproduced. Repealed b. Indian Act \text{YI\ of 1870.}
1 13. 139	.	Hopealed, b. L. R. Set 1872.

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
33 Geo III, c 52, 5 140	Procedure for offences under	Scub 169
F 141	Procedure, and limitation of time for proceedings	S 119 (3), following 21 Geo III, c 70, s 7, substitutes 'five' for 'six' years The provision in that section as to arrival in England is taken from 21 Geo III, c 70, s 7 The provisions as to procedure are omitted as having been superseded by change of practice
es 142-150		Repealed, S L R Act, 1872
5 I5I	Appointment of justices of the peace	Not reproduced Rep by In- dian Act II of 1869
ss 152-155		Repealed as to U K by S L R Act, 1887
ь 156	Admiralty jurisdiction of Supreme Court, Calcutta	Not reproduced Effect saved by 5 102 (1), and by Article 33 of the charter of the Calcutta High Court
s 157	Appointment of coroners	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
ss 158-160		Repealed as to U K by S L R Act, 1887
s 161		Repealed, 4 & 5 Will IV, c 33
s 162	Proceedings in respect of things done under Act to be taken within three years	See s 119 (3) Superseded as to India by Indian legisla- tion, see Act IX of 1871
в 163		Repealed as to U K by S L R Act, 1887
37 Geo III, c 142, s 1	i e	Superseded by 24 & 25 Vict c 104, s 2 Repealed, S L R Act, 1892
ss 2, 3	Pensions of judges	Not reproduced Superseded by 24 & 25 Vict c 104, s 6 Repealed in part, S L R Act, 1892

Session and Chapter	Tule and Short Contents.	Remarks
37 Geo III, c. 142 * 4	Pepositions	
	Salaries and fees of officers of Supreme Court Calcutta	Repealed Indian Act MIN of 1878 S L R Act 1892
≅- 5−7	Registration, &o m Supreme Court, of regulations made by Governor-General in Council.	
4. b	Constitution and powers of recorders courts at Madras and Bombay	Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1892.
缺	Jurishetion of recorders courts at Madras and Bom- bay	Reproduced by s. 101 The powers and jurisduction of the recorders courts were vested in the supreme courts by 39 & 40 Geo. III, c 79 s. 5 (Madras), and 4 Geo. IV. c. 71 s. 7 (Bombay).
6. 11	Governor members of his council, and recorder ox empt from arrest or im- presonment by recorders courts.	Reproduced by s. 10, Seconds on 13 Geo. III, c 63 s. 17
#. 11 proviso.	Governor and his council exempt from jurisdiction of recorders courts for official act.	Reproduced by s. 105 (1) (a).
	Recorders courts not to have jurisdiction in matters con- cerning the revenue.	Reproduced s. 101 (3).
	Exemption of certain classes of persons from jurisdiction of recorders courts.	Not reproduced. The corresponding provisions in 21 Geo. III, c 70, ss. 9 10, as to the Supreme Court, Calcutta, have been repeated by Indian Act XIV of 1870.
s. 12	R ghts of fathers of Hindu and Mahomedan families and rules of caste preserved.	\ot reproduced. Corresponds to 21 Geo. III, c 70, s 18
	Jurisdiction of recorders court Madras and Bom- bay	Not reproduced. Saved by s. 101

Session and		
Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarl s
37 Geo III,		•
e 142, 9 13 (continued)	Proviso as to native laws and usages	Reproduced by s 10S See note to 21 Geo III, c 70, s 17, supra (Not reproduced The corre-
	Power to make rules of pro- cedure for such cases Appearance and examination of witnesses in such cases	sponding provisions in 21 Geo III, c 70, s 19, as to the Supreme Court, Calcutta, have been repealed by Indian Act XIV of 1870
5 14	No action for acts done by or by order of judicial officers	Not reproduced Superseded by Indian Act XVIII of 1850
	Procedure for prosecution of judicial officers	Not reproduced The corresponding provisions in 21 Geo III, c 70, ss 24, 25, 26, as to the Supreme Court at Calcutta, have been repealed by Indian Act XIV of 1870
ន 15	Registration of native servants of East India Company	
s 16	Appeal to His Majesty in Council	
s 17	Transfer of records of mayors' courts, &c, to recorders' courts	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
s 18	Jurisdiction of mayors' courts, &c, transferred to recorders' courts	
ss 19-26	Provisions as to recorders	/
8 27	Forms and rules as to process to be sent to Board for Affairs of India	Not reproduced Does not correspond to modern practice
в 28	Loans by British subjects to native princes	Reproduced by s 118
s 29	Report by law officers	Not reproduced Does not require specific enactment
s 30	Jurisdiction of courts of request	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks.
37 Geo. III, c. 142, s. 4	Depositions Salaries and fees of officers of	
* 5.5 7	Supreme Court Calcutta Registration, &c., in Supreme Court, of regulations made by Governor-General in Council.	Repealed Indian Act Al' of 1878 S. L. R Act 189.
⊾ 8	Constitution and powers of recorders courts at Madras and Bombay	Repealed S. L. R. Act, 1897
M. 3, 10.	Junaliction of recorders courts at Madras and Bom- bay	Reproduced by s not The powers and jurisdiction of the recorders courts were vested in the supremo court by 39 & 40 Geo. III, c. 75 (Madras), and 4 Geo. IV c. 71 s. 7 (Bombay).
s. 11	Governor members of his council, and recorder ex empt from arrest or in prisonment by recorders courts.	
provuec.	Governor and his council exempt from jurisdiction of recorders courts for official act.	Reproduced by s. 105 (1) (a).
	Recorders courts not to have jurisdiction in matters con- cerning the revenue.	Reproduced, s. 101 (3)
	Exemption of certain classes of persons from jurisdiction of recorders courts.	
13	Rights of fathers of Hindu and Mahomedan families, and rules of easte preserved.	ot reproduced. Correspond to 21 Geo. III, c. 70, s. 18
13.	Jurisdiction of recorders courts, Madras and Bom- bay	Not reproduced. Saved by a 101

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
37 Geo III c 142, s 13 (continued)	Proviso as to native laws and usages Power to make rules of procedure for such cases Appearance and examination of witnesses in such cases	Reproduced by s 108 See note to 21 Geo III, c 70, s 17, supra Not reproduced The corresponding provisions in 21 Geo III, c 70, s 19, as to the Supreme Court, Calcutta, have been repealed by Indian Act XIV of 1870
5 14	No action for acts done by or by order of judicial officers	Not reproduced Superseded by Indian Act XVIII of 1850
	Procedure for prosecution of judicial officers	Not reproduced The corresponding provisions in 21 Geo III, c 70, ss 24, 25, 26, as to the Supreme Court at Calcutta, have been repealed by Indian Act XIV of 1870
в 15	Registration of native servants of East India Company	
s 16	Appeal to His Majesty in Council	
s 17	Transfer of records of mayors' courts, &c, to recorders' courts	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
s 18	Jurisdiction of mayors' courts, &c, transferred to recor- ders' courts	
88 19-26	Provisions as to recorders	/
s 27	Forms and rules as to process to be sent to Board for Affairs of India	Not reproduced Does not correspond to modern practice
s 28	Loans by British subjects to native princes	Reproduced by s 118
s 29	Report by law officers	Not reproduced Does not require specific enactment
в 30	Jurisdiction of courts of request	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
	TT	`

Session and Chapter	Tills and Short Contents.	Remarks.
39 & 40 Geo III, c 79, a I	The Government of India Act, 1800 Power of directors of East India Company to apportion territories, revenues, and civil servants between Gov enments of Madras, Bom bay and Bengal.	Not reproduced. As to territories, superseded by 24 & 25 Viot. c. 67 s. 47 and 28 & 29 Viot. c 17 ss. 4, 5 Sec s. 57
B. 2.	Constitution, powers, and jurisdiction of Supreme Court Madras.	Reproduced by s. 101 See note to 13 Geo. III, c. 63 ss. 13 14, suprs.
s. 3.	Exemption of Governor of Madras and Governor General and their councils from jurisdiction of Su preme Court.	Reproduced by a. 105
B. 4.	franafer of records to Supreme Court.	Repealed by Indian Act XIV of 1870, S. L. R. Act, 1892.
s. 5	Transfer of jurisdiction of Supreme Court.	See note to 13 Geo HL, c. 63 ss. 13, 14, supra. Repealed in part, S. L. R. Act, 1892
e. 6.	Salaries of Madras judges	Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1892.
L.7	Salaries of Madras judges to be in place of perq laites.	Not expressly reproduced, Covered by s. 99.
s. 8.	Allowances to Madraa Judges	Repealed in part, S. L. R. Act 1892. Covered by s. 99.
. 9.	Salaries of judges of supreme courts at Calcutta and Ma- dras, and Recorder of Bom- bay to cease on judge leav- ing India.	or reproduced. Balance and allowances of high court judges are now fixed by the Becretary of State under 24 & 25 Vict. c. 104, s. 6.
F 10.	Vacancy in office of Recorder of Bombay	Ropealed by Indian Act VIV of 1870, S. L. R. Act 1892.
E, II	Power of Governor of Mailras in Council to make regula- tions.	Repealed by Indian Act XIV of 1870, S. L. R. Act 1892
F 12.	Absence of Governor-General or Governor from his coun- cit.	Reproduced as to governor by s. 53. Repealed a to Governor-General, S. L. R. let, 1892.

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
39 & 40 Geo III, c 79, 8 12 (continual)	Saving of Governor-General's power to appoint a vice-president	Not reproduced This power was conferred by 33 Geo III, c 52, s 53, which has been superseded by 24 & 25 Vict c 67, s 6
ss 13-16		Repealed, 9 Geo IV, c 74, s 126, which section is itself repealed by S L R Act, 1873
s 17	Courts of request	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
ss 18, 19	Corporal punishment	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
۹ 20	Local extent of jurisdiction of Supreme Court, Calcutta	Saved by s 101 (1) Repealed in part, S L R Act, 1892
ss 21, 22	Grant of letters of administra- tion	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
89 23, 24	Insolvent debtors	Repealed, Indian Act XIV of 1870, S L R Act, 1892
s 25	Power to appoint judges of supreme courts at Calcutta, Madras, and Bombay, com- missioners of prize	Repealed by Prize Courts Act, 1894
53 Geo III, c 155,	The East India Company Act, 1813	
SS I-32		Repealed, S L R Act, 1873
6S 33-39		Repealed, S L R Act, 1874
89 40, 41 8 42	Control of India Board over colleges and seminaries in	Repealed, S L R Act, 1873
s 43	India Provision to be made for public education	Omitted as having been made unnecessary by alteration of circumstances
88 44-48		Repealed, S L R Act, 1873
8 49	Salaries of bishops and arch- deacons	Not reproduced These salaries may now be fixed and
в 50	Such salaries when to commence and cease Such salaries to be in lieu of fees, &c	altered by the Secretary of State under 43 Vict c 3, s 3

Season and Chapter	Tille and Short Contents.	Remarks.
53 Geo. III, c. 155, ms. 51 52.	Jurasdiction of Blahop of Cal- cutta.	Reproduced by s. 110. Sa. 51 and 52 extended to bishop of Madras and Bombay and a. 52 verbally amended by 3 & 4 Will. IV c. 85, ss. 92 93.
♣ 53	Counternguature of coclesias- tical letters patent for Cal- cutta, Madras, or Bombay	Not reproduced. Supermeded by 47 & 48 Vict. c. 30 (Great Seal Act 1884).
111. 54-78.		Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1873
B. 79.	Signature of proceedings by the chief scoretary or the principal secretary of the department.	Amended by Indian Act II of 1834. Reproduced by m. 43 (1), 54 (1).
m. 80, 8t		Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1873.
£. 82.	Rosidence required to qualify civil acryants for appoint ments exceeding in value £1 500 per annum.	Not reproduced. Virtually re- posled by 24 & 25 Vict. c 54, a 7
s. 83.		Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1873
# 8‡	Absence of military officers for five years.	Not reproduced. See not on 33 Geo. III, c. 52, s. 70.
n. 85	Precedence of civil servants returning after five years absence	Omitted as having been super seded by rules of service
e. 86.	Precedence of civil servants	Omitted on the same ground 8. 56 of 33 Geo. III c 52 which this section amends, has been repealed by 24 & 25 Vict. c. 54 a. 7
₽1. 87 88.		Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1873.
r. 89.	Balaries of governor-general, governors of Madras and Bombay members of coun- eil, and judges of high court to commence on their taking upon them- selves the execution of their office	Unnecessary the power to fix the salaries of all these officers being now vested in the Secretary of Stat
	Allowances for equipment and v rage	Repealed by 43 Vict. c. 43 a. 5

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
53 Geo III, e 155,)
88 90-92)	Repealed, S L R Act, 1873
s 93	Superannuation allowances of East India Company's ser- vants in England	Not reproduced As to officers transferred to Secretary of State's establishment, saved by 21 & 22 Vict c 106, s 18
e 94	Account of such allowances to be laid before Parliament	Not reproduced There is no corresponding provision in 21 & 22 Vict c 106, s 18, as to superannuation allowances of officers first appointed to Secretary of State's establishment
s 95		Repealed, S L R Act, 1873
ь 9 6	Power of Governor-General in Council, and the Governors of Madras and Bombay in Council, to make articles of war for native officers and soldiers	Not reproduced Superseded by 3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, s 73, see also 24 & 25 Vict c 67, ss 22, 43
ss 97-110		Repealed, S L R Act, 1890
S III	Power of advocates-general at Calcutta, Madras, Bom- bay, and Prince of Wales' Island, to file informations for debts due to Crown	Reproduced by s 109
88 112-122		Repealed, S L R Act, 1873
s 123		Repealed, S L R Act, 1874
68 124, 125		Repealed, S L R Act, 1873
55 Geo III, c 84, s 1	The Indian Presidency Towns Act, 1815 Power to extend limits of presidency towns	Reproduced by s 59 Residue of Act repealed, S L R Act, 1873
4 Geo IV,		
C 71 88 I, 2	Courts Act, 1823	Repealed, S L R Act, 1873
в 3	Pensions to bishops and arch- deacons	Not reproduced See note (b) on s 113 Repealed as to archdeacons by 43 Vict c 3, s 5

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks.
4 Geo. IV c. /I \$. 4.	Residence as chaplain to count for pension as archdeacon.	Not reproduced. Unnecessary in view of the Secretary of State s power under 43 Vict. c. 3, a. 3, to fix allowances.
# S	House to be provided for Bishop of Calcutta. Expenses of vusitations of Bishop of Calcutta.	Reproduced by a. 113. Ex tended to bishops of Ma dras and Bombay by 3 & 4 Will. IV c. 85, s. 100.
£ 6.	Power of Blahop of Calcutta to admit to holy orders.	Reproduced by s. 110.
₽ 7	Constitution, powers, and jurnsdiction of Supreme Court, Bombay Exemption of Governor of Bombay and his council, and Governor-General, from jurisdiction of the court.	Reproduced by s. 101 See note to 13 Geo. III, c. 63, ss. 13 14, supers. Reproduced by s. 105.
11. 8-10.		Repealed S.L.R. A t 1873.
6. 11	Salaries of judges when to commence Such salaries to be in lieu of fees, &c	Not reproduced. Superseded or made unnecessary by 24 & 25 Viot. c. 104, s. 6.
1 12 13.		Repealed S. L. R. Act, 1890.
25 , 14 16.		Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1873.
£ 17	Powers of Supreme Courts, Madras an I Bombay	Reproduced by a 103 See note to 13 Geo III c. 63 Pt. 13 14 supra.
g. 18		Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1873.
6 Gen IV c 85	The Indian Salaries and Pensions Act, 1825	
a. : 3		Repealed, S. L. R. Act 1890.
* 4	Courts, Madras and Bom- bay	Not reproduced. Superseded by 24 & 25 Viet. c. 104, a. 6.
r. (Payments where judge of Su- preme Court Recorder of Prince of Wales I land, or B hop of Calcutt lies on voyage to India, &c	Reproduced in part by a. 113 Repealed, a to Recorder of Prince of Wales Island, by 8. I R. Act 1878. Ma le unnecessary in part by 24 & 25 Vet c. 104 s. 6, and 43 Vet, c. 3, s. 3.

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Rewarl s
o Geo IV		Nation
c 85.	1	Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1890
۴ ۱۲	Pension of Bishop of Calcutta	Not reproduced Sec note (b) on s 113
7 Geo IV, e 50,	The East India Officers' Act, 1826	
÷ 3	Payments to representatives of deceased officers	Reproduced by 8-82 (3) SS-1 and 2 and residue of Act repealed, S. L.R. Act, 1873
3 & 1 Will IV, c 85,	The Government of India Act, 1833	
85 1, 2	Continuance of powers &c., of East India Company till April 30, 1854	Not reproduced Spent
	Property of Company to be held in trust for Crown	Not reproduced Superseded by 21 & 22 Vict e 106, 88 1, 2
55 3-18		Repealed, S L R Act, 1874
s 19		Repealed, S L R Act, 1890
89 20-24		Repealed, S L R Act, 1874
s 25	Control of Commissioners over acts of East India Company	Reproduced by s 2 (2)
ss 26-35		Repealed, S L R Act, 1874
s 36	Communication of secret or- ders to India	Reproduced by 8 14 (1) Amended by 21 & 22 Viet c 106, 8 27
9 37		Repealed, S L R Act, 1874
ь 38	Presidency of Fort William to be divided into two presi- dencies (Bengal and Agra)	Not reproduced This provision was suspended by 5 & 6 Will IV, c 52, s 1, and 16 & 17 Vict c 95, s 15, and has never been brought into operation. It is practically superseded by the appointment of a lieutenant-governor for the North-Western Provinces under 5 & 6 Will IV, c 52, s 2
	Power to declare limits of presidencies	Reproduced by s 57 This provision is modified by 28 & 29 Vict c 17, ss 4, 5

Session and Chapter	Trile and Shori Contents.	Bemarks.
3 & 4 Will IV c. 85, a. 39.	Government of India by Government-General in Council.	Reproduced by s. 36. The provision as to control of the revenues of India is super soded by 21 & 22 Viot. c. 106, s. 41
a. 40.		Repealed, 24 & 25 Viet. c 67 s. 2.
64. 41 43.		Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1874.
an. 43, 44.		Repealed, 24 & 25 Vict. c. 67
B. 45	Laws made by Governor General in Council— to have same force in India as Acts of Parlia- ment. to be judicially noticed by Indian courts. need not be registered	Not reproduced. There is no such provision in 2, & 25 Vict c. 6;7 Not reproduced. Superneded as to laws in force in British India by Indian Act I of 1872, a. 5;7 Not reproduced. Spent.
a. 4 6.	Restrictions on legislation affecting high courts.	Reproduced by s. 63 (3). This restriction is kept in force by 24 & 25 Vict. c. 67 s. 22.
4 7	Rules for procedure of Gover nor-General in Council.	Not reproduced. Superseded by 24 & 25 Viot. c. 67 se. 8, 18.
L 4 3	tive council.	Not reproduced. Superscaled by 23 & 25 Viot. c. 67 s. 15 Reproduced by s. 42 (4). Reproduced by s. 44 (1). Not reproduced. Superscaled by 24 & 25 Vict. c. 67 s. 15
s. 49	1	Repealed, 33 & 34 Vict e 3, s.4
£. 50	1	Repealed, _4 & 25 Viot. c 67
8. 51	Saving of power of Parlia ment— to legislat for India, to control Governor-Gene ral in Council.	Reproduced by sa. 63 121

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, 8 51 (continued)	Laws made by Governor- General in Council to be laid before Parliaments	Not reproduced This provision does not appear to apply to laws made under 24 & 25 Vict c 67
8 5 2	Enactments relating to Gover- nor-General of Bengal to apply to Governor-General of India	Not expressly reproduced
88 53-55		Repealed, S L R Act, 1874
в 56	Government of Bengal by Governor in Council	Not reproduced Superseded by the appointment of a lieutenant-governor of Bengal under 16 & 17 Vict c 95, s 16
	Government of Madras and Bombay by Governors in Council	Reproduced by s 50 (1)
	Number of members of council at Madras and Bombay	Modified by 3 & 4 Will IV, 4 c 85, s 57 Reproduced by s 51 (2)
	Government of Agra	Not reproduced There is no Presidency of Agra See note to 3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, s 38, supra
s 57	Power to revoke or suspend	Reproduced by s 50 (3)
	appointment of councils Power to reduce number of members of council	Reproduced by s 51 (2)
в 58		Repealed, S L R Act, 1874
s 59	Powers of Governor where there is no council Powers of Governor in Council Rights, &c, of Governors and members of their councils Legislation by Governors in Council	Sec s 56
	Sanction required to creation of office or grant of salary	
s 60		Repealed, S L R Act, 1874

Session and Chapter	Trile and Short Contents.	Remarks.
3 & 4 Will. IV c. 85 a. 61	Power of directors to make provisional appointments to any office subject, on cer tain cases, to approval of Crown.	Repealed, as to members of Governor-General s council by 24 & 25 Vict. c. 67 s. 2. Reproduced, so far as in force, by s. 83.
⊾ 63	Member of council to fill vacancy in office of Gover nor-General.	Reproduced by a. 85 (4), (5). 8. 62 is superseded but 24 & 25 Vict. e. 67 a. 50, which provides for the Governor of Madras or Bombay acting as Governor-General, but the section is still in force with respect to the interval before the arrival of the governor (see 24 & 25 Vict. c. 6, a. 51).
a. 63.	Vacancy in office of Governor to be supplied by member of council, or (if no council) by secretary	Reproduced by s. 86 (1), (2).
s. 64.		Repealed, S. L. R. Act 1890.
s. 65.	Authority of Governor-Gene- ral in Council over certain local Governments.	Reproduced by a. 49 (1).
s. 66.		Repealed 24 & 25 Vict. o 67
≥ 67	Powers of Governors of Ma draw Bombay [and Agra] not su pended by visit of Governor-General.	Reproduced by a. 49 (4).
* (°\$.	Governors in Council to keep Go ernor-General in Council informed of their proceed- ings.	Reproduced by a. 49 (1).
s.(),		Repealed S. L. R. Act 1800.
r. 70.		Repealed 24 & 25 Viet e 67 s. 2.
£ 71	New Presidency of Agra not to affect promotion of officers.	Not reproduced. No Presidency of Agra wa exercion stituted; see note to 3 & 4 Will. IV c. 85, s. 15, supra.

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, 5 72	•	Repealed, S L R Act, 1874
5 73	Power to make articles of war Judicial notice to be taken of such articles	Reproduced by s 63 (1) (c) Not reproduced Superseded, as to British India, by Indian Act I of 1872, s 57
	Saving of prior laws until articles made	Not reproduced Unnecessary See Indian Act V of 1869
b 74 ,	Removal of officers by Crown	Amended, as to communication of order of removal, by 21 & 22 Vict c 106, s 38 Reproduced by s 21 (1)
s 75	Removal of officers by directors of East India Company	Reproduced by s 21 (2)
	Provisous to officers appointed by Crown on default of directors	Not reproduced The section (60) as to appointments made in such cases is repealed by the S L R Act, 1874
s 76	Salaries of Governoi-General, governor, and members of their councils	Reproduced by s 80 Amended, as to members of the Governor-General's council, by 24 & 25 Vict c 67, s 4 The salaries of the Governors of Madras and Bombay, and of members of council, have since been fixed by the Secretary of State
	Governor-general, governors, and members of council not to accept gifts, or to carry on trade	Reproduced by s 117 (4) (5)
	Expenses of equipment and voyage	Repealed by 43 Vict c 3, s 5
s 77	Salary of governor-general, governors, and members of their councils to be reduced by amount of any pension, &c, received by them	Reproduced by s 80
s 78	Power to make regulations as to patronage	Amended by 21 & 22 Vict c 106, s 30 Reproduced by s 90 (1)

Seamon and Chapter	Tulle and Short Contents.	Romarke.
3 & 4 Will. IV c. 85 • 79-	If governor-general, &c., re- turns to Europe his office vacated. Resignation of office by gover nor-general, &c. Salary and allowances to cease from date of departure or resignation. Salary and allowances not payable during absence. Payment of salaries and allowances to representatives.	Reproduced by a. 82 excep as to mode of resignation See note on 33 Geo. III 52, a. 37
s. 8o.	Disobedience and breach of duty	Reproduced by a. 117 (2), (3)
≥ 81−83.		Repealed S.L.R. Act, 1890.
z. 84.	Laws to be made against illicit entry or residence.	Not reproduced. This direct t on has been observed. So Act III of 1864.
a. 85	İ	Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1890.
⊾ 8€	Power to hold land	May be repealed or medified by Indian legulation Se 32 & 33 Vict. c. 96, s. 3.
s. 87	No databilities in respect of religion, colour or place of birth.	Reproduced by s. 91
a, 83	Laws to be made for mitigating and abolishing slavery	Not reproduced. This direction has been observed by the presing of A t V or 1843 Repealed as to U K. S. L. R. A t 1888.
s. 89	Nataries of Bishops of Madra and Bombay	Reproduced by 113 Thes salaries may now be fixed an altered by the Secretary of State under 43 Vict. 0. 3, 2, 3
4. 90	Ralaries of Bi hops of Madras and Bombay to be in lieu of fees.	
6. 91	Expenses of equipment and voyage	Not reproduced. Repealed by
• 93	Jurisdiction of Bishops of Madra and Bombay	Reproduced by s. 110.

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, \$ 93	Power to fix and yary limits of dioceses of Calcutta, Madras, and Bombay Jurisdiction of bishops of Calcutta Madras, and Bombay	Reproduced by \$ 110
५ 94	Bishop of Calcutta to be metropolitan His ju isdiction Superintendence of archbishop Subordination of bishops of Madras and Bombay	- Reproduced by 9 110
s 95		Repealed, S L R Act, 1890
s 96	Pensions of bishops	Not reproduced See note (b) on 9 113
s 97	Payments where Bishop of Madras or Bombay dies on voyage to India &c	Reproduced by 8 113
в 98	Pensions of bishops	Not reproduced Superseded by 43 Viet c 3, s 3
s 99	Consecration of person resident in India appointed to bishopric of Calcutta, Madras, or Bombay	Reproduced by s 112
8 IOO	Visitations of bishops of Calcutta, Madras, and Bombay	Reproduced by s 113
8 IOI	Limitation to salary of archdeacon Limitation to expense to be incurred in respect of bishops and archdeacons	Reproduced by s 113
8 102	Chaplains of Church of Scotland	Reproduced by s 115
	Grants to other sects	Reproduced by s 116
88 103-107		Repealed, 16 & 17 Vict c 95, s 36
BS 108-111		Repealed, S L R Act, 1874
8 112	Government of St Helena	Not reproduced Excepted from repeal
88 113-117		Repealed, S L R Act, 1874

Session and Chapter	Tille and Short Contents.	Remarks,
5 & 6 Will.	The India (North West Pro-	
IV c. 52,	vinces) Act, 1835	Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1890.
a. 5	Power to appoint a lieutenant governor for the North-	Not reproduced. Spent.
	Western Provinces. Qualification for that office Power to declars extent of that	Reproduced by s. 55 (3)
	lieutenant-governor a	Not reproduced. Superseded
	(2) authority	by 28 & 29 Vict. c. 17 s. 4. Not reproduced Superseded by 17 & 18 Vict. c. 77 s. 4
7 WIL IV		1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1
AtiVact. c. 47	The India Officers' Salaries Act, 1837	
₽4. I 2, 3	Leave of absence	See a. 8
5 & G Viot. c. 119,	The Indian Bishops Act, 1842.	
4. I	Furlough of blahops Furlough allowances of brahops	Not reproduced. Superseded by 3.4 & 35 Vict c. 62 Not reproduced. Superseded by 43 Vict. c. 3, s. 3
£, 2.	Second furlogth of husbopa	Not reproduced. Superseder by 34 & 35 Vict. c. 63
L 3	Furlough silowance to but one bish p at a time	Not reproduced. Superseded by 34 & 35 Viet. c. 62, and 43 Viet. c. 3, s. 3.
* 4	Allowance to soting Bi hop of Calcutts.	Not reproduced. Superseder by 43 Vict. c 3, s, 3
16 & 17 Vict e, 95	The Government of India Act, 1853	
a. I	Continuance of powers of East Inclis Company	Not reproduced. Superseder by 21 & 22 Vict c. 106, s. 1
M 2 14	. }	Repealed S. L. R. Act 1878.
R. 15	Supen ion of 3 & 4 Will IV 8 8, a. 38, as to division of Bengalinto two presidencies. Continuance of & 6 Will. IV c. 52, a. 2, a to appoint ment of a licutemant-gover nor of the North Western Provinces.	Not reproduce 1 See note to 5 & 6 W II. IV 52 s. 2 supra.

		
Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
16 & 17 Vict c 95, s 16	Power to declare that the Governor-General shall not be Governor of Bengal. Power to appoint a governor of Bengal	Not reproduced Superseded by 17 & 18 Vict c 77, s 5 Not reproduced Superseded by appointment of heutenant-governor See note to 24 & 25 Vict c 67, s 46,
	Cesser of power to appoint a deputy-governor of Bengal	nnfra Not reproduced Unnecessary now that a lieutenant- governor of Bengal has been appointed
	Power to appoint a lieutenant- governoi of Bengal Service qualification for office of lieutenant-governor	Not reproduced Power exercised Reproduced by s 55 (3)
	Power to declare and limit authority of Lieutenant- Governor of Bengal	Not reproduced Superseded by 17 & 18 Vict c 77, s 4
s 17	Power to constitute one new presidency Power to authorize the appointment of a heutenant-governor, and to declare the extent of his authority	Not reproduced The power of appointing a heutenant-governor was exercised and exhausted by the appointment of a heutenant-governor of the Punjab in 1854
s 18		Repealed, 28 & 29 Vict c 17, 8 3
s 19	Enactments as to existing presidencies to extend to new presidencies	
88 20, 21		Repealed, S L R Act, 1878
88 22-24		Repealed, 24 & 25 Vict c 67, s 2
8 25	-	Repealed, S L R Act, 1878
B 26		Repealed, 24 & 25 Vict c 67, 8 2
s 27	Fines, forfeitures, and escheats to form put of the revenues of India	Reproduced by s 22 (2) (c), (d)
	Disposal of escheats, &c	Reproduced by 9 34

Session and Chapter	Tille and Short Contents.	Remarks
16 & 17		
Vict. c. 95	Power to appoint commission- ers to report on reforms proposed by the Indian Law Commissioners.	Omitted as unnecessary The power could, if necessary be exercised without express legislative authority
₽L 29-31		Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1878
1. 32.	Regulations as to leave and furlough.	Repealed by a. 89.
≈ 33 34		Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 18 8
2. 35	Salaries of commander-in- chief in India and lieu- tenant-governors.	Reproduced by a. 80.
ML 3/5-43		Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1873.
17 & 18 Viet c. 77	The Government of India Act, 1854 Authority for passing letters patent under the Great Seal Counter-signature of warrants under the Royal Sign Manual	Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1892
B. 2.		Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1878
a. 3.	Power to take territory under the immediate authority and management of the Governor-General in Coun- eil.	Reproduced by a. 56.
	Saving as to laws	Reproduced by a. 58.
E. 4.	Power to declare authority of Governor &c. of Bengal and the North-Western Pro- vinces.	Reproduced by a, 56.
æ. ş	Powers as to Bengal not transferred to Leutenant-Gover- nor of Bengal or Aorth- Western Provinces to vestin Governor-General in Coun- eil. Governor-General of India to be no longer Governor of Bengal	Not reproduced. Spect.
* 6		Repealed S. L. R. Act 1878.
r. 7	Definition of India	See definition in s. 124.

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
17 & 18 Vict c 77, 8 8	Act to be construed with 16 & 17 Vict c 95	Not reproduced
21 & 22 Vict c 108, s 1	The Government of India Act, 1858 Transfer of Government of India to the Crown Definition of 'India'	Not reproduced Spent, see s 2 (s 1 of Digest) See definitions in s 124
8 2	Government of India by the Crown Revenues of India	Reproduced by s 1 Reproduced by s 22 (1), (2)
s 3	Secretary of State to exercise powers of East India Company Counter-signatures of warrants	Reproduced by s 2
5 4	Number of Secretaries and Under-Secretaries of State who may sit in House of Commons	Excepted from repeal
в 5		Repealed, S L R Act, 1878
s 6	Salary of Secretary of State and Under-Secretaries	Reproduced by s 2 (3)
s 7	Number of niembers of Council of India	Amended by 52 & 53 Vict c 65, s i Reproduced by s 3 (1)
s 8	First members of council	Repealed, S L R Act, 1878
s 9	Vacancies in council	Repealed, S L R Act, 1892
s IO	Not less than nine members of the council to have resided in British India	Reproduced by s 3 (3)
s II	Members of council to hold office during good behaviour	Superseded by 32 & 33 Vict c 97, 8 2, except as to mem- bers appointed under 39 Vict c 7 Reproduced by 8 3 (6) Reproduced by 8 3 (8)
	Removal of member on address of Parliament	Reproduced by \$ 3 (8)
s 12	Seat in council disqualifica- tion for Parliament	Reproduced by ~ \$
	Salary of members of council	Reproduced by > 3 (9)

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents.	Remarks.
_1 & 22 Viet. 0, 106, 8, 14.	(Repealed 32 & 33 Viot. c. 9; s. 5 which section is re- pealed by S.L.R. Act, 188;
81. 15 16.	Establishment of Socretary of State.	Reproduced by a. 18
L 17	Compensation to officers	Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1878
s. 18.	Superannuation allowance to officers transferred from home establishment of East India Company to Secre- tary of State's establish ment.	Not reproduced. Personal.
	Superannuation allowance to officers appointed on Score- tary of States establish- ment.	Reproduced by s. 19.
£ 19.	Duties of Council of India Signature and address of orders, &c.	Reproduced by s. 6. Reproduced by s. 15.
8. 20.	Committees of council, &c.	Reproduced by a. 11
S. 21	Vice-president of council	Reproduced by s. 8 (1), (2).
£ 22.	Quorum of council Who to preside at meetings Council may act notwith- standing vacancy Meetings when to be held	Reproduced by s. 7 (1). Reproduced by s. 8 (3). Reproduced by s. 7 (2) Reproduced by s. 9.
E 23.	Procedure at meetings	Reproduced by a. 10.
844 & 25	Submission of orders to coun- cil and record of opinions.	Reproduced by s. 12.
E. 26.	Provision for cases of urgency	Reproduced by a. 13
L 27	Communication of secret or ders to India.	Reproduced by s. 14 (1).
a. 28,	Secret dispatches to England.	Reproduced by s. 14 (2).
₽. 79.	Appointment by Crown of— Governor-general Fourth ord nary member of governor generals council	Reproduced by a. 37 Reproduced S.L.R. Act, 1878
	Governors of presidencies, Advocates-general	Reproduced by s. 50 (2). Reproduced by s. 109.

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarl s
)
21 & 22 Viet c 106, 8 29 (continued)	Appointment of members of councils of governor-general and governors	Repealed, S L R Act, 1878
(commuta)	Appointment of heutenant- governors	Reproduced by s 55 (2)
s 30	Regulations as to the making of appointments in India	Reproduced by s 90
	Power to restore officers suspended or removed	Not reproduced
s 31		Repealed, S L R Act, 1878
8 32	Regulations for admission to covenanted civil service	Reproduced by s 93
8 33	Cadetships and other appointments	Reproduced in substance by 8 20 (1)
s 34	Regulations for admission to cadetships	Spent
s 35	Selection for cadetships	Reproduced in substance by s 20 (1)
в 36	Mode of making nominations for cadetships	Not reproduced Virtually repealed by abolition of Indian Army
s 37	Regulations as to appointments and admission to service	Reproduced by s 20 (2)
в 38	Removal of officers by C.own to be communicated to Secretary of State in Council	Reproduced by s 21 (1)
в 39	Property, &c, of East India Companý— To vest in Crown To be applied for purposes of Government of India	Not reproduced Spent Reproduced by s 22 (3)
s 40	(1) To sell, mortgage, and buy property	
	(2) To make contracts	Reproduced by s 32 (1)
8 41	Control of Secretary of State over revenues of India	Reproduced by s 23

300	GOVERNALIVI O	
Session and Chapter	Tille and Short Contents.	Remarks.
21 & 22 Vict. c 106, E. 42.		Reproduced by a. 22.
4 3.	Account of Secretary of State in Council with Bank.	Reproduced by s. 25
8.44		Repealed S. L. R. Act, 1878.
4 5	Stock accounts to be opened at Bank. Every such account to be a public account.	
s. 46.		Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1878.
₽ 47	Powers of Secretary of State as to sale and purchase of stock.	
s. 48.	Disposal of other securities	Reproduced by a. 27
£ 49-	Exercise of borrowing powers.	Reproduced by s. 28
s. 50.	Forgery of bonds	Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1892.
s. 51	System of issuing warrants for payment.	Not reproduced. Superseded by Order in Council of August 27 1860.
B. 52.	Audit of Indian accounts	Reproduced by s. 30.
a. 53.	Accounts to be annually laid before Parliament.	Reproduced by a. 29,
s. 54	Communication to Parliament of orders for commencing hostilities.	Reproduced by a. 16.
E. 50	Pypenses of military opera- tions beyond the frontier	Reproduced by a. 24.
a. 50.	Military and naval forces of La t India Company trans- ferred to the Crown.	Not reproduced.
R. 5	Ind an forces of Crown	Not reproviuced. Superseded by 23 & 24 Vict. c 100.
e. cS	Servants of Ea t India Com- pany transferred to the Crown.	\ot reproduced.

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
21 & 22 Viet e 106, s 59	Orders of East India Company	Reproduced by s 123
s 60	Cesser of functions of pro- prietois and directors of East India Company	Not reproduced Spent
s 61	Board of Control abolished	Not reproduced Spent
s 62	Records, &c , of East India Company to be delivered to Secretary of State in Coun- cil	Not reproduced Spent
s 63	Exercise of powers of gover- nor-general before taking seat in council	Reproduced by s 84
8 64	Existing provisions to be applicable to Secretary of State in Council, &c	, , ,
s 65	Rights and habilities of the Secretary of State in Coun- cil	Reproduced by s 35 (1), (2)
в 66		Repealed, S L R Act, 1878
s 67	Treaties, liabilities, and con- tracts of East India Côm- pany	Reproduced by s 123
s 68	Secretary of State and Council of India not personally liable	Reproduced by s 35 (3)
ss 69, 70		Repealed, S L R Act, 1878
s 71	East India Company not to be liable in respect of claims arising out of covenants made before Act	Not reproduced East India Company dissolved
8s 72, 73		Repealed, S L R Act, 1878
8 74	Commencement of Act	Not reproduced Spent
s 75		Repealed, S L R Act, 1878
22 & 23 Viet c 41, s I	The Government of India Act, 1859 Power to sell, mortgage, and buy property and make con- tracts in India	Reproduced by < 33 (1), (2) (5)

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents.	Remarks.
22 & 23		
Viet e. 41	Form of execution of amur- ances in India.	Amended by 33 & 34 Vict. c. 59, s. 2. Reproduced by s. 33 (3).
	Enforcement against Secre- tary of State. Secretary of State, &c. not	
E. 3.	personally liable. Mode of signing drafts on	Reproduced by s. 25 (3).
	Bank of England.	
E.4.	Validity of contracts made before passing of Act.	Not reproduced. Spent.
a. 5	Ditto Execut on of contracts made by Secretary of State.	Not reproduced. Spent.
s. 6.	Actions by or against Secre- tary of State	Reproduced by a. 35 (1).
24 & 25 Vict e 54,	The Indian Civil Service Act, 1881.	
a. I	Validation of appointments	Not reproduced. Spent.
S. 2.	Offices reserved to covenanted civil service.	Reproduced by s. 93.
m. 3, 4.	Power to make provisional ap- pointments in certain cases.	Reproduced by s. 95.
a. ș.	Offices not reserved to cove- nanted civil acryles.	Covered by a. 20 (2).
s . G.	Saving as to lieutenant governor	Schedule II does not include Reutenant-governor
L 7	Repeal of 33 Geo. III, c. 52, a. 56, &c.	Not reproduced. Spont.
Sch.	List of offices reserved to covenanted civil service	Reproduced by Schedule II
4 & 25 Vict. c 67	The Indian Councils Act 1851	
A. I	Short title	Not reproduced Spent
P. 2.	Repeal of ensetments	Not reproduced. Spent.
B. 3.	\ mber of members of gover nor-general council.	Amended by 37 & 38 Viet c 91 s. 1 Reproduced by s. 39 (2).

	and the second s	
Section and Chapter	Title a id Strat Cort nts	Remark «
	Number of appointments to be made by Secretary of	Repealed by S. L. R. Act., 1878
(continued)	State Proportion of members who	Reproduced by (30 (3)
	must have served in India Member to relinquish military duty	Reproduced by 8-30 (5)
	One member to be a barrister Number of appointments to be made by Crown	Reproduced by \$ 30 (1) Reproduced by \$ 30 (1) All members are now appointed by the Crown See 32 & 33 Vict c 07, 8 S
	Power to appoint commander- in-chief an extraordinary member	Reproduced by 9 40 (1)
ધ તુ	Present members of governor- generals council to con- tinue	Not reproduced Spent
	Power to appoint a lifth member	
	Salary of members	Reproduced by a So
F 5	Power of Secretary of State, or Crown, to make provisional appointment to office of member of governor-general's council	Reproduced by 8 83
я С	Appointment and powers of president of governor-general's council	Reproduced by a 45
	Powers of governor-general when absent from council	Reproduced by s 47 (1)
s 7	Absence of governor-general or president from council	Reproduced by s 46
s 8 .	Power to make rules and orders for governor-general's executive council	Reproduced by s 43 (2)
8 9	Council where to assemble Governor of Madras or Bom- bay when to be an extra- ordinary member of gover- nor-general's council	Reproduced by s 42 (1) Reproduced by s 40 (2)
	Lieutenant-governor when to be an additional member of the council	Reproduced by s 60 (6) As to chief commissioners, see 33 Viet c 3, s 3

Semion and Chapter	Title and Short Contents.	Remarks.
	•	
24 &	Amointment of additional	Amended by 55 & 56 Vict
L IQ.	members of council for legislation.	c. 14, s. 1 Reproduced by s. 61
8. 11	Term of office of additional members.	Reproduced by r. 60.
B. I	Resignation of additional member	Reproduced by a. 88 (1).
E 13.	Power for governor-general to fill vacancies of addi- tional members.	Repealed, 55 & 56 Viot. c 14
£ 14.	Incompleteness of proportion of non-official members not to invalidate law	Reproduced by a. 79 (c).
R. 15.	President, quorum, and cost ing vote at legislative meet ings of the governor-gene- ral's council.	Reproduced by s. 62.
e. 16.	First legislative meeting	Not reproduced. Spent.
8. 17	Times and places of subsequent legislative meetings.	Reproduced by a. 61
a. 18.	Rules for conduct of legis- lative business.	Reproduced by a. 67
6. 19.	Business at legislative meet ings.	Amended by 55 & 56 Vict. c 14, a. 2 Reproduced by a. 64.
£ ~0.	Assent of governor-general to acts of his council.	Reproduced by s. 65
B. 21	Power of Crown to disallow sets.	Reproduced by a. 66
K. 23	Legislative power of Gover nor-General in Conneil.	
	Gorernor-General in Council not to have power to repeal	Reproduced by a. 63 ().
	or affect— (1) The Indian Council tet, 1861 or	Reproduced by s. 63 (2) (a).

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarl s
24 & 25 Viet e 67, s 22 (continued)	(2) 3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, 16 & 17 Vict c 95, 17 & 18 Vict c 77, 2 & 22 Vict c 106, or 22 & 23 Vict c 41, or	Not reproduced So much of these Acts as is now in force is embodied in the Digest As to 3 & 4 Will IV, c 85, ss 81-86, see 32 & 33 Vict c 98, s 3
	(3) Any Act enabling the Secretary of State to raise money, or	Reproduced by s 63 (2) (c)
	(4) The Army Acts, or (5) Any Act of Parliament passed after 1860 affect- ing Her Majesty's Indian territories	Reproduced by s 63 (2) (d) Reproduced by s 63 (2) (b)
	Governor-General in Council not to have power to pass laws affecting authority of Parliament, &c	Reproduced by s 63 (2) The reference to the East India Company is omitted as obsolete
s 23	Power to make ordinances Such ordinances may be superseded by Acts	Reproduced by s 69 Not reproduced, covered by s 64 (4)
8 24	Laws made by Governor- General in Council not in- valid because affecting pre- rogative of the Crown	Reproduced by s 79 (b)
s 25	Validation of laws made for the non-regulation pro- vinces	Not reproduced Spent
s 26	Leave of absence to ordinary members of council	Reproduced by s 81
8 27	Vacancy in office of ordinary member of council	Reproduced by s 87
s 28	Power to make rules and orders for Executive Councils of Madras and Bombay	Reproduced by s 54 (2)
8 29	Appointment of additional members of council for Madras and Bombay	Reproduced by s 72
s 3o	Term of office of additional members	Reproduced by 8 71 (7)
s 31	Resignation of additional member	Peproduced by s 88 (1)

Session and Chapter	Tule and Short Contents.	Remarks.
24 & 25 Vict. c. 67 a. 32.	Power for governor of presi- dency to fill vacancies of additional members.	Not reproduced. Repealed, 55 & 56 Vict. c. 14, a. 4.
B- 33	Incompleteness of proportion of non-official members not to invalidate law	Reproduced by s. 79 (c).
1. 34.	President of governor a council. Quorum and casting vote at legislative meetings.	
e- 35	First legislative meeting	Not reproduced. Spent.
s. 3 6.	Time and place of legislative meetings.	Reproduced by a 72 (5).
B- 37	Rules for conduct of business at legislative meetings.	Reproduced by \$. 77 (4).
s. 38.	Bosiness at legislative most ings.	Reproduced by s. 77 (1), (2), (3).
₽ 39-	Assent of governor to acts of local council.	Reproduced by s. 78 (1).
s. 40.	Ament of governor-general to such acts.	Reproduced by s. 78 (3), (4).
a. 41	Power of Crown to disallow such acts.	Reproduced by s. 78 (5), (6).
g. 42.	Legislative powers of local	Reproduced by s. 76 (1).
	Power to repeal laws made in India before 1861	Amended by 55 & 56 Viet. 0. 14, 2. 5. Reproduced by 2. 76 (4).
	Local legislature not to have power to affect Act of Par liament.	Reproduced by a 76 (2).
\$ 43.	Sanction required to legisla- tion by local councils in certain cases.	Reproduced by s. 76 (3), (5).
R.44.	Power to establish legislatures in Bengal, the North-West ern Provinces, and the Pun- jab.	Reproduced by \$5 ~0, 74

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remarks
24 & 25 Vict c 67, s 45	Constitution of councils of lieutenant-governors Procedure at meetings of lieu- tenant-governor's council	(3)
s 46	Power to constitute new pro- vinces and to appoint a lieu- tenant-governor for each, and declare and limit his authority	Reproduced by 9 74
s 47	Power to fix and alter boundaries	
	Saving as to laws	Reproduced by s 74
s 48	Legislative powers of Lieu- tenant-Governors in Coun- cil	Reproduced by \$ 76
	Nomination of members of lieutenant-governors' councils	Reproduced by 88 73 79 (r) 88
	Conduct of business in heu-	Reproduced by \$ 77
	tenant-governors' councils Assent to, and disallowance of, acts of lieutenant-gover- nors' councils	Reproduced by a 78
s 49	Previous assent of Crown to proclamation— Constituting councils	Modified by 28 & 20 Vict (17,
	Altering boundaries Constituting new provinces	Reproduced by \$ 74 Reproduced by \$ 74
59 50, 5 1	Governor of Madras or Bom- bay to fill vacancy in office of governor-general	Reproduced by 8-85
٩ 52	Saving of certain rights powers and things done	Reproduced by 8-123
۶ 53	Meaning of term 'in council	Iffect reproduced by language of Digest seess so set &c
> 54	j	Repealed, S. L. R. Act. 187
21 1 25	The Indian High Courts	
Anterope 1	Act, 1801 Power to establish high courts at Calcutta Madras and Bombay	No r produced Spent

316	GOVERNMENT OF	F INDIA [ch
Seesion and Chapter	Tille and Short Contents.	Remarks.
24 & 25	,	
Vlet. c. 104, 8. 2.	Constitution of those courts	Reproduced by s. 96. As to high courts, see s. 17
a. 3.		Repealed, S. L. B. Act 1878.
4.4	Tenure of office of judges Resignation of judges to be submitted to governor-gene- ral or local Government.	Reproduced by a. 97 (1). Reproduced by a. 97 (2).
8. 5	Precedence of judges	Reproduced by a. 98.
. 6.	Salaries, &c. of judges	Reproduced by s. 99.
£.7	Vacancy in office of chief jus- tice or other judge.	Reproduced by s. 100.
⊾8	Abolit on of supreme and sadr courts.	Not reproduced. Spent.
1. 9.	Jurisdiction and powers of high courts.	Not reproduced; saved by s. 101 (1).
e # 10.		Repealed, 28 & 29 Vict. e 15 s. 2, which section is itself repealed by S. L. R. Act, 1878
E 11	Provisions applicable to su- preme courts and judges thereof to apply to high courts and judges thereof	Reproduced by 4. 101
e. 12.	Pending proceedings	Not reproduced. Spent.
R. 13.	Exercise of jurisdiction by sin- gle judges or division courts.	Reproduced by a. 103 (1).
8. 14.	Chief justice to determine what judges shall sit alone or in the division courts.	Reproduced by s. 103 (2)
B. 15	Powers of high courts with respect to subordinate courts.	Reproduced by a. 102.
s . 16.	court	by establ hment of high court at Mahabul
	\text{\text{vamber and qualifications of judges of new courts.} \text{\text{Provision as plicable to new courts.}}	Reproduced by sector toq.

Secretaria de Elipter	TOTAL IS If Contents	Remarks
17. Not 6 16.1 21. N. 22	Power to revoke after or up prematal letter parent of his hacourt	• Spent
1.	•	Repealed by 28 & 20 Victor is a tself repealed by S. L. R. Act. 1878
1+3	Definition of Astrober's Local averaged	Reproduced by 8-95 Reproduced by 8-97 (2), 100, 10
as dead	The Indian High Courts Act, 1805	1
1	I sten ion of time for granting new letter patent for high courts	Not reproduced Spent
ь ঽ		Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1878
•	Power to make orders altering local limits of purisdiction of high courts	Reproduced by 8 101
- 1	Power to disallow such orders	
۶ ۶		Repealed, S. L. R. Act, 1878
6 G	Saving of legislative powers of Governor - General in Council	Reproduced by 8 104
25 & 29 Vict c 17, 6 I	The Government of India Act, 1865 Power of Governor-General in Council to legislate for British subjects in Native States	Reproduced by s 63 (1) (b)
8 2	Foregoing section to be read as part of \$ 22 of 24 & 25 Vict c 67	Section 22 is incorporated in 8 64
b 3	·	Repealed, S L R Act, 1878
6 4	Power to appoint territorial limits of presidencies and licutenant-governorships	Reproduced by s 57
s 5	Disallowance by Secretary of State of proclamation altering boundaries of province	Reproduced by s 57, prov (2)
	Sanction of Crown to procla- mation transferring entire district	Roproduced by s 57, prov (1)

Bession and Chapter	T lie and Short Contents.	Remarks.
37 dt 38 Vict. c. 91 s. 1	The Indian Councils Act, 1874. Power to appoint a nith member to governor-general a council. Provisions as to other members to apply to the sixth member. The sixth member to be called member for public works.	Reproduced by a. 39 (1), (2).
L 2.	Power to diminish number of members of governor-gene- ral s council to fire. We number diminished, no temporary appointment to be made.	Boproduced in substance by a. 39 (2). Reproduced by a. 87
a 3.	Saving of— (1) 24 & 25 Vict. c. 67 s. 8 and 33 & 24 Vict. c. 3 s. 5. (2) Powers of governor general in respect of his council.	Provisions saved reproduced by Digest.
39 & 40 Viot. c. 7 8. 1	The Council of India Act, 1876 Appointment to Council of India of persons with pro- fessional or other poculiar qualifications.	Reproduced by a. 3 (6).
43 Vict. C. 3, B. 1	The Indian Salaries and Allowances Act, 1880 Short title Allowances of certain officials for equipment and voyage	Not eproduced. Spent. Reproduced by m. 80, 113 (1).
a. 3.	1.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
e. 4	Charges on Indian revenues not to be increased.	Reproduced by m. 80, 113.
* 5	Rejeal of enactments	Repealed, S. L. R. Act 1894

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents	Remark «
44 & 45 Vict c 63, s 1	The Indian Office Auditor Act, 1881 Superannuation allowance of India Office auditor and Justassistants	Reproduced by s 30 (10) and s 126
8 2	Short title	Not reproduced
47 & 48 Vict c 38, 8 1	The Indian Marine Service Act, 1884 Short title	Not reproduced
es 2, 3	Power of Governor-General in Council to make laws for the Indian Marine Service	Reproduced by s 63 (1) (d), (5), (6)
s 4	Such laws— to have same force as Acts of Parliament	Not reproduced There is no such provision in 24 & 25 Vict c 67
	to be judicially noticed by all courts	Not reproduced As to Indian courts, see Indian Act I of 1872, s 57
£ 5	Restriction on legislation af- fecting high courts	Reproduced by s 63 (3)
в 6	Power to place Indian Marine Service under Naval Dis- cipline Act in time of war	Left outstanding
52 & 53 Vict c 65, 8 1	The Council of India Reduc- tion Act, 1889 Power to reduce number of Council of India	Reproduced by s 3 (1)
8 2	Short title	Not reproduced
55 & 56 Vict c 14, s 1	The Indian Councils Act, 1892 Increase of number of members of Indian legislative councils	Reproduced by ss 60, 71, 73
8 2	Business at legislative meetings	Reproduced by ss 64, 77
s 3	Meaning of expressions re- ferring to Indian territories	Reproduced by s 60
8 4	Vacancies in number of addi-	Reproduced by s 88

Y

Session and Chapter	Title and Short Contents.	Remarks.
55 & 56 Vict. c. 14, a. 5	Powers of Indian local legis- latures.	Reproduced by \$. 76.
s. 6.	Definitions	Reproduced by ss. 70, 74, 77
E. 7	Saving of powers of Governor General in Council.	Not reproduced.
■. 8	Short title	Not reproduced.
56 & 57 Vict. c. 70,	The East India Loan Act, 1893 Signature of debentures and bills	Reproduced by a. 9.
3 Edw VII	The Contracts (India Office) Act 1903	Reproduced by s. 32
4 Ed♥ VII, 0. 26.	The Indian Councils Act, 1904	Reproduced by s. 39.

CHAPTER IV

APPLICATION OF ENGLISH LAW TO NATIVES OF INDIA 1

ENGLISH law was introduced into India by the charters Introduction of under which courts of justice were established for the three English presidency towns of Madras, Bombay, and Calcutta charters introduced the English common and statute law in force at the time, so far as it was applicable to Indian encum-The precise date at which English law was so stances introduced has been a matter of controversy For instance, it has been doubted whether the English statute of 1728, under which Nuncomar was hanged, was in force in Calcutta at the time of his trial, or of the commission of his offence there has been room for argument as to whether particular English statutes, such as the Mortman Act, are sufficiently applicable to the circumstances of India as to be in force

¹ This chapter is based on a paper read before the Society of Comparative Legislation in 1896

Among the most accessible authorities on the subject of this chapter are Harington's Analysis of the Bengal Regulations, Beaufort's Digest of the Criminal Law of the Presidency of Fort William, the introduction to Morley's Digest of Indian Cases, the editions published by the Indian Legislative Department of the Statutes relating to India, of the general Acts of the Governor-General in Council, and of the Provincial Codes, and the Index to the enactments relating to India. The numerous volumes of reports by Select Committees and by the Indian Law Commissioners contain a mine of information which has never been properly worked

The best books on existing Hindu law are those by Mr J D Mayne and by West (Sir Raymond) and Buhler, written for the Madras and Bombay points of view respectively Sir R K Wilson has published a useful Digest of Anglo-Mahomedan Law Reference should also be made to the series of Tagore Law Lectures Mr C L Tupper and Sir W H Rattigan have written on the customary law of the Punjab

On the general subject dealt with by this chapter see Bryce, Studies in History and Jurisprudence, Essay II

there ¹ But Indian legislation and particularly the enactment of the Indian Penal Code has set at rest most of these questions

Charter of 1753George II s charter of 1753 which reconstituted the mayors courts in the three presidency towns of Madras Bombay and Calcutts expressly excepted from their jurisdiction all suits and actions between the Indian natives only and directed that such suits and actions should be determined among themselves unless both parties should submit them to the determination of the mayor's court. But seconding to Mir Morley it does not appear that the native inhabitants of Bombay were ever actually exempted from the jurisdiction of the mayor's court or that any peculiar laws were administered to them in that court.

Warren Hastings Plan of 1772.

It was not however until the East India Company took over the active administration of the province of Bengal that the question of the law to be applied to natives assumed a seriously practical form. In 1771 the Court of Directors announced their intention of standing forth as Diwan other words of assuming the administration of the revenues of the province a process which involved the establishment not merely of revenue officers, but of courts of civil and criminal justice In the next year Warren Hastings became Governor of Bengal and one of his first acts was to lay down a plan for the administration of justice in the interior of Benzal What laws did he find in force ! In criminal cases the Mahomodan Government had established its own criminal law to the exclusion of that of the Hindus But in civil cases Mahomedans and Hindus respectively were governed by their personal laws which claimed divine authority and were enforced by a religious as well as by a civil sanction

The question i discussed at length in Mr Whittey Stokes a preface to the first edition of The Older Statutes relating to India, reprinted in his Collection of Statutes relating to India (Collectia, 1881). See also the Mayor of Lyn av Earl India Compt y 3 State Trials, N S., 647 and the other authorities cited in note (a) to a, 108 of the Digest

Morley a Digest Introduction, p. clair.

The object of the East India Company was to make as Gradual little alteration as possible in the existing state of things modifica-Accordingly the country counts were required, in the administian tration of criminal justice, to be guided by Mahomedan law But it soon appeared that there were portions of the Mahomedan law which no civilized Government could administer It was impossible to enforce the law of retaliation for murder, of stoning for sexual immorality, or of mutilation for theft, or to recognize the incapacity of unbelievers to give evidence in cases affecting Mahomedans The most glaring defects of Mahomedan law were removed by regulations, and an interesting picture of the criminal law, so patched and modified, as it was administered in the country courts of Bengal about the year 1821, is given in Mi Harington's Analysis of the Bengal Regulations 1 The process of repealing, amending, and supplementing the Mahomedan criminal law by enactments based on English principles went on until the Mahomedan law was wholly superseded by the Indian Penal Code * A general code of criminal procedure followed in 1861, and the process of superseding native by European law, so far as the administration of criminal justice is concerned, was completed by the enactment of the Evidence Act of 1872

With respect to civil rights, Warren Hastings' plan of 1772 Observdirected, by its twenty-third rule, that 'in all suits regarding native marriage, inheritance, and caste, and other 3 religious usages rules as to family and institutions, the laws of the Koran with respect to law Mahomedans, and those of the Shaster with respect to Gentus (Hindus) shall be invariably adhered to ' 'Moulavies or Brahmins' were directed to attend the courts for the purpose

¹ See also Sir R K Wilson's Introduction to Anglo-Mahomedan Law, p 113, and for a description of the criminal law of India as it existed in 1852, see the evidence given in that year by Mr F Millett before the Select Committee of the House of Lords on the East India Company's Charter

² It had been previously superseded, in 1827, by a written code in the Bombay Presidency (Morley, Digest, Introduction, pp cliv, clxxvi)

³ The use of 'other' implies that marriage and inheritance were treated as religious institutions

of expounding the law and giving assistance in framing the degrees 1

The famous Regulating Act of 1773 empowered the Governor General and Council of Bengal to make rules ordinances and regulations for the good order and cules government of the settlement at Fort William (Calcutts) and other factories and places subordinate thereto and in 1780 the Government of Bengal exercised this power by issuing a code of regulations for the administration of justice which contained a section (27) embodying the provisions and exact words of Warren Hastings regulation. A revised code of the following year re-enacted this section with the addition of the word succession.

The English Act of 1781 (21 Geo III c 70) which was possed for amending and explaining the Regulating Act recognized and confirmed the principles laid down by Warren Hastings

Whilst empowering the Supreme Court at Calcutta to hear and determine all manner of actions and suits against all and singular the inhabitants of Calcutta it provided (s 17) that their inheritance and succession to lands, rents and goods, and all matters of contract and dealing between party and party shall be determined in the case of Mahomedans by the laws and usages of the Mahomedans and in the case of Gentus (Hindus) by the laws and usages of Gentus where one only of the parties shall be a Mahomedan or Gentu by the laws and usages of the defendant on to enact (s. 18) that in order that regard should be had to the civil and religious usages of the said natives, the rights and authorities of fathers of families and masters of families according as the same might have been excrossed by the Gentu or Valiomedan law shall be preserved to them respectively within their said families nor shall any acts done in consequence of the rule and law of easte respecting the members of the said families only be held and adjudged a crime

Thi lirection wa repealed by A t XI of 1864

although the same may not be held justifiable by the laws of England' Enactments to the same effect have been introduced into numerous subsequent English and Indian enactments 1

These provisions of the Act of 1781, and the corresponding provisions of the Act of 1797 relating to the recorders' courts of Madras and Bombay (afterwards superseded by the supreme courts, and now by the high courts), are still in force, but are not included in the list of English statutory provisions which, under the Indian Councils Act of 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 67), Indian legislatures are precluded from altering Consequently they are alterable, and have in fact been materially affected, by Indian legislation For instance, the native law of contract has been almost entirely superseded by the Contract Act of 1872 and other Acts the respect enjoined for the rights of fathers and masters of families and for the rules of caste did not prevent the Indian legislature from abolishing domestic slavery or suttee

A Bengal regulation of 1832 (VII of 1832), whilst re-enact- The Lex ing the rules of Warren Hastings which had been embodied Loci Act in previous regulations, qualified their application by a provision which attracted little attention at the time, but afterwards became the subject of considerable discussion 2 declared that these rules 'are intended and shall be held to apply to such persons only as shall be bona fide professors of those religions at the time of the application of the law to the case, and were designed for the protection of the lights of such persons, not for the deprivation of the rights of others

)

¹ See e g 37 Geo III, c 142 (relating to the recorders' courts at Madras and Bombay), ss 12, 13, Bombay Regulation IV of 1827, s 26, Act IV of 1872, s 5 (Punjab), as amended by Act XII of 1878, Act III of 1873, s 16 (Madras), Act XX of 1875, s 5 (Central Provinces), Act XVIII of 1876, 8 3 (Oudh), Act XII of 1887, 8 37 (Bengal, North-Western Provinces, and Assam), Act XI of 1889, s 4 (Lower Burma), and clauses 19 and 20 of the Charter of 1865 of the Bengal High Courts, the corresponding clauses of the Madras and Bombay Charters, and clauses 13 and 14 of the Charter of the North-Western Provinces High Court

² See Morley's Digest, Introduction, pp. claxiii, claxiii

Whenever therefore in any civil suit the parties to such suits may be of different persuasions where one party shall be of the Hindu and the other of the Mahomedan persuasion or where one or more of the parties to such suit shall not be either of the Mahomedan or Hindu persuasion, the laws of those religions shall not be permitted to operate to deprive such party or parties of any property to which but for the operation of such laws, they would have been entitled. In all such cases the decision shall be governed by the principles of justice equity and good conscience it being clearly understood however that this provision shall not be considered as justifying the introduction of the English or any foreign law or the application to such cases of any rules not sanctioned by those principles.

In the year 1850 the Government of India passed a law (XXI of 1850) of which the object was to extend the principle of this regulation throughout the territories subject to the government of the East India Company. It declared that

So much of any law or usage now in force within the territories subject to the government of the East India Company as inflicts on any person forfeiture of rights or 1 property or may be held in any way to impair or affect any right of inheritance by reason of his or her renouncing or having been excluded from the communion of any religion or being deprived of caste shall cease to be enforced as law in the courts of the East India Company and in the courts established by Royal charter within the said territories

This Act which was known at the time of its passing as the Lex Loci Act² and is still in force, excited considerable opposition among orthodox Hindus as unduly favouring converts and has been enticized from the Hindu point of

¹ An attempt has been made to argue that this phrase was an accidental misprint for rights of property. But there seems no foundation for this suggestion.

This title is a misnomer. It was properly applied to other provisions which were subsequently dropped. See the vidence of Mr. Cameron before the Beleet Committee of the House of Lords in 1852.

view with respect to its operation on the guardianship of children in a case where one of two parents had been converted from Hinduism to Mahomedanism

It will have been observed that Warren Hastings' rule Law apand the enactments based upon it apply only to Hindus and persons Mahomedans There are, of course, many natives of India neither Hindus who are neither Hindus nor Mahomedans, such as the Poitu-nor guese and Armenian Christians, the Parsees, the Sikhs, the medans Jams, the Buddhists of Burma and elsewhere, and the Jews The tendency of the courts and of the legislatures has been to apply to these classes the spirit of Warren Hastings' rule and to leave them in the enjoyment of family law, except so far as they have shown a disposition to place themselves under English law

When Mountstuart Elphinstone legislated for the terri-Rules as tories then recently annexed to the Bombay Piesidency, usage in Anglo-Indian administrators had become aware that the Bombay and the sacred or semi-sacred text-books were not such trustworthy Punjab guides as they had been supposed to be in the time of Waiien Hastings, and that local or personal usages played a much more important part than had previously been attributed to them Accordingly, the Bombay regulation deviated from the Bengal model by giving precedence to local usage over the written Mahomedan or Hindu law 1 Regulation IV of 1827 (s 26), which is still in force in the Bombay Piesidency, directed that 'The law to be observed in the trial of suits shall be Acts of Parliament and regulations of Government applicable to the case, in the absence of such Acts and regulations, the usage of the country in which the suit arose, if none such appears, the law of the defendant, and, in the absence of specific law and usage, justice, equity, and good conscience alone' The same principle has since been applied

¹ It is also important to observe that the Mahomedan criminal law had not been introduced into the territories under Bombay to anything like the same extent as into Bengal See on this subject the Judicial Letters from Bombay of July 29, 1818, pars 186 seq, printed in the Reports to Parliament on East India Affairs for the year 1819

to the Puniab which is pre-eminently the land of oustomary law and where neither the secred text-books of the Hindus nor those of the Mahomedans supply a safe ruide to the usages actually observed In this province the Punjab Laws Act 1 expressly directs the courts to observe any oustom applicable to the parties concerned which is not contrary to instage equity or good conscience and has not been altered or abolished by law or declared by competent authority to be youd

Vation. Christians en/l Arme-DIADE

Native Christians have for the most part placed themselves or allowed thems lyes to be placed under European law As long ago as 1836 the Armenians of Bengal presented a petition to the Governor General, in which after setting forth the destitution of their legal condition they added

As Armenians have cassed to be a nation since the year of our Lord 1375 and no trace of their own law is now to be discovered vour petitioners humbly submit that the law of England is the only one that can, upon any sound principle he allowed to prevail 2

The Parsees have obtained the enactment of an intestate Person succession law of their own (XXI of 1865)

Instice equity and good conecionos

In matters for which neither the authority of Hindu or Mahomedan text-books or advisers nor the regulations and other enactments of the Government supplied sufficient guidance the judges of the civil courts were usually directed to act in accordance with justice equity and good conscience. An Englishman would naturally interpret these words as meaning such rules and principles of English law as he happened to know and considered applicable to the case and thus under the influence of English judges native law and usage were without express legislation, largely supplemented modified, and superseded by English law

State of law at pawing f

The inquiries and reports which preceded the Charter Act of 1853 directed attention to the unsatisfactory condition

Il of 1872, a. 5 as altered by XII of 1879 a. 1 This, of course I merely the statement of the Bengal Armenians of 1936 See Dareste Lindes d'Histoire d' Droit pp. 119 aqu. Morley a Digest Introduction p. lxxxvii.

of the law in British India at that time, and, in particular, Charter to the frequent difficulty of ascertaining what the law was Act of 1833 and where it was to be found Thy judges of the Calcutta Supreme Court, after describing generally the state of the law, went on to say 'In this state of circumstances no one can pronounce an opinion or form a judgement, however sound, upon any disputed right of persons respecting which doubt and confusion may not be raised by those who may choose to call it in question, for very few of the public or persons in office at home, not even the law officers, can be expected to have so comprehensive and clear a view of the Indian system as to know readily and familiarly the bearings of each part of it on the rest There are English Acts of Parliament specially provided for India, and others of which it is doubtful whether they apply to India wholly, or in part, or not at all There is the English Common Law and Constitution, of which the application is in many respects still more obscure and perplexed, Mahomedan Law and Usage. Hindu Law, Usage, and Scripture, Charters and Letters Patent of the Crown, regulations of the Government, some made declaredly under Acts of Parliament particularly authorizing them, and others which are founded, as some say, on the general power of Government entrusted to the Company by Parliament, and as others assert on their rights as successors of the old Native Governments, some regulations require registry in the Supreme Court, others do not, some have effect generally throughout India, others are peculiar to one presidency or one town There are commissions of the Governments, and circular orders from the Nizamut Adawlut, and from the Dewanny Adawlut, treaties of the Crown, treaties of the Indian Government, besides inferences drawn at pleasure from the application of the "droit public," and the law of nations of Europe, to a state of circumstances which will justify almost any construction of it, or qualification of its force 1,

¹ See Hansard (1833), xviii 729

First Indian Law Commission.

Penal Jode, Jodes of Avil and Jriminal Procelure &c.

It was for the purpose of remedying this unsatisfactory state of things that an Indian Law Commission was appointed under the Charter Act of 1833 with Macaulay at its head. The commission sat for many years and produced several volumes of reports which in some cases supplied the basis of Indian localation. But it was not until 1860 that the Indian Penal Code, its most important achievement, was placed on the Indian Statute Book The first edition of the Code of Civil Procedure had been passed in 1859, and the first edition of the Code of Criminal Procedure was passed in 1861 1 The law of Procedure has been supplemented by the Evidence Act (I of 1872) and the Limitation Act (XV of 1877) and by the Specific Relief Act (I of 1877) which stands on the border land of substantive and adjective law. These Acts apply to all persons in British India whether European or native and wholly displace and supersede native law on the subjects to which they relate

"But when the time came for codifying the substantive civil law it was found necessary to steer clear of and make exceptions with respect to important branches of nettice law."

Indian Succession Act. The Indian Succession Act 1805 (V of 1865) which is based on English law is declared by 8 2 to constitute subject to certain exceptions, the law of British India applicable to all cases of intestate or testamentary succession. But the exceptions are so wide as to exclude almost all natives of India. The provisions of the Act are declared (s 331) not to apply to the property of any Hindu Mahomedan or Buddhist. And the Government of India is empowered (s 332) to exempt by executive order from the operation of the whole or any part of the Act the members of any race sect or tribe in British India to whom it may be considered impossible or inexpedient to apply those provisions. Two classes of persons have availed themselves of this exemption—hative Christians in Coorg and Jews in Aden. The former

¹ These are now represented by Act VIV of 1882 and Act V of 1898.

class wished to retain their native rules of succession, notwithstanding their conversion to Christianity. The Jews of British India had agreed to place themselves under the Act, but it was not until some twenty years after the Act had become law that the Jews of Aden, who hived in a territory which is technically part of British India, but who still observed the Mosaic law of succession 1, discovered that they were subject to a new law in the matter of succession. They petitioned to be released from its provisions, and were by executive order remitted to the Pentateuch

The operation of the Indian Succession Act has, however, been extended by subsequent legislation

The Oudh Estates Act, 1889 (I of 1869), expressly enabled Oudh the taluqdars of Oudh to dispose of their estates by will, and Estates applied certain provisions of the Indian Succession Act to their wills

The Hindu Wills Act (XXI of 1870) applied certain of its Hindu Wills Act provisions—

- (1) To all wills and codicils made by any Hindu, Jaina, Sikh, or Buddhist, on or after September I, 1870, within the territories subject to the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal, or the local limits of the ordinary original civil jurisdiction of the High Courts of Judicature of Madras and Bombay, and
- (2) To all such wills and codicils made outside those territories and limits so far as relates to immovable property situated within those territories or limits

But nothing in the Act is to

- (3) Authorize a testator to bequeath property which he could not have alienated *inter vivos*, or
- (4) Deprive any persons of any right of maintenance of which, but for the Act, he could not deprive them by will, or
- (5) Affect any law of adoption or intestate succession, or

s

¹ See the rulings in Zelophehad's case, Numbers xxvii 6, xxxvi 1, and the chapter on Le Droit Israéhte in Dareste, Études d'Histoire du Droit

(6) Authorize any Hindu Jama Sikh or Buddhist to create in property any interest which he could not have created before September 1 1870

Probete and Administration Act

The Probate and Administration Act 1881 (V of 1881) which extends to the whole of British India applies most of the rules in the Succession Act 1865 with respect to probate and letters of administration, to the case of every Hindu Mahomedan Buddhist, and person exempted under a 332 of the Indian Succession Act. dwing on or after April 1, 1881 (8, 2).

The same section provides that a court is not to receive application for probate or letters of administration until the local Government has with the previous sanction of the Governor General in Council by notification in the official Gazette authorized it so to do. Such notifications have been since given by the local Governments. The Act how ever is merely a permissive measure and authorizes but does not require application for probate or administration. And it must be remembered that Hindus do not us a rule

Indlan Contract Art

make wille

The Indian Contract Act (IV of 1872) does not cover the whole field of contract law, but, so far as it extends is general in its application, and supersedes the native law of contract However it contains a saving (s. 2) for any statute. Act or regulation not thereby expressly repealed and for any usage or custom of trade or incident of contract not inconsistent. with its provisions. The saving for statutes has been held to include the enactment of George III under which matters of contract are within the presidency towns but not elsowhere directed to be regulated by the personal law of the party and thus paradoxically enough certain rules of Hindu law have maintained their footing in the last part of British India where they might have been expected to survive 1

\ecotiable The Negotiable Instruments Act 1881 which corresponds neuts Act to and formed the precedent for the English Bills of Exchange Act extends to the whole of British India but is declared

See note (a) to a, 106 of Digest,

(s I) not to affect any local usage relating to any instrument in an Oriental language. It therefore preserves the customary rules as to the construction and effect of 'hundis,' or native bills of exchange and promissory notes, except so far as those rules are excluded by the agreement of the parties ¹

The Transfer of Property Act, 1882, which lays down Transfer rules with respect to the sale, gift, exchange, mortgage, and of Property Act leasing of land, and on other points supplements the Contract Act, does not apply to the Punjab or to Burma (except the town of Rangoon), and, within the parts of India to which it extends, it reserves, or keeps in operation, native rules and customs on certain important subjects For instance, nothing in the Act is to affect the provisions of any enactment not thereby expressly repealed, e.g. the Indian Acts which expressly save local usages in the Punjab and elsewhere And nothing in the second chapter, which relates to the transfer of property by the act of parties, is to affect any rule of Hindu, Mahomedan, or Buddhist law (s 2) The provisions as to mortgages recognize and regulate forms of security in accordance with native as well as English usage Local usages with respect to apportionment of rents and other periodical payments (s 36), mortgages (s 98), and leases (ss 106, 108), are expressly saved And finally, there is a general declaration (s 117) that none of the provisions of the chapter relating to leases are to apply to leases for agricultural purposes, except so far as they may be applied thereto by the local Government, with the sanction of the Government of India Thus the application of these provisions is confined within very narrow limits The law relating to the tenure of agricultural land is mostly regulated by special Acts, such as the Bengal Tenancy Act (VIII of 1885), and the similar Acts for other provinces

The Indian Trusts Act, 1882 (II of 1882), which codifies Trusts Act the law of trusts, does not apply to the province of Bengal

¹ It is said, however, that the Indian banks refuse to discount hundis unless the parties agree to be bound by the Act

or to the Presidency of Bombay. And nothing in it is to affect the rules of Mahomedan law as to wakt or the mutual relations of the members of an undivided family as determined by any oustomary or personal law or to apply to public or private religious or charitable endowments (s. 1)

Farements Act.

The Indian Easements Act 1882 (V of 1882) which is in force in most parts of India outside Bengal 1 also embodies principles of English law but is not to derogate from certain Government and customary rights (a 1)

Inerdien. ind Verde Ant.

The Guardian and Wards Acts 1800 (VIII of 1800) which declares the law with respect to the appointment duties rights, and lightlities of guardians of minors 2 provides (s. 6). that in the case of a minor who is not a European British subject nothing in the Act is to be construed as taking away or derogating from any power to appoint a guardian which is valid by the law to which the minor is subject. And in the appointment of a guardian the court is subject to certain directions to be guided by what consistently with the law to which the minor is subject appears in the organistances to be for the welfare of the minor (s. 17)

Law of torts.

The law of torts or cavil wrongs, as administered by the courts of British India whether to Europeans or to natives. is practically English law. The draft of a bill to codify it was prepared some years ago but the measure has never been introduced.

aubjects to which Laglish lew reapply

If we survey the whole field of law as administered by the British Indian courts and examine the extent to which it and native consists of English and of native law respectively we shall spectively find that Warren Hastings famous rule though not binding on the Indian legislatures, still indicates the class of subjects with which the Indian legislatures have been charv of inter-

Its operation was extended by Act VIII of 1801

The ago of majority for persons domiciled in British India is by Act IN of 1875 (as amended by a. 52 of Act VIII of 1890) fixed at eighteen, except where before the attainment of that age a guardian has been appointed for the minor by the court or his property has been placed under the super intendence of the Court of Wards in which case the minority lasts until twenty-one.

fering, and which they have been disposed to leave to the domain of native law and usage

The criminal law and the law of civil and criminal procedure are based wholly on English principles So also, subject to some few exceptions 1, are the law of contract and the law of torts, or civil wrongs

But within the domain of family law, including the greater part of the law of succession and inheritance, natives still retain their personal law, either modified or formulated, to some extent, by Anglo-Indian legislation Hindus retain then law of mannage, of adoption, of the joint family, of partition, of succession Mahomedans retain their law of marriage, of testamentary and intestate succession, and of walf or quasi-religious trusts The important branch of law relating to the tenure of land, as embodied in the Rent and Revenue Acts and regulations of the different provinces, though based on Indian customs, exhibits a struggle and compromise between English and Indian principles

It will have been seen that the East India Company began Attempt by attempting to govern natives by native law, Englishmen to govern natives by by English law This is the natural system to apply in native law Englisha conquered country, or in a vassal State—that is to say, in men by a State where complete sovereignty has not been assumed by law the dominant power It is the system which involves the least disturbance It is the system which was applied by the barbarian conquerors of the provinces of the Roman Empire, and which gave rise to the system of personal law that plays so large a part in the long history of the decay of that empire It appears to be the system now in force in Tunis, where the French have practically established an exclusive protectorate, and where French law appears to be administered by French courts to Frenchmen and European foreigners, and Mahomedan law by Mahomedan courts to

eg the Mahomedan rules as to the right of pre-emption, which are expressly recognized by the Punjab Laws Act, 1872 (as amended by Act XII of 1878), and by the Oudh Laws Act, 1876

the natives of the country It is the system which is applied with important local variations in the British protectorates established in different parts of the world over uncivilized or semi-civilized countries. The variations are important because the extent to which native laws and usages can be recognized and enforced depends materially on the degree of civilization to which the vassal State has attained

Causes of its failure

The system broke down in India from various causes

In the first place there was the difficulty of ascertaining

Warren Hastings did his best to remove this difficulty by procuring the translation or compilation of standard text books such as the Hedava the Smanyah, and the Shanfyah for Mahomedan law the Code of Manu, the Mitakahara and the Davabhaga for Hindu law and by enlisting the services of native law officers as assessors of the Company s courts His regulations were based on the assumption that the natives of India could be roughly divided into Mahomedans and Gentus and that there was a body of law applicable to these two classes respectively But this simple and easy classifica tion as we now know by no means corresponds to the facts There are large classes who are neither Mahomedans nor Hindus There are various schools of Mahomedan law There are Mahomedans whose rules of inheritance are based not on the Koran but on Hindu or other non Mahomedan Hinduism is a term of the most indefinite import Different text-books are recognized as authoritative in different parts of India and among different classes of Hindus. Even where they are so recognized they often represent what the compiler thought the law ought to be rather than what it actually is or ever was Local tribal caste and family neages play a far larger part than had originally been supposed and this important fact has been recognized in later Indian legislation

Then, the native law even where it could be ascertained, was defective. There were large and important branches of

law, such as the law of contract, for which it supplied insufficient guidance. Its defects had to be supplied by English judges and magistrates from them, remembrance, often imperfect, of principles of English law, which were applied under the name of justice, equity, and good conscience

And lastly, native law often embodied rules repugnant to the traditions and morality of the ruling race. An English magistrate could not enforce, an English Government could not recognize, the unregenerate criminal law of Indian Mahomedanism

Thus native law was eaten into at every point by English case law, and by regulations of the Indian legislatures

Hence the chaos described in the passage quoted above from the report of the Calcutta judges

This chaos led up to the period of codification, which was Reason for ushered in by Macaulay's Commission of 1833, and which, codification after the lapse of many years, bore fruit in the Anglo-Indian codes

In India, as elsewhere, codification has been brought about by the pressure of practical needs. On the continent of Europe the growth of the spirit of nationality, and the consequent strengthening of the central Government and fusion of petty sovereignties or half-sovereignties, has brought into strong relief the practical inconvenience arising from the co-existence of different systems of law in a single State Hence the French codes, the Italian codes, and the German codes. If codification has lagged behind in England, it has been largely, perhaps mainly, because England acquired a strong central Government, and attained to practical unity of law, centuries before any continental State 1.

In India it became necessary to draw up for the guidance Ments of of untilined judges and magistrates a set of rules which Codes they could easily understand, and which were adapted to the cincumstances of the country. There has been a tendency, on the one hand, to overplaise the formal ments of the

1 See Chap vin of my Legislative Methods and Forms

Indian codes and on the other to underrate their practical utility as instruments of government. Their workmanship judged by European standards is often rough but they are on the whole well adapted to the conditions which they were intended to meet. An attempt has been made to indicate in this chapter the extent to which they have supplanted or modified native law and quatum.

How far codification applicable to native

modified native law and custom It has often been suggested that the process of codification should be deliberately extended to native law and that an attempt should be made by means of codes to define and simplify the leading rules of Hindu and Mahomedan law without altering their substance Sir Roland Wilson, in particular has pleaded for the codification of Anglo-Mahomedan law. There is however reason to believe that he has much underested the difficulties of such a test. Those difficulties arise not merely from the tendency of codification to stereotype rules which under the silent influence of social and political forces are in process of change but from the natural sensitiveness of Hindus and Mahomedans about legislative interference with matters closely touching their religious usages and observances and from the impossibility in many cases of formulating rules in any shape which will meet with general acceptance. It is easy enough to find an enlightened Hindu or Mahomedan, like the late Sir Syed Ahmed Khan who will testify to the general desire of the natives to have their laws codified. The difficulty begins when a particular code is presented in a concrete form Even in the case of such a small community as the Kholas who linve contrived to combine adhesion to the Mahomedan creed with retention of certain Hindu customs it has up to this time been found impossible to frame a set of rules of inheri tance on which the leaders of the sect will agree And any code not based on general agreement would other cause dangerous discontent or remain a dead letter The miscon ceptions which arose about the Guardians and Wards Act the authors of which expressly disavowed any intention of

altering native law, illustrate the sensitiveness which prevails about such matters

And what, after all, is a code? Ite is a text-book enacted Codes as by the legislature Several of the Anglo-Indian codes extend books only to particular provinces of British India But, as clear and accurate statements of the law, they possess much authority in the provinces to which they have not been formally extended Indeed, it was Sii Henry Maine's view that the proper mode of codifying for India was to apply a code in the first instance to a particular province, where its enactment would meet with no opposition, and gradually extend its operation after the country had become familiarized with its contents, and accepted it as a satisfactory statement of the law When this stage had been reached, what had been used as a text-book might be converted into Now, the author of a text-book enjoys many advantages over the legislators who enact a code He can guard himself by expressions such as 'it is doubtful whether' and. 'there is authority for holding' And he can correct any error or omission without going to the legislature such as Sir Roland Wilson's obtains general acceptance with the courts which have to administer Anglo-Mahomedan law, it will supply an excellent foundation for a future code of But the time for framing such a code has not that law yet arrived

CHAPTER V

BRITISH JURISDICTION IN NATIVE STATES

Ir seems desirable to consider somewhat more fully than has been possible within the compass of the foregoing chapters, the powers of the Indian legislative executive and judicial authorities with respect to persons and things outside the territorial limits of British India particularly in the territories of the Native States of India. For this purpose it may be convenient to examine in the first instance the principles applying to extra-territorial legislation in England and then to consider what modifications those principles require in their application to India. This is the more important because the Indian Act regulating the exercise of extra-territorial jurisdiction was to a great extent copied from the English Act which had been passed for similar purposes.

Territorial character of Parlia mentary legislation.

Parliamentary legislation is primarily territorial. An Act of Parliament prima facie applies to all persons and things within the United Kingdom and not to any persons or things outside he United Kingdom. In exercising its power to legislate for any part of the King's dominions Parliament is guided both by constitutional and by practical considerations. It does not legislate for a colony having responsible government except on matters which are clearly Imperial in their nature or are beyond the powers of the colon al legislature And apart from constitutional considerations it is reluctant to deal with matters which are within the competence of a local legislature

Principles limiting extraterritorial legislation.

In dealing with persons and things outside the kings dominions Parliament is always presumed to act in accordance with the rules and principles of international law and its enactments are construed by the courts accordingly. It would be contrary to the received principles of international

law 1 regulating the relations between independent States for Parliament to pass a law punishing a foreigner for an offence committed on foreign territory, or setting up courts in foreign territory It would not be contrary to those punciples for Parliament to pass a law punishing a British subject for an offence committed in foreign territory, or giving English or other British courts jurisdiction in respect of offences so But Parliament is reluctant, more reluctant than the legislatures of continental States, to legislate with respect to offences committed by Butish subjects in foreign territory Its reluctance is based partly on the traditions and principles of English criminal law, as indicated by the averment that an offence is committed against the peace of the King, an expression mappingulate to foreign territory, and by the rules as to venue and local juries, partly on the practical inconvenience of withdrawing offences from the cognizance of local courts to a court at a distance from the scene of the offence and from the region in which evidence. is most readily obtainable. The difficulty about evidence is felt more strongly by Butish courts than by the courts of some other countries, where there is less reluctance to try offences on paper evidence 2

¹¹ e to the principles of international law as understood and recognized by England and the United States But continental States have asserted the right to punish foreigners for offences committed in foreign territories, especially for acts which attack the social existence of the State in question and endanger its security, and are not provided against by the penal law of the country in the territory of which they have taken place Westlake, Chapter on International Law, p 127 And the principles of European international law cannot be applied, except with serious modifications, to States outside the European or Western family of nations

² See Jenkyns' British Rule and Jurisdiction, p 128 As to the principles on which different States have exercised their powers of punishing offences committed abroad, see Heffter, Droit International (fourth French edition), Where an offender has escaped from the country in which the offence was committed he can often be handed over for trial under the Extradition Acts, 1870 to 1895, which apply as between British and foreign territory, or under the Fugitive Offenders Act, 1881, which applies as between different parts of the British dominions Thus the procedure under these Acts often supplies a substitute for the exercise of extra-territorial jurisdiction

These general principles appear to be consistent with the canons for the construction of statutes laid down in the Jameson case of 1806. —

It may be said generally that the area within which a statute is to operate, and the persons against whom it is to operate are to be gathered from the language and purview of the particular statute. But there may be anguested some general rules—for instance, if there he nothing which points to a contrary intention, the statute will be taken to apply only to the United Kingdom. But whether it be confined in its operation to the United Kingdom or whether as is the one here it he are plied to the whole of the Queen a dominions, it will be taken to apply to all the persons in the United Kingdom, or in the Queen's dominions. as the case may be, including foreigners who during their residence there owe temporary allegiance to Her Majesty And, according to its con text, it may be taken to apply to the Queen's subjects everywhere. whether within the Oneen a dominions or without. One other ceneral cenon of construction is this—that if any construction otherwise be possible, an Act will not be construed as applying to foreigners in respect to acts done by them outside the dominions of the sovereign power enacting. That is a rule based on international law by which one sovereign power is bound to respect the subjects and the rights of all other sovereign powers outside its own territory

which Parlia ment legislates for offences committed out of British territory

Cases in

Under those circumstances the classes of cases in which Parliamentary legislation has given jurisdiction to British courts in respect of offences committed out of British territory are not numerous. The most important of them are as follows.—

- (1) Offences committed at sea.
- (2) Treason.
- (3) Murder and manulaughter
- (4) Slave trade offences
- (5) Offences against the Explosive Substances Act 1883
- (6) Offences, such as forgery and perjury committed abroad with reference to proceedings in some British court
- (7) Bigamy
- (8) Offences against certain provisions of the Foreign Enlistment Act 1870
- R v Jameson, [1897] 2 Q R 425 430, Judgement of Lord Russell, L. C. J., on demurrer to indictment, See 31 & 41 Vict. c 90, 8, 2.

(1) The exercise by English courts of jurisdiction in Offence respect of offences committed on the high seas arises from the necessities of the case, i.e. from the absence of territorial jurisdiction. These offences, being committed outside the body of any English county, could not be dealt with by the ordinary criminal courts of the country, in the exercise of their ordinary criminal jurisdiction. They were originally dealt with by the court of the admiral, but are now, under various enactments, triable by ordinary courts of criminal jurisdiction as if committed within the local jurisdiction of those courts.

The jurisdiction extends to offences committed on board a British ship, whether the ship is on the open sea or in foreign territorial waters below bridges, and whether the offender is or is not a British subject or a member of the crew, and although there may be concurrent jurisdiction in a foreign court² The principle on which Parliament exercises legislative, and the courts judicial, powers, is that a British ship is to be treated as if it were an outlying piece of British territory? Theoretically, Parliament might, without bringing itself into conflict with the rules of international law, legislate in every case in respect of an offence committed by a British subject on board a foreign ship when on the high seas But it has abstained from doing so in cases where the British subject is a member of the crew of the foreign ship, because he may be treated as having accepted foreign law for the time, and because of the practical difficulties which would arise if members of the same crew were subject to two different laws in respect of the same offence

The principles on which Parliament has exercised its legislative powers with respect to offences on board ship are

¹ See 4 & 5 Will IV, c 36, s 22, 24 & 25 Vict cc 94 and 97, 57 & 58 Vict c 60, s 684, and as to the Colonies, 12 & 13 Vict c 96

 $^{^2}$ R v Anderson, L R 1 C C R 161, R v Carr, 10 Q B D 76 The rule is subject to modifications in the case of alien enemies, or aliens on board English ships against their will See Stephen, History of the Criminal Law, 11 4-8

^{&#}x27;The analogy is not complete. For instance, a British ship in foreign territorial waters is, or may be, subject to a double jurisdiction

illustrated by ss 686 and 687 of the Merchant Shipping Act 1804 (57 & 58 Vict c 60) which run as follows —

686.—(1) Where any person, being a British subject, is charged with having committed any offence on board any British ship on the high seas, or in any foreign port or harbour or on board any foreign ship to which he does not belong, or not being a British subject is charged with having committed any offence on board any British subject in the high seas, and that person is found within the jurisdiction of any court in Her Majesty's dominions, which would have had cognizance of the offence if it had been committed on board a British ship within the limits of its ordinary jurisdiction, that court shall have jurisdiction to try the offence as if it had been so committed.

12 & 13 (2) Nothing in this section shall affect the Admiralty Offences Vict. c. 96. (Colonial) Act, 1849.

687 All offences against property or person committed in or at any place either sahore or affect out of Her Majesty's dominions by any master seaman, or apprentice, who at the time when the offence was committed is, or within three months previously has been, employed in any British ship, shall be deemed to be offences of the same nature respectively and be liable to the same punishment respectively and be liable to the same punishment respectively and be inquired of heard, tried, determined, and adjudged in the same man ner and by the same courts and in the same places as if those offences and the costs and expenses of the prosecution of any such offence may be directed to be paid as in the case of costs and expenses of procedurions for offences committed within the jurisdiction of the Admiralty of England.

Section 689 gives powers of arrest, &o in cases where jurisduction may be exercised under \$ 687

It will be observed that s 686 draws a distinction between British subjects and others and between British subjects who do and those who do not belong to a foreign ship The terms in which s. 687 are expressed are very wide and it is possible that English courts in construing them would limit their application with reference to the principles of international law. See the remarks in R v. Anderson where the case was decided independently of the enactment reproduced by this section 1

¹ Piracy by the law of nation committed on the open sea, whether by a British subject or not is triable by an English court under the criminal jurisdiction derived from the Admiralty But this jurisdiction is not conferred by any special statute. As to what constitutes piracy jurgel meet Alloracy-General for the Colony of Hong Kong v Kwoks-Sing Liu, 5 P. C. 179, 199 (1873), and Stephen, History of the Criminal Law II. 2

- (2) Treason committed abroad is triable in England under Treason an Act of 1543-4 (35 Henry VIII, c 2) Treason, if committed in the territory of a foreign State, may very possibly not be an offence against the law of that State, and therefore not be punishable by the courts of that State
- (3) Murder committed by a British subject in foreign Murder territory was made triable in England under a special comslaughter mission of oyer and terminer by an Act of Henry VIII (33). Henry VIII, c. 23). It was by a special commission under this Act that Governor Wall was, in 1802, tried and convicted of a murder committed in 1782. The Act was extended by an Act of 1803 (43 Geo III, c. 113, s. 6) to accessories before the fact and to manslaughter. Both these enactments were repealed by an Act of 1828 (9 Geo IV, c. 31), which re-enacted their provisions with modifications as to procedure. The Act of 1828 was repealed and reproduced with modifications by an enactment in one of the consolidating Acts of 1861 (24 & 25 Viet c. 100, s. 9), which is the existing law.
- (4) Offences against the Slave Trade Acts are triable by Slave English courts if committed by any person within the King's trade dominions or by any British subject elsewhere (see 5 Geo IV, c II4, ss 9, 10)
- (5) Offences against the Explosive Substances Act, 1883 Offences (46 & 47 Vict c 3), i e offences by dynamiters, are triable Exploby English courts when committed by any person in any sive Substances part of the King's dominions of by any British subject else-Act where
- (6) Offences such as forgery and perjury, when committed Forgery with reference to proceedings in English courts, are triable and perjury by those courts (see, e.g., 52 & 53 Vict c. 10, s. 9)
- (7) Under s 57 of the Offences against the Person Act, 1861 Bigamy (24 & 25 Vict c 100), bigamy is punishable in England or Ireland, whether the bigamous marriage has taken place in England or Ireland or elsewhere, but the section does not

extend to any second marriage contracted elsewhere than in England or Ireland by any other than a subject of His Maresty

Foreign Enlist ment Act.

(8) The Foreign Enlistment Act 1870 (33 & 34 Vict e 90) is declared by s. 2 to extend to all the dominions of His Majesty including the adjacent territorial waters and some of its provisions, e.g. ss. 4, 7 extend to offences committed by any person being a British subject within or without His Majesty's dominions. The construction and operation of this Act were commented on in the case of R v Jameson [1896] 2 Q. B 425

Classes of British aubjects. British subjects in the proper sense are of two classes -

- (1) Natural born British subjects and
- (2) Naturalized British subjects

Every person born within the King s dominions whether of British or of foreign parents is a natural born British subject unless he has renounced his British nationality in manner provided by s 4 of the Naturalization Act 1870 (33 & 34 Vict c 14)

Persons born out of the King a dominions whose fathers or grandfathers in the male line were natural born British subjects are also by Act of Parliament 1 natural born British subjects, subject to certain exceptions and qualifications unless they have renounced their British nationality in manner provided by law

Naturalized British subjects may have become so either by virtue of the imperial Naturalization Act of 1870 or by virtue of the law of a British possession. The rights of aliens naturalized under the imperial Act are not expressed by the Act to extend beyond the United Kingdom (s. 7). Naturalization by virtue of the law of a British possession does not operate beyond the limits of that possession. But it would seem that the holders of certificates of naturalization granted either under the imperial or under a colonial Act,

²⁵ Edw III stat. 2 7 Anne c. 5 = 3; 4 Geo. II, e 21; 13 Geo. III e. 21

are entitled to claim British protection in all foreign countries other than their country of origin 1

The rights of an alien to whom a certificate of naturalization is granted under the Act of 1870 are subject to the qualification that he is not, when within the limits of the foreign State of which he was the subject previously to obtaining his certificate of naturalization, to be deemed to be a British subject, unless he has ceased to be a subject of that State in pursuance of the laws thereof, or of a treaty to that effect (33 & 34 Vict c 14, 8 7)

A child born abroad of a father or mother (being a widow) who has obtained a certificate of naturalization in the United Kingdom is, if during infancy he becomes resident with the parent in the United Kingdom, to be deemed a naturalized British subject (see 33 & 34 Vict c 34, s 10 (5))

In many of these cases there may be a double nationality This is specially apt to occur in the case of the children or grandchildren, born abroad, of British subjects which gave such persons the status of British subjects were passed for a special purpose, are apt to cause conflicts of law, and are not always suitable to Oriental circumstances Enactments of this kind ought, it may reasonably be argued, to be construed secundum materiam It appears to have been held at one time that the expression 'natural-born subjects' is, in the statutes affecting India, always taken to mean European British subjects 2, and, although this position can no longer be maintained in its entirety (see e g 21 & 22 Vict c 106, 8 32), there is ground for argument that it may be construed subject to restrictions in its application to descendants of non-European subjects of the Crown

¹ For a discussion of the difficult questions which have been raised as to the effect of the statutory provisions under which certificates of naturalization are granted, and particularly as to the construction of s 7 of the Naturalization Act, 1870, see the Report of the Interdepartmental Committee on the Naturalization Laws, 1901, Cd 723 Naturalization of aliens in India is provided for by Act XXX of 1852, which must be read with reference to the later imperial Act of 1870

² See Minutes by Sir H S Maine, No 97

Conclusions as to Parlia mentary legislation for extraterntorial offences. The conclusions to be drawn from the enactments and the reported decisions appear to be—

- (I) It would not be consistent with the principles of international law regulating the relations between independent civilized States ¹ for English courts to exercise, or for Parliament to confer jurisdiction in respect of offences committed by foreigners in foreign territory. I am not aware says the late Mr Justice Stephen of any exception to the rule that crimes committed on land by foreigners out of the United Kingdom are not subject to the criminal law of England except one lurinshed by the Merchant Shipping Act of 1854 (17 & 18 Vict o 104 s 267). There may be exceptions in the orders made under the Foreign Jurisdiction Acts ²
- (2) English courts are unwilling to exercise and Parliament is unwilling to confer jurisduction in respect of offences committed by British subjects in foreign territory
- except in special classes of cases

With respect to offences committed in British territory and abouted in foreign territory or vice versa, it is difficult to lay down any general proposition which does not require numerous qualifications

In the case of felomes commutted in England or Ireland and aided in foreign territory the law is settled by the Accessories and Abettors Act 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 94, 8 7) which enacts that where any felony has been completely commutted in England or Ireland the offence of any person who has been an accessory either before or after the fact to the felony may be dealt with inquired of tried determined and punished by any court which has jurisdiction to try the principal felony or any felonics committed in any

But see the qualifying note above p. 343.

History of the Oriminal Law ii. 12 Section 267 of the Act of 1854 is now represented by a. 687 of the Act of 1854 noticed above. As to the orders under the Foreign Jurisdiction Acts see below p. 353. There may also be an exception in the case of a breach of duty to the Crown committed abroad by a foreign errant of the Crown.

county or place in which the act by reason whereof that person has become accessory has been committed, and in any other case the offence of an accessory to a felony may be dealt with, inquired of, tried, determined, and punished by any court which has jurisdiction to try the principal felony or any felonies committed in any county or place in which the person being accessory is apprehended, or is in custody, whether the principal felony has been committed on the sea or on the land, or begun on the sea or completed on the land, or begun on the land or completed on the sea, and whether within His Majesty's dominions, or without, or partly within His Majesty's dominions, and partly without But there is no similar comprehensive enactment with respect to misdemeanours, and it is obvious that different considerations would apply in the case of such breaches of statutory regulations as are not necessarily offences by the law of another country

As to offences committed in foreign territory and instigated or aided in England, questions of great importance and delicacy have arisen These questions were raised in the famous case of R v Bernard 1, and are touched on by the late Mr Justice Stephen in his History of Criminal Law His conclusion is that, 'whatever may be the ment's of the case legally, it seems to be clear that the legislature ought to remove all doubt about it by putting crimes committed abroad on the same footing as crimes committed in England, as regards incitement, conspilacy, and accessories in England Exceptions might be made as to political offences, though I should be sorry if they were made wide 2'. The English legislature has, however, never gone so far as to adopt these conclusions in general terms, though it has declared the law Thus, with respect to murder and in particular cases manslaughter, the Offences against the Person Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 100, ss 4, 9), has enacted in substance that persons who conspire in England to murder foreigners abroad,

¹ Foster and Finlason, 240 (1858), 8 State Trials (N S) 887

² Vol 11 p 14

or in England moite people to commit murder abroad or become in England accessories whether before or after the fact to murder or manslaughter committed abroad shall be in the same position in every respect as if the crime committed abroad had been committed in England

As to theft it was decided in 1861 on a question which arose under an Act of 1827 (7 & 8 Geo IV o 29) that where goods are stolen abroad e g in Guernsey there could not be a conviction for receiving the goods in England, and this decision was considered applicable to cases under the Larcenv Act 1861 (24 & 25 Viet c. o6) by which the Act of 1827 was replaced This loophole in the criminal law has now been stopped by the Larceny Act 1896 (59 & 60 Vict o 52) which punishes receipt in the United Kingdom of property stolen outside the United Kingdom A similar question arose at Bombay in 1881 2 on the construction of 88 410 and 411 of the Indian Penal Code and it was held by the majority of the Court that certain bills of exchange stolen at Mauritius where the Indian Penal Code was not in force could not be regarded as stolen property within the meaning of s 410 so as to make the person receiving them at Bombay liable under 8. 411 In order to meet this decision. Act VIII of 1882 amended the definition of stolen property in s 410 of the Penal Code by adding the words whether the transfer has been made or the misappropriation or breach of trust has been committed, within or without British India arguments and judgements in the Bombay case deserve study with reference not merely to the existing state of the law but to the principles on which legislation should proceed. Legislation with respect to offences committed in foreign territory and instigated or aided in British territory will require careful consideration especially in its application to foreigners and with reference to minor offences which may be innecent acts under the foreign law

¹ Peg v Debruid 11 Cox C. C. 207 ² Empress v S Moorga Chetty I. L. R. 5 Bom. 338

Under the Orders in Council made in pursuance of the Foreign successive Foreign Junisdiction Acts British courts have from Acts been established and British jurisdiction is exercised in numerous foreign territories in respect not only of British subjects, but of foreigners, 1 e in cases to which Parliamentary legislation would not ordinarily extend But this jurisdiction, though recognized, confirmed, supported, and regulated by Acts of Parliament, derives its authority ultimately, not from Parliament, but from powers inherent in the Crown or conceded to the Crown by a foreign State 1

The jurisdiction arose historically out of the arrangements Original of which have been made at various times between the Western consular jurisdic-Powers and the rulers of Constantinople These arrange-tion The capiments date from a period long before the capture of Con-tulations stantinople by the Turks As far back as the ninth and tenth centuries the Greek Emperors of Constantinople granted to the Warings or Varangians from Scandinavia capitulations or rights of extra-territoriality, which gave them permission. to own wharves, carry on trade, and govern themselves in the Eastern capital The Venetians obtained similar capitulations in the eleventh century, the Amalfians in 1056, the Genoese in 1098, and the Pisans in 1110, and thenceforward they became extremely general When the Turks took Constantinople they did little to interfere with the existing order of things, and the Genoese and Venetian capitulations The first of what may be called the modern were renewed ² capitulations was embodied in the Treaty of February, 1535, between Francis I of France and Soliman the Magnificent

¹ The first and most important section of the Foreign Jurisdiction Act 1890 (53 & 54 Vict c 37), is in form a declaration as well as an enactment Section 2 is in form an enactment only, and possibly the difference was intentional

² See the Introduction by J Theodore Bent to Early Voyages and Travels in the Levant, pp 11, 111-Publications of the Hakluyt Society Mr Rashdall has drawn an interesting parallel between the self-governing communities of foreign merchants in Oriental countries and the self-governing communities of foreign students which, at Bologna and elsewhere were eventually developed into Universities (Universities of Europe in the Middle Ages. As to the jurisdiction over students at Bologna, see ibid pp 178 sqq

This treaty although as has been seen it embodied no new principle yet from another point of view marked a new and important departure in international law if and so far as international law can be said to have existed at the beginning of the auxteenth century. The modern capitulations negatived the theory that the infidel was the natural and necessary enemy of a Christian State and admitted the Mahomedan State of Turkey for limited purposes into the family of European Christian States. At the same time they recognized the broad differences between Christian and Mahomedan institutions habits and feelings by insisting on the with drawal from the jurisdiction of the local courts of Christian foreigners who recorted to Turkish territory for the purposes of trade and by establishing officers and courts with jurisdiction over disputes between such foreigners.

The principles on which separate laws and a separate jurisdiction have been at times different and in different countries claimed on behalf of Western foreigners trading to the East were enunciated many generations afterwards by Lord Stowell in a passage which has become classical —

It is contended on this point that the King of Great Britain does not hold the British possessions in the East Indies in right of sovereignty and therefore that the character of British merchants does not neces sarily attach on foreigners locally resident there. But taking it that such a paramount sovereignty on the part of the Mogul princes really and solidly exists, and that Great Britain cannot be deemed to possess a sovereign right there still it is to be remembered that wherever even a mere factory is founded in the ceatern parts of the world, European persons trading under the shelter and protection of those establishments are conceived to take their national character from that association under which they live and carry on their commerce. It is a rule of the law of nations, applying practically to those countries, and is different from what prevails ordinarily in Europe and the western parts of the world, in which men take their present national character from the general character of the country in which they are resident. And this distinction arises from the nature and habit of the countries. In the western parts of the world alien merchants mix in the society of the natives access and intermixture are permitted and they become incorporated to almost the full extent But in the East from the oldest times, an immiscible character has been kept up; foreigners are not admitted into the general body and mass of the society of the nation

355

they continue strangers and sojourners as their fathers were-Doris amara suam non intermiscuit undam. Not acquiring any national character under the general sovereignty of the country, and not trading under any recognized authority of their own original country, they have been held to derive their present character from that of the association or factory under whose protection they live and carry on their trade 1'

The first of the capitulations granted to England bears The date in the year 1579², and two years afterwards, in 1581, Company Queen Elizabeth established the Levant Company for the purpose of carrying on trade with the countries under the Ottoman Porte In 1605 the company obtained a new charter from James I, and this charter, as confirmed by Charles II, recognized by various Acts of Pailiament, and supplemented by usage, constituted the basis of the British consular jurisdiction in the East until the abolition of the Levant Company in 1825

By the charter of King James, as confirmed by the charter of King Chailes, the company was invested with exclusive privileges of trade in great part of the Levant and Mediterranean seas, and with a general power of making by-laws and appointing consuls with judicial functions in all the regions so designated.

The charter of King James was altogether in the nature of a prerogative grant from home, and was not founded on

¹ The Indian Chief, (1800) 3 Robinson, Adm Rep p 28 See also the remarks of Dr Lushington in the case of the Laconia, (1863) 2 Moo P C, N S, p 183

² The capitulations with England now in force were confirmed by the Treaty of the Dardanelles in 1809, and are to be found in Hertslet's Treatics, 11 346, and in Aitchison's Treaties, third edition, vol xi Appendix I

The statements in the following paragraphs, as to the jurisdiction exercised by the officers of the Levant Company, are derived partly from a memorandum written for the Foreign Office by the late Mr Hope Scott (then Mr J R Hope), by whom the Foreign Jurisdiction Act, 1843, was This memorandum, which at the date of the first edition of this book had not been published, is now printed as Appendix VI to Sir Henry Jenkyns' British Rule and Jurisdiction beyond the Seas] See also the case of The Laconia, Papayanni v The Russian Steam Navigation Company, 2 Moo P C, N S, 161 As to the history of the Levant Company, see Mr Bent's Introduction to Early Voyages and Travels in the Levant, noticed above, and the article on 'Chartered Companies' in the Encyclopaedia of the Laws of England

any recital of concessions made by the various sovereigns in whose dominions it was to take effect. It did not expressly refer to any such concessions as the basis of a power to with draw British subjects from the foreign tribunals and such a power was apparently assumed even in cases in which those tribunals might according to the local law supply the legitimate forum. The charter merely provided that there should be no infraction of treaties.

The main strength of the coercive jurisdiction given by the charter appears in Turkey at least, to have depended on the one hand, upon the corporate character of the company and the power which it thus had over its own members and on the other hand upon its exclusive privileges of trade which enabled it to prevent the influx of disorderly merchants and seemen.

The charter did not contemplate the exercise of any criminal jurisdiction properly so called, nor any of a civil character in mixed suits. These branches of the consular jurisdiction in the East are probably of gradual acquisition and perhaps were not claimed at the time when King James and King Charles granted their charters.

Dimolution of Levent Company The jurisdiction conceded by the Sublime Porte was exercised mainly by officers called consuls who were appointed by the Levant Company and whose procedure was regulated by by laws of the Company made under powers very like those granted to the East India Company

The Levent Company with its exclusive privileges of trading and its indefinite legislative and judicial powers closely resembled the East India Company and the legal

The juri-diction was exercised also by the ambas ador who was appointed by the Crown, but was until 1803 nominated and paid by the Levant Company. He continued to be chief judge of the consular court down t 1877.

Of course the use of the word course is of much older date see Muray's Detionary and Du Conge s v., and the Report of the Select Committee of the House of Common on Convular Establishments, 1813 As to the French con uls in the Levant during and before the seventeenth century see Mason, Hut dis Commerce Français dans It Levant v. xiv

difficulties which arose when the East India Company extended the exercise of its legislative powers beyond the staff of its factories illustrate the technical difficulties which arose or might have arisen under the jurisdiction exercised by the consular officers of the Levant Company But, as the East India Company grew, the Levant Company dwindled, and in 1825 it was formally dissolved. The Act which provided for its dissolution (6 Geo IV, e 33) enacted that thereafter all such rights and duties of jurisdiction and authority over His Majesty's subjects resorting to the ports of the Levant for the purposes of trade or otherwise as were lawfully exercised or performed, or which the various charters or Acts, or any of them, authorized to be exercised and performed, by any consuls or other officers appointed by the company, or which such consuls or other officers lawfully exercised and performed under and by virtue of any power or authority whatever, should be vested in and exercised and performed by such consuls and other officers as His Majesty might be pleased. to appoint for the protection of the trade of His Majesty's subjects in the ports and places mentioned in the charters and Acts

The intention of the Act, doubtless, was to transfer to the Difficulconsular officers appointed by the Crown all the powers ing from formerly vested in the consular officers appointed by the dissolution of Levant Company. But it soon appeared that the dissolution Levant of the company materially increased the difficulty of the task imposed on the consuls. The authority which had previously supported them was gone, and the prescriptive respect which might formerly have attached to the powers conferred by the charter was disturbed by the necessity which had now arisen of testing those powers by the recognized principles of the English constitution

In 1826 the law officers of the Crown threw doubts on the legality of the general powers of fine and imprisonment, and of the power which had previously been held to be vested in the consuls of sending back British subjects in certain

cases to this country and thus the coercive character of the jurisdiction was greatly shaken.

Moreover the Act of George IV had made no provision in hen of the company's power of framing by laws, and no method had been devised for meeting the difficulties arising out of a strict adherence to English jurisprudence and out of deviations from it by the consular tribunals

And, lastly the criminal and international jurisdiction had gradually assumed a form which the new state of affairs rendered in the highest degree important but the exercise of which transcended such authority as the company's consuls might previously have claimed.

In 1836 eleven years after the dissolution of the Levant Company an Act (6 & 7 Will IV c 78) was passed to meet these difficulties - It recited that by the treaties and capitula tions subsisting between His Majesty and the Sublime Porte full and entire jurisdiction and control over British subjects within the Ottoman dominions in matters in which such British subjects are exclusively concerned was given to the British ambassadors and consuls appointed to reside within the said dominions, and that it was expedient for the protection of British subjects within the dominions of the Sublime Porte in Europe Asia and Africa and likewise in the States of Barbary as well as for the protection of His Majesty's ambassadors consuls or other officers appointed or to be appointed by His Majesty for the protection of the trade of His Majesty's subjects in the said ports and places that provision should be made for defining and establishing the authority of the said ambassadors consuls or other officers And it went on to enset that His Majesty might by Orders in Council issue directions to His Majesty's consuls and other officers touching their rights and duties in the protection of his subjects residing in or resorting to the ports and places men tioned and also directions for their guidance in the settlement of differences between subjects of His Majesty and subjects of any other Christian Power in the dominions of the Sublime Porte

The Act of 1836 was a complete failure, and remained a dead letter. Its language and machinery were in many respects defective and open to objection

British extra-territorial jurisdiction in the Levant was Failure of derived from two main sources the authority of the Sublime 1836, its Porte and the authority of the Crown of England charters of James and Charles ignored one of these sources, and used language which seemed to treat the jurisdiction exercised by the consular officers of the Levant Company as resting exclusively on the merogative of the Crown language of the Act of 1825 was sufficiently general to include, and was perhaps intended to include, authority derived from the Porte and from the consent of other European Powers, but the Act makes no specific reference to either of these sources The Act of 1836 erred in the opposite direction Its language was so framed as to countenance the theory, always disavowed by the English Government, that British ambassadois and consuls were in respect of their jurisdictions delegates of the Porte, instead of being officers of the Crown exercising powers conceded to the Crown by the Porte

Again, the preamble, by referring specifically to the capitulations, and to cases in which British subjects were exclusively concerned, tended to discredit those important parts of the jurisdiction which had arisen from usage or which related to cases affecting foreign subjects under the protection of Great Britain

Usage had played an important part in the development of British jurisdiction in the Levant. At the outset that jurisdiction, as has been seen, did not include criminal jurisdiction, properly so called, nor civil jurisdiction in suits of a mixed character. But by 1836 the subject-matter of this jurisdiction appears 1 to have included, either generally and constantly or in some places and occasionally—

- (1) Crimes and offences of whatever kind committed by British subjects,
- (2) Civil proceedings where all parties were British subjects,

¹ According to Mr Hope Scott

(3) Civil proceedings where the defendant was a British subject and the plaintiff a subject of the Porte and

(4) Civil proceedings where the defendant was a British sub ject and the plaintiff subject to another European Power And the exercise of this jurisdiction might be claimed, not only on behalf of British subjects but equally on behalf of subjects of other Powers navigating under the flag or claim ing the protection of Great Britain. It must be borne in mind that the Ionian Islands were at that time under the protection of the British Government and that cases in which Ionian islanders were concerned were apt to come before the consular courts at Constantinople and elsewhere in the Levant. But besides the Ionian islanders, there was a motley crew of persons of different nationalities, hangers-on of the embassy and others who for reasons more or less legitimate claimed British protection. This was the origin of the class of protected persons referred to in modern Orders on Council under the Foreign Jurisdiction Acts 1

Lastly the Act was so vaguely worded as to leave great room for doubt as to the powers conferred by it on the Crown and particularly as to how far the Crown could in accordance with it exercise powers of legislation. This was a matter of the greatest moment Under the capitulations the custom of the English was to be observed on the decision of any suit or other difference or dispute amongst the English themselves And in proceedings between English and Europeans the forum res was customarily allowed to entail the application of English law to an English defendant but a strict adherence to English jurisprudence had never been observed. The law to be administered was so vague and uncertain that a power to declare and modify it had become imperatively necessary

The Act of 1836 was repealed and superseded by the Foreign. Foreign Jurisdiction Act of 1843 (6 & 7 Vict o 94) This

Jurisdiction Act of 1843

It is well known how scandalously the privilege of claiming foreign protection has been abused in places like Tangier As to the restrictions placed on this privilege in Turkey see Young, Corps de Droit Ottoman il. 230.

Act, the provisions of which are now embodied in the Foreign Junisdiction Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict c. 37), was as conspicuous a success as its predecessor was a conspicuous failure. merits were that its iccitals were sufficiently comprehensive to cover all possible sources of extra-territorial jurisdiction, and that its enacting words embodied a formula of great simplicity, and yet sufficiently elastic to cover all modes in which extra-territorial jurisdiction need be exercised theory on which the Act proceeded was that, in places beyond the Queen's dominions where the Queen had jurisdiction, she ought, with respect to the persons under that jurisdiction, to be in the same position as that which she occupies in a territory acquired by conquest or cession, that is to say, ought to have full power of legislating by Order in Council The Act recited (as the Act of 1890 now recites) that by treaty capitulation, grant, usage, sufferance, and other lawful means Her Majesty hath power and jurisdiction within divers countries and places out of Her Majesty's dominions, and that doubts have arisen how far the exercise of such power and jurisdiction is controlled by and dependent on the law and customs of this realm, and it is expedient that such doubts should be removed It then declared and enacted, in terms reproduced by the Act of 1890, that 'it is and shall be lawful for Her Majesty to hold, exercise, and enjoy any power or jurisdiction which Her Majesty now hath, or may at any time hereafter have, within any country or place out of Hei Majesty's dominions in the same and as ample a manner as if Her Majesty had acquired such power or jurisdiction by the cession or conquest of territory'

To illustrate the effect of this enactment by a concrete instance, the King has, with respect to the jurisdiction exercisable by him at Shanghai, a place within the territorial limits of the empire of China, the same power as he has in Hong Kong, a British Crown colony outside the territorial limits of China and acquired by cession

Under the Foreign Jurisdiction Act of 1843, and the various

Law framed and administered under Foreign Jurisdiction Acts.

Three stages in history

of Acts. First

stage

tion to States

under

regular Clovern-

ments.

enactments which have been passed for amending and extending it, and which are now embodied in the Consolidation Act of 1890 consular and other judicial officers have been established in all parts of the world where the sovereign Power is non Christian and extensive codes of law have been framed for their guidance. In most cases the law adopted has been the English law with the necessary modifications and simplifications but at Zannibar which is much resorted to by natives of India and from officers at which place an appeal is given to the High Court of Bombay the law applied is the law of British India. A similar course was adopted in the Persian Coast and Islands Order in Council 1889.

Three stages may be traced in the history of the Foreign Jurisdiction Acts

During the first stage they were applied exclusively to territories under regular Governments to whom consular officers were accredited and where consular jurisdiction was exercised concurrently by the officers of other European States Practically they were only applied to non Christian countries, such as Turkey Persia, and China. Such coun tries as Mr Westlake has observed have civilizations differing from European and so far as they are not Mahomedan from those of one another The Europeans or Americans in them form classes spart and would not feel safe under the local administration of justice which, even were they assured of its integrity could not have the machinery neces sary for giving adequate protection to the unfamiliar interests arising out of a foreign civilization. They were therefore placed under the jurisdiction of the consuls of their respective States pursuant to conventions entered into by the latter with the local Governments

Turkey was the first country to which the Foreign Juris

Chapters on Principles of International Law p. 102.

See the Orders in Council printed in vol. v of the Statutory Rules and Orders Revised.

See the Zanzibar Order in Council 1897 Stat. R. and O Rev v 87 Stat R. and O Rev v 667

diction Acts were applied, and the jurisdiction exercised by Anoma-British authorities in Turkey is now regulated by the Ottoman lous position Order in Council, 1899¹, which extends to all the dominions of Egypt of the Ottoman Porte, including Egypt

The Anglo-French Convention of 1904 virtually recognized the predominant position of the British Government in Egypt, but Egypt has not become a British protectorate, as Tunis has become a French protectorate, and consequently Egypt is still subject to the régime of the Capitulations evils arising out of that régime have been forcibly described by Lord Cromer in his reports on Egypt for the years 1904 Egypt, heremarks, stands in the unique position and 1905 2 of an oriental country which has assimilated a very considerable portion of European civilization, and which is mainly governed by European methods, but which at the same time possesses no machinery for general legislation, such as is possessed by the various states which, in judicial and administrative matters, it is taking as its model. At present so change can be made in any law applicable to Europeans without the unanimous consent of nearly all the Powers of Europe and the United States of America, and experience shows that it is practically impossible to obtain this consent even in matters of minor importance. So long as legislation is conducted by diplomacy, and so long as fifteen separate powers each possess the right of liberum veto on each new legislative proposal, he regards any attempt to introduce the reforms, of which the country stands so much in need, as practically hopeless The remedy which he suggests is the creation of a special legislative body, representative of European foreigners in Egypt, and capable of making laws to bind them,

^{&#}x27;Stat R and O Rev vol v p 742 When Tunis became a French protectorate it was excluded from the operation of the Ottoman Order in Council then in force As to the consular courts and jurisdiction in Turkey see Young, Corps de Droit Ottoman, 1 279

² Egypt, No 1 (1905), Cd 2409, Egypt, No 1 (1906), Cd 2817

³ The capitulations do not apply to the Soudan, which is practically a British protectorate

Second stage: applies tion to barbarous countries.

After the Foreign Jurisdiction Act had been applied to countries like Turkey it became necessary to extend the system of foreign jurisdiction to barbarous countries not under any settled government By an Act of 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 31) 1 the colonial authorities of Sierra Leone were empowered to exercise jurisdiction in the uncivilized terri tories adjoining that colony And by an Act of 1863 (26 & 27 Viet e 35) 1 similar provision was made with respect to territories adjoining the Cape Colony A more important departure in this stage was marked by the passing of the Pacific Islanders Protection Act of 1875 (38 & 30 Vict o 51) By this Act Her Majesty was empowered to create by Order in Council a court of justice with civil, criminal and admiralty jurusdiction over Her Majesty's subjects within certain islands and places in the Western Pacific with power to take cogni zance of all crimes and offences committed by Her Majesty's subjects within any of those islands and places Three years later power was given in more general terms to bring places not within the dominions of any settled government under the operation of the Foreign Jurisdiction Acts By s 5 of the Foreign Jurisdiction Act 1878 (41 & 42 Vict c 67) now reproduced by s 2 of the Foreign Jurisdiction Act 1800 it was enacted that in any country or place out of Her Majesty's dominions in or to which any of Her Majesty's subjects were for the time being resident or resorting and which was not subject to any Government from whom Her Majesty might obtain power and jurisdiction by treaty or any of the other means mentioned in the Foreign Jurisdiction Act 1843 Her Majesty should by virtue of the Act have power and jurisdiction over Her Majesty's subjects 2 for the time being resident in or resorting to that country or place and the same should be deemed to be power and jurisdiction

¹ This Act is still in force but may be revoked or varied by an Order in Council under the Foreign Jurisdiction Act 1890 (see 53 and 54 Vict. c. 37 a. 17).

Note that the jurisdiction under these enactment is expressly confined to British subjects.

had by Her Majesty therein within the Foreign Jurisdiction Act, 1843

An important stage was reached when the Foreign Juris-Third diction Acts were applied to protectorates In territories to applicawhich the Pacific Islanders Protection Act applies, such as tion to protec-Samoa, British officers and French or German officers may torates be exercising jurisdiction side by side. But in their third stage the Foreign Jurisdiction Acts have been applied to certain territories in Africa which are under the exclusive protectorate of England in this sense, that their chiefs are debarred from entertaining diplomatic relations with any other European Power, and that consequently such extra-territorial jurisdiction as is exercised within the territories is monopolized by officers of the British Government instead of being exercised by them concurrently with officers of other European States

The term 'protectorate' acquired international recognition Recogniin the proceedings of the Berlin Conference of 1885, when African it was stipulated (by Art 34 of the Acte Général) that any protectorates Power which might thereafter either acquire possession of by Berlin or assume a protectorate over, any territory on the coast ence of Africa, should notify the same to the other signatory Powers, in order to give them an opportunity of putting forward any claim to which they might conceive themselves This stipulation did not apply to annexations or protectorates in the interior 1

Immediately after the signature of the general Act of Charter to Berlin, the Emperor William granted to the German Coloniza-German tion Society in East Africa a charter of protection, in which tion Society he spoke of territories which by certain traders had been ceded to him for the German Colonization Society, with 'territorial superiority 2,' and granted to the society, on

¹ The general Act of Berlin is to be found in Hertslet, Map of Africa by Treaty 1 20 There are several references to protectorates in other articles of the Act of Berlin, and also in the subsequent Brussels Act with respect to the African Slave Trade, Hertslet, 1 48

2 The word used in the charter is 'Landeshoheit,' and is translated in

Hertslet's Map of Africa by Treaty as 'sovereign rights'

certain conditions the authority to exercise all rights arising from their treaties including that of jurisdiction over both the natives and the subjects of Germany and of other nations established in those terfitories, or sojourning there for commercial or other purposes ¹

Questions as to effect of German charter

As to the legal and international effects of this charter and of the later imperial Act of April, 1886 by which the charter has apparently been superseded, many questions have been raised by writers on international law both in this country and on the Continent. Have the territories to which they apply become German territory in a sense which imports all the rights and responsibilities of territorial sovereignty? Or are they merely subject to a German protectorate implying a lesser degree of sovereignty and responsibility?

In considering these questions it must be borne in mind that Germany had in 1886 practically no colonial experience England, with her vast system of colonies and dependencies and with her factories and mercantile establishments in every part of the world is familiar with the several distinctions for legislative judicial and executive purposes between the British dominions as a whole and the places outside the British dominions in which British jurisdiction is exercised between the United Kingdom and the colonies and dependencies which, with the United Kingdom make up the British Empire and are sometimes described collectively in Acts of Parliament as British possessions and lastly between the several classes of British possessions and with the mode in which extent to which and conditions under which imperial authority may be exercised in places belonging to each of these categories. Germany when the present empire was formed had no colonies and few important mercantile settlements in foreign countries and the constitution of the empire contained no provision for the mode in which authority was

Hert let Map of Africa by Treaty 1 303

See e.g. Hall, Foreign Jurisdiction of the Brit ik Crosen part III, chap. 3; Westhale Chapters on the Principl soft transformal Line p. 177; Despayment 1 art 1 Protection to shap, III.

to be exercised in any possessions or colonies which might subsequently be acquired Hence the antithesis which was most present to the minds of German statesmen and jurists was that between their home or European territories—the Reschsgebiet proper-and their new acquisitions beyond the seas, and the tendency was to distinguish these latter by the collective name of protected territory, or 'Schutzgebiet' It was not unnatural that this appellation should appear inconveniently indefinite, and that more precise information should have been desiderated as to the category in which these territories ought to be placed, as to whether they were or were not to be treated, for international purposes, as German territory, as to whether the natives were or were not German subjects, and generally as to the nature and extent of the rights claimed and responsibilities assumed by the German sovereign within these regions. African protectorates are still in a transitional and experimental stage, and it is not always easy to give a piecise answei to questions of this kind The German Protectorate in East Africa, with its double government by the Impenal Crown and by a chartered company, was a political experiment resembling in its nature, and perhaps consciously modelled on, the earlier form of The vagueness of language of the British rule in India German charter and Act finds a close parallel in the vagueness of language of the English regulating Act of 1773, and this vagueness is probably attributable in each case to the same As Sir James Stephen has remarked 1, the authors of the Regulating Act 'wished that the King of England should act as the sovereign of Bengal, but they did not wish to proclaim him to be so'

The questions which were raised with reference to the Ques German protectorate claimed in 1885 may be laised, and as to English have been raised, with reference to the English protectorates protectorates established in various parts of Africa over regions occupied Africa by uncivilized tribes The term 'protectorate,' it has been

observed implies a protecting State and a protected State How can it be applied to uncivilized regions where there is no organized State to protect ! In what respects does a protectorate of this kind where all the effective powers of sovereignty are exercised by the protecting State differ from territorial sovereignty 1 1 The tenuity of the distinction between a protectorate of this kind and territorial sovereignty was well illustrated by the Jameson case of 1806 In that case the expedition started from two points one of which, Mafeking was within the boundaries of the Cape Colony and therefore clearly within British territory whilst the other Pitsani Pitalogo was within the Bechnanaland Protectorate The Lord Chief Justice in charging the jury 1 intimated clearly that in his opinion the latter of these places as well as the former must at all events for the purposes of the Act under which the indictment was framed (the Foreign Enlistment Act 1870 33 & 34 Vict. c 90 s 11) be treated as if it were within the limits of Her Majesty's dominions

The following are illustrative specimens of treaties made with native chiefs in Africa. —

[same of chef] hereby declares that he has placed himself and all his territories, countries, peoples, and subjects under the protection, rule, and government of the Imperial British East Africa Company and has coded to the said Company all its [gs. his] sovereign rights and rights of government over all his territories, countries, peoples, and subjects, in consideration of the said Company granting the protection of the said Company to him, his territories, countries, peoples, and subjects, and extending to them hes benefit of the rule and government of the said Company And he undertakes to holst and recognize the flag of the said Company Hertslet, Map of Africa by Treaty 1: 166.

We, the undersigned Sub-Chiefs, acting for and on behalf of the Waryawa people Bring within [specified limits], most carnestly beseech Her Most Gracious Majesty the Queen of Great Brains and Ireland to take our country ourselves, and our peoples under her pecial protection, we solemnly pledging and bind ng ourselves and our peoples to observe the following conditions:—

I That we give over all our country within the above-described limits all sovereign rights, and all and every other claim absolutely and without any reservation whatever to Her Most Gracious Majesty the Queen [&c] for all time coming Hertslet i 183.

It is difficult to see what residuum of sovereignty remains after these costons.

Times, July 29 1896.

And this might, perhaps, reasonably be held, for the nature of the sovereignty exercised by the British Crown within the protectorate was such that the British Crown and its agents and officers could, whilst a protected native chief could not, prevent an aggression from the protectorate into neighbouring territory, and consequently such an aggression was within the mischief of the Act 1. It must be remembered, however, that the points of law arising in the Jameson case were not fully argued, and that the language of a charge to the jury cannot always be construed with the same strictness as the language of a judgement The law was laid down in the Jameson case with reference to the construction of a particular statute, and the propositions embodied in the chief justice's charge must not receive too wide an application. It seems clear that for ordinary purposes the territory of a protectorate is foreign and not British territory. If this were not so, orders for establishing and regulating the jurisdiction exercisable within it by British authorities could not be made under the Foreign Jurisdiction Act Perhaps it would be accurate to say that for the purposes of municipal law the territory of the Bechuanaland Protectorate is not, but for the purposes of international law must be treated as if it were, part of the British dominions The line of division is thin, but it exists, and it has its utility If the objection is raised that protectorates of this kind are inconsistent with previously received rules and formulae of international law, the answer is that they have been found by practical experience to provide a convenient halfway house between complete annexation and complete abstinence from interference, that international law is an understanding between civilized nations with respect to the rules applicable to certain existing facts, that it is in a state of constant growth and development, and that when new facts make their appearance the appropuate rules and formulae will speedily be devised 2

LBIT

¹ See the Orderin Council as to jurisdiction in the protectorate, below, p. 375

² The terms 'protectorate' and 'sphere of influence' have sometimes B b

Persons over whom consular jurisdiction is exercisable.

The application to protectorates of the machinery of the Foreign Jurisdiction Acts has brought into greater prominence the question as to the classes of persons with respect to whom the jurisdiction exercised in accordance with those Acts can be or ought to be exercised. The sasswer to these questions depends upon the nature and origin of the jurisdiction and on the terms of the instrument by which the jurisdiction is regulated As the jurisdiction is derived from an arrangement between the British Crown and the territorial sovereign it clearly can be made exercisable in the case of persons under other of those authorities. But in the territories where it was first exercised it was required for the protection of foreigners, and was not intended for and was not exercised in the case of subjects of the territorial sovereign. The classes of persons for whom it was intended were either British subjects or persons entitled to the political protection of the British Crown. And the Ottoman Order in Council of 1800 (Articles 16-10) like other Orders in Council framed on the same lines includes British protected persons in its definition of British subjects (Art 3) and orders provision for the registration of British subjects as so defined. In the

been loosely treated as synonymous. But the latter term has merely a negative meaning. It implies an engagement between two States, that one of them will abstain from interfering or exercising influence within cortain territories, which, as between the contracting parties, are reserved for the operations of the other. Such an engagement does not of itself involve the exercise of any powers or the assumption of any responsibility by either State within the sphere of influence reserved to itself. But the exclusion of interference by one of the States within a particular territory may involve the assumption by the other of some degree of responsibility for the maintenance of order within that territory Thus a sphere of influence is a possible protectorate and tends to pass into a protectorate, just as a protectorate tends to pass into complete sovercianty blef use of establishing a sphere of influence appears to be to minimiz the risk of nar arising from acrambies for territory and to obviate the necessity for effective occupation as a bar to annexation or encroachment by a competent State But the arrangement on which a sphere of influence based has, of itself no international validity and is not binding except on such States as are parties to the arrangement. The phrase was invented to meet a tran ient tate of things, and is perhaps tending to become obsolete.

case of the Laconia 1, which was between British subjects and Russian subjects in respect of a collision between a British and a Russian ship, it was found by the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council that the Ottoman Government had long acquiesced in allowing the British Government jurisdiction between British subjects and subjects of other Christian States exercised by means of consular courts, and that whilst there was no compulsory power in a British court in Turkey over any but British subjects, a Russian oi other foreigner might voluntarily submit to the jurisdiction of such a court with the consent of his sovereign

The decision in the Laconia case applied to a state of cit-Consecumstances where there were several Powers exercising extra-quences of territorial jurisdiction in the territories of the same State ment of It requires modification in its application to the conditions torate The assumption of control over the foreign of a protectorate relations, or, to use another expression, over the external sovereignty, of a State implies the assumption of responsibility both for the safety and for the good conduct of foreigners who resort to the territories of the protected State and who are not subjects of the protecting State, that is to say, for matters which, in the case of an independent State, are dealt with by diplomatic intervention. And, except where the local law and administration of justice are in full conformity with European standards, this responsibility cannot be effectively discharged unless the courts of the protecting State exercise jurisdiction over such foreigners

Conversely, when the protecting State establishes courts with competent jurisdiction and adequate security for the administration of justice in accordance with Western ideas, the necessity for consular courts of other Western Powers Thus, when France established a protectorate over the regency of Tunis and set up French courts in the regency, the Queen consented to abandon her consular jurisdiction, with a view to British subjects in the regency becom-

1 (1863) 2 Moo P C, N S, 161, 33 Law Journal, N S, P M & A 11

in Africa

ing justiciable by those French courts under the same conditions as French subjects ¹

Accordingly the assumption of an exclusive protectorate seems to imply the exercise of jurisdiction over foreigners and the exclusion of the jurisdiction of foreign consular courts and in the opinion of the latest authorities on international law jurisdiction over foreigners is in such protectorates legally exercisable under the Foreign Jurisdiction Act². The mode in which the powers exercisable under the Foreign Jurisdiction Act have been applied to uncivilized regions and have been gradually extended in their adaptation to protectorates may be illustrated by the Orders in Council which have at various times been made for different regions

Jurisdiction in African protectorates.

A comparatively early stage in the process of development is represented by an Order of 1889³ under which local juris dictions could be constituted where necessary. The Order declared that the powers conferred by it within a local juris diction was to extend to the persons and matters following in so far as by treaty grant usage sufferance or other lawful means. Her Majesty had power or authority in relation to such persons and matters that is to say—

- (1) British subjects as defined by the Order
- (.) The property and personal and proprietary rights and obligations of British subjects within the local juris diction (whether such subjects were or were not within the jurisdiction) including British ships with their boats and the persons and property on board thereof or belonging thereto.
- (3) Foreigners as defined by the Order who should submit

Ti e British consular jurisdiction established in Tunis under the Foreign Jurisdiction Acts was expressly abolished by the Order in Council of Decomber 31 1883.

See e.g. Westlake p. 187

The Africa Order in Council, 1889. Stat. R. and O. Rer. vol. v. p. i.
This Order and the amending Order of 1892 have been practically superseded by the Orders of 1902 for British Central Africa and British East Africa. of the Order.

(4) Foreigners, as defined by the Order, with respect to whom any State, king, chief, or Government, whose subjects, or under whose protection they are, had, by any treaty, as defined by the Order, or otherwise, agreed with Her Majesty for, or consented to, the exercise of power or authority by Her Majesty

The term 'Bitish subject' was defined as including not only Bitish subjects in the proper sense of the word, but also any persons enjoying Her Majesty's protection and, in particular, subjects of the several princes and States in India in alliance with Her Majesty, residing and being in the parts of Africa mentioned in the Order 1. The term 'foreigner' was defined as meaning a person, whether a native or subject of Africa or not, who was not a British subject within the meaning of the Order.

Whether the Order authorized the exercise of criminal jurisdiction over 'foreigners' seems open to doubt, and the exercise under it of civil jurisdiction in respect of a 'foreigner' was expressly declared to require his specific consent in each case, whilst the court was also empowered to require evidence that no objection was made by the Government whose subject the foreigner was

These restrictions on the exercise of jurisdiction over foreigners were soon found to be incompatible with the conditions of a protectorate, and accordingly the jurisdiction received a wide extension under the Africa Order in Council, 1892. This Order, after reciting in the usual terms that, by treaty, grant, usage, sufferance, and other lawful means, Her Majesty the Queen had power and jurisdiction in the parts of Africa mentioned in the Order of 1889, went on to recite that—

This language is in accordance with the terms of the enactment which is reproduced by a 15 of the Foreign Jurisdiction Act, 1890, and which was passed before the Interpretation Act, 1889

By the general Act of the Conference of Berlin signed in 1885 the several Powers who were parties thereto (in this Order referred to as the Signatory Powers) declared, with respect to occupations in Africa by any of the Signatory Pywers, that the establishment of authority in protected territories was an obligation resting upon the respective protecting Powers and that, in order to the due fulfilment of the said obligations, as respects territories and places within the limits of the Order of 1889, which Her Majesty should have declared to be under the protection of Her Majesty it was necessary that the subjects of the Signatory Powers, other than Her Majesty should be justicable under that order in like manner as British subjects, and that for this purpose the provisions of the Order referring to British subjects should, as far as uncettedable, be extended to the subjects of theo-Powers.

It then proceeded to enact that ---

Where Her Majesty has declared any territory or place within the limits of the Africa Order in Council, 1885, to be a protectorate of Her Majesty the provisions of that Order having reference to British subjects except Part XIV thereof a shall extend in like manner to foreigners to whom this Order applies, and all such foreigners shall be justiciable by the courts constituted by the said Order for the protectorate under the same conditions as British subjects, and to the extent of the jurn diction vested by law in those courts and Part XII and so much of the rest of the Order as requires the consent of any foreigner as a condition of the exercise of jurisdiction shall be of no force or effect in the protectorate, so far as respects foreigners to whom this Order applies.

The Order defined the expression foreigners to whom thus Order applies as meaning subjects of any of the Signatory Powers, except Her Majesty or of any other Power which had consented that its subjects should be justiclable under the Africa Order of 1889 and the Order of 1892

It will be seen that the jurisdiction exercisable under the Orders of 1889 and 1892 though very extensive in its scope was still personal in its character

These Orders were framed by the Foreign Office But in the meantime the Colonial Office had been framing Orders which proceeded on different and bolder lines and which appear to give jurisdiction in general terms without distinction between British subjects and foreigners and without reference to any acquiescence or consent express or implied The

¹ Part XII provides for the regi tration of British subjects.

As to civil jurisdiction over foreigners with the consent of themselves or their Government

Order made for the Bechuanaland Protectorate on May 9, 1891, after reciting that the territories of South Africa situate within the limits of the Order as described were under the protection of Her Majesty the Queen, and that by treaty, grant, usage, sufferance, and other lawful means Hei Majesty had power and jurisdiction in those territories, enacted as follows—

'II The high commissioner may, on Her Majesty's behalf, exercise all powers and jurisdiction which Her Majesty, at any time before of after the date of this Order, had or may have within the limits of this Order, and to that end may take or cause to be taken all such measures, and may do or cause to be done all such matters and things within the limits of this Order as are lawful, and as in the interest of Her Majesty's service he may think expedient, subject to such instructions as he may from time to time receive from Her Majesty or through a secretary of state

'III The high commissioner may appoint so many fit persons as in the interest of Her Majesty's service he may think necessary to be deputy commissioners, or resident commissioners, or assistant commissioners, or judges, magistrates, or other officers, and may define from time to time the districts within which such officers shall respectively discharge their functions

'Every such officer may exercise such powers and authorities as the high commissioner may assign to him, subject nevertheless to such directions and instructions as the high commissioner may from time to time think fit to give him

'The appointment of such officers shall not abridge, alter, or affect the right of the high commissioner to execute and discharge all the powers and authorities hereby conferred upon him

'The high commissioner may remove any officer so appointed

'IV In the exercise of the powers and authorities hereby conferred upon him, the high commissioner may, amongst other-things, from time to time, by proclamation provide for the administration of justice, the raising of revenue, and generally for the peace, order, and good government of all persons within the limits of this Order, including the pio hibition and punishment of acts tending to disturb the public peace

'The high commissioner in issuing such proclamations shall respect any native laws or customs by which the civil relations of any native chiefs, tribes, or populations under Her Majesty's protection are now regulated, except so far as the same may be incompatible, with the due exercise of Her Majesty's power and jurisdiction

'VII The courts of British Bechuanaland shall have in respect of matters occurring within the limits of this Order the same jurisdiction,

civil and criminal, original and appellate, as they respectively possess from time to time in respect of matters occurring within British Bechuanaland, and the judgements, decrees, orders, and sentences of any such court made or given in the exercise of the jurisdiction hereby conferred may be enforced and executed, and appeals therefrom may be had and prosecuted, in the same way as if the judgement, decree, order or sentence had been made or given under the ordinary jurisdiction of the court

But the jurisdiction hereby conferred shall only be exercised by such courts, and in such manner and to such extent, as the Governor of British Bechuanaland shall by proclamation from time to time direct

The Matabeleland Order in Council 1894 now superseded by the Southern Rhodesia Order in Council, 1808 was framed on similar principles and the same principles have been followed in the Orders which have since been made for different parts of South East and West Africa. They set up high courts and make administrative and legislative arrangements hardly distinguishable in their character from those adopted for regions which have been formally incorporated in the King s dominions 1

Conclumone as to jurisdic-Foreign Jurisdie. tion Acts.

- The general conclusions as to the classes of persons and cases with respect to which jurisdiction may be exercised by tion under courts established by Orders in Council in accordance with the Foreign Jurisdiction Acts appear to be-
 - I The principles on which the jurisdiction rests do not exclude its exercise with respect to any classes of persons being the subjects or under the authority of the State which establishes the court or of the State in whose territory the court is established or any classes of cases whether civil or criminal.
 - 2 But in practice the jurisdiction being required mainly for the protection of foreigners is not usually exercised in

See the Africa Order printed in Stat. R and O Rev vol. v The Orders in Council made for the hinterland protectorates adjoining British colonies on the West Coast of Africa have instead of defining the jurisdiction exercleable in protectorates, transferred the powers of legislating for them to the legislature of the adjacent Briti h colony The legislation under these powers is either specific or simply applies the colonial law. In some cases jurisdiction appears to be exercisable over all persons. In other cases it is left with the chiefs, subject to the direction and control of the British authorities

disputes between natives of the country or in criminal proceedings which do not affect foreigners

- 3 As respects persons who are not subjects either of the State which establishes the court, or of the State in whose territory the court is established, the exercise of the jurisdiction, according to the view adopted in framing most of the Orders in Council, requires consent, express or implied, on the part of those persons or of the States to whom they belong, but a general consent to the exercise of jurisdiction over all or any of the subjects of any State may be implied by acquiescence, or by such acts as the recognition of a protectorate
- 4 In the case of certain protectorates in Africa the jurisdiction has been given in more general and indefinite terms, and apparently is capable of being exercised over any persons and in any cases over and in which territorial jurisdiction is exercisable ¹
- 5 The Order in Council can limit and define in any manner which may be considered expedient the classes of persons and cases with respect to which jurisdiction is to be exercised

In considering the application of the foregoing principles Application of India, the chief differences to be borne in mind are—

tion of principles

- (I) The limitations on othe powers of the Indian Legisla- to India ture, by which is meant the authority described in Acts of Pailiament as 'the Governor-General in Council at meetings for the purpose of making laws and regulations',
- (2) The special relation in which the Government of India, as representative of the paramount Power, stands to the Native States

The references to native law and custom in some of these Orders clearly show that jurisdiction was intended to be exercised under them in cases between natives of the country. For a very curious illustration of the mode in which this kind of jurisdiction has been exercised on the West Coast of Africa. See Fanti Customary Laws, by J. M. Sarbah (London, 1897).

Powers of Indian Logisla ture.

The Indian Legislature is the creation of statute. Its powers are derived wholly from Acts of Parliament and are limited with reference to persons places and subject-matter by the Acts of Parliament by which they are conferred

Section 43 of the Government of India Act 1833 (3 & 4 Will IV c 85) empowered the Governor General in Council to make subject to certain restrictions laws and regulations for repealing amending or altering any laws or regulations whatever then in force or thereafter to be in force in the said territories (i e the territories under the government of the East India Company) or any part thereof and to make laws and regulations for all persons whether British or native foreigners or others and for all courts of justice whether established by His Majesty's charters or otherwise and the jurisdictions thereof and for all places and things whatsoever within and throughout the whole and every part of the said territories and for all servants of the said Company pithin the dominions of princes and States in alliance with the said Company (i e the East India Company) 1

As to the powers exercisable under this section the following opinion was given to the East India Company in 1839 :—

We think the Legislative Council has power to make laws to provide for the punishment of offences in cases here contemplated. The Legislative Council has power to pass laws enacting and declaring that crimes and offences committed in the territories of princes or States in India adjacent to the British territories by persons, the native subjects of and owing obedience to the laws of such British territories, shall be liable to be tried and punished as if committed within the local | mits of the British territories. Crimes and offences against the State and the crimes of forgery coining &c might frequently be committed without the limits of the Company s territories. Indeed, by the existing laws British subjects are hable to bo tried in the supreme courts for offences committed anywhere within the Company's limits. We do not consider the affirmative clause in 3 & 4 Will IV c 85 s. 43, giving the power to the Legislative Council to make laws " for all servants of the said Company within the dominions of princes and States in alliance with the said Company as restraining the Legislative Council from making laws for the purposes in question but as either perhaps unnecessary or as meant to remove all doubt as to the power to bind servants of the Company in the particular case specified, who might not be (as occasionally happens) either natives or subjects of the British territories or British subjects of Her Majesty

We think that the Legislative Council ha power in the same manner

This section has been superseded by the Indian Councils Act 1861 and has been repealed, but is still of importance as the enactment under which the Penal Code of 1860 was made

The enactments on which the powers of the Indian Legislature now depend are the Indian Councils Act, 1861, as supplemented by an Act of 1865 and an Act of 1869, and explained by an Act of 1892

Section 22 of the Indian Councils Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict c 67), empowered the Indian Legislature, subject to the provisions of the Act to make laws and regulations for repealing, amending or altering any laws or regulations whatever 'now in force or hereafter to be in force in the Indian territories now under the dominion of Her Majesty, and to make laws and regulations for all persons, whether British or native foreigners or others and for all courts of justice whatever, and for all places and things whatever, within the said territories and for all servants of the Government of India within the dominions of princes and States in alliance with Her Majesty'

Section I of the Government of India Act, 1865 (28 & 29 Vict c 15), after reciting that the Governoi-General in Council had power to make laws and regulations for all persons, British or native, within the Indian dominions, and

to provide for the trial and punishment of crimes and offences committed upon the high seas, enacting and declaring them to be offences of the same quality and triable and punishable as if they had been committed on land as has been done as to offences committed at sea by British statutes. It would, of course, be proper to limit the application of such a law to persons, natives and subjects, owing obedience to the laws of the British territories. For piracy, &c., provision has been made by existing laws

(Signed) J Campbell, R M Rolfe, R Spankie, James Wigram

'Temple, January 30, 1839'

But it is difficult to reconcile this opinion with the opinion subsequently given as to the inability of the Indian Legislature to pass laws binding on natives of British India outside the territories of British India (see Forsyth, Cases and Opinions on Constitutional Law, pp. 17, 32)

that it was expedient to enlarge the powers of the Governor General in Council by authorizing him to make laws and regulations for all British subjects within the dominions of native princes empowered the Indian Legislature to make laws and regulations for all British subjects of Her Majesty within the dominions of princes and States in India in alliance with Her Majesty whether in the service of the Government of India or otherwise.

Section 1 of the Indian Councils Act 1859 (32 & 33 Vict c 98) empowered the Indian Legislature to make laws and regulations for all persons being native Indian subjects of Her Majesty without and beyond as well as within the Indian territories under the dominion of Her Majesty

Section 2 of the Indian Councils Act 1892 (55 & 56 Viet c 14) explains that the expression now under the dominion of Her Majesty in the Act of 1861 is to be read as if the words or hereafter were inserted after now

It will be observed that the expression used in the Act of 1861 is "within the dominions of princes and States in alliance with Her Majesty an expression substituted for and apparently framed on the words in the Act of 1833 princes and States in alliance with the said Company The expression in the Act of 1865 is princes and States in India in alliance with Her Majesty' The language used in the Act of 1861 if construed literally would seem wide enough to include the territories of any friendly State whether in Europe or elsewhere But some limitation must be placed upon it and it may perhaps be construed as including States having treaty relations with the Crown through the Government of India, whether subject to the suzerainty of Her Majesty or not 1 However this may be the power of the Indian Legislature to make laws binding on persons other than natives of British India outside British India and the Native

¹This seems to be the construction adopted by the late Mr Justice Stephen, who says: The Government of India has power to legislat for public servants both in Native States included in British India, and in Native States adjacent to British India. History of Cr mined Law ii 12. States of India, seems under existing encumstances, to be open to question

Doubts have also been raised as to the class of persons for whom, under the denomination of 'Biltish subjects,' legislative powers may be exercised under the Act of 1865 amble of that Act speaks of 'all persons, British or natives, within the Indian dominions,' and the Act then gives power to legislate for all British subjects in Native States accordingly argued that 'British subjects' did not include natives of British India 1 The difficulty arising from this particular doubt was removed by the wider language of the Act of 1869, but it is still not perfectly clear whether the power of the Indian Legislature under the Acts of 1865 and 1869 to make laws operating on British subjects outside British India extends to persons who are neither British subjects of European descent nor natives of British India The earlier enactments relating to India were passed at a time when it was doubtful whether, or how far, British sovereignty extended beyond the presidency towns, and when full powers of sovereignty were not exercised over natives of the country even within those towns Notwithstanding the declaration in the preamble to the Charter Act of 1813 that the possession of the territorial acquisitions of the Company in India was to be 'without prejudice to the undoubted sovereignty of the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Butain and Ireland in and over the same,' there was still 100m for doubt whether the native inhabitants of those possessions were British subjects within the meaning usually attached to that term by Acts of Parliament, and whether their status did not more nearly resemble that of natives of the territories in Africa which are under British protection, but have not been formally incorporated in the British dominions Consequently the term 'British subject' has to be construed in a restricted sense in the earlier of these enactments, and it is possible that the restricted meaning

¹ See Minutes by Sir H S Maine, Nos 36 and 73

which had been attached to it by usage still continued to attach to it when used in some of the enactments dating subsequently to the time when British India had passed under the direct and immediate sovereignty of the Crown. The term as used in Acts of Parliament was never precisely defined and perhaps was treated as including generally white-skinned residents or sojourners in the country by way of contradistinction to the native population.

After the status of Roman citizenship had been extended to all the inhabitants of British India the Indian Legislature found it expedient to devise a term which should indicate the class formerly known as British subjects in the narrower sense and for that purpose they invented the definition of European British subject which is now to be found in a 4 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1898. That section declares that European British subject means—

 Any subject of Her Majesty born, naturalized, or domiciled in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, or in any of

The doubts which were at one time entertained as to the meaning to be attached to the term British subject, in its application to persons born or living in India, are well illustrated by a note which is quoted at p. 89 of Morley . Depost from an edition by Mr L. Clarke of the statute Geo. IV c. 33 This note says According to one opinion, all person born within the Company's territories are British subjects. This opinion is founded on the supposition that these territories are British colonies and stand in the same situation as the island of Bombay the Canadas, the Cape of Good Hope or any other colony which has been acquired by conquest or ceded by treaty According to another opinion, those persons only are British who are natives, or the legitimate descendants of natives, of the United kingdom or the colonies which are admitted to be annexed to the Crown. A third opinion considers Christianity to be a test of an individual being a British subject provided that the person was born in the Company' territories and seconding to this an Armenian, or the legitimate offspring (being a Christian) of English and native parents, would be a British subject. Nothing positive can be gathered from any of the let of Parliament excepting that 9 Geo. Il c. 33, appears to negative the position that Muhammadam and Hindus are British subjects; and the Jury let 7 Geo Il c. 37 seems to be equally opposed to any persons being Briti h subjects but natives, or the legitimate descendants of natives, of the United Lingdom or its acknowledged colonies.

See to the fifth Appendix to the Report from the Select Committee of the House of Common in 1831 pp. 1114 1142, 1146 et seq., 1168 1178, 1220, 4to edition. the European, American, or Australian colonies or possessions of Her Majesty, or in the colony of New Zealand, or in the colony of Cape of Good Hope or Natal,

'(2) Any child or grandchild of any such person by legitimate descent.'

This definition is open to much criticism, and obviously ens both by way of redundancy and by way of deficiency can hardly be treated as a piecise equivalent of the term 'Butish subject' in its older sense, although it is intended to have approximately the same meaning If the term 'Butish subject' in the Act of 1865 were to be construed as equivalent to 'European British subject' in the Indian Code of Criminal Procedure, there would appear to be no power under the existing statutory enactments for the Indian Legislature to make laws, say, for a native of Ceylon in the territories of the Nizam But the language of the Act of 1865 can hardly be construed by the light of an artificial definition which was invented at a subsequent date even if the expression is used in a restricted sense, probably the most reasonable construction to put on it is that it includes all British subjects except natives of India

The Indian Legislature has also power under special enactments to make laws with extra-territorial operation on particular subjects. For instance, under the Indian Marine Service Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict c 38), the Indian Legislature may make laws for the Indian Marine Service with operation throughout Indian waters, which are defined as the high seas between the Cape of Good Hope on the west and the Straits of Magellan on the east, and any territorial waters between those limits

So also s 264 of the Merchant Shipping Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict c 60), enacts that if the legislature of a British possession—an expression including India—by, any law apply or adapt to any British ships registered at, trading with, or being at any port in that possession, and to the owners and masters and crews of those ships, any provisions in Part II of that Act which do not otherwise so apply, the

)

law is to have effect throughout His Majesty's dominions and in all places where His Majesty has jurisdiction in the same manner as if it were enacted in the Merchant Shipping Act itself

In like manner s 368 of the Merchant Shipping Act enacts that the Governor General of India in Council may by any Act passed for the purpose declare that all or any of the provisions of Part III of the Merchant Shipping Act 1894 shall apply to the carriage of steerage passengers upon any voyage from any specified port in British India to any other specified port whatsoever and may for the purposes of Part III of the Act fix dictary scales declare the space for steerage passengers and do other things and the provisions of any such Act while in force are to have effect without as well as within British India as if enacted by the Merchant Shipping to titled.

Acts of the Imperial Parliament and charters made under or confirmed by such Acts have also given courts in British India eatra territorial jurisdiction which could not have been conferred on them by Acts of the Indian Legislature See cg 33 Geo III c 52 s 156 9 Geo IV c 74 s I 12 & I3 Vict c 96 23 & 24 Vict c 88 53 & 54 Vict c 27

On the same principle the Slave Trade Act 1876 (39 & 40 Viot c 46) enacted that if any person being a subject of Her Majesty or of any prince or State in India in alliance with Her Majesty should on the high seas or in any part of Asia or Africa specified by Order in Council in that behalf commit any of certain offences relating to slave trade under the Penal Code or abet the commission of any such offence he will be dealt with as if the offence or abetment had been committed in any place within British India in which he may be of may be found and under s 2 if the Governor General in Council amends any of those provisions or makes further provisions on the same subject a copy of the amending Act may be laid before both Houses of Parlament and then unless an address is presented to the contrary the King

may by Order in Council give the amending provisions the same extra-territorial operation as the provisions amended 1.

The Indian Legislature has exercised its power of legislating for offences committed outside British India by provisions which are to be found in the Penal Code of 1860 and in the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898

Under s 3 of the Penal Code, any person hable by any law passed by the Governor-General of India in Council to be tried for an offence committed beyond the territories of British India, is to be dealt with according to the provisions of the Code, for any act committed beyond those territories, in the same manner as if the act had been committed within them

Under s 4 of the Penal Code, every servant of the King is subject to punishment under the Code for every act or omission contrary to its provisions, of which, whilst in such service, he is guilty within the dominions of any prince of State in alliance with the King by virtue of any treaty of engagement theretofore entered into by the East India Company or made in the name of the Crown by any Government of India.

Section 188 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898, enacts that—

When a native Indian subject of Her Majesty commits an offence at Liability any place without and beyond the limits of British India, or of British

when any British subject commits an offence in the territories of any subjects for offence Prince or Chief in India, or fences

when a servant of the Queen (whether a British subject or not) commits an offence in the territories of any Native Prince or Chief in India, mitted

he may be dealt with in respect of such offence as if it had been committed at any place within British India at which he may be found india

Provided that no charge as to any such offence shall be inquired Political into in British India unless the Political Agent, if there is one, for the Agents to territory in which the offence is alleged to have been committed, certifies certify fitness of that, in his opinion, the charge ought to be inquired into in British inquiry India, and, where there is no Political Agent, the sanction of the Local into Government shall be required

t Liability
of British
subjects
for offences
committed
out of
British
India
Political
Agents to
certify
fitness of
inquiry
into
charge

)

СС

¹ See remarks on this enactment in Westlake, Chapters on Principles of International Law, p 222

Provided, also, that any proceedings taken against any person under this section which would be a bar to subsequent proceedings against such person for the same offence if such offence had been committed in British India shall be a bar to further proceedings against him under the Foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act, 1879, in respect of the same offence in any territory beyond the limits of British India.

The provisions of the existing Code of Criminal Procedure may be taken to represent the construction which the Indian Legislature has thought it safe and prudent to place on the enactments giving that legislature power to make laws with extra territorial operation 1

The general conclusions appear to be-

The Indian Legislature is not in any sense an agent or delegate of the Imperial Parliament * but its powers are limited by the terms of the Acts of Parliament by which those powers are conferred

- The Indian Legislature has power to make laws-
- (a) for native Indian subjects of His Majesty or native Indian soldiers in His Majesty's Indian forces in any part of the world and
- (b) for British subjects, in a narrow sense and servants of the Government in Native States
- 3 Whether the Indian Legislature has power to make laws for British subjects not being either European British subjects or natives of India in Native States or to make

The construction of the provisions as to extra territorial jurisdiction in earlier editions of the Code of Criminal Procedure, and in the Indian Foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act 1879, now superseded by an Order under the Foreign Jurisdiction Act of the British Parliament gave rise, in the Indian courts, to difficult questions, which are filmstrated by the following cases: R. v Pirtel, (1873) 10 Born. Rep. 356; R. v Lukhya Corned (1875) L L. R. I Bom. 50; Empress v Surmook Singh (1879) I. L. R. 2 All. 218; Empress v S Moorge Chatty (1881) L L R 5 Bom 348 Siddha v Biliguri (1884) L. I. R. 7 Mad. 354. Queen Empress v Edwards (1884) I. L. R. 9 Born. 333 Queen v Abdul Latib (1885) I L. R. 10 Bom. 186 Gregory v l'udalas: Kanjan, (1886) L. L. R. 10 Mail. 21; Queen Empress v Hangal Takehand (1886) L. L. R 10 Bom. 274; Queen Empress v Aurpal Singh, (1887) I. I. R. 9 All. 523; Queen Empress v Days Bhime (1888) I. L. R. 13 Bom. 147; Re Hayes (1889) I. L. R. 12 Mad. 30; Q een Empress v Valuarui (1891) L L. R. 16 Bom. 178; Queen Empress v Ganpairas Ram Chandre (1893) L. L. R. 19 Bom. 105

R. v Burnh 1 R. 3 App. Cas. 889.

Conclusions as to general **DOWNER** of Indian Legisla ture.

XXI of 1879.

laws for British subjects not being natives of India, or for servants of the Government, as such, in States outside India as defined by the Interpretation Act and by the Indian General Clauses Act, that is to say, in places which are not either in British India or in the territory of a Native State, is open to question

- 4 Except in these cases, and except in pursuance of special enactments, such as the Indian Marine Service Act, the operation of Acts of the Indian Legislature is strictly territorial, and extends only to persons and things within British India
- The Indian Legislature has gone further than Pailiament in the exercise of the extra-territorial powers which it possesses

But the Governor-General in Council has in his executive Extracapacity extra-territorial powers far wider than those which territorial powers of By successive governormay be exercised by the Indian Legislature charters and acts extensive powers of sovereignty have been executive delegated by the English Crown, first, to the East India capacity Company, and afterwards to the Governor-General in Council The Governor-General in Council is the as its successor representative in India of the British Crown, and as such can exercise under delegated authority the powers incidental to sovereignty with reference both to British India and to neighbouring territories, subject to the restrictions imposed by Parliamentary legislation and to the control exercised by the Crown through the Secretary of State for India he can make treaties and conventions with the rulers, not only of Native States within the boundaries of what is usually treated as India, but also of adjoining States which are commonly treated as extra-Indian, such as Afghanistan and Nepaul, and can acquire and exercise within the territories of such States powers of legislation and jurisdiction similar to those which are exercised by the Crown in foreign countries in accordance with the Foreign Jurisdiction Acts and the Orders in Council under them, and extending to persons who are not subjects of the King

3

The existence of these powers was until recently declared and their exercise was to some extent regulated by the Foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act 1879 of the Government of India which contained recitals corresponding to those in the Foreign Jurisdiction Act, 1800 passed by the Parliament at Westminster But a few years ago it was recog nized that the extra-territorial powers exercisable by the Governor General in Council, as representative of the British Crown, rested on the same principles and might with advan tage be based on the same statutory foundations, as the extra territorial powers of the British Crown in other parts of the world. Accordingly in 1902 an Order in Council under the Act of 1800 made provision for the exercise of foreign juris diction by the Governor General of India in Council and the Indian Act of 1870 having been superseded as to foreign jurisdiction by this Order and as to other matters by later Indian legislation was formally repealed by the Indian Act XV of 1903

The Order of 1902 is of sufficient importance to justify its being set out in full It runs as follows —

- I This Order may be cited as the Indian (Foreign Jurisdiction) Order in Council, 1002
- 2 The limits of this Order are the territories of India outside British India, and any other territories which may be declared by His Majoria In Council to be territories in which princidation is executed by or on behalf of His Majesty through the Governor-General of India in Council, or some authority subordinate to him, including the territorial waters of any such territories.
- 3 The Governor-General of India in Council may on His Majesty's behalf exercise any power or jurisdiction which His Majesty or the Governor-General of India in Council for the time being has within the limits of this Order and may delegate any such power or jurisdiction to any serrant of the British Indian Government in such manner and to such extent, as the Governor-General in Council from time to time thinks fit
- 4 The Governor-General in Council may make such rules and orders as may seem expedient for carrying this Order into effect, and in particular.
 - (a) for determining the law and procedure to be observed whether by applying with or without modifications all or any of the provisions of any enactment in force elsewhere or otherwise

- (b) for determining the persons who are to exercise jurisdiction, either generally or in particular classes of cases, and the powers to be exercised by them,
- (c) for determining the courts, authorities, judges, and magistrates, by whom, and for regulating the manner in which, any jurisdiction, auxiliary or incidental to or consequential on the jurisdiction exercised under this Order, is to be exercised in British India,
- (d) for regulating the amount, collection, and application of fees
- 5 All appointments, delegations, certificates, requisitions, rules, notifications, processes, orders, and directions made or issued under or in pursuance of any enactment of the Indian Legislature regulating the exercise of foreign jurisdiction, are hereby confirmed, and shall have effect as if made or issued under this Order
- 6 The Interpretation Act, 1889, shall apply to the construction of this Order

The substitution of an Order in Council under the Foreign Jurisdiction Act, 1890, for an Act of the Indian Legislature has placed the extra-territorial jurisdiction of the Governor-General in Council on a wider and firmer basis, and has removed many of the doubts and difficulties to which reference was made in the first edition of this book, and which arose from the limitations on the powers of the Indian Legislature, and from the language of the statutes by which those powers were conferred

The language of the Order is wide enough to include every possible source of extra-territorial authority The powers delegated are both executive and legislative, and are sufficiently extensive to cover all the extra-territorial powers previously exercised in accordance with Indian Acts To guard against any breach of continuity, all appointments, rules, orders and other things made or done under any previous Indian Act regulating the exercise of foreign jurisdiction are expressly confirmed, and are to have effect as if made or done under the Oider of 1902 The orders thus confirmed, and the orders issued under the new system, have usually taken the form of orders for different Native States, or for regions or districts or places within them, constituting civil and criminal courts of different grades, and declaring the law which they are to administer, that law consisting of

certain British Indian Acts with specified modifications. These orders are notified in the Gazette of India and are to be found in volumes is used by the Legislative Department of the Government of India. In editing these volumes the Legislative Department takes caré to discriminate between enactments of the Indian Legislature which apply proprio sugger to certain classes of persons in Native States and enactments which are in the official language of India applied to certain portions of the territory of Native States that is to say become law by virtue of the Governor General's order

The local limits of the Order of 1902 that is to say the areas within which, or with respect to which, jurisdiction aild powers may be exercised under the Order are in the first place the territories of India outside British India in other words the territories which are popularly known as the Native States of India and which are described more technically in the Interpretation Act 18891 as territories of any native prince or chief under the suzerainty of His Majesty exercised through the Governor General of India or through any governor or other officer subordinate to the Governor-General of India The actual extent of India at any given time must always be a political question. And there may often be territories on the external fringe of or outside India within which it may be doubtful whether the British Crown has power and jurisdiction and whether and how far that power and jurisdiction is delegated to the Governor-General in Council These are the territories described in the preamble to the Order of 1902 as territories adjacent to India, and the limits of the Order are declared by s 2 to be not only the territories of India outside British India but any other territories which may be declared by His Majesty in Council to be territories in which jurisdiction is exercised by or on behalf of His Majesty through the Governor General in Council or some authority subordinate to him No such declaration has yet been made

^{1 52 &}amp; 53 Vict. c. 63, a. 18 (5).

The territories within the limits of the Order are expressly declared to include the territorial waters of those territories.

The powers expressly conferred by the Act of 1890 of sending persons for trial to British territory (s 6) and of assigning jurisdiction, original or appellate, to Courts in British territory (s 9), may occasionally be found useful, but hardly go beyond the powers previously exercised in practice in accordance with the provisions of the Indian Acts

For instance, they include the territorial waters of Cutch

The Act of 1890 does not contain any provision corresponding to s. 5 of the Indian Act of 1879, under which a notification in the Gazette of India was made conclusive proof of matters stated in relation to the exercise or delegation of jurisdiction. But, by s. 4 of the Act of 1890, a Secretary of State is empowered, on the request of a court of civil or criminal jurisdiction, to send an authoritative decision on any question which may arise as to the existence or extent of any jurisdiction of His Majesty in a foreign country.

The cases in which an authoritative decision of this kind, given under a full sense of political responsibility, is most likely to be found useful, are cases where jurisdiction, limited to special classes of persons or subjects, is exercised beyond the limits of India. With respect to the Native States of India one may anticipate that it will rarely, if ever, be needed. In these States there is no doubt that the British Crown has power and jurisdiction, and that this power and jurisdiction is delegated to the Governor-General in Council, and experience shows that the doubts which have from time to time been suggested as to the nature and extent of the powers so delegated rarely give rise to practical difficulties

The Governor-General, as representative of the paramount power in India, has and exercises extensive sovereign powers over the Native States of India Those Native States have often, and not improperly, been described as protectorates But they are protectorates in a very special sense They differ materially from the European protectorates to which

reference is made in textbooks of European international law They also differ from the protectorates established over uncivilized tribes and the territories occupied by them in Africa because in all the Indian Native States with the exception of some wild regions on the frontier there is some kind of organized government to undertake the functions of internal administration For the purposes of municipal law their territory is not British territory and their subjects are not British subjects But they have none of the attributes of external sovereignty and for international purposes their territory is in the same position as British territory and their subjects are in the same position as British subjects On the other hand it may be doubted whether the subject of an Indian Native State would be an alien within the meaning of \$ 7 of the Naturalization Act 1870 (33 & 34 Vict c 14) so as to be capable of obtaining a certificate of naturalization under that section. Finally the rulers of Indian Native States owe political allegiance to the King Emperor These peculiarities have an important bearing on the jurisdiction exercisable over European foreigners within the territories of those States

Clames of persons to which jurisdiction

In point of fact the jurisdiction of the Governor General in

- (a) over European British subjects in all cases
- (b) over native Indian subjects in certain cases
 - (c) over all classes of persons British or foreign within

It is the policy of the Government of India not to allow native courts to exercise jurisduction in the case of European British subjects but to require them either to be tried by the British courts established in the Native State or to be sent for tial before a court in British India.

The Government of India does not claim similar exclusive jurisdiction over native Indian subjects of His Majesty when within Native States but doubtless would assert jurisdiction over such persons in cases where it thought the assertion

Apparently it does not in ordinary cases treat as native Indian subjects of His Majesty persons who are natural-born subjects by statute, that is to say, by reason of being children or grandchildren of native Indian subjects But perhaps the question how such persons ought to be treated does not arise in a practical form

The Government of India does not, except within special areas, or under special circumstances, such as during the minority of a native prince, take over or interfere with the junisdiction of the courts of a Native State in cases affecting only the subjects of that State, but leaves such cases to be dealt with by the native courts in accordance with native laws

The question as to whether the jurisdiction is exercisable over European foreigners in the territory of a Native State, if it should arise, would doubtless be answered as in the case Even if consent of the foreigner's of African protectorates Government were held to be a necessary element of the jurisdiction in such cases, the notorious fact that a Native State of India is not allowed to hold diplomatic or other official intercourse with any other Power, and the general recognition by European States of the relation in which every such Native State stands to the British Crown, would doubtless be construed as implying a consent on the part of the Government of any European or American State to the exercise by British courts of jurisdiction Indeed, for international purposes, as has been said above, the territory of Native States is in the same position as the territory of British India

There are certain areas within which full jurisdiction has been ceded to the Government of India, and within which Jurisdiction is accordingly exercised by courts and officers of the Government of India over all classes of persons as if the The most conspicuous territory were part of British India instance of this is the district known as the Beiais, or as the Hyderabad Assigned Districts, which, although held under a perpetual lease, and administered as if it were part of the

Central Provinces, is not technically within British India ¹. The same appears to be the position of the residencies and other stations in the occupation of political officers ² and of cantonments in the occupation of British troops

Under arrangements which have been made with the Governments of several Native States full jurisdiction has been ceded in railway lands within the territories of those States. The effect of one of these grants was considered in a case which came before the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council in 1807 3 In this case a magnitrate at Simla usued a warrant for the arrest of a subject of the Nizam in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by him at Simla. The warrant was executed within the area of railway lands over which full jurisdiction had been con ceded by the Nizam, and the question was whether the execution of the warrant under these or cumstances was legal It was held that for the purpose of ascertaining the nature and extent of the full jurisdiction conceded reference must be made to the correspondence which had taken place between the Government of India and the Nizam as showing the nature of the agreement between them that on the true construction of this correspondence the jurisdiction conceded must be limited to jurisdiction required for railway purposes and that consequently the execution of the warrant was illegal

The position of the residencies and cantonments in the territories of Native States has often been compared to the extra territorial character recognized by European international law as belonging to diplomatic residencies and to cantonments in time of war. There is an analogy between the cases but it is unnecessary to base the jurisdiction exercised in those places on that analogy. As has been seen above

See East India (Hyderabad) Agreement respecting the Hyderabad Assigned Districts, 1902; Cd 1321

³ As to the civil and military station of Bangalore, see Re Hoyes, (1888) I. L. R. 12 Mad. 39. Mukammed I usuf-Ud Drn v The Queen Empress (July 7 1897).

395

the jurisdiction excreisable by the courts of a protecting State within the territories of a protected State may extend to all or any of the subjects, either of the protecting State or of the protected State, and, subject to certain limitations, to persons not belonging to either of these categories extent to which, and the cases in which, the jurisdiction is exercised over particular classes of persons are to be determined by agreement between the State which exercises the jurisdiction and the State within whose territories the jurisdiction is exercised, and, in the absence of express agreement, are to be inferred from usage and from the encumstances of the case

In connexion with this subject, it may be useful to quote Sir Henry Maine's remarks in his minute on Kathiawar 1 —

'It may perhaps be worth observing that, according to the more precise language of modern publicists, "sovereignty" is divisible, but "independence" is not Although the expression "pai tial independence" Accordingly, there may be popularly used, it is technically incorrect may be found in India every shade and variety of sovereignty, but there is only one independent sovereign, the British Government 3 My reason for offering a remark which may perhaps appear pedantic is that the Indian Government seems to me to have occasionally exposed itself to misconstruction by admitting or denying the independence of particular States, when, in fact, it meant to speak of their sovereignty

'The mode or degree in which sovereignty is distributed between the British Government and any given Native State is always a question of fact, which has to be separately decided in each case, and to which In the more considerable instances, there is no general rules apply always some treaty, engagement, or sunnud to guide us to a conclusion, and then the only question which remains is, what has become of the sovereign rights which are not mentioned in the Convention? Did the British Government reserve them to itself, or did it intend to leave the Native Power in the enjoyment of them? In the case of Kattywar the few ambiguous documents which bear on the matter seem to me to point to no certain result, and I consider that the distribution of the sovereignty can only be collected from the de facto relations of these States with the British Government, from the course of action which has been followed Though we have to interpret this by this Government towards them evidence ourselves, it is in itself perfectly legitimate

'It appears to me, therefore, that the Kattywar States have been permitted to enjoy several sovereign rights, of which the principaland it is a well known right of sovereignty—is immunity from foreign laws. Their chiefs have also been allowed to exercise (within limits divid and criminal jurisdiction, and several of them have been in the exercise of a very marked (though minor) sovereign right—the right to coin money. But far the largest part of the sovereignt plat—the right to resided in practice with the British Government, and among the rights which it has exercised appears to me to be an almost unhimited right of interference for the bottor order of the States. I mean that, if the interferences which have already taken place be referred to principles, those principles would justify any amount of interposition, so long as we interpose in good faith for the advantage of the chiefs and people of Kattywar and so long as we do not disturb the only unqualified sovereign right which these States appear to possess—the right to immunity from foreign laws.

From what has been said above it will be seen that the powers exercised by the British Government or by the Government of India as its representative in territories where lower types of government or civilization prevail may vary both in nature and in extent between very wide limits. In some places there is merely the exercise of a per sonal jurisdiction over British subjects or certain other limited classes of persons. In others the functions of external sovereignty are exercised or controlled. In others again, a much larger share of the functions of sovereignty both external and internal, has been taken over and this share may be so large as to leave to the previous ruler of the territory if such there be nothing more than a bare nominal or dormant sovereignty.

In dealing with the various positions thus arising it is important to remember that different considerations will apply according as the position is approached from the point of view of international law or from the point of view of municipal law.

As to Kathlawar see the two cases decided in 1905 by the Judicial Committee, Henchand Derchand v Aram Sakurial Chhotamial, and The Talaka of Kotla Sangans v The State of Gondal, A.O. [1906], p. 212; referred to above p. 265

² A curious illustration of the extent to which the exercise of sovereign rights can be claimed without the claim of territorial sovereignty [supplied by the treaty between the United States and the Republic of Panama with respect to the territory within the Canal Zone

Where the external sovereignty of any State is exercised or controlled by the British Government, a third State will almost certainly claim to regard and will, from an international point of view, be entitled to regard, the territory of the first State as being for many purposes practically British Thus if persons in that territory made it a basis for raids on the territory of an adjoining foreign State, that State would hold the British Government accountable would be no answer to say that the arrangements entered into by the British Government with the ruler of that territory preclude British interference in such cases The reply would be, 'We know nothing of these arrangements, except that they debar us from obtaining protection or redress, except through you, and consequently we must treat the territory as practically Butish' A similar position would asise if a subject of that foreign State were grossly ill-used within the territory, and were denied justice by the persons exercising authority there

The view taken by municipal law is widely different For the purposes of that law a territory must be either British or foreign, that is to say, not British, and a sharp line must be drawn between the two In some cases it may be a difficult operation to draw this line, but it must be drawn by the courts and by the executive authorities as best they can To allow the existence of a penumbra between British and non-British territory would cause endless confusion judicial and executive authorities must be in a position to say whether, for purposes of municipal law, a particular territory is within or without 'His Majesty's dominions' or 'British India' And the legislative authorities must be in a position to determine whether the legislation for such a territory is to be carried out through the ordinary legislative organs, or through the machinery recognized and supported by the Foreign Jurisdiction Acts Again, important questions of status may turn on the question whether the territory in which a man is born is British territory or not To determine whether a particular territory is British or not it may be necessary to look not merely to the powers exercised within it but also to the manner in which, and the under standings on which, those powers have been acquired and are being exercised. Where the acquisition dates from long back, difficult questions may arise But in the case of recent acquisitions there will usually be no serious difficulty in determining whether what has been acquired is merely a right to exercise certain sovereign powers within a par ticular tract, or whether there has been such a transfer of sovereignty over the tract as to convert it into British territory

Conclusions as to extra-ter ritorial powers of Governor General. The general conclusions appear to be --

- I The extra-territorial powers of the Governor General of India are much wider than the extra territorial powers of the Indian Legislature and are not derived from though they may be regulated or restricted by English or Indian Acts
- Those powers are exercisable within the territories of all the Native States of India. Whether they are exercisable within the territories of any State outside India is a question which depends on the arrangements in force with the Govern ment of that State and on the extent to which the powers of the Crown exercisable in pursuance of such arrangements have been delegated to the Governor-General.
- 3 The juradiction exercisable under those powers might be made to extend not only to British subjects and to subjects of the State within which the jurisdiction is exercised but also to foreigners
- 4 The classes of persons and cases to which jurisdiction actually applies depend on the agreement if any in force with respect to its exercise and in the absence of express agreement on usage and the orcumstances of the case and may be defined restricted or extended accordingly by the instrument regulating the exercise of the jurisdiction

INDEX,

Absence, leave of, to members of council, 132, 226 -from India of governor, vacates office, 132, 226 Accounts of Secretary of State, 161, 164 of revenues to be laid before Parliament, 164. Act of State, as defence in judicial proceedings, 171-175 Actions against Secretary of State, 170-175 Sec Sadr Adalat Adalat Additional judge, 138 - members of council, of governor-general, 115, 116, 197, of Madras or Bombay, 119, 213, 214 Aden, part of British India, 264, Jewish law, 338 Admiralty jurisdiction, 20, 21, 22, 46, 241, 242-244 Advocate-general, additional member of legislative council, 214, appointment and powers of, 251 African protectorates, law applied to, 372-377 Agra, proposed presidency of, 83, 89, 191, 192 Aldermen, at Madras, 22, 23, 32, at Bombay and Calcutta, 32 Allahabad, high court, 104, 126 Allegiance, prohibition on legislation affecting, 200 Allowances to certain officials, 224, Amalgamation of Indian and Royal army, 97, 98 Amboyna, massacre of, 15 Anson, Sir W, referred to or quoted 68, 98, 146, 159, 161, 166, 175 Appeals, 32, 48, 127, 137, 139 Appointments by Secretary of State, 153, 154 Archdeacons, first appointment of, 78, salaries of, 131, 253 Armenians, law regarding, 330 Army, first sepoys raised, 33, 267, Company authorized to enlist soldiers, 60, further powers for raising European troops, 72, restriction on legislature, 117, 208, 219, constitution of, 129-131, military commissions, 267-271

Arrest, exemption from, of high officials, 247, 248

Articles of War, 33, 34, 205

Assada Company, the = Courten's Association, 15

Assam, chief commissionership, 93, 114, legislative council, 118, 119, 215, subordinate civil courts, 137, constitution of government,

191-196, legislation, 203 Assent of governor-general to all Acts, 209, 222, of governor or heutenant-governor to local Acts,

222

Assessors, trial by, 138

Assurances of property, in England, 167, in India, 168

Audit of India accounts in England, 165, 166

в

Benares, jurisdiction of supreme court extended to, 72, Bengal Regulations extended to, 101

Bencoolen (Fort Marlborough), pre-

sidency of, 45

Bengal, establishment of English government, 34-37, 42, 43, appointment of governor-general, 44, supremacy over other presidencies, 45, intended division of presidency, 83, appointment of lieutenant-governor, 90, creation of legislative council, 103, subordinate civil courts, 137

Bengal, Eastern, union with Assam,

191

— Regulations, 43, 58, 59, 71, 84, 101, 121

Berar, not part of British India, 115, 393

Bills of exchange, law regarding, 334, 335

Birthplace, no disqualification for office, 89, 234

Bishop, first appointment of, 78, 131, legislation affecting, 252-254

Board of Control, first established, 62, 63, remodelled, 69, abolished,

of Revenue, first established,
43, in Bengal, Madras, Assam,
and United Provinces, 134

Bombay acqualtion of, 18 first comage of money 19 munici-pality and mayor's court, 31 32; subordination to Bengal, 45 64; recorder's court, 71; legislative power first granted, 72 supreme court, 80; code of regulations, 84, 329; legislative power withdrawn, 84 marine force, 98. legislative power restored, 102 * high court, 103 237-251 00THmander in chief abolished, 108 1 to constitution of government, 114, 187-190; legislative council, 118 213-223 staff corps, 130; subordinate civil courts, 137; salary of governor councillors, judges, &c. 225 272 advocategeneral, 251 coole lishment, 252-254. coclesiastical cetab-

— Regulations, 72 84, 121 329. Booty charter regarding, 34-36. Borrowing powers of Secretary of

State 163 164.
Boundaries, power of governor general to after 194, 195

British India, definition of, 263, 265

— subjects may not lend to Native States, 71 256, 257 definition of, 205 235, 373, 381 classes of 348 349 British jurisdiction over in Native States, 379-393

See also Christians and European British subject. Bryce, J referred to or quoted, 10,

323. Buddhist law 332 335 Burgemen at Madran, 22, 23. Burke Edmund, 60, 71

Burma, chief commissiomership, 93 legislative council, 118; licutenant-governorship, 93, 114; legislative council, 119; subordinate civil counts, 137 constitution of government, 191-195; constitution of legislature, 213 218; businers at legislature, 213 218; businers at legislature meetings, 218 223.

(

Cadet hips, reserved for sons of Indian officers, 155

Calcutta, municipality and mayor a court, 32; filtroduction of Engli h law into, 32, 33, 33; treasury transferred to, 43; jurishetton of aupreme court, 46, 53, 55, 56; trial by jury 48; college at 76; bishop of 76; 131; 25; 54; high court 103, 176, 137, 37, 327; bead quarters.

of Government of India during cold season, 114; presidency magistrate, 138.

Centonments, British jurisdiction in

_ 394-

Capitulations, 353–361 Caste, reservation as to, in criminal

law 57 325 326.
Casting vote, of governor-general,
45 182 of Secretary of State,
149; of governors of Madras and
Bombay 190.

Central Provinces, chief commisalonership 93 114, 115 subor dinate uvil courts, 137 constitu-

tion of government, 191 194. Cession of territory 35 36, 145 196, 207

Chaplains, first appointment of, 28 from Scotch Church, 254.

Charles I licenses Courten a Association, 15

Charles II's relations with the Company 16-20.

Charter first granted to Company by Elizabeth, 4-10: made per petual by James I, 14; rival companies, 15 2-30; of Comwell, 15 16 of Charles II, 16-20 English Company 28 United Company 30; judicial, 32 regarding booky 34, 36 of suppeme court, 46-48 parlamentary renowals, 69-91; of high courts

103 104. Chesney Sir George, quoted or referred to, 33, 102 109 114, 268 Chief commissionerships, establish-

Child, Sir Josiah, 16, 24, 25 China trade, preserved to Company

75 77; finally withdrawn, 81 Choultry - justice room, in early

Madras, 17 Christians, jurisdiction of high court outside British India, 46, 247; grants may be made to any sect 254.

Church of England, legislation affecting, 252-254.

affecting, 252-254.
— of Scotland, chaplains of 254
Civil procedure code of 87 137 33.

retries, constitution of, 123 125, 234 23 ; appointments reperred to, 272 273.

perved to, 272 273. Clerk of the peace at Madray, 22. Clive Lord, 34, 37 42

Gode a Military Forces of the Crown, 60, 69, 2 270, INDEX 401

Codification, 86, 339-341

Comage, first authority by charter, 19, 21, restriction on local legislature, 120, 219

Collector = district officer 43,44,135 Colleges at Calcutta and Madras, 76 Sec also Haileybury

Colour, no disqualification for office

88, 234

Commander-in-chief, extraordinary member of governor-general's council, 64, 180, abolished in Madras and Bombas, 108

Commercial business closed, 82

Commissioners of divisions, 136
—for Affairs of India Sc. Board
of Control

Commissions, judicial, under early charters, 14

--- nulitary, power of governorgeneral to grant, 267-271

Committee of secreey to be appointed by directors, 63

Committees = directors, of original Company, 4, 6, 18, 26, of Indian council, 110, 150

Common law applied to presidency

.. towns, 323

Commonwealth, relations of Com-

pany with, 15, 16

Company, incorporated by Elizabeth, 3-10, renewal of charter by James I, 13, power to exercise martial law, 14, competition with Dutch, 15, Courten's rival Association 15, Cromwell's relations with, 15, 16, charters of Charles II, 16-20, grant of Bombay, 18, territorial sovereignty, 18, 24, 37, grant of admiralty jurisdiction, 19, 20, rivalry of Company, 24-30, mearporation of English Company, 28, umon of Old and New Companies as United Company, 29, 30, extension of monopoly, 30, 31, judicial charters, 32, Mutiny Act and Articles of War, 33, 34, charters regarding booty and cession of territory, 34-36, grant of the diwani, 37, recognition of territorial acquisitions, 39, Regulating Act, 41-50, Fox's India Bill, 61, Pitt's India Act, 62-66, creation of Board of Control, 62, parliamentary renewals of charter, 69-91, sovereignty of Crown asserted, 77, territorial possessions in trust for Crown, 81, monopoly of China and tea trade abolished, 81, government transferred to Crown, 94-98, formal dissolution, 106

Conditional appointments, 132, 229 Consecration of bishop in India, 253 Consular jurisdiction, 353-361

Contract Act, 334

Contracts by Secretary of State, 98, 108, 167-175

Control, Board of, first established, 62, 63, remodelled, 69, abolished, 97.

Coorg, administration, 115, native

Christians, 332

Copyright, restriction on local legislatures as to, 120, 219

Cornwallis, Lord, governor-general and commander-in-chief, 67, 268 Council (executive) of Governor and

Council (executive) of Governor and Governor-General of Bengal, 42, 44, 45, 55, 64

Bombay, 42, 64, 83, constitution, 114, 189, 190

— (executive) of Governor of Madras, 42, 64, 83, constitution,

114 189, 190

eral, of India, first constituted, S4, remodelled, 102, executive legislation, 105, 118, 211, augmented, 106, 108, constitution, 112-114, 176-187

Council of Secretary of State, first constituted, 95, tenure of office, 104, 105, 106, reduction of members, 107, constitution and duties, 109, 110, 146-153, majority of votes required for grant of revenue, 160, majority of votes required for issue of securities, 163, majority of votes required for lissue of securities, 163, majority of votes required for disposing of property and making contracts, 167

(legislative) of governor-general, first constituted, 91, remodelled, 99, 100, powers extended, 101, 102, 104, 105, augmented by nominated representatives, 107, constitution, 115-118, 197-213

- (legislative) of Bengal, first authorized, 103, augmented by nominated representatives, 107, constitution, 118, 119, 213-223

— (legislative) of Bombay, first constituted, 102, 106, augmented by nominated representatives, 107, constitution, 118, 119, 213-

-- (legislative) of Burma, first constituted, 118, constitution, 213-

223

Council (legislative) of Madras, first constituted, 102 106 represents tive nominoes, 107; constitution,

118-121 213-223

- (legislative) of North-Western Provinces, first authorised 103 representative nominees, constitution and powers, 118-121 213-221 - (legislative) of the Punish, firet

authorized, 103; constitution, 213-223 See Directors and Pro-Court.

printers.

Courten a Association, 15 Courts of request at presidency towns, 43

Lovenanted - imperial, civil service, 123

Cowell a Tagore Lectures quoted, 59, Oreed no disqualification for office,

88 234 Criminal law Mahomedan, 37 44 under Regulations, 325

renal code 325 - Procedure, Code of, 87 137

332, 385 Cromwell, relations of Company

with, 15 16. Grown government of India transferred to 94, 95 Bee also Queen. Customary law 329, 330, 338.

Death sentences must be confirmed

by high court 138 Debt, public, restriction on legis-

lature 120, 208, 210. Deed, resignation of governor general to be by 226.

Deeds to be executed by three members of cancil of Secretary of

State, 167

Definitions in digest, 263 266. Departments, of India Office 110

of Government of India, 112 113. Deputy commissioner district officer in non-regulation province.

Digest of statutory enactments relating to India, 144 322

Dioceses, King may vary limits of,

Directors of Company originally tyled committees, 6, 18 26 first tyled directors, 28; qualification, 42 four years term, 441 required to submit papers to Secretary of State 46; committee of secrecy

63.82 power of appointing and removing governor-general, 64 76 natronage, 66, 79 82; dispute with Board of Control, 68 patch from Court, explaining Act of 1833 80 number reduced from twenty-four to eighteen, of which six appointed by Grown. 90 patronage taken away 92; to elect seven members of first council of Secretary of State, 95.

Discussion of financial statement,

117 208 200. Disputches from India to be ad-

dressed to Secretary of State, 152 District, unit of administration. 135, 136 restriction on transfer of, 105

— councils, 136.

--- judge, 138. magnetrate, 138

Divisions of high court, 128; of provinces, 135

Diwant grant of, 17; meaning of

Adalat, Company a court, cstablished by Warren Hastings, 44; Impey judge of, 55; merged in high court, 103 126.

Double government of Company and Crown, 66, 94.

Dual government of Bengal, 37 Dundas (Lord Melville), 61 69, 73

Easements Act. 126. East India Company first so styled

in 1833, 82 formally 106 bes also Company formally dissolved,

Eastern Bengal, union with Assum.

Ecclesiastical establishment, 78 89, 131, 252-254. furisdiction of supreme court.

46 Education and learning, provision

for by Act of Parliament, 78 Elizabeth, Queen, charter of, 4-10. Elphinstone, author of Bombay

Regulations, 84 329. Emperor of India, title of King

145 Empress of India, Act authorizing

title 107 English courts, jurisdiction over

offences in India, 50, 65 129, 255 261 law to be administered by

supreme court, 53; applied to natives of India, 323 341

Fschents 160 160 European British subject, British jurisdiction over, in Native States 241, 246, 392. definition of, 349 382, 383

- foreigners in Native States British jurisdiction over, 242,

Evidence Act 332

Executive Council, of Governor and Governor-General of Bengal, 42 44, 45, 55, 64 of governors of Bombay and Madras, 42 64 83 of governors of 114, 188-190, of Governor-General of India, \$4, 102, 105, 106 111-114, 118, 177-186, 210

Executive legislation by Governor-General in Council over scheduled districts, 105, 118, 211, extraterritorial powers, 387-398

Extraordinary member of council commander-in-chief III. 180, Governor of Madras or Bombay, 112, 179, 180

jurisdiction Extra-territorial governor-general, 387-398 legislation, 342–348. Extra-territoriality, 350

\mathbf{F}

Family custom, reservation for, in criminal law, 57, 326
Fifth Report of Commons committee in 1812, 73 Financial statement discussion of 117, 118, 208, 209 - system, 133, 134 Fines, 159 Foreign affairs, restriction on legislature, 117, 208, 219 - department under vicoroy, - Enlistment Act, 344, 348 - Jurisdiction Acts, 353, 376 Foreigners, may be expelled from British India, 88, definition of, 373, 374, British jurisdiction over, in Native States, 392 Forfeitures, 159 Fort St George See Madras - William See Bengal Fortifications, power to Company to erect, 17 Fourth (legislative) member council, 82, 83 Fox's East India Bill of 1783, 61 French territory not included in 'India,' 265 Furlough, regulations by Secretary of State, 132, 234

G

Gentu Sec Hindu Gifts, prohibition against receiving, 49 255, 256 Godol hun, Lord, award of, 2, 29, 30

Government of India = Governor-General in Council, 112, 176

Governor-General of Bengal, 44 45 – of India, first appointment, 82 , power to appoint president of council in his own absence, 99. 185, sanction required for certain legislation, 100, 208, assent required to every Act, 100, 209, power to invest himself with powers of council, when absent from head quarters, 101, 185 186, power to make ordinance without council in emergency, 101, 212, 213, sanction required for certain legislation by local legislatures, 102, 103, 219, assent required to every Act of local legislatures, 103, 222, regulations for scheduled districts, 105, 211, 212, power to overrule council, 106, 182, power to make rules for nomination of additional members of legislative councils, 107, 214, supreme military authority, 129, 176, exercise of foreign jurisdiction by, 388 See also Council of Governor-General

Governors of factories, first appointed under charter of Charles II, 16, powers, 16, 17, 18, 32, 42 — of Madras and Bombay, may communicate with Secretary of State, 152, constitution, 188-190, legislative powers, 213-223 also Madras and Bombay Guardians and Wards Act, 336

H

Haileybury College, recognition of, 76, 78, abolition of, 92 Harington's Analysis of Regulations, 57, 72, 84, 323, 325 Hastings, Warren, administration of, 50, 51, evidence in Parliament, Hertslet, referred to or quoted, 52 n, 355, 365, 366, 368 High courts, establishment of, 103, constitution of, 126-128, 136, 137, 237-249, definition of, 263 Hindu law, personal, 57, 325, 326, 337, text-books, 338 — Wills Act, 333

Holland, early rivalry with, 4 8

Holland a Jurisprudence 261. House of Commons. See Parliament. Hunter Bir W W referred to or quoted, 108, 109

Imperial service troops 142. Imprisonment, exemption from, of

high officials, 247 India, definition of, 263 264 190.

Indian civil service 125 - financial system, 133 134

- waters, definition of 200. Inheritance, law regarding 326, 328

330, 332 337 340. Insolvency law of, for presidency towns, 90.

Interlopera, legislation against 17 26, 31 78 88 Interpollation in legislature, 117

118. 200 221 Invasion, revenues may be applied for repelling, without consent of Parliament, 161

James I, charters of, 13, 14. James II, charters of, 20-23. Jenkyns, Sir H., referred to, 343

355 Jows, law regarding, 329, 333 Judge-advocate first appointment

of, 19. Judges, of high court, 126, 127 ubordinate, civil and oriminal, 137

Judicial commissioners, 137 266. - Committee See Privy Council Jury trial by 14, 48, 138

Justices of the peace, first appoint ment of, 32, 48; jurisdiction of 79; need not be covenanted civihans, 81 juri-diction over Euro-

pean British subjects, 138

K.

Kathiawar Bestish jurisdiction in, 265 395 396. Raye Sir J., referred to or quoted

14, 66, 89.

Khojas custom of inheritance 340.

King, British India governed by and in name of 144. See also Queen. Ľ

Land, acquisition of, by natural harn subject, 88; power of Scoretary of State to buy or sell,

167 — revenue, 133. Law Commission, appointment of,

86. 87 disappearance of 92; work of, 132. - member of council, 178 179.

Leave of absence to members of oouncil, 132 226. Lecky W E. H., referred to or

quoted, 38 40, 43.

Lee-Warner referred to or quoted. 139, 141

Legal member of soundl, 83, 84, 91 Legislature. Ses Council (legisla

Hvo). Letters patent, of high courts, 103. Levant Company 12, 13 355-358

Lox Lock Act, 327 Licences, for sale of spirituous hquora, 70 to non-official Euro-

peans in India, 75 78 8, 88. Lieutenant-governor first appoint-ment of, for North-Western Provinces, 89, 90; for Bengul, 90; for the Punjab, 91; constitution of government, 96, 191-193; for Burma, 114 constitution of legislature, 213-223; business at legislative meetings, 218 222

selety 272. Limitation Act, 332

Limits, power to alter of provinces, 194, 195 of presidency towns, 196 Loans, prohibition against British

subject lending to Native State 71 256, 257; power of Secretary of State to raise, 163.

Local Government definition of 261, 261

London, venue for trial of crimes by officials, 257 Lynl, Sir A. C. referred to or

quoted, 3 10, 67

M.

Macaulay History quoted, 16, 26, 27 : secretary to Board of Control. 81 first legislative member of council, 83; head of Indian Law Commission, 87; chairman of committee that framed regula tions for civil service examina tions, 92.

Hadras, in seventeenth century 17; municipality 22, 23; mayor's Offices reserved to civil service, 272,

Orders, of Secretary of State, 150-153; of governor-general, 181 of governors of Madras and Bombay 190.

Ordinances in cases of emergency

101 118, 212, 213 Ordinary members of council, of governor-general, 112, 178-180; of Governor of Madres or Bom-

bay 114, 189. Ordination by bishop, 252, 253-

Orlans, extent of, in Diwani grant, 37 Oudh, chief commissioner of, 192. - Retates Act, 333

P

Parliament first Act to regulate trade of Company 26, 27 monopoly of Company extended, 30, 31 first Army Act, 34 first recogni-tion of territorial acquisitions of Company 39; Begulating Act, 41 -so Amending Act, 55-58; Pitt's India Act, 62-66; renewals of pharter 69, 73, 81 90; Govern-ment of India Act, 94-98 seat in Indian council disqualifies for 95 109 148 consent necessary for military operations beyond frontier, "III 161; annual accounts to be presented, III 164; Indian legislation may not affect certain Acts or general authority 117 120, 199, 200, 219. Parliamentary inquiries, 18 55 60.

72, 81

Parson, law regarding, 329, 330. Patents, restriction on local legislature as to, 120, 210.

Patna case, 54, 58 245 Patronage, reserved to directors.

66, 79, 82 regulated by Secretary of State, 132, 234. Peacocak, Sir Barnes, minute on

systems of legislation, 81 179. Penal Code, 87 332 restriction on local legislature, 219.

Pensions of India Office, 153, 154. Persian coast, Indian law applied to

Pitt a East India Act of 1784, 62-66. Pleader definition of, 238. Portuguese language, Company a

chaplains to learn, 29 territory not included in

India, 26t.

Post-office, restriction on local legislature, 219

Powers of attorney of Secretary of State, 162.

Prerogative of Crown, 8 21 Presents, prohibition against re-

onlying, 255 256. Presidencies, Bengal made supreme

over others, 45 64, 183 power to create new 83; ambiguity of term 114 %

Presidency magistrates, 138. - towns, power to extend boundarles of, 80 196.

President - governor 32, 42.
— of Board of Control, 66.

— of Countill, 185 230. Princes. See Native States.

Privy Council, appeal to, 32, 48,

Probate, jurisdiction of mayor's court, 32.

- and Administration Act, 334. Proceedings, of governor-general, 181; of Governor of Madras and

Bombay 190. Property may be disposed of by Secretary of State, 167

Proprietors, Court of, originally styled general court, 6, 26 quali fication, 26, 42, 44 deprived of governing powers, 63.

Protectorates, law applied to, 51 365-377

Provinces, lust of, 114, 191-196 definition of, 263

Provincial civil service, 125 Public works, sixth member of council may be appointed for 106, 112, 178.

Punjab, lieutenant-governorship, 91 legislative council, 103, 118; chief court, 137 266; constitution of government, 191-196; constitu-tion of legislature, 215-218; busi nem at legislative meetings, 221-223; customary law 330, 335

Quarter sessions at presidency towns, 32, 43, 48

Queen, government of Indla trans-ferred to, 95 empowered to as-sume title of Empross, 107 Questions in legislature 117 118,

Quorum of council, of Secretary of State, 140, 150; of governor general, 182 at legislative meet

inge, 198, 214, 218

 \mathbf{R}

Race, no disqualification for office, 88, 89, 234

Railway jurisdiction in Native States,

Recorder, first appointment of, at Madras, 22, at Madras and Bombay, 71

Registration of Regulations in su-

preme court, 48, 59, 72,

Regulating Act of 1773, account of, 44-50, 51

Regulation provinces, 102, 135, 273 Regulations, Bengal, 43, 58, 59, 71, 84, 101, 121, Madras, 72, 84, 121, Bombay, 72, 84, 121, 329, for scheduled districts, 105, 118, 122, 211, 212

Religion, no disqualification for official employment, 88, 89, 234, restriction on legislation as to, 117, restriction on local legislature as to, 120, 219

Residencies, British jurisdiction in,

Resignation of governor-general, 50,

51, 226, 227

Revenues of India, branches of, 133, part collected by central government, 133, collection by provincial governments, 133, 134, application of, 159, control of Secretary of State in council, 160, 161, restriction on military operations beyond frontier, 161, accounts at bank, 161, 162, powers of attorney, 162, securities, 163, borrowing powers, 163, accounts to be laid before Parliament, 164, audıt, 165, 166

Russell, Lord, judgement quoted,

344, 368

Russia Company, 11, 12

Sadı Adalat Courts, established by Warren Hastings, 44, merged in high courts, 103

Salaries, scale of, 225, 272

Samtation, early provision for, in

presidency towns, 70

Scheduled districts, summary legislation for, 105, 118, 122, 135, 211-

Schools, early provisions for, 22, 29,

Scotch Church, chaplams of, 254 Sea, offences committed at, 344, 345 Secret committee of directors appointed, 63, 82, corresponding provision for Secretary of State, 96, 151, 152, and for governorgeneral, 181

Secretariat, of India Office, 110, 156, of Government of India, 113, of

provinces, 134

Secretary of State, first appointposition, 95-97, ment, establishment, 153, powers, 155, 156 See also Council of Secretary of State

Secretary, present at meetings of council, 113, must sign all orders of governor-general, 181, must sign all orders of governors, 190 Selborne, Lord, judgement by, 203 Select committee, appointed by

Clive, 42, 44

Semonity, promotion by, 42, 98, 99 Separate voyages of original Company, 13

Sepoys, first raised at Madras, 33,

267

Sessions judge, 137, 138 Sick leave, for members of council, 132, 226, regulations by Secre-

tary of State, 132, 234 Simla, summer head quarters of

Government of India, 114 Singapore, under jurisdiction of Company, 80, 87, removed from British India, 265

Skinner's case, 31

Slavery, abolition of, in British India, 89

Slave Trade Act, 347, 384 Sovereignty, divisibility of, 395

Specific Relief Act, 332

Spheres of influence, distinguished from protectorate, 369, 370

Staff corps, 130, 131, name abandoned, 131

Stanley, Lord (Earl of Derby), 95 State prisoners, 260, 261

See Native States States

Statutory civilians, 106, 234, 235

— 1ules, 122 Stephen, Sir J Fitzjames, referred to or quoted, 32, 33, 54, 57, 58, 102, 223, 245, 248, 346, 347, 349,

350, 367, 380 okes, Whitley, referred to or Stokes,

quoted, 251, 324

Stowell, Lord, quoted, 251, 354 Strachey, Sir J, quoted, 109, 125,

136, 139, 142

Subordinate civil judges, 137

- civil service, 125 Succession, law regarding, 326, 528, 330, 332, 337, 310

-- Act, 532

Summary of existing law 109-143. Supreme court, at Culoutta, 46-48 50, 53-58, 72 at Madras, 72 at Bombay 80; all merged in high courts, 103 Surat, factory at, 19.

Susersinty of King over Native States, 261.

Table of comparison between statutory enactments and digest, 274-322

Taxation, restriction on local legis-

lature, 219.

Tes trade, reserved to Company 73 75 77; finally withdrawn, 82. Telegraph, restriction on local legislature, 219.

Temporary appointments 230-233 Tenancy of agricultural land, law regarding, 335

Territorial acquisitions. See Cession of territory

waters, jurisdiction over 244.

Title Empress of India, 107 Todd s Parliamentary Government,

270.

Torta, law of, 336. Town clerk of Madras, 22. Trading by officials, attempt to suppress, 42 prohibition of, 255

256.

Transfer of Property Act, 335 Treaties, restriction on power of governor general to make, 186, 187 incapacity of local Govern ment to make, 188

Tributes, 159.

Trusts Act, 335 336. Tupper C. L., referred to or quoted, 139, 141 323.

U

Under Secretaries of State, salados. 140.

United Company formation of, 20.

 Provinces = Agra and Oudh. 192.

Vacancy in council of Secretary of State, 146; in office of governor general, 230, 231; in office of Governor of Madras or Bombay 231: in council of governor general or governor 232; in

legislative councils, 233. Vice-president, of council of gover nor general, 70 of o of council of

Viceroy title of, 176.

Visitations of bishops, expenses to be paid out of revenue, 254. Volunteers, first authorization of. 80 commissions to 260

- Aots, 130.

w War provisions as to with regard to Secretary of State, 151 152 restrictions on power of governor general to make, 186, 187; inca-pacity of local Government to make, 188

Wards, legislation affecting, 146. Westlake, leferred to or quoted, 130

343 362, 366, 372, 385 Wheeler Talboys, quoted, 17 Wills by Hindus, 333 334. See also Probate.

Wilson, Sir R. A. referred to or quoted, 323 325 340, 341 Wood, Sir Charles (Lord Halifax), dispatches referred to or quoted,

98 400, 209, 220, 269.

Writer former name of oivil sor

7.

Zanzibar application of Indian law to, 362

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

SUPPLEMENTARY CHAPTER

INDIAN COUNCILS ACT, 1909

THE Indian Councils Act, 1909 (9 Edw VII, c 4), the passage of which will always be associated with the name of Lord Morley of Blackburn, made important changes in the constitution and functions of the Indian legislative councils, and gave power to make changes in the executive governments of the Indian provinces.

The introduction of the measure was preceded by discussions and correspondence, which began in Lord Morley's first year of office as Secretary of State for India, and extended over a period of nearly three years

In 1906 the Viceroy, Lord Minto, drew up a minute in which he reviewed the political situation in India, and pointed out how the growth of education, encouraged by British rule, had led to the rise of important classes claiming equality of citizenship, and aspiring to take a larger part in shaping the policy of the government He then appointed a committee of his council to consider the group of questions arising out From the discussion thus comof these novel conditions menced was developed a tentative project of reform, which was outlined in a Home Department letter to local governments dated August 24, 1907 This letter, after having received approval by the Secretary of State in Council, was laid before Parliament, and was published in England and India 1. The local governments to whom it was addressed were instructed to consult important bodies and individuals representative of various classes of the community before

¹ East India (Advisory and Legislative Councils, &c), 1907, Cd 3710

submitting their own conclusions to the Government of India. The replies were received in due course, and are to be found in the colossal blue books appended to a letter from the Government of India, 'dated October 1 1908 in which the situation is again reviewed, and revised proposals are formulated. The views of the Secretary of State on these proposals are expressed in a dispatch dated November 27 1908 and were expounded by Lord Morley in a speech delivered in the House of Lords on December 17 1908

Reference was made to the subject in the King's speech which ushered in the session of 1909, and in the debates on the address in reply The Bill embodying the proposals of the Government so far as they required Parliamentary authority was presented by Lord Morley on February 17 1000 and was read a second time after a debate of two days on February 24. It passed through committee on March 4, and was considered on report read a third time and passed by the House of Lords on March o. In the House of Commons the Bill was read a second time on April I was considered in committee on April 10 and on April 26 was considered on report send a third time, and passed with amendments. The Commons' amendments were considered on May 4 and agreed to with an important modification which was accepted by the Commons. The Act thus passed received the Royal Assent on May 25 1909.

The only important change in the Bill during its passage through Parliament related to the creation of executive councils for provinces under heutenant-governors. Clause 3 of the Bill as introduced enabled the Governor-General in Council with the approval of the Secretary of State in Council by proclamation to create an executive council for any such province. This clause was struck out by the House

The letter of October 1 1908, and the dispatch of November 27 1908, are to be found in vol. i of the Blue Book entitled East India (Advisory and Legislative Councils, &c.), 1908, Od. 4415. The replice from the Local Governments are embodied in separate volumes.

of Lords, restored by the House of Commons, and eventually agreed to in the modified form in which it now stands as s 3 of the Act.

In the course of the debates on the Bill much was said about Lord Moiley's amouncement of his intention to appoint a native of India to a post on the Governor-General's council. This subject was not strictly relevant to the Bill, because, as was explained, the power of making these appointments is free from any restriction as to race, creed, or place of birth. Effect was given to Lord Moiley's intention by the appointment of Mr. Sinha, in March, 1909, to the post of law member of the Governor-General's council. This appointment carried a step further the policy adopted in 1907, when two natives of India were placed on the Secretary of State's council. In pursuance of the same policy a native of India has been placed on the executive councils for Madras and Bombay respectively, and is to be placed on the new executive council for Bengal.

Under s r of the Act the 'additional' members of the Indian legislative councils, r e those other than the members of the executive councils, must, instead of being all nominated, include elected members.

By s 2 power is given to raise the number of members of the executive councils for Madras and Bombay to a maximum of four, of whom two at least must be persons who at the time of their appointment have been in the service of the Crown in India for at least twelve years

Under s 3 there is power to constitute an executive council for any province having a heutenant-governor. But, except in the case of Bengal, the draft of any proclamation proposed to be made in pursuance of this power must be laid before each House of Parliament, and the proclamation may be disallowed in pursuance of an address from either House. The number of the executive council must not exceed four 1

¹ An executive council of three members is being constituted for Bengal

8 4 requires the appointment of vice-presidents of the several councils

By s. 5 the Governor-General in Council, the Governors in Council of Madras and Bombay and the hentenant-governors or lieutenant-governors in council of other provinces are required to make rules authorizing at any meeting of their respective legislative councils the discussion of the annual financial statement and of any matter of general interest and the asking of questions

Under ss. 1 and 6 there is extensive power to make regula tions for carrying the Act into effect

And under s. 7 certain proclamations regulations and rules are required to be laid before Parliament when made

It will be seen that the provisions of the Act of 1909 are as is usual in Acts relating to India couched in wide and general terms leaving all details and some important matters of principle, to be determined by regulations and rules made by the authorities in India

The regulations and rules required to give effect in the first instance to the Act of 1909 are to be found in a Blue Book which was laid before Parliament in pursuance of a 7 of the Act 1

The Blue Book begins with a notification fixing November 15 1909 as the date at which the provisions of the Act were to come into operation.

Then follow under the headings Nos. II to IX, regulations and rules for the nomination and election of the members of the several legislative councils of India, other than those who are such members by virtue of being members of the executive councils. The regulations are in the case of each council, of a general character and relate to such matters as number qualifications term of office and mode of filling vacancies. The rules, which are scheduled to the regulations are more detailed, and prescribe the mode in which the several elections are to be made.

East India (Executive and Legislative Councils) Regulations, &c., for giving effect to the Indian Councils Act 1907 (1910, Od. 4987).

In No. X are to be found important rules regulating the business of the Governor-General's legislative council, and relating to (1) the discussion of the annual financial statement, (2) the discussion of matters of general public interest, and (3) the asking of questions,

No XI is a Home Department icsolution of the Government of India, dated November 15, 1909, which describes in general terms the nature of the changes made by the Act of 1909, and the regulations under it, and has appended to it a table showing the constitutions of the several legislative councils.

The changes made in the legislative councils by the Act of 1909, and the regulations and rules under it, may be considered under the heads of (.1) Constitution and (B) Functions.

1 CONSTITUTION

The constitution of the councils is changed in three respects:

- I Numbers;
- 2. Proportion of official and non-official members;
- 3 Methods of appointment or election.
- 1. Numbers 'The Indian Councils Act, 1892, spereased the size of the legislative councils constituted under the Act of 1861. The maximum of additional members was raised from 12 to 16 in the Governor-General's council, and from 8 to 20 in the Madras and Bombay councils. The limit of number of the Bengal council was raised to 20, that of the United (then North-Western) Provinces to 15. The Punjab and Burma obtained legislative councils in 1897, and Eastern Bengal and Assam in 1905, the maximum strength being fixed at 15 in the first two, and 20 in the third.

These numbers are now doubled or more than doubled. The additional members of the Governor-General's council are to be not more than 60, the additional members of the councils of Madias and Bombay, and the members of the councils of Bengal, the United Provinces, and Eastern Bengal and Assam are to be not more than 50 In Punjab and Burma the

maximum is raised to 30 In computing the number of members of the Governor-General's council, 8 must be added to the additional members namely the 6 ordinary members of the executive council, the commander in-chief, and the lieutenant-governor of the province in which the council sits Similarly there are now on the Madras and Bombav legislative councils 4 ex-officio members namely in each case the 3 members of the executive council and the advocate-general and on the Bengal legislative council there will be the 3 ordinary members of the new executive council.

Thus the actual strength of the legislative councils under the new law is as follows. 1

Legislative Council of—	Number under Regula- tions of 1909.	Manmum number under Act of 1909.
Indis Madrus Bombay Bengal Fulted Provinces Eastern Bengal and Assam Punjab Burms	68 48 48 43 53 449 42 26	68 54 54 53 50 50 50 30

2 Proportion of official and non-official members

Under the Act of 1861 at least one-half of the additional members of the legislative councils of the Governor-General's council and of the councils of Madras and Bombay and at least one-third of the members of the other legislative councils must be non-official. An official majority was not required by statute but in practice was always maintained before the Act of 1999, except in Bombay where the official members had been for some years in a minority

Under the regulations of 1909 there must be an official majority in the Governor-General's legislative council, and a non-official majority in all the other legislative councils.

Excluding in each case the head of the Government, i.e. the Governor-General, Governor or Lieutenant-Governor.

The existing proportions, as fixed by the regulations, are as follows:

Legislative Council of—	Officials	Non-Officials	Majority	
India Madras Bombay Bengal United Provinces Eastern Bengal and Assam Punjab Burma	36 , 36 , 36 , 36 , 36 , 36 , 36 , 36 ,	32 26 28 31 26 23 14 9	Official 4 Non official 6 10 11 6 6 4	

These figures exclude in each case the head of the government, i.e. the Governor-General, Governor, or Lieutenant-Governor They also leave out of account the two 'expert' members who may be appointed from time to time as occasion requires, and who may be either official or non-official ¹ Any alteration in the number of the executive council would affect the proportions

It will be observed that these proportions are fixed by the regulations, not by statute. They were so fixed in pursuance of the policy announced by the Secretary of State, who was of opinion that while it was necessary to maintain an official majority in the Governor-General's council, this was not necessary or desirable in the case of the other councils. Refusal by the provincial councils to pass necessary legislation may be met by exercise of the power vested in the Governor-General's Council to legislate for any part of India. Undesirable legislation may be checked by the power of veto reserved to the head of the government

3 Methods of appointment or election

Under the Act of 1861 the 'additional' members of the legislative councils were nominated by the Governor-General, governor, or heutenant-governor, the only restriction on his

¹ There is no provision for the appointment of experts, as such, on the Governor-General's legislative council, but experts could be placed on the Council, when occasion requires, under his powers of nominating members

discretion being the requirement to maintain a due proportion of unofficial members

By the Act of 1892 the nominations were required to be in accordance with regulations made by the Governor General of in council and approved by the Secretary of State. Under the regulations so made a certain number of these nominations had to be made on the recommendation of specified persons bodies and associations the intention being to give a representative character to the persons so nominated. There was no obligation to accept the recommendation, but in practice it was never refused. In the case of other nominations regard was to be had to the due and fair representation of the different classes of the community. Under the Act of 1909 the additional members must include not only nominated members, but also members elected in accordance with regulations made under the Act and the regulations of November 1909 give effect to this requirement.

There is a separate set of regulations for every legislative council, and scheduled to each set are detailed rules as to the method of election.

The provisions of the regulations themselves are of a more general character and those framed for the Governor-General s conneil may be treated as typical.

They begin by fixing the number of additional members classifying them as elected or nominated, describing in general terms the classes or bodies by whom the elected members are to be elected, and defining, by reference to the schedules the constitution of the electorates and the method of election. The constitutions thus provided both for the Governor-General secuncil and for the other legislative councils will be found in a tabular form in an appendix to this obapter?

The substitution of a system of election for a system of nomination obviously involves the imposition of certain disqualifications for election. These disqualifications are laid

Soo Government of India, pp. 115, 116, 119.

Appendix II.

down for the Governor-General's council by Regulation IV, which provides that—

No person shall be eligible for election as a member of the council if such person

- (a) is not a British subject, or
- (b) is a female; or
- (c) has been adjudged by a competent civil court to be of unsound mind; or
- (d) is under twenty-five years of age, or
- (e) is an uncertificated bankrupt or an undischarged insolvent; or
- (f) has been dismissed from the Government service, or
- (g) has been sentenced by a criminal court to imprisonment for an offence punishable with imprisonment for a term exceeding six months, or to transportation, or has been ordered to find security for good behaviour under the Code of Chiminal Procedure, such sentence or order not having subsequently been reversed, or remitted, or the oftender pardoned; or
- (h) has been debarred from practising as a legal practitioner by order of any competent authority; or
- (i) has been declared by the Governor-General in Council to be of such reputation and antecedents that his election would, in the opinion of the Governor-General in Council, be contrary to the public interest

But in cases (f) (g) (h) and (i) the disqualification may be removed by an order of the Governor-General in Council in that behalf.

Identical provisions are embodied in all the other sets of regulations, except that the powers exercisable by the Governor-General in Council may be exercised by the Governor in Council or Lieutenant-Governor.

The positive qualifications both of electors and of candidates are fixed by the scheduled rules, but by the regulations females, minors, and persons adjudged to be of unsound mind are disqualified from voting

Every person elected or nominated must before taking his seat make an eath or affirmation of his allegiance to the Crown.

The ordinary term of office of an additional member whether nommated or elected is three years. But official members and members nommated as being persons who have expert knowledge of subjects connected with proposed or pending legislation are to hold office for three years or such shorter period as the Governor General may at the time of nomination determine. A member elected or nominated to fill a casual vacancy sits only for the unexpired portion of his predecessor's term. The effect of these provisions, which are repeated in substance in all the sets of regulations is that for elected members of the legislative councils there must be a general election every three years

The regulations provide for declaring seats vacant, for choice or determination of seat in case of a candidate elected by more than one electorate and for the case of failure to elect

An election is declared to be invalid if any corrupt practice is commetted in connexion therewith by the candidate elected and provision is made for the determination of disputes as to the validity of elections

The tables in the appendix to this chapter and, still more the elaborate rules scheduled to the regulations under the Act of 1909 show the number and diversity of the electorates to the legislative councils and the venety of methods adopted for constituting the electorates and for regulating their procedure in elections. The object aimed at was to obtain, so far as possible a fair representation of the different classes and interests in the country and the regulations and rules were framed for this purpose in accordance with local advice and with reference to the local conditions of each province. The consequent variety of the rules makes it impossible to generalize their provisions or to summarize their contents. All of them may be regarded as experimental some of them are avowedly temporary and provisional. For instance, it has not yet been found practicable to constitute satisfactory

preparation and publication of electoral rolls containing the names of all persons qualified to vote.

The qualifications prescribed for electors in the case of landholders and Muhammadans vary greatly from province to province. Landholders must usually possess a substantial property qualification. In home cases titles and honorary distinctions fellowships of Universities and pensions for public service are recognized as qualifications.

The qualifications for candidates are as a rule the same as those for electors but in some cases where such restrictions would be mappropriate other qualifications are prescribed. Thus a person elected to the Governor General scouncil by the unofficial members of a provincial council is required to have a place of residence within the province and such practical connexion with the province as qualifies him to represent it. The election is either direct or indirect through elected delegates. In some cases the electors or delegates vote at a single centre before a returning officer in others they vote at different places before an attesting officer who dispatches the voting paper to the returning officer.

In Bengal each delegate has a varying number of votes the number depending in the case of district boards and municipalities on the income of these bodies, and in the case of the Muhammadan community on the strength and importance of the Muhammadan population of a district or group of districts Elsewhere the same object has been attained by varying the number of delegates on like grounds, each delegate then having one vote.

The member of the Governor-General's council chosen to represent the Muhammadan community of Bombay is elected by the Muhammadan members of the Bombay council. The Government of India were assured that this method would secure better representation than election by delegates ad hoc.

The procedure for voting is generally similar to that prescribed by the English Ballot Act But in some cases, such as the elections by the corporations of the presidency towns, the chambers of commerce and the trade associations, the voting is regulated by the procedure usually adopted by these bodies for the transaction of their ordinary business

B • FUNCTIONS

The functions of the legislative councils fall into three divisions, (a) legislative, (b) deliberative, and (c) interrogatory

(a) Legislative

The Act of 1909, and the regulations under it, make no alteration in the legislative functions and powers of the provincial councils. These are still mainly regulated by the Act of 1861.

(b) Deliberative

Between 1861 and 1892 the powers of the legislative councils were confined strictly to legislation ². The Act of 1892 introduced non-legislative functions by empowering the head of the government in every case to make rules authorizing the discussion of the annual financial statement, provided that no member might propose a motion or divide the council Under this power one or two days were allotted annually in every council to the discussion of a budget already settled by the executive government.

The Act of 1909 repealed the provisions of the Act of 1892 on this point and required rules to be made authorizing at any meeting of the legislative councils the discussion of the annual financial statement and of any matter of general public interest ³

The rules made under this direction introduce two important changes—

(1) The discussion of the budget is to extend over several days, it takes place before the budget is finally settled, and members have the right to propose resolutions and to divide the council upon them,

¹ See Digest, ss 63-67, 76-78

^{3 9} Edn VII, c 4, 8 5

(u) At meetings of the legislative councils matters of general public importance may be discussed and divisions may be taken on resolutions proposed by members

In each case the resolutions are to take the form of recommendations to the Government and the Government is not bound to act upon them

The rules framed for the Governor-General's council are printed in the Blue Book of 1910. and are of such interest and importance as to justify their reproduction in an appendix to this chapter. It may be useful to summarize here some of their leading provisions.

Financial Statement or Budget. The rules distinguish between the financial statement and the budget. The first means the preliminary financial estimates of the Governor-General in Council for the financial year next following. The second means the financial statement as finally settled by the Governor-General in Council. On a day appointed in each year by the Governor-General the financial statement with an explanatory memorandum, is to be presented to the council by the finance member and a printed copy is to be supplied to each member. No discussion takes place on this day.

The first stage of discussion takes place on a subsequent day after the finance member has made any explanations he thinks necessary. On this day any member may move any resolution entered in his name in the list of business relating to any alteration in taxation, new loan or additional grant to local governments proposed or mentioned in the financial statement or explanatory memorandum and a discussion takes place on any resolution so moved.

The second stage of discussion begins after these resolutions have been disposed of The member of council in charge of a department explains the head or heads of the financial state-

^{1910,} Cil. 4987

Appendix III. The rules for the other councils are not included in the Blue Book, but are framed on similar lines.

ment relating to his department, and resolutions may then be moved and discussed

There is a schedule to the rules defining which heads of the financial statement are open to or are excluded from discussion. Among the excluded heads are military, political, and purely provincial affairs, under the heading 'ievenue', stamps, customs, assessed taxes, and courts, and, under the heading 'expenditure', assignments and compensations, interest on debt, ecclesiastical expenditure, and state railways. Besides these the rules themselves exclude from discussion any of the following subjects

- (a) Any subject removed from the discussion of the Governor-General's legislative council by s 22 of the Indian Act, 1861.
- (b) Any matter affecting the relations of His Majesty's Government or of the Governor-General in Council with any Foreign State or any Native State in India, or
- (c) any matter under adjudication by a court of law having jurisdiction in any part of His Majesty's dominions
- Any resolution moved must comply with the following conditions:
- (a) It must be in the form of a specific recommendation addressed to the Governor-General in Council,
- (b) it must be clearly and precisely expressed and must raise a definite issue,
- (c) it must not contain arguments, inferences, ironical expressions, or defamatory statements, nor refer to the conduct or character of persons except in their official or public capacity;
- (d) it must not challenge the accuracy of the financial statement,
 - (e) it must be directly relevant to some entry in the financial statement.

i e matters which the Governor-General in Council has not power to repeal or affect by any law See D

Two clear days notice of any resolution must be given. The president may disallow any resolution or part of a resolution without giving any reason other than that in his opinion it cannot be moved or that it should be moved in a provincial council and his decision cannot be challenged.

The budget as finally settled must be presented to the council on or before March 24 by the finance member who then describes any changes made in the figures of the financial statement and explains why any resolutions passed by the council have not been accepted. No discussion takes place on this day but on a subsequent day there is to be a general discussion at which observations may be made but resolutions may not be moved. Nor is the budget as a whole to be submitted to the vote of the council.

Many of the rules for regulating procedure in debate are of a kind with which members of the House of Commons are familiar but some of them present distinctive features. No speech may exceed fifteen minutes except those of the mover and the member in charge who may speak for thirty minutes. Any member may send his speech in print to the scoretary not less than two clear days before the day fixed for the discussion of a resolution with as many copies as there are members and one copy is to be supplied to every member. Any such speech may at the discretion of the president be taken as read.

Matters of general public interest. Discussions on these matters must be raised by resolution, and must take place after all the other business of the day has been concluded. The general rules regulating the form of the resolutions and the discussions upon them, are in the main the same as those for the discussion of resolutions on the financial statement the chief difference being that the range of discussions is wider and that amendments are allowed. The only subjects specifically excluded from discussion are those belonging to the three classes mentioned above in connexion with the financial statement namely matters for which the councils cannot

legislate, matters relating to foreign and native States, and matters under adjudication by a court of law. But the president has the same discretionary power of disallowing resolutions as he has in the case of resolutions on the financial statement.

The right to move amendments on resolutions is made subject to restrictions which are intended to provide safeguards against abuse of the right. Fifteen days' notice of a resolution is required, and priority depends on the time of receipt. When a question has been discussed, or a resolution has been disallowed or withdrawn, no resolution or amendment raising substantially the same question may be moved within one year

(c) Interrogatory

Since 1892 members of the legislative councils have had the right to ask questions under conditions and restrictions prescribed by rules. This right is now enlarged by allowing a member to put a supplementary question 'for the purpose, of further elucidating any matter of fact regarding which a request for information has been made in his original question'. But the president may disallow a supplementary question, and the member to whom it is addressed may decline to answer it without notice. The rules which now govern the asking of questions in the Governor-General's council are printed in the Blue Book of 1910, and are to be found in Appendix III to this chapter.

The quorum for the transaction of business, legislative or other, at meetings of the Governor-General's legislative council is fixed by one of the Regulations of November 15, 1909, for the constitution of that council Regulation XIII provides that, in addition to the Governor-General, President, Vice-President, or other member appointed to preside, there must be present fifteen or more members of the council, of whom eight at least must be additional members. There are similar provisions in the regulations for the other councils.



APPENDIX I

INDIAN COUNCELS ACT, 1909

[9 EDW VII CH 4]

An Act to amend the Indian Councils Acts, 1861 and 1892, and the Government of India Act, 1833
[May 25, 1909]

BE it enacted by the King's most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows

- 1—(I) The additional members of the councils for the purpose Amendof making laws and regulations (hereinafter referred to as Legisment of lative Councils) of the Governor-General and of the Governors of Constitution of Fort Saint George and Bombay, and the members of the Legislative Councils already constituted, or which may hereafter be lative constituted, of the several Lieutenant-Governors of Provinces, Councils instead of being all nominated by the Governor-General, Governor, or Lieutenant-Governor in manner provided by the Indian Councils Acts 1861 and 1892, shall include members so nominated and also 24 & 25 members elected in accordance with regulations made under this Viet c 67. Act, and references in those Acts to the members so nominated 55 & 56 and their nomination shall be construed as including references to the members so elected and their election
- (2) The number of additional members or members so nominated and elected, the number of such members required to constitute a quorum, the term of office of such members and the manner of filling up casual vacancies occurring by reason of absence from India, inability to attend to duty, death, acceptance of office, or resignation duly accepted, or otherwise, shall, in the case of each such council, be such as may be prescribed by regulations made under this Act

Provided that the aggregate number of members so nominated and elected shall not, in the case of any Legislative Council mentioned in the first column of the First Schedule to this Act, exceed the number specified in the second column of that schedule.

2—(I) The number of ordinary members of the councils of Constituthe Governors of Fort Saint George and Bombay shall be such tion and number not exceeding four as the Secretary of State in Council procedure of Execumay from time to time direct, of whom two at least shall be tive

Councils
of Cover
nors of
Fort Sains
George
and

Fort Saint
(veorge
and
Bombay
Power to
constitute
provincial
executive
connects.

persons who at the time of their appointment have been in the service of the Crown in India for at least twelve years

(2) If at any meeting of either of such councils there is an equality of votes on any question the Governor or other person

presiding shall have twoevotes or the casting vote

3—(1) It shall be lawful for the Gevernor General in Council, with the approval of the Secretary of State in Council, by proclamation, to create a council in the Bengal Division of the Preadency of Fort William for the purpose of assisting the Lieutenant Governor in the executive government of the province and by such proclamation.

(a) to make provision for determining what shall be the number (not exceeding four) and qualifications of the members of

the council and

(b) to make provision for the appointment of temporary or acting members of the council during the absence of any member from illness or otherwise and for the procedure to be adopted in case of a difference of opinion between a Lieutenant Governor and his council, and in the case of equality of votes and in the case of a Lieutenant-Governor being obliged to absent himself from his council from indisposition or any other cause

(2) It shall be lawful for the Governor General in Council, with the like approval, by a like proclamation to create a conneil in an other province under a Lieutenant Governor for the purpose of assisting the Lieutenant-Governor in the executive government of the province. Provided that before any such proclamation is made a draft thereof shall be laid before each House of Parlia ment for not less than arry days during the session of Parliament and, if before the expiration of that time an address is presented to His Majesty by either House of Parliament against the draft or any part thereof no further proceedings shall be taken thereon, without prejudice to the making of any new draft.

(3) Where any such proclamation has been made with respect to any province the Lieutenant-Governor may with the consent to the Governor General in Council, from time to time make rules and orders for the more convenient transaction of business in his council, and any order made or set done in accordance with the rules and orders so made shall be deemed to be an act or order.

of the Lieutenant-Governor in Council.

(4) Every member of any such council shall be appointed by the Governor-General, with the approval of His Majesty said shall, as such, be a member of the Legislative Council of the Lieutenant Governor in addition to the members nominated by the Lieutenant Governor and elected under the provisions of this Act.

Appoint-

4 The Governor General and the Governors of Fort Saint George and Bombay and the Lieutenant Governor of overy province respectively shall appoint a member of their respective Vice Precouncils to be Vice-President thereof, and, for the purpose of sidents temporarily holding and executing the office of Governor-General or Governor of Fort Saint George or Bombay and of presiding at meetings of Council in the absence of the Governor-General, Governor, or Lieutenant-Governor, the Vice-President so appointed shall be deemed to be the senior member of Council and the member highest in rank, and the Indian Councils Act, 1861, and sections sixty-two and sixty-three of the Government of India 3 & 4 Act, 1833, shall have effect accordingly

5 —(I) Notwithstanding anything in the Indian Councils Act, Power to 1861, the Governor-General in Council, the Governors in Council extend of Fort Saint George and Bombay respectively, and the Lieutenant-business of Legisla-Governor or Lieutenant-Governor in Council of every province, tive shall make rules authorizing at any meeting of their respective Council legislative councils the discussion of the annual financial statement of the Governor-General in Council or of their respective local governments, as the case may be, and of any matter of general public interest, and the asking of questions, under such conditions and restrictions as may be prescribed in the rules applicable to the several councils

(2) Such rules as aforesaid may provide for the appointment of a member of any such council to preside at any such discussion in the place of the Governor-General, Governor, or Lieutenant-Governor, as the case may be, and of any Vice-President,

(3) Rules under this section, where made by a Governor in Council, or by a Lieutenant-Governor, or a Lieutenant-Governor in Council, shall be subject to the sanction of the Governor-General in Council, and where made by the Governor-General in Council shall be subject to the sanction of the Secretary of State in Council, and shall not be subject to alteration or amendment by the Legislative Council of the Governor-General, Governor, or Lieutenant-Governor

The Governor-General in Council shall, subject to the Power to approval of the Secretary of State in Council, make regulations make as to the conditions under which and manner in which persons regularesident in India may be nominated or elected as members of the Legislative Councils of the Governor-General, Governors, and Lieutenant-Governors, and as to the qualifications for being, and for being nominated or elected, a member of any such council, and as to any other matter for which regulations are authorized to be made under this Act, and also as to the manner in which those regulations are to be carried into effect. Regulations under this section shall not be subject to alteration or amendment by the Legislative Council of the Governor-General

7. All proclamations, regulations, and rules made under this Laying of Act, other than rules made by a Lieutenant-Governor for the proclamamore convenient transaction of business in his council, shall be tions, &c,

laid before both Houses of Parliament as soon as may be after before Parks they are made ment.

8 -(1) This Act may be cited as the Indian Councils Act 1000 Short title construe, and shall be construed with the Indian Councils Acts 1861 and tion, com 1802 and those Acts the Indian Councils Act 1869, the Indian Councils Act 1871 the Indian Courcils Act. 1874, the Indian mence-Councils Act 1904, and this Act may be cited together as the ment and repeal.

Indian Councils Acts 1861 to 1000.

32 & 33 Vlot. c. 98. (2) This Act shall come into operation on such date or dates 33 dt 34 Viot. 0. 34. as the Governor General in Council, with the approval of the Secretary of State in Council, may appoint, and different dates 37 € 38 Secretary of State in Council, may appoint, and different dates.
Viot, c. o. may be appointed for different purposes and provisions of this Act and for different councils. ∡ Edw

On the date appointed for the coming into operation of this VII e. 26. Ant as respects any Legislative Council, all the nominated mem bers of the council then in office shall go out of office, but may it otherwise qualified, be renominated or be elected in accordance with the provisions of this Act.

(3) The enactments mentioned in the Second Schedule to this Act are hereby repealed to the extent mentioned in the third

column of that schedule

SCHEDULES

Section 1

FIRST SCHEDULE

MAXIMUM NUMBERS OF NOMINATED AND ELECTED MEMBERS OF LEGISLATIVE COUNCILS

Legislative Council	Maximum Vumber
Legislative Council of the Governor-General	60
Legislative Council of the Governor of Fort St. George	50
Legislative Council of the Governor of Bombay Legislative Council of the Lieutenant-Governor of the	50
Rengal Division of the Presidency of Fort William Legislative Council of the Lieutenant-Governor of the	50
United Provinces of Agra and Oudh Legislati e Council of the Lieutenant-Governor of the	50 6
Province of Eastern Bengal and Assam Legislative Council of the Lieutenant-Governor of the	50
Province of the Punjab Legislative Council of the Lioutenant Governor of the	3 0
Province of Burma Legislative Council of the Lieutenant-Governor of any	30
Province which may bereafter be constituted	30

SECOND SCHEDULE

ENACTMENTS REPEALED

Section 8

Session and Chapter	Short Title.	Extent of Repeal.
24 & 25 Vict c 67	The Indian Councils Act, 1861	In section ten, the words 'not less than six nor more than twelve in number' In section eleven, the words 'for the term of two years from the date of such nomination' In section fifteen, the words from 'and the power of making laws and regulations' to 'shall be present' In section twenty-nine, the words 'not less than four nor more than eight in number' In section thirty, the words 'for the term of two years from the date of such nomination' In section thirty-four, the words from 'and the power of making laws and regulations' to 'shall be present' In section forty-five, the words from 'and the power of making laws end regulations' to 'shall be present'
55 & 56 Vict c 14	The Indian Councils Act, 1892	Sections one and two In section four, the words ; appointed under the said Act or this Act' and paragraph (2)

APPENDIX II

CONSTITUT	ION	OF	THE	LEGISLATIVE	COUN
CILS	UN.	CER	THE	REGULATION	is of
NOVE	MBE	R 19	09		

INDIA

Ex-officio

The six ordinary members of the Governor General s Council, the Commander in Chief, and the Lieutenant-Governor of the province in which the council sits

A 3 3 . 4 . am a 7

ZIIIIIIII
Nominated members of whom not more than twenty
eight must be officials 1 and of whom three being non
officials shall be selected respectively from the land
holders of the Punjab the Muhammadans of the
Punjub and the Indian commercial community
Elected members, elected by
(a) the provincial legislative councils and the district

Councils and municipal committees in the Cen tral Provinces 3 (b) the landholders of Madras Bombay Bengal,

Eastern Bengal and Assam, the United Provinces, the Punjab and the Central Provinces (c) the Muhammadans of Madras, Bombay Bengal,

Eastern Bengal and Assam and the United Provinces (d) the Chambers of Con bay

merce C	alcutta and Bom	3	
		2	
			25
			_
	Total		68
vernor ()	maral		60

R

35

T2

6

Eight of these are to be officials representing provinces. In the accord, fourth, and succeeding alternate elections, two of the nominated scats will be transferred to the elective list and will be filled by special electorates consisting of Muhammadan landholders in Eastern Bengal and Assam, and in the United Provinces respectively. See par 5 of the Home Department resolution of Nortmber 15, 1909.

These elections are made by the unofficial members of the councils. There is no legislative council for the Central Provinces.

or including the Governor General

APPENDIX II

Madras

Ex-officio

Members of the executive council	_
	•
Advocate-General .	

Additional

Nominated members, of wi	lom	no	t n	101	re than sixteen	are
to be officials, and one	18	to	be	a	representative	of
Indian commerce					·	

Nominated experts, who may be either officials or nonofficials

Elected members alected be-

ted members, elected by		
(a) The Corporation of M	Indras	ī
(b) Municipalities and d	istrict boards	8
(c) The University	•	I
(d) The landholders	•	4
(e) The planting commu	nity.	I

(f) Muhammadans (g) The Madras Chamber of Commerce (h) The Madras Trades Association

Total

1

I

I

or, including the Governor

BOUBAY

Ex-officio

Members of the executive council Advocate-General

Additional

Nominated members, of whom not more than fourteen are to be officials

Nominated experts, who may be either officials or nonofficials

Elected members, elected by

(a) The Corporation of Bombay	Ι
(b) Municipalities .	4
(c) District Boards	4
(d) The University	I
(e) The landholders	3
(f) Muhammadans	4
(g) The Bombay Chamber of Commerce	I
(h) The Karachi Chamber of Commerce	I
in The Millermans, Associations of Rombay and	

(1) The Millowners' Associations of Bombay and Ahmadabad (1) The Indian commercial community

Total

BENGAL

Ex-officio

Members of the executive council

Additional

Nominated members on the more than seventeen to be offinals, and one to be a representative of the planting community and one of Indian commerce Nominated experts who may be either officials or non officials Elected members elected by (a) The Corporation of Calcutta (b) Municipalities (c) District Boards (d) The University (e) The landholders (f) Muhammadans (g) The Bengal Chamber of Commerce (k) The Calcutta Trades Association	1 6 6 1 5 4 2 1	26
Total		53
or including the Lieutenant Governor		54
or mending the mentangle dovernor		,
•		
United Provinces		
Nominated members not more than twenty to be officials and one to be a representative of Indian commerce Nominated experts who may be officials or non-officials Elected members elected by (a) Large municipalities in rotation (b) District boards and smaller municipalities (c) Allahabad University (d) The landholders (e) Muhammadans (f) The Upper India Chamber of Commerce	4 8 1 2 4 1	20
Total		48
or including the Lacutenant Governor		49

35242

I

I

Total

or, including the Lieutenant-Governor

APPENDIX III

RULES OF BUSINESS OF NOVEMBER 15 1909

The 15th November 1909.

No 23.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the Indian Councils Act, 1909 the Governor-General in Council has, with the sanction of the Secretary of State for India in Council made the following rules authorizing, at any meeting of the Legislative Council of the Governor General the discussion of the annual financial statement of the Governor General in Council

RULES FOR THE DISCUSSION OF THE ANNUAL FINAN CIAL STATEMENT IN THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OF THE GOVERNOR GENERAL

DEFINITIONS

- 1 In these rules -
- President means—
 - (a) the Governor-General or
 - (b) the President nominated by the Governor General on Council under section 6 of the Indian Councils Act, 1861 or
 - (e) the Vice-President appointed by the Governor General under section 4 of the Indian Councils Act, 1909, or
 - (d) the Member appointed to preside under rule 27
- (2) Member in charge means the Member of the Council of the Governor General to whom is educated the business of the Department of the Government of India to which the subject under discussion belongs, and includes any Member to whom such Member in charge may delegate any function assigned to him under these rules.
 - (3) Finance Member means the Member in charge of the
- Finance Department of the Government of India
- (4) Secretary means the Secretary to the Government of India in the Legislative Department, and includes the Deputy's Secretary and every person for the time being exercising the functions of the Secretary
- (5) Financial Statement means the preliminary financial estimates of the Governor General in Council for the financial year next following and
- (6) Budget means the Financial Statement as finally settled by the Governor General in Connect.

A-THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT

General order of discussion

- 2.—(I) On such day as may be appointed in this behalf by the Severnor-General, the Financial Statement with an explanatory memorandum shall be presented to the Council every year by the Finance Member, and a printed Lopy shall be given to every Member
- (2) No discussion of the Financial Statement shall be permitted on such day
- 3—(1) On such later day as may be appointed in this behalf by the Governor-General, the first stage of the discussion of the Financial Statement in Council shall commence
- (2) On this day, after the Finance Member has stated any changes in the figures of the Financial Statement which circumstances may since have rendered necessary and has made any explanations of that Statement which he may think fit, any Member shall be at liberty to move any resolution entered in his name in the list of business relating to any alteration in taxation, any new loan or any additional grant to Local Governments proposed or mentioned in such Statement or explanatory memorandum, and the Council shall thereupon proceed to discuss each such resolution in the manner hereinafter prescribed
- 4—(I) The second stage of the discussion of the Financial Stazement shall commence as soon as may be after all the resolutions which may be moved as aforesaid have been disposed of

(2) In this stage each head or group of heads specified in the statement contained in the Schedule appended to these rules as being open to discussion, shall be considered separately according to such grouping as the Member in charge may determine

(3) The consideration of a particular head or group of heads shall be introduced by the Member in charge with such explanations, supplementing the information contained in the Financial

Statement, as may appear to him to be necessary

(4) Any Member shall then be at liberty to move any resolution relating to any question covered by any such head or group of heads which may be entered in his name in the list of business, and the Council shall thereupon proceed to discuss every such resolution in the manner hereinafter prescribed.

Subjects excluded from discussion

5 No discussion shall be permitted in regard to any of the following subjects, namely —

(a) any subject removed from the cognizance of the Legislative Council of the Governor-General by section 22 of the Indian Councils Act, 1861, or

¹ See Digest, s 63.

- (b) any matter affecting the relations of His Majesty's Government or of the Governor General in Council with any Foreign State or any Native State in India or
- (c) any matter under adjudication by a Court of Law having jurisdiction in any part of His Majesty's Dominions.

Resolutions

6 No resolution shall be moved which does not comply with the following conditions, namely —

(a) it shall be in the form of a specific recommendation

addressed to the Governor General in Council

(b) it shall be clearly and precisely expressed and shall raise a definite issue

(c) it shall not contain arguments inferences fromcal expressions or defamatory statements nor shall it refer to the conduct or character of persons except in their official or public capacity

(d) it shall not challenge the accuracy of the figures of the

- Financial Statement and
 (e) it shall be directly relevant to some entry in the Financial Statement
- 7 A Member who wishes to move a resolution, shall give notice in writing to the Secretary at least two clear days before the combencement of the stage of the discussion to which the resolution relates, and shall together with the notice submit a copy of the resolution which he wishes to move
- 8 The President may disallow any resolution or part of a resolution without giving any reason therefor other than that in his opinion it cannot be moved consistently with the public interests or that it should be moved in the Legislative Council of a Local Covernment.
- 9—(1) No discussion in Council shall be permitted in respect of any order of the President under rule 8

(2) A resolution that has been dusallowed shall not be entered in the proceedings of the Council.

10 Resolutions admitted by the President shall be entered in the list of business in such order as he may direct.

Discussion of Resolutions

11—(1) After the mover of a resolution has spoken, other Members may speak to the motion in such order as the President may direct, and thereafter the mover may speak once by way of reply

(2) No Member other than the mover and the Member in charge shall speak more than once to any motion except with the

permission of the President for the purpose of making an explanation.

12 No speech, except with the permission of the President, shall exceed fifteen minutes in duration

Provided that the mover of a resolution, when moving the same, and the Member in charge may speak for thirty minutes

- 13 The discussion of a resolution shall be limited to the subject of the resolution, and shall not extend to any matter as to which a resolution may not be moved.
- 14 A Member who has moved a resolution may withdraw the same unless some Member desires that it be put to the vote
- 15 When, in the opinion of the President, a resolution has been sufficiently discussed, he may close the discussion by calling upon the mover to reply and the Member in charge to submit any final observations which he may wish to make

Provided that the President may in all cases address the Council

before putting the question to the vote

- 16 If any resolution involves many points, the President at his discretion may divide it, so that each point may be determined separately
- 17—(1) Every question shall be resolved in the affirmative or in the negative according to the majority of votes

(2) Votes may be taken by voices or by division and shall be

taken by division if any member so desires

- (3) The President shall determine the method of taking votes by division
- 18—(I) The President may assign such time as with due regard to the public interests he may consider reasonable for the discussion of resolutions or of any particular resolution

(2) Every resolution which shall not have been put to the vote within the time so assigned shall be considered to have been

withdrawn.

- 19 Every resolution, if carried, shall have effect only as a recommendation to the Governor-General in Council
- 20 When a question has been discussed at a meeting of the Council, or when a resolution has been disallowed under rule 8 or withdrawn under rule 14, no resolution raising substantially the same question shall be moved within one year

B-THE BUDGET

21—(1) On or before the 24th day of March in every year the Budget shall be presented to the Council by the Finance Member, who shall describe the changes that have been made in the figures of the Financial Statement, and shall explain why any resolutions passed in Council have not been accepted.

(2) A printed copy of the Budget shall be given to each Member 22—(1) The general discussion of the Budget in Council shall

take place on such later day as may be appointed by the Pre-

sident for this purpose

(2) At such discussion, any Member shall be at liberty to offer any observations he may wish to make on the Budget, but at Member shall be permitted to move any resolution in regard thereto nor shall the Budget be submitted to the vote of the Council.

(3) It shall be open to the President, if he thinks fit to pre-

scribe a time limit for speeches

23 The Finance Member shall have the right of reply and the discussion shall be closed by the President making such observations as he may consider necessary

C-GENERAL

24.—(x) Every Member shall speak from his place, shall rise when he speaks and shall address the chair

(2) At any time if the President rises any Member speaking

shall immediately resume his seat.

25—(1) Any Member may send his speech in print to the Secretary not less than two clear days before the day fixed for the discussion of a resolution, with as many copies as there are Members, and the Secretary shall cause one of such copies to be supplied to every Member

(2) Any such speech may at the discretion of the President be

taken as read.

- 26 —(I) The President shall preserve order and all points of order shall be decided by him
- (2) No discussion on any point of order shall be allowed unless the President thinks fit to take the opinion of the Council thereon.
- (3) Any Member may at any time submit a point of order to

the decision of the President.

- (4) The President shall have all powers necessary for the pur pose of enforcing his decisions.
- 27 The Governor-General may appoint a Member of the Council to preside in his place, or in that of the Vice-President, on any occasion on which the Financial Statement or the Budget or any portion thereof is discussed in the Council.
- 28 The President, for sufficient reason, may suspend any of the foregoing rules.

THE SCHEDULE

Heads open to or excluded from Jiscussion under rule 4

Revenue		EXPENDITURE		
Hends open to dis- cussion	Heads not open to discussion	Heads open to dis- cussion	Heads not open to discussion	
I—Land Revenue II—Opium III—Salt V—Excise VI—Provincial Rates IX—Forests X—Registration XII—Interest XIII—Post Office XIV—Telegraph. XV—Mint XVI-B—Jails XVII—Police XIX.—Education. XX—Medical XXI—Scientific & other Minor Departments XXII—Receipts in aid of Superannuation, &c XXIII—Stationery and Printing XXIV—Exchange XXV— Miscellaneous XXVI—State Railways XXVII—S b b sidized Companies XXIX—Irrigation, Major Works XXX.— Minor Works and Navigation XXXI—Civil Works	IV —Stamps VII —Customs VIII — 4s- sessed Taxes XI —Tributes from Natice States XVI-1 — Courts 1 XXXII — Army XXXIII — Marine. XXXIV — Military Worls All purely Pro- tinetal retenue and retenue ac- cruing from divided heads in Provinces pos- sessing Legis- lative Councils	1—Refunds and drawbacks 3—Land Revenue 4—Opnum 5—Salt 6—Stamps 7—Everse 8—Provincial Rates 9—Customs 10—Assessed Taxes 11—Forests 12—Registration 14—Interest on other obligations 15—Post Office, 16—Telegraphs 17—Mint 18—General Administration 2 19-A—Courts of Law 3 19-B—Jails 20—Police 22—Education, 24—Medical 26—Scientific and other Minor Departments 28—Civil Furlough and Absentee Allowances 29—Superannuation Allowances and Pensions 30—Stationery and Printing 31—Exchange 32—Miscellaneous	ments and Compensations 13—Interest on debt 23—Ecclesiastical 25—Political 27—Territorial and Political Pensions 38—State Railways 42—Major Works In-ntereston debt 46—Army 46-A—Marine 47—Military Works and Depence 47-A—Special Defence Charges All statutory charges All purely Pro-	

¹ Mainly Court-fees and fines

 $^{^2}$ These heads include certain statutory charges, which will be excluded from debate

³ This head deals purely with interest, sinking funds, and annuities.

REVENUA.		Expanditure.	
Heads open to dis-	Heademot open to discussion.	Heads open to dis- cussion.	Heads not open to discussing
		33 — Vamine Rollet. 44 — Construction of Protective Rail- 45 — Scantraction of Protective Irriga- tion Works. 30 — Reduction or Avoidance of Debt. 40 — Su baid is ed Companies Land, 41 — Aliscellaneous 42 — Irrigation 1 Major Works. 42 — Irrigation 1 Working Expenses. 43 — Minor Works 45 — State Rail- 48 — State Rail- 49 — Irrigation 1 Works Gaptial Expenditure not charged to Re- venue. 40 — Irrigation to Re- to Rail- 40 — State Rail- 40 — St	

The 15th November, 1909

No 24—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the Indian Councils Act, 1909, the Governor-General in Council has, with the sanction of the Secretary of State for India in Douncil, made the following rules authorizing at any meeting of the Legislative Council of the Governor-General the discussion of any matter of general public interest.

RULES FOR THE DISCUSSION OF MATTERS OF GENERAL PUBLIC INTEREST IN THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OF THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL

Definitions

1 In these rules—

(1) 'President' means—

(a) the Governor-General, or

(b) the President nominated by the Governor-General in Council under section 6 of the Indian Councils Act, 1861, or

(c) the Vice-President appointed by the Governor-General under section 4 of the Indian Councils Act, 1909, or

(d) the Member appointed to preside under rule 27,

(2) 'Member in charge' means the Member of the Council of the Governor-General to whom is allotted the business of the Department of the Government of India to which the subject under discussion belongs, and includes any Member to whom such Member in charge may delegate any function assigned to him under these rules, and

(3) 'Secretary' means the Secretary to the Government of India in the Legislative Department, and includes the Deputy-Secretary and every person for the time being exercising the

functions of the Secretary.

Matters open to discussion

2 Any matter of general public interest may be discussed in the Council subject to the following conditions and restrictions 3 No such discussion shall be permitted in regard to any of the

following subjects, namely —

э

(a) any subject removed from the cognizance of the Legislative Council of the Governor-General by section 22 of the Indian Councils Act, 1861, or

any matter affecting the relations of His Majesty's Government or of the Governor-Genera? in Council with any Foreign State or any Native State in India,

any matter under adjudication by a Court of Law having jurisdiction in any part of His Majesty's Dominions
¹ See Digest, s 63

Resolutions

4. Subject to the restrictions contained in rule 3 any Member may move a resolution relating to a matter of general public interest

Provided that no resolution shall be moved which does not comply with the following conditions, namely —

(a) it shall be in the form of a specific recommendation

addressed to the Governor General in Council

(b) it shall be clearly and precisely expressed and shall raise a definite usue and

(c) it shall not contain arguments inferences ironical ex pressions or defamatory statements, nor shall it refer to the conduct or character of persons except in their

official or public capacity

5 A Member who wishes to move a resolution shall give notice in writing to the Secretary at least fifteen clear days before the meeting of the Council at which he desires to move the same and shall together with the notice submit a copy of the resolution which he wishes to move

Provided that the President may allow a resolution to be moved with shorter notice than fifteen days, and may in any case require longer notice or may extend the time for moving the

recolution.

6 (I) The Secretary shall submit every resolution of which notice has been given to him in accordance with rule 5 to the Preadent who may either admit it or when any resolution is not framed in accordance with rule 4 cause it to be returned to the Member concerned for the purpose of amendment.

(2) If the Member does not within such time as the President may fix in this behalf, resubmit the resolution duly amended, the

resolution shall be deemed to have been withdrawn.

7 The President may disallow any resolution or part of a resolution without without pring any reason therefor other than that in his opinion it cannot be moved consistently with the public interests or that it should be moved in the Legislative Council of a Local Government.

8 -(1) No discussion in Council shall be permitted in respect of

any order of the President under rule 6 or rule 7

(2) A resolution which has been duallowed shall not be entered in the proceedings of the Council.

9 Resolutions admitted by the President shall be entered in the list of business for the day in the order in which they are

received by the Secretary

Provided that the President may give priority to any resolution which he may consider to be of urgent public interest or postpone the moving of any resolution.

Discussion of Resolutions

- 10 The discussion of resolutions shall take place after all the other business of the day has been concluded
- 11—(I) After the mover of a resolution has spoken, other Members may speak to the motion in such order as the Piesident may direct, and thereafter the mover may speak once by way of reply

(2) No Member other than the mover and the Member in charge shall speak more than once to any motion, except, with the permission of the President, for the purpose of making an

explanation

12 No speech, except with the permission of the President, shall exceed fifteen minutes in duration

Provided that the mover of a resolution, when moving the same, and the Member in charge may speak for thirty minutes

- 13—(1) Every Member shall speak from his place, shall rise when he speaks and shall address the chair
- (2) At any time, if the President isses, any Member speaking shall immediately resume his seat
- 14—(I) Any Member may send his speech in print to the Secretary not less than two clear days before the day fixed for the discussion of a resolution, with as many copies as there are Members, and the Secretary shall cause one of such copies to be supplied to each Member

(2) Any such speech may at the discretion of the President be

taken as read

- 15 The discussion of a resolution shall be limited to the subject of the resolution, and shall not extend to any matter as to which a resolution may not be moved
- 16 When a resolution is under discussion any Member may, subject to all the restrictions and conditions relating to resolutions specified in rules 3 and 4, move an amendment to such resolution

Provided that an amendment may not be moved which has

merely the effect of a negative vote

- 17—(1) If a copy of such amendment has not been sent to the Secretary at least three clear days before the day fixed for the discussion of the resolution, any Member may object to the moving of the amendment, and such objection shall prevail unless the President in exercise of his power to suspend any of these rules allows the amendment to be moved
- (2) The Secretary shall, if time permits, cause every amendment to be printed and send a copy for the information of each Member
- 18 A Member who has moved a resolution or an amendment of a resolution may withdraw the same unless some Member desires that it be put to the vote.

19 When in the opinion of the President, a resolution and any amendment thereto have been sufficiently discussed, he may close the discussion by calling upon the mover to reply and the Member in charge to submit any final observations which he may wish to make

Provided that the President may in all cases address the Council

before putting the question to the vote.

- 20—(1) When an amendment to any resolution is moved or when two or more such amendments are moved, the President shall, before taking the sense of the Council thereon state or read to the Council the terms of the original motion and of the amend ment or amendments proposed
- (3) It shall be in the discretion of the President to put first to the vote either the original motion or any of the amendments which may have been brought forward.
- 21. If any resolution involves many points, the President at his discretion may divide it so that each point may be deter mined separately
 - 22 —(1) Every question shall be resolved in the affirmative or in the negative according to the majority of votes.
 - (2) Votes may be taken by voices or by division and shall be taken by division if any Member so degree
 - (3) The President shall determine the method of taking votes by division

General

- 23—(1) The President may assign such time as with due regard to the public interests he may consider reasonable for the discussion of resolutions or of any particular resolution
- (2) Every resolution which shall not have been put to the vote within the time so assigned shall be considered to have been withdrawn
- 24 Every resolution, if carried, shall have effect only as a recommendation to the Governor General in Council.
- 25 When a question has been discussed at a meeting of the Council, or when a resolution has been disallowed under rule 7 or withdrawn under rule 18 no resolution or amandment rusing substantially the same question shall be moved within one year
- 26 --(x) The President shall preserve order and all points of order shall be decided by him
- (2) No discussion on any point of order shall be allowed unless the President thinks fit to take the opinion of the Council thereon
- (3) Any Member may at any time submit a point of order to the decision of the President.
- (4) The President shall have all powers necessary for the pur pose of enforcing his decisions.

27 In Governor General may appoint a Member of the to neit to be ade in his place or in that of the Vice President, or the ore for on which a metter of general public interest is de a climite Council

28 In Propolart for sufficient region, may suspend any of the terre where rule c

The 15th November, 1909

No. 25. "In exercic of the power conferred by section 5 of the Indian Council Act, 1931 the Governor General in Council has. s ith the anction of the Secretary of State for India in Council, in do the following rules authorizing the asking of questions at and meeting of the Levislative Council of the Governor General

EULES FOR THE ASKING OF QUESTIONS IN THE LEGIS-LATIVE COUNCIL OF THE GOVERNOR GENERAL

1. In these rules--

(t) 'President' means—

(a) the Governor General, or

(b) the Pre-ident appointed under section 6 of the Indian Councils Act, 1891, or

(c) the Vice-President appointed by the Governor-General

under section 4 of the Indian Councils Act, 1909
(2) 'Member in charge' means the Member of the Council of the Governor General to whom is allotted the business of the Department of the Government of India to which the subject of the question belongs and includes any Member to whom such Member in charge may delegate any function assigned to him

under these rules, and
(3) 'Secretary' means the Secretary to the Government of India in the Legislative Department, and includes the Deputy-Secretary and every person for the time being evereising the

functions of the Secretary

2 Any question may be asked by any Member subject to the following conditions and restrictions

No question shall be permitted in regard to any of the

following subjects, namely —

(a) any matter affecting the relations of His Majesty's Government or of the Governor-General in Council with any Foreign State or with any Native State in India, or

(b) any matter under adjudication by a Court of Law having jurisdiction in any part of His Majesty's

Dominions

No question shall be asked unless it complies with the following conditions, namely —

(a) it shall be so framed as to be merely a request for

information,

(b) it shall not be of excessive length

(c) it shall not contain arguments, inferences ironical expressions or defamatory statements, nor shall it refer to the conduct or character of persons except in their official or public capacity and

(d) it shall not cak for an expression of an opinion or the

solution of a hypothetical proposition.

- 5 In matters which are or have been the subject of controversy between the Governor General in Council and the Secretary of State or a Local Government no question shall be asked except as to matters of fact and the answer shall be confined to a state ment of facts.
- 6 A Member who wishes to ask a question shall give notice in writing to the Secretary at least ten clear days before the meeting of the Council at which he desires to put the question and shall, together with the notice submit a copy of the question which he wishes to ask

Provided that the President may allow a question to be put with shorter notice than ten days and may in any case require longer notice or may extend the time for answering a question

(1) The Secretary shall submit every question of which notice has been given to him in accordance with rule 6 to the President, who may either allow it or when any question is not framed in accordance with rules 4 and 5 cause it to be returned to the Member concerned for the purpose of amendment.

(2) If the Member does not, within such time as the President may fix in this behalf, resubmit the question duly amended, the

question shall be deemed to have been withdrawn.

8 The President may disallow any question, or any part of a question, without giving any reason therefor other than that in his opinion it cannot be answered consistently with the public interests or that it should be put in the Legislative Council of a Local Government

- 9 No discussion in Council shall be permitted in respect of any order of the President under rule 7 or rule 8
- 10 Questions which have been allowed shall be entered in the list of business for the day and shall be put in the order in which they stand in the list before any other business is entered upon at the meeting.
- 11 Questions shall be put and answers given in such manner as the President may in his discretion determine
- 12. Any Member who has asked a question may put a supplementary question for the purpose of further elucidating any

matter of fact regarding which a request for information has been made in his original question

- 13 The Member in charge may decline to answer a supplementary question without notice, in which case the supplementary question may be put in the form of a fresh question at a subsequent meeting of the Council.
- 14 These rules, except rules 6 and 7, apply also to supplementary questions

Provided that the President may disallow any supplementary

question without giving any reason therefor

- 15. The President may rule that an answer to a question in the list of business for the day shall be given on the ground of public interest even though the question may have been withdrawn
- 16 No discussion shall be permitted in respect of any question or of any answer given to a question
- 17. All questions asked and the answers given shall be entered in the proceedings of the Council

Provided that no question which has been disallowed by the

President shall be so entered

18 The President may assign such time as, with due regard to the public interests, he may consider reasonable for the putting and answering of questions

APPENDIX IV

ADDENDA AND CORRIGENDA

in The Government of India (second edition)

- p 14, line 6 from bottom. For February 14 1621 read February 4, 1621
- p 108 After line 14 meet The Council of India Act 1907 (7 Edw VII c 35) modified the constitution of the Council of India As to the Indian Councils Act 1909 see the supplementary chapter
- p 100 lines 10-12 For originally reduced to ten read consuting of such number of members, not less than ten and not more than fourteen, as the Secretary of State may from time to time deterraine
- p 100, line 14. For ten years read seven years.
- p 100 lines 15-18 Leave out There behaviour
- p. 100, line 20 For ten years before read five years before
- p 114 line 3 from bottom Leare out of two members and insert at present of three members of whom two are
- p 114, note 8 add Under the Indian Councils Act 1909 there is power to increase the number to four
- p 115 note I add Under the Indian Councils Act, 1909 there is power to constitute executive councils for heutenant governors.
- p 115 For the paragraph beginning For legislative purposes substitute The constitution of the Governor General's legislative council has been materially altered by the Indian Councils Act, 1909 and the regulations under it. Its existing constitution is described in the supplementary chapter.
- p 116 line 19. After regulations insert But it is referred to in the Indian Councils Act, 1909, and is usually described as the legislative council of the Governor-General.
- p 116 note 1 add By the regulations under the Indian Councils
 Act 1902 the term of office is now ordinarily three years.
 See the supplementary chapter

Most of these additions and corrections are due to the passing of the Acts of 1907 and 1909 (7 Edw VII, c. 35 9 Edw VII, c. 4).

- pp 117, 118 For the paragraph beginning But under the Act and ending the public interest substitute But by the Indian Councils Act, 1909, and the rules made under it, powers are given to discuss and move resolutions on the annual financial statement, to move resolutions on matters of general public interest, and to ask questions, including supplementary questions. The new rules on these subjects are appended to the supplementary chapter
- pp 118, 119 For the paragraphs beginning The legislatures for Madras and In the provinces, substitute The constitutions of the local legislatures are now regulated by the Indian Councils Act, 1909, and the regulations under it, and are described in the supplementary chapter
- p 129, line 10 from bottom After 1905 insert as modified in 1909
- p 133, line 14. Before shown insert usually
- p 133, last line For Since 1871 read From 1871 to 1903
- p 134, line I For have been read were
- p 134, line 5 For is read was
- p 134, line 6 After province insert Since 1903 these quinquennial contracts have gradually been replaced by contracts on a quasi-permanent basis
- p 134, line 18 Leave out the sentence beginning The apportionment
- p 134, line 9 from bottom, add as a footnote Under the Ind an Councils Act, 1909, there is power to create executive councils for lieutenant-governors. See the supplementary chapter
- p 146, s 3 (1) For not more than members read such number of members, not more than ten and not less than four-teen, as the Secretary of State may from time to time determine
- p 146 In the marginal references to s 3 leave out 52 & 53 Vict c 5, and add 7 Edw VII, c 35
- p 146, s 3 (3) For more than ten years read more than five years
- p 147, s 3 (4) For ten read seven
- p 147 Leave out subs (6)
- p 147, s 3 (9) For twelve hundred read one thousand
- p 148 Add to the note (a) to s 3 An Act of 1907 (7 Edw VII, c 35) repealed the Act of 1889 and made the provisions reproduced in subs (1)
- p 148 Add to note (c) The Act of 1876 was repealed by the Act of 1907

- p 178 s 39 note (a) lines 6 7 leave out Military Supply and
- p 179. 8. 39. For note (b) substitute The military supply department and the office of the member in charge of that department have now been abolished. See Bast India (Army Administration) 1999, Cd. 4574. A sixth member placed in charge of the subject of education was added in 1910
- p 185 ss. 45 46 add to note (a) to each paragraph Under the Indian Councils Act, 1909 (9 Edw VII c 4, s. 4) the Governor General must appoint a vice-president.
- p 189, s. 51 (2) For three read four and after State insert in Council.
- p 189, s 51 (3) For Every ordinary member of the said council must be a person who at the time of his appointment has read Two at least of the ordinary members of the said councils must be persons who at the time of their appointments have Add to the marginal references 9 Edw VII, c. 4, s 2
- p 189, s. 51 note (b) For and is now two read the maximum was raised to four in 1909 and the number is now three
- p cgo s 53, add to note (a) Under the Indian Councils Act, 1909
 (9 Edw VII, c. 4, s. 4) the Governors of Madras and
 Bombay must appoint vice-presidents of their councils.
- p 191 s. 55 add as a note to subsection (1) The Indian Councils
 Act, 1909 (9 Edw VII, c. 4) gives power to constitute
 executive councils for assisting a heutenant-governor in
 the executive government of his province (s. 3) and requires a lieutenant-governor to appoint a vice-preadent
 of his council (s. 4) An executive council is now being
 constituted for Bengal See the supplementary chapter
- p 197 s. 60 The provisions of this section are extensively modified by the Indian Councils Act, 1909, and the regulations made under it. See the supplementary chapter
- p 198 s. 62 subs. (1) After president insert or vice-president
- p 198 s 62 Add as a footnote to subsection (1) The quorum at these legislative meetings is now fixed by one of the regulations (Reg XIII) of November 15 1999 made unfor the Indian Councils Act, 1999. See the supplementary chapter
- p 198 s 62 subsection (2) lines 4, 5 leave out senior ordinary member of the Governor General s council present and insert vice-president

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

- p 208, s 64 s 5 of the Indian Councils Act, 1909 (9 Edw VII, c 4), requires rules to be made for the conduct of non-legislative business at meetings of the Governor-General's legislative council, and the range of business at these meetings has been materially extended by the rules so made. See the supplementary chapter
- p 213, s 71 The provisions of this section are extensively modified by the Indian Councils Act, 1909, and the regulations made under it See the supplementary chapter
- p 215, s 72 (2), lines I and 2 Leave out senior ordinary member and insert vice-president
- p 215, s 72, add to note (a) The Indian Councils Act, 1909, requires the appointment of a vice-president to take the place of the governor in his absence. See the supplementary chapter
- p 215, s 73 The provisions of this section are extensively modified by the Indian Councils Act, 1909, and the regulations made under it See the supplementary chapter
- p 218, s 75 Add as a note The Indian Councils Act, 1909, requires the appointment of a vice-president to take the place of the heutenant-governor in his absence. See the supplementary chapter
- p 218, s 75 (1), lines 2, 3, 4, leave out member of the council highest in official rank among those holding office under the Crown and insert vice-president of his council
- p 221, s 77. The range of business at meetings of these legislative councils has been materially extended by s 5 of the Indian Councils Act, 1909, and the rules made under it See the supplementary chapter
- p 222, s 78 Add as a marginal note (24 & 25 Vict c 67, s 48)
- p 227, s 82, note (a), line J. After s 37 add the Act of 1793
- p 234, s 88 Add to note (a) The filling of vacancies is now regulated by the Regulations of November 15, 1909, made under the Indian Councils Act, 1909 See the supplementary chapter
- p 305. Add to the remark in the third column against s 10 of the Government of India Act, 1858 Amended by 7 Edw VII, c 35, s 2, and to the remark against s 13 of the same Act Amended by 7 Edw VII, c 35, s 3
- pp 312, 313, 314, 315 Add to the remarks in the third column against ss 10, 11, 15, 29, 30, 34, 45 of the Indian Councils Act, 1861: Repealed in part by 9 Edw VII, c 4, s 8.
- p 318 Add to the remark in the third column against s 2 of the Government of India Act, 1869 Amended by 7 Edw. VII, c 35, s 4

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

- p. 320 For the remark in the third column against the Council of India Act, 1876 substitute Repealed by 7 Edw VII c. 35 s. 5
- p 321 For the remarks in the third column against the Council of India Reduction Act 1889 substitute Repealed by 7 Edw VII, c. 35 a. 5
 - Add to the remarks in the third column against as I and 2 of the Indian Council Ad, 1892 Repealed by 9 Edw VII, c. 4, 8 8 and to the remark against s 4 Repealed in part by 9 Edw VII, c. 4, 8.8
- p 323 note I, line 7 from bottom. For for read from.
- p 320, line 12. After enjoyment of insert their own.
- p 330 last line For 1853 read 1833.
- p 379, line 3 from bottom. For 15 read 17
- p 392 line 13 For it may be doubted whether read the Secretary of State has been advised that.